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WELFARE REFORM AND WORK BILL

NOTE
This document includes all amendments remaining before the Committee and includes any withdrawn amendments at the end. The amendments have been arranged in accordance with the Order of the Committee [10 September 2015].

Stephen Timms  
Kate Green  
Emily Thornberry  
Owen Smith

Clause 4, page 4, line 33, after paragraph (b) insert—

“(ba) children living in low income working households.”

Member’s explanatory statement
To require the Secretary of State to include data on children living in low income working households in their report on the life chances of children.

Stephen Timms  
Kate Green  
Emily Thornberry  
Owen Smith

Clause 4, page 4, line 35, leave out “4” and insert “1, 2, 3 and 4”

Member’s explanatory statement
To require the Secretary of State to include data on the educational attainment of children at Key Stages 1, 2, 3 and 4 rather than only at Key Stage 4.
Clause 4, page 4, line 37, leave out “4” and insert “1, 2, 3 and 4”

**Member’s explanatory statement**
To require the Secretary of State to include data on the educational attainment of disadvantaged children at Key Stages 1, 2, 3 and 4 rather than only at Key Stage 4.

Clause 4, page 4, line 37, after paragraph (d) insert—

“(e) key health indicators for children in England;
(f) key health indicators for disadvantaged children in England.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**
To require the Secretary of State to include key health indicators in their report on the life chances of children.

Clause 4, page 5, line 3, after paragraph (f) insert—

“(g) low income”

**Member’s explanatory statement**
A consequential amendment to amendment 77.

Clause 4, page 5, line 3, after paragraph (f) insert—

“(g) key health indicators”

**Member’s explanatory statement**
A consequential amendment to amendment 80.

Clause 4, page 5, line 10, at end insert—

“(5A) The Secretary of State must, before the end of the period of 12 months beginning with the day on which this Act is passed, publish and lay before Parliament the first life chances strategy for England.”
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(5B) Before the end of the period to which the strategy relates, the Secretary of State must review the strategy and publish and lay before Parliament a revised strategy.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**
This ensures that the Government must produce a life chances strategy for England.

Clause 5, page 5, leave out lines 16 to 27 and insert—

“5 Social Mobility and Child Poverty Commission: additional functions

(1) After Section 8A of the Child Poverty Act 2010 insert—

**Member’s explanatory statement**
To leave the name of the “Social Mobility and Child Poverty Commission” unchanged.

Priti Patel

Clause 5, page 5, line 23, leave out from “which” to end of line 24 and insert “section 5 of the Welfare Reform and Work Act 2015 comes into force.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**
This amendment brings the date from which the Social Mobility and Child Poverty Commission is to be called the Social Mobility Commission into line with the commencement of the other changes to the Commission made by clause 5.

Clause 5, page 6, leave out lines 15 and 16

**Member’s explanatory statement**
A consequential amendment to amendment 7 to leave the name of the “Social Mobility and Child Poverty Commission” unchanged.

Clause 6, page 6, line 18, leave out subsection 1

**Member’s explanatory statement**
Leave child poverty targets and measures unchanged.
Anna Turley

Clause 6, page 6, line 19, at end insert—
“(1A) In section 2 (Duty of Secretary of State to ensure that targets in sections 3 to 6 are met) for “2020” substitute “2030”.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amends the Child Poverty Act 2010 to set new child poverty targets for 2030 rather than 2020. To be read in conjunction with amendment 9.

Stephen Timms
Kate Green
Chris Leslie
Emily Thornberry
Owen Smith

Clause 6, page 7, leave out from beginning of line 25 to end of Clause

Member’s explanatory statement
Leave child poverty targets and measures unchanged.

Priti Patel

Clause 6, page 8, line 23, at end insert—
“(c) in the section heading omit “Regulations and”."

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment removes the words “Regulations and” from the heading of section 28 of the Child Poverty Act 2010, consequential on the changes of substance to this section made by clause 6(7), which removes references to regulations.

Angus Robertson
Mike Weir
Dr Eilidh Whiteford
Natalie McGarry
Mhairi Black
Ian Blackford
Hannah Bardell
Corri Wilson

Clause 7, page 8, line 32, leave out subsection (2)

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would remove the changes to the benefit cap.

Stephen Timms
Kate Green
Chris Leslie
Emily Thornberry
Owen Smith

Clause 7, page 8, line 36, at end insert—
“( ) Regulations under this section shall not be made in relation to persons—
(a) responsible for the care of a child aged below 2;
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(b) responsible for the care of and in receipt of Carers Allowance in respect of, but not living with, a person in receipt of Disability Living Allowance, Personal Independence Payment or Attendance Allowance;

(c) in temporary accommodation following an incident or incidents of domestic violence.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

To provide that the benefit cap does not apply to benefit claimants who will find it most difficult to enter work.

Angus Robertson
Mike Weir
Dr Eilidh Whiteford
Natalie McGarry
Mhairi Black
Ian Blackford

Hannah Bardell  Corri Wilson

Clause 7, page 8, line 38, leave out “£23,000 or £15,410” and insert “£26,000 or £18,200”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would keep the benefit cap level in London at the same rate as today.

Angus Robertson
Mike Weir
Dr Eilidh Whiteford
Natalie McGarry
Mhairi Black
Ian Blackford

Hannah Bardell  Corri Wilson

Clause 7, page 8, line 39, leave out “£20,000 or £13,400” and insert “£26,000 or £18,200”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would keep the benefit cap level outside London at the same rate as today.

Emily Thornberry
Dawn Butler
Wes Streeting
Stephen Pound
Mr Steve Reed

Clause 7, page 9, line 5, at end insert—

“(5C) Regulations under this section must provide for an exemption from the benefit cap for persons living in temporary accommodation into which they have been placed by a local authority which has found them to be in priority need (as defined in Part 7 of the House Act 1996 and the Homelessness (Priority Need for Accommodation)(England) Order 2002).”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

To provide that the benefit cap will not apply to homeless families living in temporary accommodation after being assessed as having a priority need.
Clause 7, page 9, line 5, at end insert—

“(5C) Regulations under this section must provide for an exemption from the benefit cap for claimants of Jobseeker’s Allowance, including income-based Jobseeker’s Allowance (as defined in section 1 (4) of the Jobseekers Act 1995) where the claimant has not received a reasonable offer of a job.”

Member’s explanatory statement
To provide that the benefit cap will not apply to job seekers who have not received a reasonable offer of employment.
Emily Thornberry

Clause 7, page 9, line 5, at end insert—
“(5C) Regulations under this section must provide for an exemption from the benefit cap for persons in employment, as defined by the Office for National Statistics.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**
To provide that the benefit cap will not apply to anyone who meets the definition of employment used by the Office for National Statistics, which states that “anyone doing one hour or more a week of paid work is counted in the employment figures”.

Emily Thornberry
Dawn Butler
Wes Streeting
Stephen Pound
Mr Steve Reed

Clause 7, page 9, line 6, leave out subsection (3)

**Member’s explanatory statement**
To retain the current link between the benefit cap and estimated average earnings.

Angus Robertson
Mike Weir
Dr Eilidh Whiteford
Natalie McGarry
Mhairi Black
Ian Blackford
Hannah Bardell
Corri Wilson

Clause 7, page 9, line 9, leave out paragraph (a)

**Member’s explanatory statement**
This amendment would remove bereavement allowance from the benefit cap.

Angus Robertson
Mike Weir
Dr Eilidh Whiteford
Natalie McGarry
Mhairi Black
Ian Blackford
Hannah Bardell
Corri Wilson

Clause 7, page 9, line 11, leave out paragraph (b)

**Member’s explanatory statement**
This amendment would remove carer’s allowance from the benefit cap.

Emily Thornberry
Dawn Butler
Wes Streeting
Stephen Pound
Mr Steve Reed

Clause 7, page 9, line 11, leave out paragraphs (b), (e), (h), (i) and (l)

**Member’s explanatory statement**
This amendment is consequential to amendment 69.
Clause 7, page 9, line 13, leave out paragraph (c)

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would remove child benefit from the benefit cap.

Clause 7, page 9, line 15, leave out paragraph (d)

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would remove child tax credit from the benefit cap.

Clause 7, page 9, line 21, leave out paragraph (f)

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would remove guardian’s allowance from the benefit cap.

Clause 7, page 9, line 23, leave out paragraph (g)

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would remove housing benefit from the benefit cap.
Clause 7, page 9, line 33, leave out paragraph (k)

This amendment would remove maternity allowance from the benefit cap.

Clause 7, page 9, line 35, leave out paragraph (l)

This amendment would remove severe disablement allowance from the benefit cap.

Clause 7, page 9, line 38, leave out paragraph (n)

This amendment would remove widow’s pension from the benefit cap.

Clause 7, page 9, line 40, leave out paragraph (o)

This amendment would remove widowed mother’s allowance from the benefit cap.
Clause 7, page 9, line 42, leave out paragraph (p)

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would remove widowed parent’s allowance from the benefit cap.

Priti Patel

Clause 7, page 9, line 43, at end insert—
“(4A) Subsection (11) (benefits that regulations may not prescribe as welfare benefits) is omitted.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment to omit section 96(11) of the Welfare Reform Act 2012 is consequential on the amendment of the definition of “welfare benefit” in section 96(10) by clause 7(4).

Clause 7, page 9, line 44, leave out subsection (5)

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment is consequential to amendment 25.

Priti Patel

Clause 7, page 9, line 45, at end insert—
“(5A) Paragraph 52 of Schedule 12 to the Pensions Act 2014 is omitted.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment provides for the repeal of provision amending section 96(11) of the Welfare Reform Act 2012 and is consequential on amendment 88.

Priti Patel

Clause 7, page 10, line 2, leave out “and (3)” and insert “to (4A) and (5A)”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment enables transitional provision under clause 7(6) to disregard the effect on section 96 of the Welfare Reform Act 2012 of the amendments made by clause 7(4) and clause 7(4A) and (5A), added by amendments 88 and 89.
Clause 8, page 10, line 22, leave out “in each Parliament” and insert “a year”

**Member’s explanatory statement**
To require the Secretary of State to review the level of the benefit cap every year to determine whether it is appropriate to change the level of the cap.

Neil Coyle

Clause 8, page 10, line 30, at end insert—

“(aa) the impact of the benefit cap on disabled persons and carers.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**
This amendment requires the Secretary of State to consider the impact of the benefit cap on disabled people, and carers, when reviewing the level of the benefit cap.

Emily Thornberry
Dawn Butler
Wes Streeting
Stephen Pound
Mr Steve Reed

Clause 8, page 10, line 31, leave out paragraph (b) and insert—

“(b) The relationship between the level of the cap and average earnings, and (c) Regional variations in the cost of housing.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**
To remove the provision allowing the Secretary of State to set the level of the benefit cap by reference to “any other matters [he] considers relevant” and to instead require that the cap should be set by reference to average earnings and regional variations to adjust for differences in the cost of housing.

Stephen Timms
Kate Green
Chris Leslie
Emily Thornberry
Owen Smith

Clause 8, page 10, line 31, at end insert—

“(c) an annual report made by the Social Security Advisory Committee on the level of the benefit cap.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**
To require the Secretary of State to take into account an annual report by the Social Security Advisory Committee on the level of the benefit cap when undertaking his review of the benefit cap.

Stephen Timms
Kate Green
Chris Leslie
Emily Thornberry
Owen Smith

Clause 8, page 10, line 31, at end insert—
“(3A) The report made by the Social Security Advisory Committee on the level of benefit cap, under subsection 3c, must include an assessment of the impact of the benefit cap on the Discretionary Housing Payments Funds administered by local authorities.”

Member’s explanatory statement

To require the Social Security Advisory Committee’s annual report on the level of the benefit cap to include an assessment of the impact of the benefit cap on Discretionary Housing Payments.

Emily Thornberry
Dawn Butler
Wes Sterling
Stephen Pound
Mr Steve Reed

Clause 8, page 10, line 31, at end insert—
“(c) any reports on the impact of the benefit cap on the wellbeing of children made by the:
(i) Children’s Commissioners for England;
(ii) Children’s Commissioner for Wales;
(iii) Scotland’s Commissioner for Children and Young People; and
(iv) Commissioner for Children and Young People, Northern Ireland, following the introduction of the benefit cap in Northern Ireland.”

Member’s explanatory statement

To require the Secretary of State, when reviewing the level of the benefit cap, to take into account any reports made by the Children’s Commissioners for England, Scotland, Wales on the impact of the benefit cap on the wellbeing of children. Should the benefit cap be introduced in Northern Ireland the Secretary of State shall also be required to take account of any similar reports made by the Children’s Commissioner for Northern Ireland. This amendment does not require the Children’s Commissioners to make such report but does require the Secretary of State to consider any such reports if they are made.

Priti Patel

Clause 8, page 11, line 13, at end insert—
“( ) Section 176 of the Social Security Administration Act 1992 (consultation with representative organisations) does not apply in relation to regulations under subsection (4).”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment provides that regulations that change the level of the benefit cap do not require consultation with local authority associations under section 176(1) of the Social Security.

Stephen Timms
Kate Green
Chris Leslie
Emily Thornberry
Owen Smith

Clause 9, page 11, line 32, leave out from “relevant sums” to end of subsection and insert “are to be reviewed by the Secretary of State having given regard to—
(a) the rate of inflation, and
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(b) the national economic situation.”

Member's explanatory statement
To subject the four year freeze in the social security payments set out in paragraph 1 of Schedule I to an annual review of the levels by the Secretary of State. This review will consider both the rate of inflation and the national economic situation.

Angus Robertson
Mike Weir
Dr Eilidh Whiteford
Natalie McGarry
Mhairi Black
Ian Blackford
Hannah Bardell
Corri Wilson

Clause 9, page 11, line 32, leave out from “relevant sums” to end of subsection and insert “is to increase in line with the consumer price index.”

Member's explanatory statement
This amendment would see relevant benefits increasing in line with the consumer price index.

Neil Coyle
Kate Green
Chris Leslie
Emily Thornberry
Owen Smith

Clause 9, page 11, line 33, at end insert—

“(1a) Notwithstanding subsection (1), for each of the tax years ending with 5 April 2017, 5 April 2018, 5 April 2019 and 5 April 2020, the amount of each of the relevant sum claimable by persons with a disability, as defined by the Equality Act 2000, is to increase in line with inflation.”

Member's explanatory statement
This amendment exempts disabled people from the four year benefits freeze.

Stephen Timms
Kate Green
Chris Leslie
Emily Thornberry
Owen Smith

Clause 9, page 11, line 35, leave out from “child benefit” to end of subsection and insert “are to be reviewed by the Secretary of State having given regard to—

(a) the rate of inflation, and
(b) the national economic situation.”

Member's explanatory statement
To subject the four year freeze in child benefit to an annual review of the levels by the Secretary of State. This review will consider both the rate of inflation and the national economic situation.

Angus Robertson
Mike Weir
Dr Eilidh Whiteford
Natalie McGarry
Mhairi Black
Ian Blackford
Hannah Bardell
Corri Wilson

Clause 9, page 11, line 35, leave out from “child benefit” to end of subsection and
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Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would see child benefit increasing in line with the consumer price index.

Angus Robertson
Mike Weir
Dr Eilidh Whiteford
Natalie McGarry
Mhairi Black
Ian Blackford

Hannah Bardell Corri Wilson

Clause 9, page 11, line 37, leave out subsections (3) and (4)

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment is consequential to the amendments 39 and 40.

Stephen Timms
Kate Green
Chris Leslie
Emily Thornberry
Owen Smith

Clause 10, page 12, line 21, leave out from “relevant amounts” to end of subsection and insert “are to be reviewed by the Secretary of State having given regard to—

(a) the rate of inflation, and
(b) the national economic situation.”

Member’s explanatory statement
To subject the four year freeze in the tax credits set out in paragraph 2 of Schedule 1 to an annual review of the levels by the Secretary of State. This review will consider both the rate of inflation and the national economic situation.

Angus Robertson
Mike Weir
Dr Eilidh Whiteford
Natalie McGarry
Mhairi Black
Ian Blackford

Hannah Bardell Corri Wilson

Clause 10, page 12, line 22, at end insert—

“(1a) Notwithstanding subsection (1), for each of the tax years ending with 5 April 2017, 5 April 2018, 5 April 2019 and 5 April 2020, the amount of each of the

Neil Coyle

Clause 10, page 12, line 22, at end insert—

“(1a) Notwithstanding subsection (1), for each of the tax years ending with 5 April 2017, 5 April 2018, 5 April 2019 and 5 April 2020, the amount of each of the
relevant amounts claimable by persons with a disability, as defined by the Equality Act 2000, is to increase in line with inflation.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment exempts disabled people from the four year tax credits freeze.

---

Angus Robertson
Mike Weir
Dr Eilidh Whiteford
Natalie McGarry
Mhairi Black
Ian Blackford

Hannah Bardell       Corri Wilson

Clause **11**, page **12**, line **39**, leave out “2017” and insert “2022”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would see current arrangements for child tax credit remaining in place for children born before 6 April 2022.

---

Angus Robertson
Mike Weir
Dr Eilidh Whiteford
Natalie McGarry
Mhairi Black
Ian Blackford

Hannah Bardell       Corri Wilson

Clause **11**, page **13**, line **10**, leave out “one other child” and insert “two other children”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would retain entitlement to child tax credit for families with three children.

---

Angus Robertson
Mike Weir
Dr Eilidh Whiteford
Natalie McGarry
Mhairi Black
Ian Blackford

Hannah Bardell       Corri Wilson

Clause **11**, page **13**, line **10**, leave out “one other child” and insert “three other children”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would retain entitlement to child tax credit for families with four children.
Clause 11, page 13, line 10, leave out “one other child” and insert “four other children”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would retain entitlement to child tax credit for families with five children.

Clause 11, page 13, line 10, leave out “one other child” and insert “five other children”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would retain entitlement to child tax credit for families with six children.

Clause 11, page 13, line 12, at end insert—

“(3C) The limit on the number of children or qualifying young person for whom an individual element of child tax credit can be claimed, as set out in subsection (3B), shall not apply to households where one or more of the child or qualifying young person in that household is disabled. This includes, but is not limited to, those persons in receipt of the disability element of child tax credit.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

The amendment exempts households from the limit on the number of children for whom the individual element of child tax credit can be claimed where one or more child in that household is disabled.
Page 12, line 32, leave out Clause 11

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would see current arrangements for child tax credit remaining in place.

Clause 12, page 13, line 18, leave out subsections (1) to (4)

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would retain entitlement to the child element of universal credit for families with more than two children.

Clause 12, page 13, line 22, leave out “two” and insert “three”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would retain entitlement to the child element of universal credit for families with three children.
Clause 12, page 13, line 22, leave out “two” and insert “four”

**Member's explanatory statement**

This amendment would retain entitlement to the child element of universal credit for families with four children.

Clause 12, page 13, line 22, leave out “two” and insert “five”

**Member's explanatory statement**

This amendment would retain entitlement to the child element of universal credit for families with five children.

Clause 12, page 13, line 22, leave out “two” and insert “six”

**Member's explanatory statement**

This amendment would retain entitlement to the child element of universal credit for families with six children.

Clause 12, page 13, line 23, at end insert “unless this would result in an amount no longer being paid in respect of a child or young person who was born before 6 April 2017, in which case a payment shall still be made in respect of this child or young person.”

**Member's explanatory statement**

To ensure that the reduction of the child element of Universal Credit to two children only affect children born after 6 April 2017.
Clause 12, page 13, line 23, at end insert—
“(1B) The limit on the number of children or qualifying young person for whom a child element of universal credit can be claimed, as set out in subsection (1B), shall not apply to households where one or more of the child or qualifying young person in that household is disabled. This includes, but is not limited to, those persons in receipt of the disabled child element of universal credit.”

Member’s explanatory statement
The amendment exempts households from the limit on the number of children for whom the child element of universal credit can be claimed where one or more child in that household is disabled.

Page 13, line 17, leave out Clause 12

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would remove changes to entitlement to the child element of universal credit.

Page 14, line 1, leave out Clause 13

Member’s explanatory statement
This prevent the changes to the work-related component of the employment and support allowance.
Page 14, line 25, leave out Clause 14

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would retain the limited capability for work element of universal credit.

Clause 15, page 14, line 31, leave out paragraph (a)

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would keep the “work-focused interview requirement only” for responsible carers of children aged two and three.

Clause 15, page 14, line 31, leave out paragraphs (a) to (c) and insert—

“(a) in section 19(2)(c) for the words “under the age of 1” substitute “who has not yet started primary school”;

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment, taken together with amendment 63, would mean claimants in receipt of universal credit who are responsible carers are not subject to work focused interviews or work preparation requirements until their child starts school. From when a child starts school, relevant claimants would be required to follow all work requirements.
Clause 15, page 14, line 36, after “2,”, insert “3 or 4”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would retain the current position for responsible carers of children aged three and four.

Clause 15, page 14, line 37, leave out paragraph (c)

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would retain the current position for responsible carers of children aged three and four.

Clause 15, page 14, line 38, at end insert—

“(d) Insert after section 18—

(1) The Secretary of State shall, by regulation, provide guidance to Jobcentre Plus setting out how it should support claimants who are lone parents in meeting the work-related requirements that they are subject to.”

Member’s explanatory statement

To require the Secretary of State to set out in regulation how Jobcentre Plus should support claimant of universal credit who are lone parents meet the work-related requirements they are subject to.

Clause 15, page 14, line 38, at end insert—

“(d) after section 24 (imposition of requirements) after subsection (5) insert—

“(5A) The Secretary of State must, by regulations, make provision to ensure that where a claimant is the responsible carer for a child who is aged under five they are subject to no work-related requirements unless it is possible to make arrangements for affordable and appropriate childcare for the claimant’s child.”
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(5B) The regulations in subsection (5A) must provide a definition of “affordable and appropriate childcare”.

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would ensure that responsible carers of children aged under five would not be subject to work-related requirements unless they had affordable and appropriate childcare in place for their child.

Angus Robertson
Mike Weir
Dr Eilidh Whiteford
Natalie McGarry
Mhairi Black
Ian Blackford

Hannah Bardell  Corri Wilson

Clause 15, page 14, line 40, leave out paragraph (a)

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would keep the current prescribed age of three years in universal credit regulations on the “work-focused interview requirement” for responsible carers of children in receipt of universal credit.

Angus Robertson
Mike Weir
Dr Eilidh Whiteford
Natalie McGarry
Mhairi Black
Ian Blackford

Hannah Bardell  Corri Wilson

Clause 15, page 14, line 40, leave out paragraphs (a) and (b) and insert—

“(a) in regulation 91 (claimants subject to work-focused interview requirement only), for the word “3” substitute “5 or when the child starts primary school”; 

(b) in regulation 91A (claimants subject to work preparation requirement) for the words “3 or 4” substitute “who has not yet started primary school”;”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment, taken together with amendment 62, would mean claimants in receipt of universal credit who are responsible carers are not subject to work focused interviews or work preparation requirements until their child starts school. From when a child starts school, relevant claimants would be required to follow all work requirements.

Angus Robertson
Mike Weir
Dr Eilidh Whiteford
Natalie McGarry
Mhairi Black
Ian Blackford

Hannah Bardell  Corri Wilson

Clause 15, page 14, line 42, leave out paragraph (b)

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would keep the current age of child (which is three or four) in universal credit
regulations on the work-preparation requirement for responsible carers of children in receipt of universal credit.

Angus Robertson
Mike Weir
Dr Eilidh Whiteford
Natalie McGarry
Mhairi Black
Ian Blackford

Hannah Bardell  Corri Wilson

Page 14, line 28, leave out Clause 15

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would retain the current conditionality requirements for work related activity / work focused interviews/ work preparation for those claimants with children under 5 in receipt of universal credit.

Stephen Timms
Kate Green
Chris Leslie
Emily Thornberry
Owen Smith

Clause 16, page 15, line 25, at end insert—
“(7A) The waiting period before a person can apply for a loan under this section shall be 13 weeks.”

Member’s explanatory statement
To require that the waiting period before an application for a loan for mortgage interest can be made is 13 weeks.

Angus Robertson
Mike Weir
Dr Eilidh Whiteford
Natalie McGarry
Mhairi Black
Ian Blackford

Hannah Bardell  Corri Wilson

Page 15, line 1, leave out Clause 16

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would mean those owner-occupiers who are in receipt of an income related benefit can continue to claim additional help towards their mortgage interest payments and mitigate risk of repossession of homes instead of introducing a loan system which will be secured against their property.
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Angus Robertson
Mike Weir
Dr Eilidh Whiteford
Natalie McGarry
Mhairi Black
Ian Blackford
Hannah Bardell
Corri Wilson

Page 15, line 36, leave out Clause 17

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment is consequential on amendment 64.

Stephen Timms
Kate Green
Chris Leslie
Emily Thornberry
Owen Smith

Clause 19, page 19, line 25, at end insert—

“(9A) The Secretary of State must, within 12 months of this section coming into force, produce a plan to offset the impact of lower social rents on housing associations and local government.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

To require the Secretary of State to produce a plan to offset the impact of lower social rents on housing associations, so that their ability to build new affordable homes is not affected.

Emily Thornberry
Neil Coyle

☆ Clause 20, page 20, line 5, at end insert—
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“(e) the accommodation is specified accommodation, as defined in the Housing Benefit and Universal Credit (Supported Accommodation) (Amendment) Regulations 2014.”

Member’s explanatory statement
To provide that the mandatory 1% annual reduction in social housing rents will not apply to the tenants of “specified accommodation”.

NEW CLAUSES

Stephen Timms
Kate Green
Chris Leslie
Emily Thornberry
Owen Smith

To move the following Clause—

“Report on impact of benefit cap reductions
(1) The Secretary of State must publish and lay before Parliament before the end of the financial year ending with 31 March 2017 a report on the impact of the benefit cap reductions introduced by this Bill.
(2) The report must include an assessment of the impact on each of the measures of child poverty defined in the Child Poverty Act 2010.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause requires the Secretary of State to review impact of lower benefit cap after 12 months.

Stephen Timms
Kate Green
Chris Leslie
Emily Thornberry
Owen Smith

To move the following Clause—

“Local Housing Allowance
(1) For each of the tax years ending with 5 April 2017, 5 April 2018, 5 April 2019 and 5 April 2020, the amount paid to claimants of the Local Housing Allowance is be reviewed by the Secretary of State.
(2) In reviewing these sums the Secretary of State shall have regard to—
(a) the rate of inflation,
(b) the national economic situation, and
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(c) the levels of market rent.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This new clause requires the Secretary of State to review the level of the Local Housing Allowance annually, in light of the rate of inflation, levels of market rent and the national economic situation.

Paul Blomfield
Neil Coyle

To move the following Clause—

“Personal independence payment: timing of payment

(1) Schedule 10 of the Welfare Reform Act 2012 is amended as follows.
(2) In paragraph 1(1), at start insert “Subject to paragraph ( ),”
(3) At end of paragraph 1(1), insert the following new paragraph—

“( ) Where a person in receipt of disability living allowance meets the requirements of section 82 of the 2012 Act his or her entitlement to disability living allowance shall terminate immediately and entitlement to personal independence payment shall commence on the same day.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This New Clause aims to enable claimants of DLA who are transferred to PIP due to terminal illness to receive their first PIP payment immediately after being transferred. Currently claimants must wait four weeks from their final DLA payment to be made and then another four weeks to receive their first PIP payment.

Emily Thornberry
Dawn Butler
Wes Streeting
Stephen Pound
Mr Steve Reed

To move the following Clause—

“Entitlement to housing benefit

(1) Section 130A of the Social Security Contributions and Benefits Act 1992 (Appropriate maximum housing benefit), is amended as follows.
(2) After subsection (2) insert—

“(2A) Entitlement to housing benefit shall not be restricted in respect of a maximum number of children or qualifying young persons for whom a claimant is responsible.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

To prevent the Secretary of State from limiting entitlement to housing benefit by taking into account only a certain number of children in a family.
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Emily Thornberry
Dawn Butler
Wes Streeting
Stephen Pound
Mr Steve Reed

To move the following Clause—

“Entitlement to housing costs under Universal Credit

(1) Section 11 of the Welfare Reform Act 2012 (Housing costs), is amended as follows.

(2) After subsection (5) insert—

“(6) Entitlement to an amount under this section shall not be restricted in respect of a maximum number of children or qualifying young persons for whom a claimant is responsible.””

Member’s explanatory statement
To prevent the Secretary of State from limiting entitlement to housing costs under Universal Credit by taking into account only a certain number of children in a family.

Stephen Timms
Kate Green
Emily Thornberry
Owen Smith

To move the following Clause—

“Exemptions to changes in child tax credit and child element of universal credit

(1) The limit on the number of children for which child tax credit or the child element of universal credit can be claimed, as provided for clauses 11 and 12 of this Act, do not apply in the following circumstances—

(a) where the number of children exceeds two because the third (or subsequent) child was part of a multiple birth at the same time as the second qualifying child;

(b) where a third (or subsequent) child becomes a member of a household as a result of being fostered or adopted into that household, or enters the household as the result of a kinship care arrangement;

(c) in exceptional circumstances as defined by the Social Security Advisory Committee, including but not limited to—

(i) the claimant becoming unemployed;

(ii) the death of one of the parents in the claimant household; and

(iii) one of the parents in the claimant household leaving the household following a breakdown in relationship.

(2) No limit shall apply to a household where any child or qualifying young person is disabled.
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(3) The Secretary of State shall, by regulation, establish an appeals process by which an individual can appeal a decision as to whether an exemption set out in this clause applies in their individual situation.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**
This New Clause exempts households from the limit on the number of children for whom child tax credit or the child element of universal credit can be claimed where the limit was exceeded as a result of; multiple births, a household fostering or adopting a child, or in exceptional circumstances defined by the Social Security Advisory Committee. A household shall be entirely exempt from the limit if one or more children or qualifying young person in that household is disabled. It also requires the Secretary of State to establish an appeals mechanism for decisions made under this section.

Stephen Timms  
Kate Green  
Emily Thornberry  
Owen Smith  

To move the following Clause—

“**Review of childcare tax credit amounts**

The Secretary of State must at least once a year review the level of the Childcare element of the Working Tax Credit entitlement sums to determine whether it is appropriate to increase or decrease any one or more of those sums.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**
This New Clause would require the Secretary of State to review the childcare tax credit entitlement sums.

Stephen Timms  
Kate Green  
Emily Thornberry  
Owen Smith  

To move the following Clause—

“**Review of application of Jobseeker’s allowance sanctions**

(1) The Secretary of State must before the financial year ending with 31 March 2016 review the sanctions regimes attached to Jobseeker’s Allowance and Employment Support Allowance to determine whether they are effective and proportionate for meeting the Government’s objectives.

(2) As part of this review the Secretary of State must consider—

(a) the application of sanctions to claimants who are responsible for the care of children under the age of two,

(b) the application of sanctions to claimants who are disabled, and

(c) Any other matter that the Secretary of State considers relevant.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**
This New Clause would ensure the Government reviews of the Jobseeker’s Allowance sanctions.
regime, which would include a review of how sanctions should apply to claimants with children under the age of two and claimant who are disabled.

Jess Phillips
Hannah Bardell
Corri Wilson

To move the following Clause—

“Changes to age of eligible claimants of housing benefit
(1) The Social Security Contributions and Benefits Act 1992 is amended as follows.
(2) After section 130(1) insert—

“(1A) The Secretary of State shall not make provision about eligibility for housing benefit in respect of the age of a claimant except by primary legislation.”.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This New Clause aims to ensure that any changes to the age of eligible claimants for housing benefit must be made by primary legislation rather than regulation. The Government intends to withdraw entitlement to housing benefit from 18-21 year olds and it is understood this change would be enacted by regulation.

Hannah Bardell
Corri Wilson
Dr Eilidh Whiteford

To move the following Clause—

“Definition of job quality
(1) Within six months of section 1 of this Act coming into force, the Secretary of State must, by regulation, provide a definition of job quality.
(2) Before issuing regulations under this section the Secretary of State must carry out a public consultation.”

Member’s explanatory statement
To require the Secretary of State to bring forward a definition of job quality and to ensure there is a consultation on defining job quality.

Hannah Bardell
Corri Wilson

★ To move the following Clause—
“Entitlement to housing costs element of universal credit for 18-21 year olds

(1) Entitlement to the housing cost element of Universal Credit shall not be restricted for those 18 to 21 year olds who fall into the following categories—
(a) those who have previously been in work;
(b) a person who lives independently;
(c) those with a disability or mental health problem receiving Employment Support Allowance or Income Support;
(d) those with dependent children;
(e) pregnant women;
(f) those who are owed a rehousing duty under—
   (i) section 193 of the Housing Act 1996;
   (ii) section 9 of the Homelessness etc. (Scotland) Act 2003;
   (iii) section 73 of the Housing (Wales) Act 2014;
(g) those who are homeless or at risk of homelessness who are being assisted by local authority housing teams;
(h) those who are living in statutory or voluntary sector homelessness accommodation;
(i) those who have formerly been homeless and have been supported by voluntary or statutory agencies into accommodation;
(j) those who have formerly been homeless between the ages of 16 and 21;
(k) a person without family or whom social services have found that a home environment is not suitable for them to live in;
(l) care leavers; and
(m) those leaving custody.

(2) Within three months of section [Entitlement to housing costs element of universal credit for 18-21 year olds] of this Act coming into force, the Secretary of State must, by regulation, provide definitions of—
(a) “a person who lives independently”;
(b) “risk of homelessness”; and
(c) “a person without family”.

Member’s explanatory statement
To ensure that 18-21 year olds who meet one of the listed conditions are entitled to receive the housing cost elements of universal credit.

Anna Turley
Clause 25, page 24, line 2, at end insert—
“(5A) Sections 15(1)(c) and 15(2)(b) comes into force on a day or days as the Secretary of State may appoint by regulation that shall not be before 6 April 2018.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment delays the introduction of the changes to work related requirements until 6 April 2018 (at the earliest). This is to allow the Government’s planned changes to childcare provision to be introduced before the changes to work related requirements take effect.
Priti Patel

Title, line 3, leave out “social mobility” and insert “life chances”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment amends the Title to change the words “social mobility” to “life chances” to make the terminology consistent with that used in the Bill.

ORDER OF THE HOUSE [20 JULY 2015]
That the following provisions shall apply to the Welfare Reform and Work Bill:

Committal
1. The Bill shall be committed to a Public Bill Committee.

Proceedings in Public Bill Committee
2. Proceedings in the Public Bill Committee shall (so far as not previously concluded) be brought to a conclusion on Thursday 15 October.
3. The Public Bill Committee shall have leave to sit twice on the first day on which it meets.

Consideration and Third Reading
4. Proceedings on Consideration shall (so far as not previously concluded) be brought to a conclusion one hour before the moment of interruption on the day on which those proceedings are commenced.
5. Proceedings on Third Reading shall (so far as not previously concluded) be brought to a conclusion at the moment of interruption on that day.
6. Standing Order No. 83B (Programming committees) shall not apply to proceedings on Consideration and Third Reading.

Other proceedings
7. Any other proceedings on the Bill (including any proceedings on consideration of Lords Amendments or on any further messages from the Lords) may be programmed.

ORDER OF THE COMMITTEE [10 SEPTEMBER 2015]
That—
(1) the Committee shall (in addition to its first meeting at 11.30am on Thursday 10 September) meet—
(a) at 2.00 pm on Thursday 10 September;
(b) at 8.55am and 4.30pm on Tuesday 15 September;
(c) at 11.30am and 2.00 pm on Thursday 17 September;
(d) at 9.25am and 2.00 pm on Tuesday 13 October;
(e) at 11.30am and 2.00 pm on Thursday 15 October;
(2) the Committee shall hear oral evidence in accordance with the following Table:
**TABLE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Witness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thursday 10 September</td>
<td>Until no later than 12.30 am</td>
<td>British Chambers of Commerce; Capp; Manchester City Council; Family Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday 10 September</td>
<td>Until no later than 1.00 pm</td>
<td>Barnardo’s; Women Like Us</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday 10 September</td>
<td>Until no later than 2.30 pm</td>
<td>Council of Mortgage Lenders; Building Society Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday 10 September</td>
<td>Until no later than 3.45 pm</td>
<td>Gingerbread; Centre for Economics and Social Inclusion; Reform; Employment Related Services Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday 10 September</td>
<td>Until no later than 5.15 pm</td>
<td>Remploy; Shaw Trust; Social Market Foundation; Mind; Scope; Parkinson’s UK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuesday 15 September</td>
<td>Until no later than 10.00 am</td>
<td>Mencap; National Housing Federation; Local Government Association; L&amp;Q London Housing Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuesday 15 September</td>
<td>Until no later than 10.55 am</td>
<td>Child Poverty Action Group; Professor David Gordon, Professor of Social Justice, University of Bristol; Centre for Research in Social Policy; Centre for Social Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuesday 15 September</td>
<td>Until no later than 11.25 am</td>
<td>Joseph Rowntree Foundation; Institute of Economic Affairs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(3) proceedings on consideration of the Bill in Committee shall be taken in the following order: Clauses 1 to 10; the Schedule; Clauses 11 to 22; new Clauses; new Schedules; Clauses 23 to 26; remaining proceedings in the Bill;

(4) the proceedings shall (so far as not previously concluded) be brought to a conclusion at 5.00 pm on Thursday 15 October.

**NOTICES WITHDRAWN**

*The following Notices were withdrawn on 2 September:*

Amendment 3

*The following Notices were withdrawn on 7 September:*

NC3

*The following Notices were withdrawn on 9 September:  *
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Amendments 11 and 74