



House of Commons

Tuesday 7 June 2016

CONSIDERATION OF BILL (REPORT STAGE)

New Amendments handed in are marked thus ★

☆ *Amendments which will comply with the required notice period at their next appearance*

*Note: Some amendment numbers are missing from the numerical sequence.
This is due to duplication of material.*

INVESTIGATORY POWERS BILL

NOTE

This document includes all amendments tabled to date and includes any withdrawn amendments at the end. The amendments have been arranged in accordance with the Order of the House [6 June 2016].

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 95, line 27, leave out Clause 119

 Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless
 Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

391

Page 96, line 36, leave out Clause 120

Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless
 Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

392

Page 97, line 15, leave out Clause 121

Secretary Theresa May

42

Clause 121, page 98, line 13, leave out subsection (5)
Member's explanatory statement
This amendment is consequential on new clause 5.

Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless
 Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

393

Page 98, line 20, leave out Clause 122

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

394

Page 98, line 38, leave out Clause 123

Andy Burnham
Keir Starmer
Lyn Brown
Jack Dromey
Sarah Champion
Sue Hayman

275

Clause 123, page 99, line 10, leave out from “must” to end of line 11, and insert “subject a person’s decision to issue a warrant under this Chapter to close scrutiny to ensure that the objective in issuing a warrant is sufficiently important to justify any limitation of a Convention right”

Member’s explanatory statement

An amendment to clarify the role of judicial commissioners.

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

395

Page 99, line 19, leave out Clause 124

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

396

Page 99, line 24, leave out Clause 125

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Mr Dominic Grieve
 Sir Alan Duncan
 Mr George Howarth
 Fiona Mactaggart
 Angus Robertson
 Mr Keith Simpson

Ms Gisela Stuart

9

Clause 125, page 99, line 33, leave out subsection (4) and insert—

“(4) The operational purposes specified in the warrant must be ones specified, in a list maintained by the heads of the intelligence services, as purposes which they consider are operational purposes for which intercepted content or secondary data obtained under bulk interception warrants may be selected for examination.”

Member’s explanatory statement

On behalf of the Intelligence and Security Committee of Parliament, to amend the Bill to provide for a designated list of operational purposes, such that only a purpose on that list may be specified in a warrant relating to bulk powers.

Mr Dominic Grieve
 Sir Alan Duncan
 Mr George Howarth
 Fiona Mactaggart
 Angus Robertson
 Mr Keith Simpson

Ms Gisela Stuart

10

Clause 125, page 99, line 37, leave out from “issued” to end of line 39 and insert “are specified in the list mentioned in subsection (4).”

(5A) An operational purpose may be specified in the list mentioned in subsection (4) only with the approval of the Secretary of State.

(5B) The Secretary of State may give such approval only if satisfied that the operational purpose is specified in a greater level of detail than the descriptions contained in section 121 subsections (1)(b) or (2).”

Member’s explanatory statement

To make clear that the Secretary of State must approve all operational purposes specified on the list.

Mr Dominic Grieve
 Sir Alan Duncan
 Mr George Howarth
 Fiona Mactaggart
 Angus Robertson
 Mr Keith Simpson

Ms Gisela Stuart

11

Clause 125, page 99, line 39, at end insert—

“(5C) The list of operational purposes mentioned in subsection (4) must be reviewed at least annually by the Prime Minister.”

Member’s explanatory statement

To ensure that the list of Operational Purposes is reviewed at least annually by the Prime Minister.

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Mr Dominic Grieve
 Sir Alan Duncan
 Mr George Howarth
 Fiona Mactaggart
 Angus Robertson
 Mr Keith Simpson

Ms Gisela Stuart

12

Clause 125, page 99, line 39, at end insert—

“(5D) The Investigatory Powers Commissioner and Intelligence and Security Committee of Parliament (ISC) will be kept informed of any changes to the list of Operational Purposes in a timely manner.

(5E) Subject to subsection 201(7), the Investigatory Powers Commissioner must include in his Annual Report a summary of those Operational Purposes which, during the period of his report, have been specified in any warrants issued under Parts 6 and 7.”

Member’s explanatory statement

To ensure that the ISC and Commissioners are kept informed of changes to the list of Operational Purposes. To ensure that a summary of the Operational Purposes are published each year.

Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless
 Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

397

Page 100, line 2, leave out Clause 126

Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless
 Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

398

Page 100, line 10, leave out Clause 127

 Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Mr Dominic Grieve
 Sir Alan Duncan
 Mr George Howarth
 Fiona Mactaggart
 Angus Robertson
 Mr Keith Simpson

Ms Gisela Stuart

22

Clause 127, page 100, line 12, leave out “before it would otherwise cease to have effect” and insert “during the renewal period”

Member’s explanatory statement

See amendment 20.

Mr Dominic Grieve
 Sir Alan Duncan
 Mr George Howarth
 Fiona Mactaggart
 Angus Robertson
 Mr Keith Simpson

Ms Gisela Stuart

23

Clause 127, page 100, line 34, at end insert—

“(2A) “The renewal period” means the period of 30 days ending with the day at the end of which the warrant would otherwise cease to have effect.”

Member’s explanatory statement

See amendment 20.

Stephen McPartland
 Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless

Angela Crawley
 Mr Steve Baker

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

153

Page 101, line 9, leave out Clause 128

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Stephen McPartland
Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless

Angela Crawley
Mr Steve Baker

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

154

Page 102, line 25, leave out Clause 129

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

401

Page 103, line 8, leave out Clause 130

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

402

Page 103, line 31, leave out Clause 131

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 104, line 19, leave out Clause 132

403

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 105, line 44, leave out Clause 133

404

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 106, line 24, leave out Clause 134

405

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 108, line 1, leave out Clause 135

406

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 108, line 29, leave out Clause 136

407

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 108, line 39, leave out Clause 137

408

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 109, line 16, leave out Clause 138

409

Secretary Theresa May

Clause 138, page 110, line 5, leave out subsection (4)

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment is consequential on new clause 5.

43

 Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless
 Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

410

Page 110, line 40, leave out Clause 139

Mr David Davis
 Andy Burnham
 Keir Starmer
 Lyn Brown
 Jack Dromey
 Sarah Champion

Sue Hayman

212

Clause 139, page 110, line 42, leave out “review the Secretary of State’s conclusions as to the following matters” and insert “determine”

Mr David Davis
 Andy Burnham
 Keir Starmer
 Lyn Brown
 Jack Dromey
 Sarah Champion

Sue Hayman

213

Clause 139, page 111, line 7, leave out subsection (2)

Andy Burnham
 Keir Starmer
 Lyn Brown
 Jack Dromey
 Sarah Champion
 Sue Hayman

278

Clause 139, page 111, line 7, leave out from “must” to end of line 8, and insert “subject a person’s decision to issue a warrant under this Chapter to close scrutiny to ensure that the objective in issuing a warrant is sufficiently important to justify any limitation of a Convention right”

Member’s explanatory statement

An amendment to clarify the role of judicial commissioners. This amendment is an alternative to amendments 212 and 213 (which are a package).

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 111, line 16, leave out Clause 140

411

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 111, line 21, leave out Clause 141

412

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 112, line 2, leave out Clause 142

413

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 112, line 10, leave out Clause 143

414

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Stephen McPartland
Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless

Angela Crawley
Mr Steve Baker

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

155

Page 113, line 9, leave out Clause 144

Stephen McPartland
Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless

Angela Crawley
Mr Steve Baker

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

156

Page 114, line 19, leave out Clause 145

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

417

Page 115, line 2, leave out Clause 146

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 115, line 25, leave out Clause 147

418

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 116, line 7, leave out Clause 148

419

Secretary Theresa May

Clause 148, page 116, line 9, leave out “on a person outside the United Kingdom”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment is consequential on amendment 45.

44

Secretary Theresa May

Clause 148, page 116, line 9, at end insert—

“() A copy of the warrant must be served in such a way as to bring the contents of the warrant to the attention of the person who the implementing authority considers may be able to provide assistance in relation to it.”

Member’s explanatory statement

The amendment makes it clear that, where a person is required under clause 147 to provide assistance in relation to a warrant, a copy of the warrant must be served in such a way that the person is aware of the contents of the warrant and so can provide that assistance.

45

Secretary Theresa May

Clause 148, page 116, line 10, leave out “the person” and insert “a person outside the United Kingdom”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment is consequential on amendment 45.

46

 Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Secretary Theresa May

47

Clause 148, page 116, line 23, after “person” insert “outside the United Kingdom”
Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment is consequential on amendment 45.

Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless
 Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

420

Page 116, line 35, leave out Clause 149

Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless
 Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

421

Page 117, line 11, leave out Clause 150

Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless
 Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

422

Page 118, line 39, leave out Clause 151

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 119, line 8, leave out Clause 152

423

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 119, line 36, leave out Clause 153

424

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 120, line 10, leave out Clause 154

425

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 121, line 33, leave out Clause 155

426

 Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless
 Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

427

Page 122, line 4, leave out Clause 156

Secretary Theresa May

48

Clause 156, page 122, line 42, leave out subsection (4)

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment is consequential on new clause 5.

Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless
 Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

428

Page 123, line 1, leave out Clause 157

Mr David Davis

214

Clause 157, page 123, line 3, leave out “review the Secretary of State’s conclusions as to the following matters” and insert “determine”

Mr David Davis

215

Clause 157, page 123, line 15, leave out subsection (2)

Andy Burnham
 Keir Starmer
 Lyn Brown
 Jack Dromey
 Sarah Champion
 Sue Hayman

281

Clause 157, page 123, line 15, leave out from “must” to end of line 16, and insert “subject a person’s decision to issue a warrant under this Chapter to close scrutiny to ensure that the objective in issuing a warrant is sufficiently important to justify any

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

limitation of a Convention right”

Member’s explanatory statement

An amendment to clarify the role of judicial commissioners.

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 123, line 24, leave out Clause 158

429

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 123, line 41, leave out Clause 159

430

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 124, line 34, leave out Clause 160

431

 Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless
 Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

432

Page 125, line 3, leave out Clause 161

Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless
 Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

433

Page 125, line 25, leave out Clause 162

Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless
 Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

434

Page 126, line 3, leave out Clause 163

Stephen McPartland
 Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless

Angela Crawley
 Mr Steve Baker

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

157

Page 127, line 1, leave out Clause 164

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Secretary Theresa May

127

Clause 164, page 127, line 16, after “modification”, insert “adding or varying any operational purpose”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment restricts the application of clause 164(4) to cases where a major modification of a bulk equipment interference warrant adds or varies an operational purpose. It is consequential on amendment 128.

Secretary Theresa May

128

Clause 164, page 127, line 20, at end insert—

“() A major modification adding or varying any description of conduct—

(a) must be made by the Secretary of State, and

(b) may be made only if the Secretary of State considers—

(i) that the modification is necessary on any of the grounds on which the Secretary of State considers the warrant to be necessary (see section 156(1)(b)), and

(ii) that the conduct authorised by the modification is proportionate to what is sought to be achieved by that conduct.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment provides for both a necessity test and a proportionality test to apply in relation to a decision whether to make a major modification of a bulk equipment interference warrant by adding or varying a description of conduct.

Stephen McPartland
Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless

Angela Crawley
Mr Steve Baker

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

158

Page 128, line 14, leave out Clause 165

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 129, line 1, leave out Clause 166

437

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 129, line 25, leave out Clause 167

438

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 130, line 14, leave out Clause 168

439

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 131, line 33, leave out Clause 169

440

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 132, line 3, leave out Clause 170

441

Secretary Theresa May

Clause 170, page 133, line 25, leave out “section” and insert “Part”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment is consequential on amendment 130.

129

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 133, line 30, leave out Clause 171

442

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 134, line 12, leave out Clause 172

443

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless
 Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

444

Page 134, line 19, leave out Clause 173

Secretary Theresa May

130

Clause 173, page 134, line 32, at end insert—

““protected material”, in relation to a bulk equipment interference warrant,
 has the meaning given by section 170(9);”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment provides for the definition of “protected material” given by clause 170 to apply for the purposes of the Part.

Secretary Theresa May

NC14

To move the following Clause—

“Health records

- (1) Subsections (2) and (3) apply if—
 - (a) an application is made by or on behalf of the head of an intelligence service for the issue of a specific BPD warrant,
 - (b) the purpose, or one of the purposes of the warrant, is to authorise the retention, or the retention and examination, of health records.
- (2) The application must contain a statement that the purpose, or one of the purposes, of the warrant is to authorise the retention, or the retention and examination, of health records.
- (3) The Secretary of State may issue the warrant only if the Secretary of State considers that there are exceptional and compelling circumstances that make it necessary to authorise the retention, or the retention and examination, of health records.
- (4) Subsection (5) applies if—
 - (a) an application is made by or on behalf of the head of an intelligence service for a specific BPD warrant,
 - (b) the head of the intelligence service considers that the bulk personal dataset includes, or is likely to include, health records, and
 - (c) subsections (2) and (3) do not apply.
- (5) The application must contain either—
 - (a) a statement that the head of the intelligence service considers that the bulk personal dataset includes health records, or
 - (b) a statement that the head of the intelligence service considers that it is likely that the bulk personal dataset includes health records and an assessment of how likely this is.

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

- (6) In this section, “health record” means a record, or a copy of a record, which—
 - (a) consists of information relating to the physical or mental health or condition of an individual,
 - (b) was made by or on behalf of a health professional in connection with the care of that individual, and
 - (c) was obtained by the intelligence service from a health professional or a health service body or from a person acting on behalf of a health professional or a health service body in relation to the record or the copy.

- (7) In subsection (6)—
 - “health professional” has the same meaning as in the Data Protection Act 1998 (see section 69 of that Act);

“health service body” has the meaning given by section 69(3) of that Act.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment requires an intelligence service to take special steps when making an application for a specific BPD warrant relating to health records. In addition, where the purpose, or one of the purposes, of the warrant would be to authorise the retention, or the retention and examination, of health records, the Secretary of State may issue the warrant only if he or she considers that there are exceptional and compelling circumstances.

Mr Dominic Grieve
 Sir Alan Duncan
 Mr George Howarth
 Fiona Mactaggart
 Angus Robertson
 Mr Keith Simpson

Ms Gisela Stuart

NC3

To move the following Clause—

“Restriction on use of class bulk personal dataset warrants

- (1) An intelligence service may not retain, or retain and examine, a bulk personal dataset in reliance on a class bulk personal dataset warrant if the head of the intelligence service considers—
 - (a) that the bulk personal dataset includes a large quantity of sensitive personal data, or
 - (b) that the nature of the bulk personal dataset, or the circumstances in which it was created, is or are such that its retention, or retention and examination, by the intelligence service raises issues which ought to be considered by the Secretary of State and a Judicial Commissioner on an application by the head of the intelligence service for a specific BPD warrant.
- (2) An intelligence service may not retain, or retain and examine, greater than twenty distinct bulk personal datasets in reliance on any class BPD warrant.
- (3) In subsection (1) “sensitive personal data” means personal data consisting of information about an individual (whether living or deceased) which is of a kind mentioned in section 2(a) to (f) of the Data Protection Act 1998.”

Member’s explanatory statement

On behalf of the Intelligence and Security Committee of Parliament, to place greater restrictions on the use of Class BPD warrants in relation to the retention/examination of sensitive personal

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

data (relating to race, political opinions, religious beliefs, trade union membership, health, or sexual orientation). To cap the number of datasets which may be covered by any Class warrant.

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 135, line 4, leave out Clause 174

445

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 135, line 21, leave out Clause 175

446

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 135, line 37, leave out Clause 176

447

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

448

Page 136, line 9, leave out Clause 177

Andy Burnham
Keir Starmer
Lyn Brown
Jack Dromey
Sarah Champion
Sue Hayman

303

Clause 177, page 136, line 44, at end insert—

- “(5) Subsection (6) applies where a warrant application under this section relates to “patient information” as defined in s.251(10) of the National Health Service Act 2006, or relating to “mental health”, “adult social care”, “child social care”, or “health services” as defined by the Health and Social Care Act 2012.
- (6) The Secretary of State may issue the warrant only if—
- (a) there are exceptional and compelling circumstances that make it necessary to authorise the retention, or (as the case may be) the examination, of material referred to in subsection (5); and
 - (b) specific arrangements have been made for the handling, retention, use, destruction and protection against unauthorised disclosure of such material”

Member’s explanatory statement

An amendment to restrict the retention of patient information obtained under provisions in this Bill.

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

449

Page 137, line 1, leave out Clause 178

 Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Mr Dominic Grieve
 Sir Alan Duncan
 Mr George Howarth
 Fiona Mactaggart
 Angus Robertson
 Mr Keith Simpson

Ms Gisela Stuart

24

Clause 178, page 137, line 17, leave out “and” and insert—

“(aa) a statement outlining the extent to which sensitive personal data as defined by section [Restriction on use of class BPD warrants] is expected to be part of the bulk personal dataset, and”

Member’s explanatory statement

On behalf of the Intelligence and Security Committee of Parliament, to require specific BPD warrant applications to set out the extent to which datasets may include sensitive personal data (relating to race, political opinions, religious beliefs, trade union membership, health, or sexual orientation), in order that the Secretary of State may properly assess the proportionality of obtaining the dataset.

Andy Burnham
 Keir Starmer
 Lyn Brown
 Jack Dromey
 Sarah Champion
 Sue Hayman

304

Clause 178, page 138, line 2, at end insert—

“(8) Subsection (6) applies where a warrant application under this section relates to “patient information” as defined in s.251(10) of the National Health Service Act 2006, or relating to “mental health”, “adult social care”, “child social care”, or “health services” as defined by the Health and Social Care Act 2012.

(9) The Secretary of State may issue the warrant only if—

- (a) there are exceptional and compelling circumstances that make it necessary to authorise the retention, or (as the case may be) the examination, of material referred to in subsection (5); and
- (b) specific arrangements have been made for the handling, retention, use, destruction and protection against unauthorised disclosure of such material.”

Member’s explanatory statement

An amendment to restrict the retention of patient information obtained under provisions in this Bill.

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

450

Page 138, line 3, leave out Clause 179

Mr David Davis
Andy Burnham
Keir Starmer
Lyn Brown
Jack Dromey
Sarah Champion

Sue Hayman

216

Clause 179, page 138, line 5, leave out “review the Secretary of State’s conclusions as to the following matters” and insert “determine”

Mr David Davis
Andy Burnham
Keir Starmer
Lyn Brown
Jack Dromey
Sarah Champion

Sue Hayman

217

Clause 179, page 138, line 22, leave out subsection (2)

Andy Burnham
Keir Starmer
Lyn Brown
Jack Dromey
Sarah Champion
Sue Hayman

284

Clause 179, page 138, line 22, leave out from “must” to end of line 23, and insert “subject a person’s decision to issue a warrant under this Chapter to close scrutiny to ensure that the objective in issuing a warrant is sufficiently important to justify any limitation of a Convention right”

Member’s explanatory statement

An amendment to clarify the role of judicial commissioners. This amendment is an alternative to amendments 216 and 217 (which are a package).

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 138, line 31, leave out Clause 180

451

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 139, line 4, leave out Clause 181

452

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 140, line 1, leave out Clause 182

453

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 140, line 15, leave out Clause 183

454

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 141, line 4, leave out Clause 184

455

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 141, line 26, leave out Clause 185

456

Stephen McPartland
Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless

Angela Crawley
Mr Steve Baker

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 142, line 13, leave out Clause 186

159

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Stephen McPartland
Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless

Angela Crawley
Mr Steve Baker

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

160

Page 143, line 22, leave out Clause 187

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

459

Page 144, line 7, leave out Clause 188

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

460

Page 144, line 25, leave out Clause 189

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 146, line 2, leave out Clause 190

461

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 147, line 5, leave out Clause 191

462

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

Page 147, line 21, leave out Clause 192

463

Andy Burnham
Keir Starmer
Lyn Brown
Jack Dromey
Sarah Champion
Sue Hayman

Clause 192, page 147, line 42, at end insert—

“(4A) A direction under subsection (3) may only be made for material relating to “patient information” as defined in s.251(10) of the National Health Service Act 2006, or relating to “mental health”, “adult social care”, “child social care”, or “health services” as defined by the Health and Social Care Act 2012 if the Secretary of State considers that—

- (a) there are exceptional and compelling circumstances that make it necessary to authorise the retention, or (as the case may be) the examination, of such material; and

305

Investigatory Powers Bill, continued

- (b) that specific arrangements have been made for the handling, retention, use, destruction and protection against unauthorised disclosure of such material.”

Member's explanatory statement

An amendment to restrict the retention of patient information obtained under provisions in this Bill.

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

464

Page 148, line 37, leave out Clause 193

*NEW CLAUSES AND NEW SCHEDULES RELATING TO, AND AMENDMENTS TO, PART 3;
NEW CLAUSES AND NEW SCHEDULES RELATING TO, AND AMENDMENTS TO, PART 4;
NEW CLAUSES AND NEW SCHEDULES RELATING TO, AND AMENDMENTS TO,
CHAPTER 2 OF PART 9; REMAINING PROCEEDINGS ON CONSIDERATION*

Stephen McPartland
Mr Steve Baker

NC18

To move the following Clause—

“Persons who may apply for issue of warrant—

Each of the following organisations may appoint a designated senior officer responsible for applying for a communications data retention warrant—

- (a) a police force maintained under section 2 of the Police Act 1996,
- (b) the Metropolitan Police Force,
- (c) the City of London Police Force,
- (d) the Police Service of Scotland,
- (e) the Police Service of Northern Ireland,
- (f) the British Transport Police Force,
- (g) the Ministry of Defence Police,
- (h) the Royal Navy Police,
- (i) the Royal Military Police,
- (j) the Royal Air Force Police,
- (k) the Security Service,
- (l) the Secret Intelligence Service,
- (m) GCHQ, and

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

(n) the National Crime Agency.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This new Clause will restrict access to communications data to the Intelligence Agencies and law enforcement only.

Will Quince

NC19

To move the following Clause—

“Local authority authorisations: notification of chief executive

Where, on an application under sections 66 to 69, the relevant judicial authority approves an authorisation (including a Judicial Commissioner approval by order under section 68), the designated senior officer must notify the chief executive of the local authority, or subscribing authority, of that approval, or those approvals as the case may be, prior to that authorisation taking effect.”

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

320

Clause 53, page 42, leave out lines 14 and 15 and insert “Subsection (2) applies if a designated senior officer of a relevant public authority considers—

“(a) that a Judicial Commissioner may, on an application made by a designated senior officer at a relevant public authority, issue a communications data access authorisation where the Judicial Commissioner considers—”

Member’s explanatory statement

See amendment 327.

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

321

Clause 53, page 42, line 21, leave out paragraph (b)(ii)

Member’s explanatory statement

See amendment 327.

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless
 Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

322

Clause 53, page 42, line 26, leave out “The designated senior officer may authorise any officer of the authority to” and insert “A communications data access authorisation may authorise the designated senior officer or a telecommunications operator to”

Member’s explanatory statement

See amendment 327.

Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless
 Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

323

Clause 53, page 42, line 39, leave out “authorised officer” and insert “designated senior officer”

Member’s explanatory statement

See amendment 327.

Andy Burnham
 Keir Starmer
 Lyn Brown
 Jack Dromey
 Sarah Champion
 Sue Hayman

286

Clause 53, page 43, line 39, after “detecting”, insert “serious”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment inserts a higher threshold for accessing communications data.

Andy Burnham
 Keir Starmer
 Lyn Brown
 Jack Dromey
 Sarah Champion
 Sue Hayman

287

Clause 53, page 43, line 39, after second “preventing”, insert “serious”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment inserts a higher threshold for accessing communications data.

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

324

Clause 53, page 43, line 41, leave out paragraphs (c) to (e)
Member's explanatory statement
See amendment 327.

Andy Burnham
Keir Starmer
Lyn Brown
Jack Dromey
Sarah Champion
Sue Hayman

288

Clause 53, page 44, line 1, after first “or”, insert “serious”
Member's explanatory statement
This amendment inserts a higher threshold for accessing communications data.

Andy Burnham
Keir Starmer
Lyn Brown
Jack Dromey
Sarah Champion
Sue Hayman

289

Clause 53, page 44, line 1, after “any”, insert “serious”
Member's explanatory statement
This amendment inserts a higher threshold for accessing communications data.

Andy Burnham
Keir Starmer
Lyn Brown
Jack Dromey
Sarah Champion
Sue Hayman

290

Clause 53, page 44, line 2, after “any”, insert “serious”
Member's explanatory statement
This amendment inserts a higher threshold for accessing communications data.

Andy Burnham
Keir Starmer
Lyn Brown
Jack Dromey
Sarah Champion
Sue Hayman

291

Clause 53, page 44, line 2, after third “or”, insert “serious”
Member's explanatory statement
This amendment inserts a higher threshold for accessing communications data.

 Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless
 Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

325

Clause 53, page 44, line 13, at end insert—

“(7A) An authorisation may be considered necessary as mentioned in subsection (7)(b) or (7)(f) only where there is a reasonable suspicion that a serious criminal offence has been or is likely to be committed.”

Member’s explanatory statement

See amendment 327.

Andy Burnham
 Keir Starmer
 Lyn Brown
 Jack Dromey
 Sarah Champion
 Sue Hayman

292

Clause 53, page 44, line 18, at end insert—

“(9) Serious crime in subsection (7)(b) above means—

- (a) any crime where a person guilty of the offence is liable on conviction to imprisonment for a term of imprisonment of [a maximum of] 6 months or more; or
- (b) a crime which causes serious damage to a person’s physical or mental health.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment defines the higher threshold, inserted by other amendments to Clause 53, for accessing communications data.

Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless
 Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

326

Clause 54, page 44, line 19, leave out Clause 54

Member’s explanatory statement

See amendment 327.

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Mr Dominic Grieve
 Sir Alan Duncan
 Mr George Howarth
 Fiona Mactaggart
 Angus Robertson
 Mr Keith Simpson

Ms Gisela Stuart

13

Clause 54, page 44, line 28, leave out subsection (3)(b) and insert—

- “(b) the investigation or operation concerned is one where there is an exceptional need, in the interests of national security, to keep knowledge of it to an absolute minimum,
- (ba) there is an opportunity to obtain information where—
 - (i) the opportunity is rare,
 - (ii) the time to act is short, and
 - (iii) the need to obtain the information is significant and in the interests of national security, or”

Member’s explanatory statement

On behalf of the Intelligence and Security Committee of Parliament, to amend the Bill to ensure that the exceptional national security-related circumstances under which there does not need to be a separation between those requesting and those authorising requests for communications data, is narrowly drawn.

Andy Burnham
 Keir Starmer
 Lyn Brown
 Jack Dromey
 Sarah Champion
 Sue Hayman

293

Clause 54, page 45, line 13, at end insert—

- “(7) For the avoidance of doubt, an internet connection record does not include the content of any communication.”

Member’s explanatory statement

An amendment to clarify the description of internet connection records.

Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless
 Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

327

Clause 55, page 45, line 16, leave out paragraph (a)

Member’s explanatory statement

These amendments provide that in order to access communications data, a relevant public authority must seek a warrant from a Judicial Commissioner rather than undertake a system of

Investigatory Powers Bill, continued

internal authorisation. These amendments would require that there is reasonable suspicion of serious crime for a warrant authorising communications data acquisition.

- Mr Alistair Carmichael 4
- Page 46, line 40, leave out Clause 58
- Stephen McPartland 164
Mr Steve Baker
- Clause 58, page 46, line 41, leave out “maintain”
Member’s explanatory statement
See amendment 163.
- Stephen McPartland 165
Mr Steve Baker
- Clause 58, page 46, line 41, leave out “operate”
Member’s explanatory statement
See amendment 163.
- Stephen McPartland 166
Mr Steve Baker
- Clause 58, page 47, line 1, after “officer” insert “in exceptional circumstances”
Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment restricts the use of the filter to exceptional circumstances. This will ensure that the use of the filter does not become routine practice or the default mechanism for obtaining communications data.
- Stephen McPartland 161
Mr Steve Baker
- Clause 58, page 47, line 7, leave out “arrangements” and insert “regulations”
Member’s explanatory statement
See amendment 163.
- Stephen McPartland 167
Mr Steve Baker
- Clause 58, page 47, line 18, at end insert—
“(c) obtaining the approval of a Judicial Commissioner to the filtering regulations in the same way as if the data was to be obtained by a targeted interception warrant as set out in this Act.”
Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment requires use of the filtering arrangements to obtain data to be approved by a Judicial Commissioner. Filtering requires higher authorisation standard, as it has much greater powers to detect across many datasets and with high efficiency, being more akin to bulk acquisition than to individual requests for data.

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Stephen McPartland
Mr Steve Baker

168

Clause 58, page 47, line 19, leave out subsection 3

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment stops the user of the filter for general purposes - such as support, maintenance, oversight, operation or administration of the arrangements - not directly related to the core investigative functions of public bodies. It also removes the use of the filter to support the general oversight functions of the Investigatory Powers Commission.

Stephen McPartland
Mr Steve Baker

162

Clause 58, page 47, line 19, leave out “arrangements” and insert “regulations”

Member's explanatory statement

See amendment 163.

Stephen McPartland
Mr Steve Baker

163

Clause 58, page 47, line 27, leave out “arrangements” and insert “regulations”

Member's explanatory statement

These amendments would make the filtering arrangements to be governed by a statutory instrument subject to all normal transparency and processes of judicial review.

Stephen McPartland
Mr Steve Baker

169

Clause 58, page 47, line 32, leave out “must consult” and insert “shall obtain the prior approval of”

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment creates a duty to obtain prior approval from the Commissioner for the filtering system. By asking the Commissioner for prior approval of any plans, the assessment of necessity and proportionality would be much more likely to be robust. Any abuse and expansion of scope and abilities of data mining would be more likely to be restrained. The Commissioner would also have the ability to ensure that requirements they might seek are properly considered at the start.

Stephen McPartland
Mr Steve Baker

170

Clause 58, page 47, line 35, at end insert—

“(5A) Nothing in this section shall be used in respect of information which can be reasonably obtained by any other means under this Act.

(5B) Nothing in this section shall be used for the bulk collection of information.

(5C) The powers under this section shall only be used by the Secretary of State when no other power under this Act or other statute can achieve the same objective.”

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment restricts the use of the filter to those purposes the government has put forward. Given the lack of clarity on what the filtering arrangements are and whether they will become the normal way to acquire communications data of any type, this amendment seeks to restrain the power so that it is used as narrowly as possible.

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Stephen McPartland
Mr Steve Baker

171

Clause 58, page 47, line 35, at end insert—

“(5A) The Secretary of State shall at least once a year make a report to Parliament detailing the filtering arrangements made under this clause.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would require the Secretary of State to make an annual report to Parliament explaining what the filtering arrangements consisted of and were being used for. This would improve public scrutiny and reinforce the provision in clause 58(4).

Mr Alistair Carmichael

5

Page 47, line 36, leave out Clause 59

Mr Alistair Carmichael

6

Page 48, line 16, leave out Clause 60

Stephen McPartland
Mr Steve Baker

172

Clause 60, page 49, line 29, at end insert—

“(10) All filtering arrangements under this Act shall not endure more than six months.

(11) The Secretary of State shall not use any power under Part 3 of this Act unless such power cannot be exercised under any other statutory provision.

(12) The Secretary of State shall ensure that the filtering arrangements are always used exceptionally and with regard to privacy rights.

(13) The Secretary of State shall from time to time consider the proportionality and necessity of all filtering arrangements in place.

(14) The Secretary of State shall terminate any filtering arrangements which are not proportionate or necessary.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment requires filtering arrangements to be renewed every six months; makes them a power of last resort; requires assessment of necessity and proportionality; requires termination of arrangements which are not truly needed.

Secretary Theresa May

49

Clause 68, page 54, line 10, leave out “made an order under this section approving” and insert “approved”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment removes the need for a Judicial Commissioner to make an order when approving an authorisation under Part 3 to identify or confirm journalistic sources.

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Secretary Theresa May

50

Clause 68, page 54, line 12, leave out “an order under this section approving” and insert “approval of”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment is consequential on amendment 49.

Ms Harriet Harman

Fiona Bruce

Ms Karen Buck

Jeremy Lefroy

Amanda Solloway

Mark Pritchard

Joanna Cherry

Keir Starmer

Sarah Champion

Gavin Newlands

Lyn Brown

Sue Hayman

Andy Burnham

Jack Dromey

143

Clause 68, page 54, line 14, leave out “not”

Ms Harriet Harman

Fiona Bruce

Ms Karen Buck

Jeremy Lefroy

Amanda Solloway

Mark Pritchard

Joanna Cherry

Keir Starmer

Sarah Champion

Gavin Newlands

Lyn Brown

Sue Hayman

Andy Burnham

Jack Dromey

144

Clause 68, page 54, line 15, at end insert “unless an application without such notice is required in order to avoid prejudice to the investigation.”

Ms Harriet Harman

Fiona Bruce

Ms Karen Buck

Jeremy Lefroy

Amanda Solloway

Mark Pritchard

Joanna Cherry

Keir Starmer

Sarah Champion

Gavin Newlands

Lyn Brown

Sue Hayman

Andy Burnham

Jack Dromey

145

Clause 68, page 54, line 15, at end insert—

“() Schedule 1 to the Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 shall apply to an application for an order under this section as if it were an application for an order under that Schedule.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment seeks to ensure that the same level of protection is provided for journalists’ sources under the Bill as is currently provided in PACE.

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Secretary Theresa May

51

Clause 68, page 54, line 25, at end insert—

“() In considering whether the position is as mentioned in subsection (5)(a) and (b), the Judicial Commissioner must, in particular, have regard to—

- (a) the public interest in protecting a source of journalistic information, and
- (b) the need for there to be another overriding public interest before a relevant public authority seeks to identify or confirm a source of journalistic information.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment requires a Judicial Commissioner to have regard, in particular, to the public interest in protecting a source of journalistic information when deciding whether to approve an authorisation under Part 3 to identify or confirm a journalistic source.

Secretary Theresa May

52

Clause 68, page 54, line 27, leave out “make an order quashing” and insert “quash”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment removes the need for a Judicial Commissioner to make an order when quashing an authorisation under Part 3 to identify or confirm journalistic sources where the Commissioner has refused to approve the grant of the authorisation.

Andy Burnham
Keir Starmer
Lyn Brown
Jack Dromey
Sarah Champion
Sue Hayman

Joanna Cherry
Anne McLaughlin
Margaret Ferrier

Gavin Newlands
Richard Arkless
Mr Alistair Carmichael

Stuart C. McDonald
Angela Crawley

300

Clause 73, page 58, line 33, at end insert—

“(4) In proceedings against any person for an offence under this section in respect of any disclosure, it is a defence for the person to show that the disclosure was in the public interest.”

Member’s explanatory statement

An amendment to introduce a public interest defence for disclosures regarding the obtaining of communications data.

Stephen McPartland
Mr Steve Baker

207

Page 205, line 6, leave out Schedule 4



Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

NC26

To move the following Clause—

“Retention of communications data

An operator who has not been designated as the operator of an electronic communications network or service according to section 34 of the Communications Act 2003; or whose service has fewer than 50,000 subscribers, shall not be required to comply with a retention notice under Clause 78.”

Member’s explanatory statement

The new clause excludes the providers of rural or community access communications services and small service providers from the obligation to collect and retain data, in accordance with policy statements made by the Home Office.

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

328

Clause 78, page 61, line 5, leave out “Secretary of State” and insert “Judicial Commissioner”

Member’s explanatory statement

See amendment 350.

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

329

Clause 78, page 61, line 5, after second ““notice”)” insert “on an application made by a designated senior officer at a relevant public authority”

Member’s explanatory statement

See amendment 350.

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless
 Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

330

Clause 78, page 61, line 7, leave out “Secretary of State” and insert “Judicial Commissioner”

Member’s explanatory statement

See amendment 350.

Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless
 Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

331

Clause 78, page 61, line 9, at end insert—

“(1A) A notice may be considered necessary only where there is a reasonable suspicion that a serious criminal offence has been or is likely to be committed in relation to the grounds falling within section 53(7).”

Member’s explanatory statement

See amendment 350.

Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless
 Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

332

Clause 78, page 61, line 38, leave out “Secretary of State” and insert “Judicial Commissioner”

Member’s explanatory statement

See amendment 350.

Mr Alistair Carmichael
 Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands

3

Clause 78, page 62, line 22, leave out “therefore includes, in particular” and insert “does not include”

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Andy Burnham
Keir Starmer
Lyn Brown
Jack Dromey
Sarah Champion
Sue Hayman

294

Clause 78, page 62, line 23, at end insert—

“(10) A retention notice must not require any data which is, or can only be obtained by processing, an internet connection record to be retained for any purpose other than the purpose specified in section 54(4).”

Member’s explanatory statement

An amendment to restrict the retention of internet connection records.

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

333

Clause 79, page 62, line 26, leave out “Secretary of State” and insert “Judicial Commissioner”

Member’s explanatory statement

See amendment 350.

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

334

Clause 79, page 62, line 35, leave out “Secretary of State” and insert “Judicial Commissioner”

Member’s explanatory statement

See amendment 350.

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless
 Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

336

Clause **80**, page **62**, line **40**, leave out “Secretary of State” and insert “Judicial Commissioner” on both occasions
Member’s explanatory statement
See amendment 350.

Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless
 Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

337

Clause **80**, page **63**, line **7**, leave out “Secretary of State” and insert “Judicial Commissioner”
Member’s explanatory statement
See amendment 350.

Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless
 Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

338

Clause **80**, page **63**, line **8**, leave out “Secretary of State” and insert “Judicial Commissioner”
Member’s explanatory statement
See amendment 350.

Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless
 Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

339

Clause **80**, page **63**, line **9**, leave out “Secretary of State” and insert “Judicial Commissioner”
Member’s explanatory statement
See amendment 350.

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

340

Clause 80, page 63, line 10, leave out “Secretary of State” and insert “Judicial Commissioner”

Member’s explanatory statement

See amendment 350.

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

341

Clause 80, page 63, line 19, leave out “Secretary of State” and insert “designated senior officer at a relevant public authority”

Member’s explanatory statement

See amendment 350.

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

342

Clause 80, page 63, line 24, leave out “Secretary of State” and insert “designated senior officer at a relevant public authority”

Member’s explanatory statement

See amendment 350.

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

343

Clause 80, page 63, line 25, leave out “Secretary of State” and insert “Judicial Commissioner”

Member’s explanatory statement

See amendment 350.

 Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless
 Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

470

Clause **80**, page **63**, line **31**, leave out “Secretary of State” and insert “Judicial Commissioner”
Member’s explanatory statement
See amendment 350.

Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless
 Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

471

Clause **80**, page **63**, line **33**, leave out “Secretary of State” and insert “Judicial Commissioner”
Member’s explanatory statement
See amendment 350.

Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless
 Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

344

Clause **83**, page **64**, line **13**, leave out “Secretary of State” and insert “Judicial Commissioner”
Member’s explanatory statement
See amendment 350.

Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless
 Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

345

Clause **83**, page **64**, line **14**, leave out “Secretary of State” and insert “Judicial

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Commissioner”
Member’s explanatory statement
See amendment 350.

Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless
 Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

346

Clause **83**, page **64**, line **15**, leave out “Secretary of State” and insert “Judicial Commissioner”
Member’s explanatory statement
See amendment 350.

Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless
 Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

347

Clause **83**, page **64**, line **23**, leave out “Secretary of State” and insert “Judicial Commissioner”
Member’s explanatory statement
See amendment 350.

Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless
 Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

348

Clause **83**, page **64**, line **38**, leave out “Secretary of State” and insert “Judicial Commissioner”
Member’s explanatory statement
See amendment 350.

Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless
 Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

350

Clause **83**, page **64**, line **40**, leave out “Secretary of State” and insert “Judicial

Investigatory Powers Bill, continued

Commissioner”

Member’s explanatory statement

These amendments provide that judicial authorisation is required for retention of communications data. These amendments would require that there is reasonable suspicion of serious crime for a warrant authorising retention of communications data.

Andy Burnham
Keir Starmer
Lyn Brown
Jack Dromey
Sarah Champion
Sue Hayman

Joanna Cherry
Anne McLaughlin
Margaret Ferrier

Gavin Newlands
Richard Arkless
Mr Alistair Carmichael

Stuart C. McDonald
Angela Crawley

301

Clause **84**, page **65**, line **26**, at end insert—

“(4A) Subsections (2) and (3) do not apply to a disclosure made in the public interest.”

Member’s explanatory statement

An amendment to introduce a public interest defence for disclosures regarding the retention of communications data.

Ms Harriet Harman
Fiona Bruce
Ms Karen Buck
Jeremy Lefroy
Amanda Solloway
Mark Pritchard

NC15

To move the following Clause—

“Review of operational case for bulk powers

- (1) The Secretary of State must appoint the independent reviewer of terrorism legislation to review the operational case for the bulk powers contained in Parts 6 and 7 of this Act.
- (2) The independent reviewer must, in particular, consider the justification for the powers in the Act relating to—
 - (a) bulk interception,
 - (b) bulk acquisition,
 - (c) bulk equipment interference, and
 - (d) bulk personal datasets.
- (3) The independent reviewer must, so far as reasonably practicable, complete the review before 30 November 2016.
- (4) The independent reviewer must send to the Prime Minister a report on the outcome of the review as soon as reasonably practicable after completing the review.

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

- (5) On receiving a report under subsection (4), the Prime Minister must lay a copy of it before Parliament together with a statement as to whether any matter has been excluded from that copy under subsection (6).
- (6) If it appears to the Prime Minister that the publication of any matter in a report under subsection (4) would be contrary to the public interest or prejudicial to national security, the Prime Minister may exclude the matter from the copy of the report laid before Parliament.
- (7) The Secretary of State may pay to the independent reviewer—
 - (a) expenses incurred in carrying out the functions of the independent reviewer under this section, and
 - (b) such allowances as the Secretary of State determines.
- (8) The independent reviewer shall complete further reviews on a five-yearly basis and the provisions of this section other than subsection (3) shall apply.
- (9) In this section “the independent reviewer of terrorism legislation” means the person appointed under section 36(1) of the Terrorism Act 2006 (and “independent reviewer” is to be read accordingly).”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment provides for an independent review of the operational case for the bulk powers in the Bill, and further periodic reviews, to be undertaken by the independent reviewer of terrorism legislation.

Stephen McPartland
Mr Steve Baker
Mr David Davis

NC17

To move the following Clause—

“Review of the Operation of this Act

- (1) The Secretary of State shall appoint an Independent Reviewer to prepare the first report on the operation of this Act within a period of 6 months beginning with the end of the initial period.
- (2) In subsection (1) “the initial period” is the period of 1 years and 6 months beginning with the day on which this Act is passed.
- (3) Subsequent reports will be prepared every 2 years after the first report in subsection (1).
- (4) A copy of the report is to be laid before Parliament, with provision made for a debate on the floor of both Houses and then approved by resolution of each House.”

Member’s explanatory statement

Because the Bill deals with National Security and changing technological capabilities, it should be subject to greater scrutiny by both Houses. This amendment will call for an Independent Review to take place and be approved by Parliament within 2 years of the Bill becoming law and then every two years.

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Mr David Davis
Mr Alistair Carmichael

NC22

To move the following Clause—

“Primacy of judicial commissioner’s approval

No authorisation sought for a warrant to intercept or obtain or examine primary or secondary communications data, whether targeted or in bulk, under this Act may be considered by a Minister unless it has first been approved by a Judicial Commissioner.”

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

NC25

To move the following Clause—

“Review of the Operation of this Act

- (1) The Secretary of State shall appoint an Independent Reviewer to prepare the first report on the operation of this Act within a period of 6 months beginning with the end of the initial period.
- (2) In subsection (1) “the initial period” is the period of 4 years and 6 months beginning with the passage of this Act.
- (3) Subsequent reports will be prepared every 5 years after the first report in subsection (1).
- (4) Any report prepared by the Independent Reviewer must be laid before Parliament by the Secretary of State as soon as the Secretary of State is satisfied it will not prejudice any criminal proceedings.
- (5) The Secretary of State may, out of money provided by Parliament, pay a person appointed under subsection (1), both his expenses and also such allowances as the Secretary of State determines.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This new clause provides that the review of the operation of the Act shall be carried out by an Independent Reviewer.

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Mr Alistair Carmichael

NC27

To move the following Clause—

“Protection for journalistic sources, materials and activities

- (1) Save in the exceptional circumstances identified in subsection (2), the regimes provided for by Parts 2 to 7 may not be used to access, obtain, record, hold, consider, analyse, disclose or otherwise deal with information, material or data—
 - (a) of, or concerning the activities of, journalists, or
 - (b) if the purpose of so doing is to obtain information identifying a journalistic source.
- (2) The exceptional circumstances referred to in subsection (1) are—
 - (a) the case is one of great emergency,
 - (b) immediate action is necessary, and
 - (c) the relevant investigatory powers under the regimes provided by Parts 2 to 7 can be used lawfully having regard to the provisions thereof.
- (3) In any case where the regimes provided for by Parts 2 to 7 are disapplied by subsection (1), any person who could otherwise have sought to use one of the investigatory powers specified therein may apply to a judge for an order allowing that person to access, obtain, record, hold, consider, analyse, disclose or otherwise deal with such information, material or data in a way provided for by Parts 2 to 7.
- (4) An application for an order under subsection (3) shall be made on notice to the journalist or journalists affected unless the judge determines that an application without such notice is required in order to avoid prejudice to the investigation.
- (5) Paragraphs 7 to 9 of Schedule 1 to the Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 shall apply in relation to the service of a notice of application for an order under subsection (1) as if the application were for an order under Schedule 1 of the Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984.
- (6) Criminal Procedure Rules may make provision about proceedings under this section where the judge determines that an application without such notice is required.
- (7) A judge may only make an order under subsection (3) if the person making the application has convincingly established that—
 - (a) the order is directed to one or more of the legitimate aims specified in Article 10.2 of the Convention,
 - (b) there is an overriding public interest necessitating the order,
 - (c) reasonable alternative measures to the order do not exist or have been exhausted, and
 - (d) the order is proportionate to the legitimate aim or aims being pursued.
- (8) The costs of any application under subsection (3) and of anything done or to be done in pursuance of an order as a result of the application shall be in the discretion of the judge.
- (9) In this section—
 - (a) “source” means any person who provides information to a journalist;
 - (b) “information identifying a source” includes—
 - (i) the name and personal data as well as voice and image of a source;
 - (ii) the factual circumstances of acquiring information from a source by a journalist;
 - (iii) the unpublished content of the information provided by a source to a journalist; and

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

- (iv) personal data of journalists and their employers related to their professional work;
in so far as this is likely to lead to the identification of a source.
- (c) “the Convention” means the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms; and
- (d) “judge” means a circuit judge or judge of the High Court.”

Stephen McPartland
Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless

Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Steve Baker

206

Page **172**, line **24**, leave out Clause 222
Member’s explanatory statement
See new clause 17.

Joanna Cherry
Gavin Newlands
Stuart C. McDonald
Anne McLaughlin
Richard Arkless
Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

494

Clause **223**, page **173**, line **18**, leave out paragraph (i) and insert—
“(i) is about an entity to which a telecommunications service is provided by that telecommunications operator and relates to the provision of that service.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment clarifies that the definition of communications data should apply to the providers of the relevant telecommunication services, rather than allowing an organisation to be required to provide data about services it does not provide.

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless
 Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

496

Clause 225, page 177, line 27, at end insert—

““national security” means the protection of the existence of the nation and its territorial integrity, or political independence against force or threat of force”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would provide for a definition of national security under “General definitions”, to apply throughout the Bill.

Joanna Cherry
 Gavin Newlands
 Stuart C. McDonald
 Anne McLaughlin
 Richard Arkless
 Angela Crawley

Margaret Ferrier

Mr Alistair Carmichael

495

Clause 225, page 177, line 36, at end insert—

““professional legal advisor” means a person who is—

- (a) an Advocate
- (b) a Barrister
- (c) a Solicitor.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment provides a definition of a “professional legal Adviser” which is important for clarification in relation to Clauses 25, 100, 135 and 171.

Secretary Theresa May

That clause 94 be transferred to the end of line 38 on page 76

Secretary Theresa May

That clause 117 be transferred to the end of line 36 on page 74

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

ORDER OF THE HOUSE [15 MARCH 2016]

That the following provisions shall apply to the Investigatory Powers Bill:

Committal

1. The Bill shall be committed to a Public Bill Committee.

Proceedings in Public Bill Committee

2. Proceedings in the Public Bill Committee shall (so far as not previously concluded) be brought to a conclusion on Thursday 5 May 2016.
3. The Public Bill Committee shall have leave to sit twice on the first day on which it meets.

Proceedings on Consideration and up to and including Third Reading

4. Proceedings on Consideration and up to and including Third Reading shall be taken in two days in accordance with the following provisions of this Order.
5. Proceedings on Consideration and any proceedings in legislative grand committee shall (so far as not previously concluded) be brought to a conclusion one hour before the moment of interruption on the second day.
6. Proceedings on Third Reading shall (so far as not previously concluded) be brought to a conclusion at the moment of interruption on the second day.
7. Standing Order No. 83B (Programming committees) shall not apply to proceedings on Consideration and up to and including Third Reading.

Other proceedings

8. Any other proceedings on the Bill (including any proceedings on consideration of Lords Amendments or on any further messages from the Lords) may be programmed.

ORDER OF THE HOUSE [6 JUNE 2016]

That the Order of 15 March 2016 (Investigatory Powers Bill (Programme)) in the last session of Parliament be varied as follows:

1. Paragraphs (5) and (6) of the Order shall be omitted.
2. Proceedings on Consideration shall be taken on the days and in the order shown in the first column of the following Table.
3. The proceedings shall (so far as not previously concluded) be brought to a conclusion at the times specified in the second column of the Table.

TABLE*Proceedings**Time for conclusion of proceedings**First day*

New Clauses and new Schedules relating to, and amendments to, Part 1; new Clauses and new Schedules relating to, and amendments to, Part 8

Three hours after the commencement of proceedings on the Motion for this Order

Investigatory Powers Bill, *continued*

Proceedings

Time for conclusion of proceedings

New Clauses and new Schedules relating to, and amendments to, Part 2; new Clauses and new Schedules relating to, and amendments to, Part 5; new Clauses and new Schedules relating to, and amendments to, Chapter 1 of Part 9

Six hours after the commencement of proceedings on the Motion for this Order

Second day

New Clauses and new Schedules relating to, and amendments to, Part 6; new Clauses and new Schedules relating to, and amendments to, Part 7

Three hours after the commencement of proceedings on Consideration on the second day

New Clauses and new Schedules relating to, and amendments to, Part 3; new Clauses and new Schedules relating to, and amendments to, Part 4; new Clauses and new Schedules relating to, and amendments to, Chapter 2 of Part 9; remaining proceedings on Consideration

One hour before the moment of interruption

4. Any proceedings in legislative grand committee and proceedings on Third Reading shall (so far as not previously concluded) be brought to a conclusion at the moment of interruption on the second day.

NOTICES WITHDRAWN

The following Notices were withdrawn on Thursday 2 June:

260, 263, 264, 266, 269, 271, 274, 276, 277, 279, 280, 282, 283, 285, 318, 335
