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EUROPEAN UNION (WITHDRAWAL) BILL

NOTE

This document includes all remaining amendments and includes any withdrawn amendments at the end. The amendments have been arranged in accordance with the Order of the House [11 September 2017].

The arrangement shown below is provisional and is subject to change.

NEW CLAUSES AND NEW SCHEDULES RELATING TO CLAUSE 9, CLAUSE 9, NEW CLAUSES AND NEW SCHEDULES RELATING TO CLAUSE 16 OR SCHEDULE 7, CLAUSE 16, SCHEDULE 7, CLAUSE 17

NEW CLAUSES AND NEW SCHEDULES RELATING TO CLAUSE 9, CLAUSE 9
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To move the following Clause—
“Implementing the withdrawal agreement

(1) No powers to make regulations under this Act may be used for the purposes of implementing the withdrawal agreement.

(2) The Secretary of State must lay a report before Parliament detailing how the withdrawal agreement will be implemented, including any proposed primary legislation.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause is linked to the removal of Clause 9 and paragraph 6 of Schedule 7 to require the Government to implement the withdrawal agreement through separate primary and secondary legislation rather than through this bill.
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To move the following Clause—

“Arrangements for withdrawing from the EU

Notwithstanding any powers granted under this Act, no Minister of the Crown may agree to the arrangements for the withdrawal of the United Kingdom from the European Union referred to in Article 50(2) of the Treaty on European Union until Royal Assent is granted to an Act of Parliament—

(a) authorising the Minister to agree to an exit day to be specified in the Act,

(b) authorising the Minister to agree to those arrangements that will apply after exit day, the arrangements to be specified in the Act.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause would ensure that a separate Act of Parliament would be required for Ministers to determine exit day and to set out the arrangements that will apply after exit day.
“Publication of the Withdrawal Agreement

The powers for Ministers set out in section 9 shall not come into force unless and until a final withdrawal agreement made between the United Kingdom and the European Union has been published and copies placed in the Libraries of the House of Commons and the House of Lords.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause would ensure that the wide-ranging powers for Ministers to implement the withdrawal agreement set out in Clause 9 of the Bill cannot come into force until the withdrawal agreement has been published.

“Parliamentary vote on withdrawal from European Economic Area

The requirement of this section is that each House of Parliament has passed a resolution in the following terms—

That this House supports the United Kingdom’s withdrawal from the European Economic Area.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause describes the requirement for each House of Parliament to agree to withdrawal from the European Economic Area and is linked to Amendment 128 which makes the exercise of the power to make regulations implementing the withdrawal agreement contingent on such agreement.
“Status of Irish citizens in the United Kingdom

Before making any regulations under section 9, the Minister shall commit to making available to Irish citizens lawfully resident in the United Kingdom after exit day any status, rights and entitlements available to Irish citizens before exit day, inclusive of and in addition to their status, rights and entitlements as EU citizens.”

“Provisions of the Good Friday Agreement

Before making any regulations under section 9, the Minister shall commit to maintaining the provisions of the Good Friday Agreement and subsequent Agreements agreed between the United Kingdom and Ireland since 1998, including—

(a) the free movement of people, goods and services on the island of Ireland,
(b) citizenship rights,
(c) the preservation of institutions set up relating to strands 1, 2 and 3 of the Good Friday Agreement,
(d) human rights and equality,
(e) the principle of consent,
(f) the status of the Irish language, and
(g) a Bill of Rights.”
Committee of the whole House: 13 December 2017
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To move the following Clause—

“Parliamentary approval for the outcome of negotiations with the European Union

No exit day may be appointed under this Act until the terms of the United Kingdom’s withdrawal from the European Union, including leaving the EU without an agreement, have been approved by both Houses of Parliament.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause is intended to establish that Parliament has a meaningful vote on the terms of Britain’s withdrawal from the European Union.
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To move the following Clause—

“Terms of withdrawal: approval by Parliament

(1) The Government shall not conclude any agreement on terms of withdrawal from the European Union, or on the UK’s future relationship with the European Union, until those terms have been approved by resolution in both Houses of Parliament.

(2) Approval by resolution of both Houses of Parliament must be sought no later than three months before exit day.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause would require the Government to seek Parliamentary approval for its exit agreement with the EU at least three months before exit day.
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To move the following Clause—

“United Kingdom withdrawal from the EU

(1) Subsection (2) applies if either of the conditions in subsection (3) or (4) is met.
(2) The Prime Minister must seek an agreement with the EU on one or more of the following—
   (a) extending the negotiations beyond the two-year period specified in Article 50 of the Treaty on European Union; or
   (b) agreeing that negotiations over the final terms of the United Kingdom’s withdrawal from the EU may take place during a negotiated transitional arrangement which broadly reflect current arrangements and which begins immediately after the Article 50 notice period expires and the EU treaties cease to apply to the UK; or
   (c) any other course of action in relation to the negotiations (with the EU over the withdrawal of the United Kingdom) which has been approved in accordance with this section by a resolution of the House of Commons.

(3) The condition in this subsection is that no Article 50 withdrawal agreement has been reached between the United Kingdom and the EU by 31 October 2018.

(4) The condition in this subsection is that an Article 50 withdrawal agreement has been reached between the United Kingdom and the EU but the proposed terms of withdrawal have not been approved by resolutions of both Houses of Parliament by 28 February 2019.

(5) Nothing in this section may be amended by regulations made under any provision of this Act.”

Member’s explanatory statement

The intention of this new clause, which could be amended only by primary legislation, is to specify the actions that should be taken if the Government does not secure a withdrawal agreement by 31 Oct 2018 or that Parliament does not approve a withdrawal agreement by 28 February 2019.
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To move the following Clause—

"Implementing the withdrawal agreement (No. 2)"

(1) No powers to make regulations under this Act may be used for the purposes of implementing the withdrawal agreement.

(2) The Secretary of State must lay a report before Parliament detailing how implementing the withdrawal agreement will be achieved through primary legislation.

(3) For the purposes of subsection (1) and (2), “implementing the withdrawal agreement” may include any necessary provision for a transitional period after the exit day appointed for section 1 of this Act.

(4) For the purposes of subsection (1) and (2), “implementing the withdrawal agreement” must include any necessary provision to ensure that any citizens of any EU Member State who are lawfully resident in the UK on any day before exit day can continue to be lawfully resident after exit day on terms no less favorable than they currently enjoy.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause is intended to ensure that primary legislation is used to implement the withdrawal agreement, including maintaining EU citizens’ rights.

---
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Clause 9, page 6, line 43, leave out “appropriate” and insert “necessary”
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Clause 9, page 6, line 45, at end insert “, subject to the prior enactment of a statute by Parliament approving the final terms of withdrawal of the United Kingdom from the European Union.”

Member’s explanatory statement

To require the final deal with the EU to be approved by statute passed by Parliament.

Hywel Williams
Liz Saville Roberts
Jonathan Edwards
Ben Lake

Clause 9, page 6, line 45, at end insert “, subject to—

(a) the prior enactment of a statute by Parliament, and
(b) an affirmative resolution passed by the National Assembly for Wales, the Scottish Parliament and the Northern Ireland Assembly, approving the final terms of withdrawal of the United Kingdom from the European Union.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would require the final deal with the EU to be approved by statute passed by both Parliament and by the devolved administrations.

Stephen Kinnock
Hywel Williams

Clause 9, page 6, line 45, at end insert—

“( ) But the power in subsection (1) may not be exercised to make provision for Wales to the extent that that provision would be within the devolved competence of the Welsh Ministers for the purposes of Part 2 of Schedule 2.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would prevent a Minister of the Crown from making provision to implement the withdrawal agreement to the extent that the provision would be within the devolved competence of the Welsh Ministers.

Caroline Lucas
Tulip Siddiq

Clause 9, page 7, line 1, leave out subsection (2).

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment seeks to restrict the delegated powers granted to Ministers by Clause 9.
Clause 9, page 7, line 2, leave out “(including modifying this Act)” and insert “except modifying this Act, the Parliament Acts 1911 and 1949 and any Act granted Royal Assent in the session of Parliament in which this Act is passed”

Member’s explanatory statement

This removes the power of Ministers to amend this Act, the Parliament Acts and any Act granted assent in this session of Parliament. It is necessary so as to safeguard the constitutional provisions in the Parliament Acts, such as the provision that a Parliament cannot last more than five years and the relative powers of the House of Lords.

Clause 9, page 7, line 2, leave out ‘(including modifying this Act)’ and insert ‘, apart from amending or modifying this Act’

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would remove the proposed capacity of Ministers in Clause 9 to modify and amend the Act itself via delegated powers.
Clause 9, page 7, line 2, leave out “including” and insert “but not”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would prevent the Ministerial order making powers in Clause 9 being used to modify the European Union (Withdrawal) Act itself.

Clause 9, page 7, line 2, at end insert—

“( ) Regulations under this section may, notwithstanding sections 1 and 5(1), make provision to replicate, for such an implementation period as is provided for in the withdrawal agreement, any aspect of the operation of EU law in the United Kingdom.”

Member’s explanatory statement

The amendment would make clear that aspects of EU membership, such as the automatic effect of EU law and enforcement and adjudication mechanisms, can be maintained for an implementation period if the Government agrees to do so as part of the withdrawal agreement.

Clause 9, page 7, line 5, at end insert—

“(bc) amend or repeal the Northern Ireland Act 1998 (except with the intention of preserving the effects of the Belfast Agreement of 10 April 1998 after exit day)”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment is intended to maintain the provisions of the Good Friday Agreement after the UK leaves the EU.
Clause 9, page 7, line 6, leave out “or”

*Member’s explanatory statement*

This amendment is preparatory to Amendment 370.

---

Clause 9, page 7, line 7, after “revoke”, insert “, or otherwise modify the effect of,”

*Member’s explanatory statement*

This amendment would ensure that the restriction in this paragraph could not be undermined by the use of legislation which does not amend the text of the Human Rights Act but modifies its effect.

---

Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—

“(e) make any provision, unless the Minister considers that the conditions in subsection (3B) where relevant are satisfied in relation to that provision.  

(3A) Those conditions are that—  

(a) the policy objective intended to be secured by the provision could not be secured by non-legislative means;  

(b) the effect of the provision is proportionate to the policy objective;
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(c) the provision, taken as a whole, strikes a fair balance between the public interest and the interests of any person adversely affected by it;
(d) the provision does not remove any necessary protection;
(e) the provision does not prevent any person from continuing to exercise any right or freedom which that person might reasonably expect to continue to exercise;
(f) the provision is not of constitutional significance"

Jeremy Corbyn
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Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—
“(e) remove or reduce any protections currently conferred upon individuals, groups or the natural environment,
(f) prevent any person from continuing to exercise a right that they can currently exercise,
(g) amend, repeal or revoke the Equality Act 2010 or any subordinate legislation made under that Act.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would prevent the Government’s using delegated powers under Clause 9 to reduce rights or protections.
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Mary Creagh
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Catherine McKinnell  Stella Creasy  Ms Karen Buck
Ms Angela Eagle  Ian Murray  Stephen Doughty
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Tom Brake  Sir Vince Cable  Jo Swinson
Sir Edward Davey  Stephen Kinnock  Ann Coffey
Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—
“(e) limit the scope or weaken standards of environmental protection.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This Amendment ensures that the power to make regulations in Clause 8 may not be exercised to reduce environmental protection.

Caroline Lucas
Mr David Lammy
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Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—
“(e) amend, repeal or revoke any legal right derived from EU law and operative in UK law immediately before 30 March 2019.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment seeks to prevent the delegated powers granted to Ministers by Clause 9 being used to weaken or abolish existing EU-derived legal rights, such as those on workers’ rights, equality, and environmental protection.
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Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—
“(e) remove, reduce or otherwise amend the rights of any citizen of an EU Member State who was lawfully resident in the UK on any day before 30 March 2019.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment seeks to protect the existing rights of EU citizens living in the UK.
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Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—
“(e) make any provision which is not compliant with the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**
This amendment would seek to bar Ministers from making regulations under Clause 9 which are not compliant with the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child.
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Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—
“(e) amend, repeal or revoke the Equality Act 2010 or any subordinate legislation made under it.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**
This amendment would prevent the powers in Clause 9 being used to amend Equality Act 2010 legislation.
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Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—
“(e) remove, reduce or otherwise limit the rights of EU citizens resident in the UK.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**
This amendment would prevent the powers in Clause 9 being used to remove, reduce or otherwise limit the rights of EU citizens resident in the UK.
Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—
“(e) make provision which, in the opinion of the Minister, could pose a threat to national security.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would prevent the powers in Clause 9 being used to make provision which could pose a threat to national security.

Stephen Kinnock
Hywel Williams

Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert “, or
(e) modify the Government of Wales Act 2006.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would prevent the Government of Wales Act 2006 from being amended by regulations under Clause 9.
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Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert “, or
(e) amend, repeal or revoke, or otherwise modify the effect of, any other law relating to equality or human rights.”.

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would broaden the restriction in this subsection to protect all legislation relating to equality and human rights (and not only the Human Rights Act 1998).
Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—

“(3A) No regulations may be made under this section unless the terms of the withdrawal agreement have been approved by both Houses of Parliament.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment makes the exercise of the power to make regulations implementing the withdrawal agreement contingent on the requirement for separate agreement on withdrawal from the European Economic Area of NC29.

Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—

“(3A) No regulations may be made under this section until the requirement of section (Parliamentary vote on withdrawal from European Economic Area) have been met.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment makes the exercise of the power to make regulations implementing the withdrawal agreement contingent on the requirement for separate agreement on withdrawal from the European Economic Area of NC29.

Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—

“(3A) The consent of the Scottish Ministers is required before any provision is made in regulations under this section that modifies the Scotland Act 1998.

(3B) The consent of the Welsh Ministers is required before any provision is made in regulations under this section that modifies the Government of Wales Act 2006.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would prevent a Minister of the Crown from using the power to make regulations under Clause 9 implementing any withdrawal agreement to change the devolution settlements for Scotland and Wales without the consent of the Scottish Ministers or Welsh Ministers.
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Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—

“(3A) No regulations may be made under this section until a Minister of the Crown has submitted a formal request to the President of the European Council that the UK should continue to be a member of the European Union’s Political and Security Committee after exit day.”
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Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—

“(3A) No regulations may be made under this section until a Minister of the Crown has submitted a formal request to the President of the European Council that the UK should continue to be a signatory to all agreements signed through the European Union’s Common Foreign and Security Policy.”
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Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—

“(3A) No regulations may be made under this section until a Minister of the Crown has submitted a formal request to the President of the European Council that the UK should continue to be a member of the European Union’s Foreign Affairs Council.”
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Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—

“(3A) No regulations may be made under this section until a Minister of the Crown has submitted a formal request to the President of the European Council that the UK should continue to be a member of the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development.”
Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—
“(3A) No regulations may be made under this section until the Chancellor of the Exchequer has laid before Parliament an assessment of the impact of the UK leaving the EU single market on the forecast to the UK’s public finances.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require publication of a Government assessment of the impact of the United Kingdom exiting the EU single market on the forecast to the UK’s public finances, before any regulations are made under section 9.

Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—
“(3A) No regulations may be made under this section until the Chancellor of the Exchequer has laid before Parliament an assessment of the impact of exiting the EU single market on levels of GDP growth.

(3B) Any assessment under subsection (3A) shall set out an assessment of the impact of exiting the EU single market on levels of GDP growth in—

(a) Scotland,

(b) Northern Ireland,

(c) England, and

(d) Wales.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require publication of a Government assessment of the impact of the United Kingdom exiting the EU single market on levels of GDP growth in the UK and in each part of the UK, before any regulations are made under section 9.

Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—
“(3A) No regulations may be made under this section until the Chancellor of the Exchequer has laid before Parliament an assessment of the impact of ending freedom of movement on the UK’s public finances.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require publication of a Government assessment of the impact of the United Kingdom ending freedom of movement on the UK’s public finances, before any regulations are made under section 9.
Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—

“(3A) No regulations may be made under this section until the Chancellor of the Exchequer has laid before Parliament an assessment of the broadened responsibilities of the UK Treasury following the UK’s withdrawal from the EU.”

*Member’s explanatory statement*

This amendment would require publication of a Government assessment of the broadened responsibilities of the UK Treasury following the UK’s withdrawal from the EU, before any regulations are made under section 9.
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Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—

“(3A) No regulations may be made under this section until—

(a) the Government has laid before Parliament a strategy for maintaining those protections, safeguards, programmes for participation in nuclear research and development, and trading or other arrangements which will lapse as a result of the UK’s withdrawal from membership of, and participation in, the European Atomic Energy Community (Euratom), and

(b) the strategy has been approved by both Houses of Parliament.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would prevent the Government using any delegated powers under Clause 9 until it has secured Parliamentary approval for its proposals to replace any provisions that cease to apply as a result of the UK’s withdrawal from membership of Euratom.

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert “or until the withdrawal agreement has been published and legislation proposed in the 2017 Gracious Speech in relation to customs, trade, immigration, fisheries, agriculture, nuclear safeguards and international sanctions has been published.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would ensure that powers to Ministers to make regulations implementing the withdrawal agreement cannot be exercised until such time as the withdrawal agreement has been published along with the publication of associated legislative proposals on customs, trade, immigration, fisheries, agriculture, nuclear safeguards and international sanctions.
Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—

“(5) Regulations under this section will lapse two years after exit day.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

Although the power conferred by this clause lapses on exit day, there is no sunset clause for the statutory instruments provided under it. This would make all such statutory instruments lapse two years after exit day and require the Government to introduce primary legislation if it wanted to keep them in force.

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—

“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has signed an agreement with the EU guaranteeing that the UK will remain a permanent member of the EU Single Market.”

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—

“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has signed an agreement with the EU guaranteeing that the UK will remain a permanent member of the EU Customs Union.”
Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—

“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until such time as the terms of the withdrawal agreement have been approved by a Ratification Referendum, giving voters the options of supporting the terms of the withdrawal agreement, or remaining in the EU.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment seeks to ensure that Ministers cannot make and use secondary legislation for the purposes of implementing the withdrawal agreement until such time as that agreement has been approved by a Ratification Referendum.

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—

“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until such time as the Government has signed an agreement with the EU that maintains and guarantees the existing rights of EU citizens living in the UK, and UK citizens living elsewhere in the EU, as of 29 March 2019.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment seeks to protect the existing rights of both EU citizens living in the UK, and UK citizens living elsewhere in the EU.

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—

“(5) No regulations may be made under this section unless the requirement in section [Status of Irish citizens in the United Kingdom] has been satisfied.”

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—

“(5) No regulations may be made under this section unless the requirement in section [Provisions of the Good Friday Agreement] has been satisfied.”
Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—

“(5) The consent of the Scottish Ministers is required before any provision is made in regulations under this section so far as the provision would be within the devolved competence of the Scottish Ministers within the meaning given in paragraph 18 of Schedule 2.

(6) The consent of the Welsh Ministers is required before any provision is made in regulations under this section so far as the provision would be within the devolved competence of the Welsh Ministers within the meaning given in paragraph 19 of Schedule 2.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would require a Minister of the Crown to first seek the consent of the Scottish Ministers or the Welsh Ministers before making any regulations under Clause 9 on Scottish or Welsh devolved matters.

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would require the Secretary of State to publish a strategy to retain access to the EU’s Emissions Trading System markets after withdrawal.

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would require the Secretary of State to set out a strategy for the UK to continue participation in the North Seas Countries’ Offshore Grid Initiative after withdrawal from the EU.”
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Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Chancellor of the Exchequer has published a statement setting out a strategy for retaining access to the European Investment Bank.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require the Government to publish a strategy for retaining access to the European Investment Bank.

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Chancellor of the Exchequer has published a statement setting out a strategy for retaining membership of the European Investment Fund.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require the Government to publish a strategy for retaining access to the European Investment Fund.

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid a report before Parliament setting out a strategy for seeking the maintenance of UK membership of the European Food Safety Authority on existing terms after withdrawal from the EU.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require the Government to publish a strategy for continuing to be a member of the European Food Safety Authority.

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid a report before Parliament setting out a strategy for seeking the preservation
of reciprocal healthcare agreements on existing terms as under social security
coordination regulations 883/2004 and 987/2009 after the UK’s withdrawal from
the EU.

(6) Any changes to regulations in subsection (5) shall only be made after—
   (a) the House of Commons has passed a resolution approving changes to
       regulations mentioned in subsection (5),
   (b) the Scottish Parliament has passed a resolution approving changes to
       regulations mentioned in subsection (5),
   (c) the National Assembly of Wales has passed a resolution approving
       changes to regulations mentioned in subsection (5), and
   (d) the Northern Ireland Assembly has passed a resolution approving
       changes to regulations mentioned in subsection (5).”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require the Secretary of State to publish a strategy for seeking to ensure
that reciprocal healthcare arrangements continue after the UK leaves the EU.

Dr Philippa Whitford
Ian Blackford
Patrick Grady
Deidre Brock
Wera Hobhouse
Martyn Day

Douglas Chapman

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has
laid a report before Parliament setting out a strategy for seeking to maintain UK
membership of the European Medicines Agency on existing terms after
withdrawal from the EU.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require the Government to publish a strategy for continuing to be a
member of the European Medicines Agency.

Patrick Grady
Chris Stephens
Deidre Brock
Ronnie Cowan
Dr Philippa Whitford
Wera Hobhouse

Martyn Day

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has
laid a report before Parliament setting out a strategy for seeking to maintain UK
membership of the European Agency for Safety and Health at Work after
withdrawal from the EU.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require the Government to publish a strategy for continuing to be a
member of the European Agency for Safety and Health at Work.
Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid a report before Parliament setting out a strategy for seeking to maintain UK membership of the European Chemicals Agency after withdrawal from the EU.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require the Government to publish a strategy for continuing to be a member of the European Chemicals Agency.

Alan Brown
Hannah Bardell
Patrick Grady
Deidre Brock
Dr Philippa Whitford
Wera Hobhouse
Martyn Day
Douglas Chapman

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid a report before Parliament setting out a strategy for seeking to maintain UK membership of the European Single Sky Agreement on existing terms after withdrawal from the EU.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require the Government to publish a strategy for continuing to be a member of the European Single Sky Agreement.

Alan Brown
Hannah Bardell
Patrick Grady
Deidre Brock
Dr Philippa Whitford
Wera Hobhouse
Martyn Day
Douglas Chapman

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid a report before Parliament setting out a strategy for seeking to maintain UK membership of the European Aviation Safety Agency on existing terms after withdrawal from the EU.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require the Government to set out a strategy for seeking to ensure that the UK continues to be a member of the European Aviation Safety Agency after withdrawal from the EU.
Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—

“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid a report before Parliament setting out a strategy for seeking to retain UK membership of the European Maritime Safety Agency on existing terms after withdrawal from the EU.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would require the Secretary of State to set out a strategy for seeking to ensure that the UK continues to be a member of the European Maritime Safety Agency after withdrawal from the EU.

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—

“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid a report before Parliament setting out a strategy for seeking to ensure that the UK continued to be a member of the ERASMUS scheme after withdrawal from the EU.

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would require the Secretary of State to set out a strategy for seeking to ensure that the UK continued to be a member of the ERASMUS scheme after withdrawal from the EU.
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access for the UK to reciprocal roaming charge agreements on existing terms as under Regulation 2017/920, after withdrawal from the EU.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would seek to ensure that roaming charges do not come into effect after exit day for UK citizens in the EU and vice versa.

Brendan O’Hara
Patrick Grady
Deidre Brock
Carol Monaghan
Dr Philippa Whitford
Wera Hobhouse

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid a report before Parliament setting out a strategy for seeking to retain UK membership of Creative Europe on existing terms after withdrawal from the EU.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require the Secretary of State to set out a strategy for seeking to ensure that the UK continued to be a member of Creative Europe after withdrawal from the EU.

Ian Blackford
Patrick Grady
Stuart C. McDonald
Joanna Cherry
Peter Grant
Dr Philippa Whitford

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has made a formal request to President of the European Council that the UK continues membership of the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights after withdrawal from the EU.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require the UK to make a request to the President of the European Council for continued UK membership of the European Agency for Fundamental Rights after withdrawal from the EU.

Ian Blackford
Patrick Grady
Stuart C. McDonald
Joanna Cherry
Martyn Day
Douglas Chapman

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has published a strategy for reaching an agreement with the EU to enable the UK to
have continued access to Passenger Name Records after withdrawal from the EU.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require the Secretary of State to set out a strategy for seeking to ensure that the UK continued to have access to Passenger Name Records after withdrawal from the EU.

Ian Blackford
Patrick Grady
Stuart C. McDonald
Joanna Cherry
Martyn Day
Douglas Chapman

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid before Parliament a strategy for reaching agreement with the EU to enable the UK to continue to have access to the Schengen Information System after withdrawal from the EU.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require the Secretary of State to set out a strategy for reaching agreement with the EU to enable the UK to continue to have access to the Schengen Information System after withdrawal from the EU.

Ian Blackford
Patrick Grady
Stuart C. McDonald
Joanna Cherry
Martyn Day
Douglas Chapman

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid before Parliament a strategy for reaching agreement with the EU to enable the UK to continue to have membership of EUROPOL.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require the Secretary of State to set out a strategy for reaching agreement with the EU to enable the UK to continue to have membership of EUROPOL after withdrawal from the EU.
Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—

“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid before Parliament a strategy for reaching agreement with the EU to enable the UK to continue to have membership of EUROJUST.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would require the Secretary of State to set out a strategy for reaching agreement with the EU to enable the UK to continue to have membership of EUROJUST after withdrawal from the EU.

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—

“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid before Parliament a strategy for reaching agreement with the EU to enable the UK to continue to have access to the European Criminal Records Information system with the EU.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would require the Secretary of State to set out a strategy for reaching agreement with the EU to enable the UK to continue to have access to the European Criminal Records Information system with the EU after withdrawal from the EU.

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—

“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid before Parliament a strategy for reaching agreement with the EU to enable the UK to continue to have access to the Prüm Council decisions relating to fingerprint and DNA exchange with the EU.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would require the Secretary of State to set out a strategy for reaching agreement with the EU to enable the UK to continue to have access to the Prüm Council decisions relating to fingerprint and DNA exchange with the EU, after withdrawal from the EU.
Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid before Parliament a strategy for reaching agreement with the EU to enable the UK to continue to have access to the False and Authentic Documents Online (“FADO”) internet-based image archiving system.”

Member's explanatory statement
This amendment would require the Secretary of State to set out a strategy for reaching agreement with the EU to enable the UK to continue to have access to the False and Authentic Documents Online (“FADO”) internet-based image archiving system after withdrawal from the EU.

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid before Parliament a strategy for reaching agreement with the EU to enable the UK to continue to participate in the Convention on Mutual Assistance and Cooperation between Customs Administrations of 1997 (“Naples II Convention”).”

Member's explanatory statement
This amendment would require the Secretary of State to set out a strategy for reaching agreement with the EU to enable the UK to continue to participate in the Convention on Mutual Assistance and Cooperation between Customs Administrations of 1997 (“Naples II Convention”), after withdrawal from the EU.

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid before Parliament a strategy for reaching agreement with the EU to enable the UK to continue to have access to the EU Intelligence Analysis Centre.”

Member's explanatory statement
This amendment would require the Secretary of State to set out a strategy for reaching agreement with the EU to enable the UK to continue to have access to the EU Intelligence Analysis Centre after withdrawal from the EU.
Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—

“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid a report before both Houses of Parliament setting out a strategy for ensuring that lawyers registered to practise in England, Wales, Northern Ireland and Scotland shall not lose their right of audience at the European Court after the UK’s withdrawal from the EU.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would require the Secretary of State to set out a strategy for reaching agreement with the EU to enable British-registered lawyers to continue to appear before the Court of Justice of the European Union, after withdrawal from the EU.

---

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—

“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid before both Houses of Parliament an agreement with the Scottish Government for the freedom of movement of EU citizens in Scotland to continue after exit day.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would facilitate the continuance of free movement in and out of Scotland after exit day.

---

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—

“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid before both Houses of Parliament an agreement with the Scottish Government for the freedom of movement of EU citizens in Scotland to continue after exit day.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would facilitate the continuance of free movement in and out of Scotland after exit day.
Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid a report before both Houses of Parliament setting out a strategy for continued participation by the United Kingdom in the common European Asylum System.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**
This amendment would require the Secretary of State to set out a strategy for continued participation by the United Kingdom in the common European Asylum System, after withdrawal from the EU.

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid before Parliament a strategy for a food standards framework after withdrawal from the EU.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**
This amendment would require the Secretary of State to set out a strategy for a food standards framework after withdrawal from the EU, before making any regulations implementing the withdrawal agreement.

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid a report before Parliament setting out plans that seek to secure continued clinical trials agreements as under EU Regulation 536/2014 after the UK’s withdrawal from the EU.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**
This amendment would ensure harmonisation of clinical trials across the EU Member States will continue in the UK after the UK leaves the EU.
Jeremy Corbyn
Mr Nicholas Brown
Keir Starmer
Jenny Chapman
Matthew Pennycook
Paul Blomfield

Valerie Vaz	Yvette Cooper	Ms Harriet Harman
Norman Lamb	Mr Chris Leslie	Caroline Lucas
Mary Creagh	Rachel Reeves	Paul Farrelly
Mr David Lammy	Ian Murray	Catherine McKinnell
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Page 6, line 41, leave out Clause 9

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment would ensure that the withdrawal agreement is implemented through primary legislation.

NEW CLAUSES AND NEW SCHEDULES RELATING TO CLAUSE 16 OR SCHEDULE 7,
CLAUSE 16, SCHEDULE 7, CLAUSE 17

Jeremy Corbyn
Mr Nicholas Brown
Keir Starmer
Jenny Chapman
Matthew Pennycook
Paul Blomfield

Valerie Vaz	Paul Farrelly	Mr David Lammy
Ian Murray	Catherine McKinnell	Helen Hayes
Stephen Kinnock	Ann Coffey	Seema Malhotra
Chris Bryant	Mike Gapes	Hugh Gaffney
Martin Whitfield	Vernon Coaker	Tulip Siddiq
Stephen Timms	Ms Harriet Harman	Diana Johnson
Helen Goodman	Melanie Onn

To move the following Clause—

“Scrutiny Committee

(1) For the purposes of this Act ‘a scrutiny committee’ refers to either—

(a) the House of Lords Secondary Legislation Scrutiny Committee, or

(b) a Committee of the House of Commons which is established to perform the specific functions assigned to a scrutiny committee in this Act.
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(2) The scrutiny committee referred to in subsection (1)(b) shall be chaired by a Member who is—
(a) of the same Party as the Official Opposition, and  
(b) elected by the whole House.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause establishes the principle that there shall be a Commons triage committee which works alongside the Lords Secondary Legislation Scrutiny Committee to determine the level of scrutiny each statutory instrument shall receive.

Mr Chris Leslie
Chuka Umunna
Neil Coyle
Peter Kyle
Mr Ben Bradshaw
Stephen Doughty
Mr Pat McFadden Paul Farrelly Mr David Lammy
Ian Murray Catherine McKinnell Helen Hayes
Angela Smith Tom Brake Sir Vince Cable
Jo Swinson Sir Edward Davey Stephen Kinnock
Ann Coffey Mike Gapes Layla Moran
Tulip Siddiq Martin Whitfield Stephen Timms

To move the following Clause—

“Government proposals for Parliamentary scrutiny

Within one month of Royal Assent of this Act the Leader of the House of Commons shall publish proposals for improved scrutiny of delegated legislation and regulations that result from this Act.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause would require the Government to bring forward early proposals for the House of Commons to consider as changes to Standing Orders to reflect the scrutiny required as a result of changes to regulation and delegated legislation made by this Act.
To move the following Clause—

“Consultation

The Government shall follow the principles set out in the Cabinet Office Code of Practice in respect of public consultation in advance of regulations being made under powers granted by this Act.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This new clause would commit Ministers to abiding by the existing Cabinet Office code of practice on consultations in respect of regulations to be made under the Bill.

To move the following Clause—

“Social, employment and environmental protection

Any rights, protections, liabilities, obligations, powers, remedies and procedures which exist immediately before exit day in the fields of—

(a) social and employment law, and

(b) environmental law
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will not be amended through any regulations made to deal with deficiencies or withdrawal unless approved by a resolution of each House of Parliament or by Act of Parliament”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new Clause would ensure that social, employment and environmental laws cannot be changed by the order-making powers delegated to Ministers without a vote in Parliament.

Kerry McCarthy
Caroline Lucas
Mary Creagh
Mr Ben Bradshaw
Darren Jones
Ruth Cadbury

Catherine McKinnell  Stella Creasy  Ms Karen Buck
Ms Angela Eagle  Ian Murray  Stephen Doughty
Mrs Madeleine Moon  Helen Hayes  Angela Smith
Mr David Lammy  Tom Brake  Sir Vince Cable
Jo Swinson  Sir Edward Davey  Stephen Kinnock
Ann Coffey  Mike Gapes  Layla Moran
Tulip Siddiq  Martin Whitfield  Paul Farrelly
Tim Farron
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To move the following Clause—

“Scrutiny of statutory instruments

(1) A Parliamentary Committee shall determine the form and duration of parliamentary and public scrutiny for every statutory instrument proposed to be made under this Act.

(2) Where the relevant Committee decides that the statutory instrument will be subject to enhanced parliamentary scrutiny the Committee shall have the power—

(a) to require a draft of the proposed statutory instrument be laid before Parliament;

(b) to require the relevant Minister to provide further evidence or explanation as to the purpose and necessity of the proposed instrument;

(c) to make recommendations to the relevant Minister in relation to the text of the draft statutory instrument;

(d) to recommend to the House that “no further proceedings be taken” in relation to the draft statutory instrument.

(3) Where an instrument is subject to enhanced scrutiny, the relevant Minister must have regard to any recommendations made by the Parliamentary Committee pursuant to subparagraph (c) above before laying a revised draft instrument before each House of Parliament.

(4) Where an instrument is subject to public consultation, the relevant Minister must have regard to the results of the consultation before laying a revised draft instrument before each House of Parliament or making a Written Statement explaining why no revision is necessary.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause seeks to ensure that a Parliamentary Committee rather than ministers should decide what is the appropriate level of scrutiny for regulations made under the Act and that the
Parliamentary Committee has the power to require enhanced scrutiny in relation to regulations that it considers to be particularly significant or contentious.

To move the following Clause—

“Citizens’ Jury on Brexit Negotiations

(1) A citizens’ jury shall be established to enable UK citizens to be consulted on the progress of negotiations between the UK and the EU on the withdrawal of the UK from the EU, and the approach outlined in UK Government White Papers.

(2) The citizens’ jury shall in total be composed of exactly 1501 persons.

(3) Members of the citizens’ jury shall be randomly selected by means of eligibility from UK citizens on the current electoral register as registered on the date of this Act receiving Royal Assent, with allocation across the nine UK Government Regions, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland weighted by population, and a stratification plan, with the aim of securing a group of people who are broadly representative demographically of the UK electorate across characteristics including whether they voted Leave or Remain.

(4) The jury will be broken down into individual sittings for each of the nine UK Government Regions in England, as well as Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland.

(5) The sittings will be for no more than 72 hours at a time, facilitated by independent facilitators, and if required, by electing fore-people from within their number.

(6) Membership of the jury will be subject to the same regulations and exceptions as a regular jury, but membership can be declined without penalty.

(7) The citizens’ jury will be able to require Ministerial and official representatives of the UK Government and the Devolved Administrations to give testimony to them to inform their work, and to have the power to invite other witnesses to give evidence as required.

(8) The citizens’ jury shall publish reports setting out their conclusions on the negotiations and UK Government White Papers.

(9) The first report from the citizens’ jury shall be published within two months of this Act receiving Royal Assent, and subsequent reports shall be published at intervals of no more than two months.

(10) Costs incurred by the citizens’ jury shall be met by the Exchequer.”
Schedule 7, page 39, line 13, leave out sub-paragraphs (1) to (3) and insert—

“(1) If a Minister considers it appropriate to proceed with the making of regulations under section 7, the Minister shall lay before Parliament—
(a) draft regulations,
(b) an explanatory document and
(c) a declaration under sub-paragraph (3).

(2) The explanatory document must—
(a) introduce and explain the amendment made to retained EU law by each proposed regulation, and
(b) set out the reason why each such amendment is necessary (or, in the case where the Minister is unable to make a statement of necessity under sub-paragraph (3)(a), the reason why each such amendment is nevertheless considered appropriate).

(3) The declaration required in sub-paragraph (1) must either—
(a) state that, in the Minister’s view, the provisions of the draft regulations do not exceed what is necessary to prevent, remedy or mitigate any deficiency in retained EU law arising from the withdrawal of the United Kingdom from the EU (a “statement of necessity”); or
(b) include a statement to the effect that although the Minister is unable to make a statement of necessity the Government nevertheless proposes to exercise the power to make the regulations in the form of the draft.

(4) Subject as follows, if after the expiry of the 21-day period a joint committee of both Houses of Parliament appointed to consider draft regulations under this Schedule (“the joint committee”) has not reported to both Houses a resolution in respect of the draft regulations laid under sub-paragraph (1), the Minister may proceed to make a statutory instrument in the form of the draft regulations.

(5) A statutory instrument containing regulations under sub-paragraph (4) shall be subject to annulment in pursuance of a resolution of either House of Parliament.

(6) The procedure in sub-paragraphs (7) to (9) shall apply to the proposal for the draft regulations instead of the procedure in sub-paragraph (4) if—
(a) either House of Parliament so resolves within the 21-day period,
(b) the joint committee so recommends within the 21-day period and neither House by resolution rejects the recommendation within that period, or
(c) the draft regulations contain provision to—
(i) establish a public authority in the United Kingdom,
(ii) provide for any function of an EU entity or public authority in a member State to be exercisable instead by a public authority in the United Kingdom established by regulations under section 7, 8 or 9 or Schedule 2,
(iii) provides for any function of an EU entity or public authority in a member State of making an instrument of a legislative character
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...to be exercisable instead by a public authority in the United Kingdom,

(iv) imposes, or otherwise relates to, a fee in respect of a function exercisable by a public authority in the United Kingdom,

(v) creates, or widens the scope of, a criminal offence, or

(vi) creates or amends a power to legislate.

(7) The Minister must have regard to—

(a) any representations,

(b) any resolution of either House of Parliament, and

(c) any recommendations of a committee of either House of Parliament charged with reporting on the proposal for the draft regulations, made during the 60-day period with regard to the draft regulations.

(8) If after the expiry of the 60-day period the draft regulations are approved by a resolution of each House of Parliament, the Minister may make regulations in the form of the draft.

(9) If after the expiry of the 60-day period the Minister wishes to proceed with the draft regulations but with material changes, the Minister may lay before Parliament—

(a) revised draft regulations, and

(b) a statement giving a summary of the changes proposed.

(10) If the revised draft regulations are approved by a resolution of each House of Parliament, the Minister may make regulations in the terms of the revised draft.

(11) For the purposes of sub-paragraphs (1) to (10) regulations are made in the terms of draft regulations or revised draft regulations if they contain no material change to their provisions.

(12) In sub-paragraphs (1) to (10), references to the “21-day” and “60-day” periods in relation to any draft regulations are to the periods of 21 and 60 days beginning with the day on which the draft regulations were laid before Parliament.

(13) For the purposes of sub-paragraph (12), no account is to be taken of any time during which Parliament is dissolved or prorogued or during which either House is adjourned for more than four days.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would require the Minister to provide an explanatory statement on whether the regulations simply transpose EU law or make further changes, subject to a check by a committee of the House, and require that if the regulations involve more than simple transposition the super affirmative procedure must be used.

Tom Brake
Sir Vince Cable
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Caroline Lucas
Tim Farron
Wera Hobhouse

Jo Swinson Jamie Stone Layla Moran

Schedule 7, page 39, line 13, leave out paragraphs 1 to 3 and insert—

“Scrutiny procedure: introductory

1 A statutory instrument containing regulations under section 7 may not be made by a Minister of the Crown unless it complies with the procedures in this Part.
Determination of scrutiny procedure

2 (1) The explanatory document laid with a statutory instrument or draft statutory instrument containing regulations under section 7 must contain a recommendation by the Minister as to which of the following should apply in relation to the making of an order pursuant to the draft order—
(a) the negative resolution procedure;
(b) the affirmative resolution procedure;
(c) the super-affirmative procedure.
(2) The explanatory document must give reasons for the Minister’s recommendation.
(3) Where the Minister’s recommendation is that the negative resolution procedure should apply, that procedure shall apply unless, within the 30-day period—
(a) either House of Parliament requires that the super-affirmative procedure shall apply, in which case that procedure shall apply; or
(b) in a case not falling within paragraph (a), either House of Parliament requires that the affirmative resolution procedure shall apply, in which case that procedure shall apply.
(4) Where the Minister’s recommendation is that the affirmative resolution should apply, that procedure shall apply unless, within the 30-day period, either House of Parliament requires that the super-affirmative resolution procedure shall apply, in which case the super-affirmative resolution procedure shall apply.
(5) Where the Minister’s recommendation is that the super-affirmative procedure should apply, that procedure shall apply.
(6) For the purposes of this paragraph a House of Parliament shall be taken to have required a procedure within the 30-day period if—
(a) that House resolves within that period that that procedure shall apply; or
(b) in a case not falling within paragraph (a), a committee of that House charged with reporting on the draft order has recommended within that period that that procedure shall apply and the House has not by resolution rejected that recommendation within that period.

Super-affirmative procedure

3 (1) for the purposes of this Part of this Schedule, the “super-affirmative resolution procedure” is as follows.
(2) The Minister must have regard to—
(a) any representations,
(b) any resolution of either House of Parliament, and
(c) any recommendations of a committee of either House of Parliament charged with reporting on the draft order,
made during the 60-day period with regard to the draft order.
(3) If, after the expiry of the 60-day period, the Minister wishes to make an order in the terms of the draft, he or she must lay before Parliament a statement—
(a) stating whether any representations were made; and
(b) if any representations were so made, giving details of them.
(4) The Minister may after the laying of such a statement make an order in the terms of the draft if it is approved by a resolution of each House of Parliament.
(5) However, a committee of either House charged with reporting on the draft order may, at any time after the laying of a statement under sub-paragraph (3)
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and before the draft order is approved by that House under sub-paragraph (4), recommend under this subparagraph that no further proceedings be taken in relation to the draft order.

(6) Where a recommendation is made by a committee of either House under subparagraph (5) in relation to a draft statutory instrument, no proceedings may be taken in relation to the draft statutory instrument in that House unless the recommendation is, in the same Session, rejected by resolution of that House.

(7) If, after the expiry of the 60-day period, the Minister wishes to make an order consisting of a version of the draft statutory instrument with material changes, he or she must lay before Parliament—

(a) a revised draft statutory instrument; and

(b) a statement giving details of—

(i) any representations made; and

(ii) the revisions proposed.

(8) The Minister may after laying a revised draft statutory instrument and statement under sub-paragraph (7) make regulations in the terms of the revised statutory instrument if it is approved by a resolution of each House of Parliament.

(9) However, a committee of either House charged with reporting on the revised draft statutory instrument may, at any time after the revised draft statutory is laid under sub-paragraph (7) and before it is approved by that House under sub-paragraph (8), recommend under this sub-paragraph that no further proceedings be taken in relation to the revised draft statutory instrument.

(10) Where a recommendation is made by a committee of either House under sub-paragraph (9) in relation to a revised draft statutory instrument, no proceedings may be taken in relation to the revised draft statutory instrument in that House under subsection (8) unless the recommendation is, in the same Session, rejected by resolution of that House.

(11) In this Part—

(a) the “30-day period” means the period of 30 days beginning with the day on which the draft statutory instrument was laid before Parliament;

(b) the “60-day period” means the period of 60 days beginning with the day on which the draft statutory instrument was laid before Parliament;

(c) the “affirmative resolution procedure” has the same meaning as in section 17 of the Legislative and Regulatory Reform Act 2006;

(d) the “negative resolution procedure” has the same meaning as in section 16 of the Legislative and Regulatory Reform Act 2006.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would ensure Parliament has the power to determine, following recommendations by the Minister, which parliamentary procedure should be used to scrutinise statutory instruments containing regulations that deal with deficiencies arising from EU withdrawal. It also provides for use of the “super-affirmative resolution procedure” whereby a committee of either House can recommend that no further proceedings be taken in relation to a draft order, which can only be over-turned by a resolution of that House.
Schedule 7, page 39, line 13, leave out “which contain provisions falling with sub-paragraph (2).”

*Member’s explanatory statement*
This amendment is linked to Amendment 21 and removes the provision that certain statutory instruments can be introduced under the negative resolution and requires all SIs made under Clause 7 to go through the affirmative route with a vote in both Houses. It means that the Government could not bypass Parliament by refusing to grant time for a debate on annuling an SI.

Schedule 7, page 39, line 14, after “unless” insert—
“(a) the Minister laying the instrument has made a declaration that the instrument does no more than necessary to prevent, remedy or mitigate—
(i) any failure of retained EU law to operate effectively, or
(ii) any other deficiency in retained EU law arising from the withdrawal of the United Kingdom from the EU, and
(b) “.

Schedule 7, page 39, line 17, leave out sub-paragraphs (2) and (3)

*Member’s explanatory statement*
This amendment is linked to Amendment 20 and removes the provision that certain statutory instruments can be introduced under the negative resolution and requires all SIs made under Clause 7 to go through the affirmative route with a vote in both Houses. It means that the Government could not bypass Parliament by refusing to grant time for a debate on annuling an SI.
Schedule 7, page 39, line 17, after “if” insert “a scrutiny committee determines that”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment together with Amendments 34 and 35 would establish that it is for Parliament to decide which level of scrutiny a Statutory Instrument shall receive under Clause 7 of this Act, and that matters of policy interest will be subject to the approval of both Houses and to amendment.

Schedule 7, page 39, line 29, at end insert—
“(g) is otherwise of sufficient policy interest to merit the application of sub-paragraph (1).”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment together with Amendments 33 and 35 would establish that it is for Parliament to decide which level of scrutiny a Statutory Instrument shall receive under Clause 7 of this Act, and that matters of policy interest will be subject to the approval of both Houses and to amendment.
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Ian Blackford
Patrick Grady
Drew Hendry
Joanna Cherry
Martyn Day
Douglas Chapman

Schedule 7, page 39, line 29, at end insert—
“(g) makes changes to the application of the 2012 Energy Efficiency Directive in the UK.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would make any changes to the application of the 2012 Energy Efficiency Directive in the UK subject to approval by resolution of each House of Parliament.

Ian Blackford
Patrick Grady
Chris Stephens
Joanna Cherry
Mhairi Black
Martyn Day
Douglas Chapman

Schedule 7, page 39, line 29, at end insert—
“(g) makes changes to EU-derived domestic legislation concerning the rights of workers in the UK.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require that the rights of workers currently afforded by EU law that are being transposed into UK law can be changed only through affirmative procedure.

Ian Blackford
Patrick Grady
Neil Gray
Chris Stephens
Kirsty Blackman
Angela Crawley
Joanna Cherry
Dr Philippa Whitford
Martyn Day
Douglas Chapman

Schedule 7, page 39, line 29, at end insert—
“(g) makes changes to EU-derived domestic legislation concerning rights for disabled people in the UK.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require that the rights of disabled people currently afforded by EU law that are being transposed into UK law can be changed only through affirmative procedure.
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Schedule 7, page 39, line 29, at end insert—

“(g) makes changes to EU-derived domestic legislation concerning annual leave rights,

(h) makes changes to EU-derived domestic legislation concerning agency worker rights,

(i) makes changes to EU-derived domestic legislation concerning part-time worker rights,

(j) makes changes to EU-derived domestic legislation concerning fixed-term worker rights,

(k) makes changes to EU-derived domestic legislation concerning work-based health and safety obligations,

(l) makes changes to EU-derived legislation concerning state-guaranteed payments upon an employer’s insolvency,

(m) makes changes to EU-derived domestic legislation concerning collective redundancy rights,

(n) makes changes to EU-derived domestic legislation concerning terms and conditions of employment rights,

(o) makes changes to EU-derived domestic legislation concerning posted worker rights,

(p) makes changes to EU-derived domestic legislation concerning paternity, maternity and parental leave rights,

(q) makes changes to EU-derived domestic legislation concerning protection of employment upon the transfer of a business, or

(r) makes changes to EU-derived domestic legislation concerning anti-discrimination.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would list areas regarding workers’ rights where changes to EU-derived law could be made only through affirmative procedure.

Schedule 7, page 39, line 29, at end insert—

“(g) defines “failure to operate efficiently” under section 7(1A).”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment, linked to Amendment 264, would ensure that any regulations to define “failure to operate efficiently” under section 7(1A) would be subject to affirmative procedure.
Schedule 7, page 39, line 30, leave out sub-paragraphs (3) to (10) and insert—

“(3) A Minister of the Crown must not make an Order under (1) and (2) above or any other Order to which this Schedule applies, unless—

(a) a draft Order and explanatory document has been laid before Parliament in accordance with paragraph 1A; and

(b) in the case of any Order which can be made other than solely by a resolution of each House of Parliament, the Order is made as determined under paragraph 1B in accordance in accordance with—

(i) the negative resolution procedure (see paragraph 1C); or

(ii) the affirmative resolution procedure (see paragraph 1D); or

(c) it is declared in the Order that it appears to the person making it that because of the urgency of the matter, it is necessary to make the Order without a draft being so approved (see paragraph 1E).

Draft Order and Explanatory document laid before Parliament

1A (1) If the minister considers it appropriate to proceed with the making of an Order under this Part, he must lay before Parliament—

(a) a draft of the Order, together with

(b) an explanatory document.

(2) The explanatory document must—

(a) explain under which power or powers in this Part the provision contained in the Order is made;

(b) introduce and give reasons for the provision;
(c) explain why the Minister considers that—
   (i) in the case of an Order under section 7, include, so far as appropriate, an assessment of the extent to which the provision made by the Order would prevent, remedy or mitigate—
      (a) any failure of retained EU law to operate effectively; or
      (b) any other deficiency in retained EU law arising from the withdrawal of the United Kingdom from the EU,
   (ii) in the case of an Order under section 8, include, so far as appropriate, an assessment of the extent to which the provision made by the Order would prevent or remedy any breach, arising from the withdrawal of the United Kingdom from the EU, of the international obligations of the United Kingdom,
   (iii) in the case of an Order under section 9, include, so far as appropriate, an assessment of the extent to which implementation of the withdrawal agreement should be in force on or before exit day.
(d) identify and give reasons for—
   (i) any functions of legislating conferred by the Order; and
   (ii) the procedural requirements attaching to the exercise of those functions.

Determination of Parliamentary procedure

1B (1) The explanatory document laid with a draft Order under paragraph 1A must contain a recommendation by the Minister as to which of the following should apply in relation to the making of an Order pursuant to the draft Order—
   (a) the negative resolution procedure (see paragraph 1C); or
   (b) the affirmative resolution procedure (see paragraph 1D).
(2) The explanatory document must give reasons for the Minister’s recommendation.
(3) Where the Minister’s recommendation is that the negative resolution procedure should apply, that procedure shall apply unless, within the 20-day period either House of Parliament requires that the affirmative resolution procedure shall apply, in which case that procedure shall apply.
(4) For the purposes of this paragraph a House of Parliament shall be taken to have required a procedure within the 20-day period if—
   (a) that House resolves within that period that that procedure shall apply; or
   (b) in a case not falling within sub paragraph (4)(a), a committee of that House charged with reporting on the draft Order has recommended within that period that that procedure should apply and the House has not by resolution rejected that recommendation within that period.
(5) In this section the “20-day period” means the period of 20 days beginning with the day on which the draft Order was laid before Parliament under paragraph 1A.

Negative resolution procedure

1C (1) For the purposes of this Part, the “negative resolution procedure” in relation to the making of an Order pursuant to a draft order laid under paragraph 1A is as follows.
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(2) The Minister may make an order in the terms of the draft Order subject to the following provisions of this paragraph.

(3) The Minister may not make an order in the terms of the draft Order if either House of Parliament so resolves within the 40-day period.

(4) For the purposes of this paragraph an Order is made in the terms of a draft Order if it contains no material changes to the provisions of the draft Order.

(5) In this paragraph the “40-day period” means the period of 40 days beginning with the day on which the draft Order was laid before Parliament under paragraph 1A.

Affirmative resolution procedure

1D (1) For the purposes of this Part the “affirmative resolution procedure” in relation to the making of an Order pursuant to a draft Order laid under paragraph 1A is as follows.

(2) The Minister must have regard to—
   (a) any representations,
   (b) any resolution of either House of Parliament, and
   (c) any recommendations of a committee of either House of Parliament charged with reporting on the draft Order, made during the 40-day period with regard to the draft Order.

(3) If, after the expiry of the 40-day period, the minister wishes to make an Order in the terms of the draft, he must lay before Parliament a statement—
   (a) stating whether any representations were made under sub-paragraph (2)(a); and
   (b) if any representations were so made, giving details of them.

(4) The Minister may after the laying of such a statement make an Order in the terms of the draft if it is approved by a resolution of each House of Parliament.

(5) If, after the expiry of the 40-day period, the Minister wishes to make an Order consisting of a version of the draft Order with material changes, he must lay before Parliament—
   (a) a revised draft Order; and
   (b) a statement giving details of—
      (i) any representations made under sub-paragraph (2)(a); and
      (ii) the revisions proposed.

(6) The Minister may after laying a revised draft Order and statement under sub-paragraph (5) make an Order in the terms of the revised draft if it is approved by a resolution of each House of Parliament.

(7) For the purposes of sub-paragraphs (4) an Order is made in the terms of a draft Order if it contains no material changes to the provisions of the draft Order.

(8) In this paragraph the “40-day period” has the meaning given by paragraph 4(5)(a).

Procedure in urgent cases

1E (1) If an Order is made without being approved in draft, the person making it must lay it before Parliament, accompanied by the required information, after it is made.

(2) If, at the end of the period of one month beginning with the day on which the original Order was made, a resolution has not been passed by each House approving the original or replacement Order, the Order ceases to have effect.
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(3) For the purposes of sub-paragraph (1), “required information” means—

(a) a statement of the reasons for proceeding under paragraph 1E; and

(b) an explanatory document, as set out in paragraph 1A (2).”

Member’s explanatory statement

To set up a triage and scrutiny system under the control of Parliament for determining how Statutory Instruments under Clause 7 of the Bill will be dealt with.

Mr Chris Leslie
Mr Ben Bradshaw
Ian Murray
Jamie Stone
Mike Gapes
Wera Hobhouse

Martin Whitfield Neill Coyle Stephen Timms

67

Schedule 7, page 39, line 30, leave out sub-paragraph (3).

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would facilitate the use of affirmative and super-affirmative procedures, other than for the transfer of functions of EU public bodies.

Jeremy Corbyn
Mr Nicholas Brown
Keir Starmer
Jenny Chapman
Matthew Pennycook
Paul Blomfield

Valerie Vaz Caroline Lucas Paul Farrelly
Mr David Lammy Ms Harriet Harman Ian Murray
Kerry McCarthy Catherine McKinnell Helen Hayes
Stephen Kinnock Ann Coffey Seema Malhotra
Jamie Stone Mike Gapes Angela Smith
Wera Hobhouse Martin Whitfield Vernon Coaker
Paul Farrelly Diana Johnson Helen Goodman
Melanie Onn

35

Schedule 7, page 39, line 33, at end insert “, unless a scrutiny committee determines that the instrument is of such significant policy interest that it ought to be subject to approval of each House with a procedure that allows for amendment.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment together with Amendments 33 and 34 would establish that it is for Parliament to decide which level of scrutiny a Statutory Instrument shall receive under Clause 7 of this Act, and that matters of policy interest will be subject to the approval of both Houses and to amendment.
Schedule 7, page 39, line 33, at end insert—

“(3A) Regulations appointing any exit day may not be made unless a draft has been laid before, and approved by a resolution of, each House of Parliament.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require regulations appointing an exit day to be subject to the affirmative procedure.

Schedule 7, page 39, line 33, at end insert—

“( ) See paragraph 2A for restrictions on the choice of procedure under sub-paragraph (3).”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment signposts the existence, and location within the Bill, of a scrutiny process involving a committee of the House of Commons for regulations under Clause 7 for which there is a choice between negative and affirmative procedures.

Schedule 7, page 42, leave out sub-paragraphs (6) and (7).

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment, and Amendments 329 and 331, would remove provisions in the Bill that prescribe scrutiny procedures for the National Assembly for Wales. These amendments, coupled with Amendment 330, would allow the National Assembly for Wales to set the scrutiny procedures it considers appropriate for the control of powers proposed for the Welsh Ministers under the Bill.

Schedule 7, page 40, line 23, leave out sub-paragraphs (2) to (4) and insert—

“(2) The procedure provided for in paragraphs 1 to 3 of this Part in respect of the Houses of Parliament applies in relation to regulations to which this paragraph
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applies as well as any other procedure provided for by this paragraph which is applicable to the regulations concerned.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment applies the procedures set out in Amendment 129 in respect of the UK Parliament for regulations made jointly by a Minister of the Crown acting jointly with a devolved authority.

Mr Dominic Grieve
John Penrose
Mr Kenneth Clarke
Nicky Morgan
Anna Soubry
Stephen Hammond

Antoinette Sandbach Vicky Ford Chuka Umunna Caroline Lucas Heidi Allen Angela Smith Mr George Howarth Jo Swinson Ann Coffey Mike Gapes Mr Gavin Shuker Wera Hobhouse Kerry McCarthy Robert Neill Dr Sarah Wollaston Paul Masterton Catherine McKinnell Helen Hayes Mr David Lammy Tom Brake Sir Edward Davey Steve McCabe Layla Moran Norman Lamb Martin Whitfield

Jeremy Lefroy Tom Tugendhat Ian Murray Stephen Doughty Mr Ben Bradshaw Stella Creasy Sir Vince Cable Stephen Kinnock Jamie Stone Lady Hermon Kate Green Paul Farrelly

Schedule 7, page 40, line 32, leave out from “is” to end of line 34 and insert “subject to the rules set out in paragraphs 1 to 1E above.”

Member’s explanatory statement

Consequential amendment to Amendment 3.

Stephen Kinnock
Hywel Williams

Schedule 7, page 41, line 15, leave out sub-paragraphs (10) and (11).

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment, and Amendments 328 and 331, would remove provisions in the Bill that prescribe scrutiny procedures for the National Assembly for Wales. These amendments, coupled with Amendment 330, would allow the National Assembly for Wales to set the scrutiny procedures it considers appropriate for the control of powers proposed for the Welsh Ministers under the Bill.

Mr Charles Walker
Mr Ranil Jayawardena
Dan Carden
David Linden
Mr William Wragg
Bambos Charalambous

Alison Thewliss Mr Christopher Chope Helen Goodman
Schedule 7, page 42, line 4, at end insert—

“Parliamentary committee to sift certain regulations involving Minister of the Crown

2A (1) Sub-paragraph (2) applies if a Minister of the Crown who is to make a statutory instrument to which paragraph 1(3) applies is of the opinion that the appropriate procedure for the instrument is for it to be subject to annulment in pursuance of a resolution of either House of Parliament.

(2) The Minister may not make the instrument so that it is subject to that procedure unless—

(a) condition 1 is met, and

(b) either condition 2 or 3 is met.

(3) Condition 1 is that a Minister of the Crown—

(a) has made a statement in writing to the effect that in the Minister’s opinion the instrument should be subject to annulment in pursuance of a resolution of either House of Parliament, and

(b) has laid before the House of Commons—

(i) a draft of the instrument, and

(ii) a memorandum setting out the statement and the reasons for the Minister’s opinion.

(4) Condition 2 is that a committee of the House of Commons charged with doing so has made a recommendation as to the appropriate procedure for the instrument.

(5) Condition 3 is that the period of 10 sitting days beginning with the first sitting day after the day on which the draft instrument was laid before the House of Commons as mentioned in sub-paragraph (3) has ended without any recommendation being made as mentioned in sub-paragraph (4).

(6) In sub-paragraph (5) “sitting day” means a day on which the House of Commons sits.

(7) Nothing in this paragraph prevents a Minister of the Crown from deciding at any time before a statutory instrument to which paragraph 1(3) applies is made that another procedure should apply in relation to the instrument (whether under paragraph 1(3) or 3).

(8) Section 6(1) of the Statutory Instruments Act 1946 (alternative procedure for certain instruments laid in draft before Parliament) does not apply in relation to any statutory instrument to which this paragraph applies.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment ensures that regulations under Clause 7 for which there is a choice between negative and affirmative procedures cannot be subject to the negative procedure without first having been subject to a scrutiny process involving a committee of the House of Commons. The scrutiny process envisages that the committee will make a recommendation as to the appropriate procedure in the light of draft regulations and other information provided by the Government.
(3A) A Minister cannot make a declaration under sub-paragraph (2) unless they have satisfied themselves that they have sufficiently consulted—
(a) relevant public authorities,
(b) businesses,
(c) people, and
(d) other organisations who are likely to be affected by the instrument.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**
This amendment would require that, when using the urgent cases provision in the Bill, the Minister must first consult with businesses and other relevant organisations.

(7) For the purposes of this paragraph “urgent” has the same meaning as “emergency” in Section 1 of the Civil Contingencies Act 2004.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**
This amendment would limit the circumstances in which Ministers can use procedures for urgent cases to circumstances in which there is a serious threat of damage to human welfare, the environment or the security of the United Kingdom.

(7) Sub-paragraph (8) applies to a statutory instrument to which paragraph 1(3) applies where the Minister of the Crown who is to make the instrument is of
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the opinion that the appropriate procedure for the instrument is for it to be subject to annulment in pursuance of a resolution of either House of Parliament.

(8) Paragraph 2A does not apply in relation to the instrument if the instrument contains a declaration that the Minister is of the opinion that, by reason of urgency, it is necessary to make the regulations without meeting the requirements of that paragraph.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment permits the scrutiny process for deciding whether certain regulations under Clause 7 should be subject to the negative or affirmative procedure to be disapplied in urgent cases.

Jeremy Corbyn
Mr Nicholas Brown
Keir Starmer
Jenny Chapman
Matthew Pennycook
Paul Blomfield

Valerie Vaz          Caroline Lucas          Paul Farrelly
Ms Harriet Harman   Ian Murray              Catherine McKinnell
Helen Hayes         Stephen Kinnock         Mr David Lammy
Ann Coffey          Seema Malhotra          Jamie Stone
Mike Gapes          Wera Hobhouse          Martin Whitfield
Vernon Coaker       Stephen Timms           Diana Johnson
Helen Goodman       Melanie Onn

Schedule 7, page 43, line 3, after “if” insert “a scrutiny committee determines that”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment together with Amendments 37 and 38 would establish that it is for Parliament to decide which level of scrutiny a Statutory Instrument shall receive under Clause 8 of this Bill, and that matters of policy interest will be subject to the approval of both Houses and to amendment.

Jeremy Corbyn
Mr Nicholas Brown
Keir Starmer
Jenny Chapman
Matthew Pennycook
Paul Blomfield

Valerie Vaz          Caroline Lucas          Paul Farrelly
Mr David Lammy       Ms Harriet Harman       Ian Murray
Catherine McKinnell  Helen Hayes            Stephen Kinnock
Ann Coffey           Seema Malhotra         Jamie Stone
Mike Gapes           Wera Hobhouse          Martin Whitfield
Vernon Coaker        Stephen Timms           Diana Johnson
Helen Goodman        Melanie Onn

Schedule 7, page 43, line 15, at end insert—

“(g) is otherwise of sufficient policy interest to merit the application of sub-paragraph (1)”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment together with Amendments 36 and 38 would establish that it is for Parliament to decide which level of scrutiny a Statutory Instrument shall receive under Clause 8 of this Bill, and that matters of policy interest will be subject to the approval of both Houses and to amendment.
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Chris Bryant
Mr David Lammy
Ian Murray
Stephen Doughty
Helen Hayes
Mr Ben Bradshaw

Stephen Kinnock  Ann Coffey  Catherine West
Jamie Stone  Mike Gapes  Dr Philippa Whitford
Wera Hobhouse  Martin Whitfield  Tulip Siddiq

Schedule 7, page 43, line 19, at end insert “or if the Government has not provided
time on the floor of the House for a debate and vote on a prayer against the statutory
instrument signed by the Leader of the Opposition or 80 Members of the House of
Commons.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This would mean that if the Leader of the Opposition or 80 members of the House of Commons
were to sign a prayer against an SI that was subject under Schedule 7 to the negative procedure,
the Government would have to provide time for a debate and a vote on the floor of the House or
lose the SI. At present there is no such provision in the House of Commons.

Jeremy Corbyn
Mr Nicholas Brown
Keir Starmer
Jenny Chapman
Matthew Pennycook
Paul Blomfield

Valerie Vaz  Caroline Lucas  Paul Farrelly
Mr David Lammy  Ian Murray  Catherine McKinnell
Helen Hayes  Stephen Kinnock  Ann Coffey
Seema Malhotra  Jamie Stone  Mike Gapes
Wera Hobhouse  Martin Whitfield  Vernon Coaker
Stephen Timms  Ms Harriet Harman  Diana Johnson
Helen Goodman  Melanie Onn

Schedule 7, page 43, line 19, at end insert “unless a scrutiny committee
determines that the instrument is of such significant policy interest that it ought to be
subject to approval of each House with a procedure that allows for amendment.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment together with Amendments 36 and 37 would establish that it is for Parliament to
decide which level of scrutiny a Statutory Instrument shall receive under Clause 8 of this Bill, and
that matters of policy interest will be subject to the approval of both Houses and to amendment.

Mr Charles Walker
Mr Ranil Jayawardena
Dan Carden
David Linden
Mr William Wragg
Bambos Charalambous

Alison Thewliss  Mr Christopher Chope  Helen Goodman
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Mr Peter Bone
Bob Blackman
Geoffrey Clifton-Brown

Mr Graham Brady
Melanie Onn

395

Schedule 7, page 43, line 19, at end insert—
“( ) See paragraph 10A for restrictions on the choice of procedure under sub-paragraph (3).”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment signposts the existence, and location within the Bill, of a scrutiny process involving a committee of the House of Commons for regulations under Clause 8 for which there is a choice between negative and affirmative procedures.

Yvette Cooper
Ms Harriet Harman
Norman Lamb
Nicky Morgan
Robert Neill
Mary Creagh

Rachel Reeves
Caroline Lucas
Paul Farrelly

Mr David Lammy
Joanna Cherry
Ian Murray

Catherine McKinnell
Stephen Doughty
Helen Hayes

Mr Ben Bradshaw
Angela Smith
Stella Creasy

Mr George Howarth
Tom Brake
Sir Vince Cable

Jo Swinson
Sir Edward Davey
Stephen Kinnock

Ann Coffey
Susan Elan Jones
Jamie Stone

Mike Gapes
Layla Moran
Dr Philippa Whitford

Wera Hobhouse
Tulip Siddiq
Martin Whitfield

Vernon Coaker
Stephen Timms
Kerry McCarthy

51

Schedule 7, page 43, line 26, leave out paragraph 6

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment is linked to New Clause 3 to require the Government to implement the withdrawal agreement through separate primary and secondary legislation rather than through this Bill.

Chris Bryant
Mr David Lammy
Helen Hayes
Mr Ben Bradshaw
Ann Coffey
Ian Murray

Jamie Stone
Mike Gapes
Dr Philippa Whitford

Wera Hobhouse
Martin Whitfield

23

Schedule 7, page 43, line 26, leave out “which contain provisions falling within sub-paragraph (2).”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment is linked to Amendment 24 and removes the provision that certain statutory instruments can be introduced under the negative resolution and requires all SIs under Clause 9 to go through the affirmative route with a vote in both Houses. It means that the Government could not bypass Parliament by refusing to grant time for a debate on annuling an SI.
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Schedule 7, page 43, line 30, leave out sub-paragraph (2)

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment is linked to Amendment 23 and removes the provision that certain statutory instruments can be introduced under the negative resolution and requires all SIs under Clause 9 to go through the affirmative route with a vote in both Houses. It means that the Government could not bypass Parliament by refusing to grant time for a debate on annulling an SI.

Jeremy Corbyn
Mr Nicholas Brown
Keir Starmer
Jenny Chapman
Matthew Pennycook
Paul Blomfield

Schedule 7, page 43, line 30, after “if” insert “a scrutiny committee determines that”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment together with Amendments 40 and 41 would establish that it is for Parliament to decide which level of scrutiny a Statutory Instrument shall receive under Clause 9 of this Bill, and that matters of policy interest will be subject to the approval of both Houses and to amendment.

Jeremy Corbyn
Mr Nicholas Brown
Keir Starmer
Jenny Chapman
Matthew Pennycook
Paul Blomfield

Schedule 7, page 43, line 43, at end insert—

“(h) is otherwise of sufficient policy interest to merit the application of sub-paragraph (1).”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment together with Amendments 39 and 41 would establish that it is for Parliament to
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decide which level of scrutiny a Statutory Instrument shall receive under Clause 9 of this Bill, and that matters of policy interest will be subject to the approval of both Houses and to amendment.

Jeremy Corbyn
Mr Nicholas Brown
Keir Starmer
Jenny Chapman
Matthew Pennycook
Paul Blomfield

Valerie Vaz
Mr David Lammy
Helen Hayes
Mike Gapes
Vernon Coaker
Helen Goodman

Caroline Lucas
Ms Harriet Harman
Ann Coffey
Wera Hobhouse
Stephen Timms
Melanie Onn

Paul Farrelly
Ian Murray
Jamie Stone
Martin Whitfield
Diana Johnson

Schedule 7, page 43, line 47, at end insert “, unless a scrutiny committee determines that the instrument if of such significant policy interest that it ought to be subject to approval of each House with a procedure that allows for amendment.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment together with Amendments 39 and 40 would establish that it is for Parliament to decide which level of scrutiny a Statutory Instrument shall receive under Clause 9 of this Bill, and that matters of policy interest will be subject to the approval of both Houses and to amendment.

Mr Charles Walker
Mr Ranil Jayawardena
Dan Carden
David Linden
Mr William Wragg
Bambos Charalambous

Alison Thewliss
Mr Peter Bone
Mr Graham Brady

Mr Christopher Chope
Bob Blackman
Melanie Onn

Helen Goodman
Geoffrey Clifton-Brown

Schedule 7, page 43, line 47, at end insert—

“( ) See paragraph 10A for restrictions on the choice of procedure under subparagraph (3).”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment signposts the existence, and location within the Bill, of a scrutiny process involving a committee of the House of Commons for regulations under Clause 9 for which there is a choice between negative and affirmative procedures.
Schedule 7, page 44, line 5, at end insert—

“Ampendment of definition of “law relating to equality or human rights”

6A A statutory instrument containing regulations of a Minister of the Crown under section 14(7) may not be made unless a draft of the instrument has been laid before, and approved by resolution of, each House of Parliament.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment provides for draft affirmative resolution scrutiny for the power to the definition of “law relating to equality or human rights”, inserted by Amendment 371.

Mary Creagh
Mike Gapes
Wera Hobhouse
Kerry McCarthy

Schedule 7, page 44, line 37, after “section 17(5)” insert “, other than regulations to appoint an exit day,”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

Consequential to amendment 293.

Mary Creagh
Mike Gapes
Wera Hobhouse
Kerry McCarthy

Schedule 7, page 45, line 5, after “section 17(5)” insert “, other than regulations to appoint an exit day,”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

Consequential to amendment 293.

Jeremy Corbyn
Mr Nicholas Brown
Keir Starmer
Jenny Chapman
Matthew Pennycook
Paul Blomfield

Ms Harriet Harman   Helen Goodman   Melanie Onn

Schedule 7, page 45, line 11, at end insert—

“10A Any regulations made by virtue of this Act by an entity other than a Minister of the Crown are subject to the same scrutiny (affirmative or negative)
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procedure as would be applied to those regulations if they were made by a Minister of the Crown.”

Member’s explanatory statement
The intention of this amendment is that tertiary legislation under the Act should be subject to the same parliamentary control and time-limits as are applicable to secondary legislation.

Mr Charles Walker
Mr Ranil Jayawardena
Dan Carden
David Linden
Mr William Wragg
Bambos Charalambous

Alison Thewliss         Mr Christopher Chope       Helen Goodman
Mr Peter Bone           Bob Blackman              Geoffrey Clifton-Brown
Mr Graham Brady         Melanie Onn              Mr Dominic Grieve

Schedule 7, page 45, line 11, at end insert—

“Parliamentary committee to sift certain regulations involving Minister of the Crown

10A (1) Sub-paragraph (2) applies if a Minister of the Crown who is to make a statutory instrument to which paragraph 5(3) or 6(3) applies is of the opinion that the appropriate procedure for the instrument is for it to be subject to annulment in pursuance of a resolution of either House of Parliament.

(2) The Minister may not make the instrument so that it is subject to that procedure unless—

(a) condition 1 is met, and
(b) either condition 2 or 3 is met.

(3) Condition 1 is that a Minister of the Crown—

(a) has made a statement in writing to the effect that in the Minister’s opinion the instrument should be subject to annulment in pursuance of a resolution of either House of Parliament, and

(b) has laid before the House of Commons—

(i) a draft of the instrument, and

(ii) a memorandum setting out the statement and the reasons for the Minister’s opinion.

(4) Condition 2 is that a committee of the House of Commons charged with doing so has made a recommendation as to the appropriate procedure for the instrument.

(5) Condition 3 is that the period of 10 sitting days beginning with the first sitting day after the day on which the draft instrument was laid before the House of Commons as mentioned in sub-paragraph (3) has ended without any recommendation being made as mentioned in sub-paragraph (4).

(6) In sub-paragraph (5) “sitting day” means a day on which the House of Commons sits.

(7) Nothing in this paragraph prevents a Minister of the Crown from deciding at any time before a statutory instrument to which paragraph 5(3) or 6(3) applies is made that another procedure should apply in relation to the instrument (whether under that paragraph or paragraph 11).
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(8) Section 6(1) of the Statutory Instruments Act 1946 (alternative procedure for certain instruments laid in draft before Parliament) does not apply in relation to any statutory instrument to which this paragraph applies.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment ensures that regulations under Clause 8 or 9 for which there is a choice between negative and affirmative procedures cannot be subject to the negative procedure without first having been subject to a scrutiny process involving a committee of the House of Commons. The scrutiny process envisages that the committee will make a recommendation as to the appropriate procedure in the light of draft regulations and other information provided by the Government.

Mr Chris Leslie
Chuka Umunna
Neil Coyle
Peter Kyle
Mr Ben Bradshaw
Stephen Doughty

Caroline Lucas
Ian Murray
Angela Smith
Jamie Stone
Tulip Siddiq

Paul Farrelly
Catherine McKinnell
Stella Creasy
Mike Gapes
Martin Whitfield

Mr David Lammy
Helen Hayes
Ann Coffey
Wera Hobhouse
Stephen Timms

Schedule 7, page 45, line 23, leave out “urgency” and insert “emergency”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would remove the wider latitude currently allowing Ministers to make regulations without Parliamentary approval “by reason of urgency” and instead only allow such executive action “by reason of emergency”. An emergency is a situation that poses an immediate risk to human health, life, property, or environment.

Mr Charles Walker
Mr Ranil Jayawardena
Dan Carden
David Linden
Mr William Wragg
Bambos Charalambous

Alison Thewliss
Bob Blackman

Mr Christopher Chope
Geoffrey Clifton-Brown
Mr Peter Bone
Mr Graham Brady

Schedule 7, page 45, line 40, at end insert—

“(7) Sub-paragraph (8) applies to a statutory instrument to which paragraph 5(3) or 6(3) applies where the Minister of the Crown who is to make the instrument is of the opinion that the appropriate procedure for the instrument is for it to be subject to annulment in pursuance of a resolution of either House of Parliament.

(8) Paragraph 10A does not apply in relation to the instrument if the instrument contains a declaration that the Minister is of the opinion that, by reason of urgency, it is necessary to make the regulations without meeting the requirements of that paragraph.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment permits the scrutiny process for deciding whether certain regulations under Clause 8 or 9 should be subject to the negative or affirmative procedure to be disapplied in urgent cases.
Schedule 7, page 45, line 40, at end insert—

“Scrutiny of regulations made by Welsh Ministers

11A (1) A statutory instrument containing regulations under this Act of the Welsh Ministers must be made in accordance with the procedures from time to time set out in the Standing Orders of the National Assembly for Wales for the scrutiny of regulations under this Act.

(2) Sub-paragraph (1) applies to statutory instruments made by the Welsh Ministers acting alone and to statutory instruments made by the Welsh Ministers acting jointly with a Minister of the Crown.

(3) The Standing Orders of the National Assembly for Wales may set out different procedures for the making of different statutory instruments or for different categories of statutory instruments under this Act and, for the avoidance of doubt, may empower the Assembly or a committee of the Assembly to decide which of those procedures is to apply to an instrument or category of instruments.

(4) For the purposes of section 11A of the Statutory Instruments Act 1946, and any other provisions of that Act referred to in that section, the provisions set out from time to time in the Standing Orders of the National Assembly for Wales for the scrutiny of regulations under this Act shall be deemed to be provisions of an Act.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would allow the National Assembly for Wales to set the scrutiny procedures it considers appropriate for the control of powers proposed for the Welsh Ministers under the Bill.

Stephen Doughty
Stella Creasy
Kate Green
Daniel Zeichner
Stephen Kinnock
Ann Coffey

Ian Murray
Mike Gapes
Mr David Lammy
Martin Whitfield
Gareth Thomas
Helen Hayes

Schedule 7, page 46, line 18, at end insert—

“12A Any power to make regulations under this Act may not be exercised by a Minister of the Crown until 14 days after the Minister has circulated a draft of the regulations to the citizens’ jury appointed under section [Citizens’ jury on Brexit negotiations].

Member’s explanatory statement

The intention of this amendment is to provide for a citizens’ jury to be consulted before regulations are made under this Act.”
Schedule 7, page 46, line 29, at end insert—

“14A Any power to make regulations in this Act relating to the oil and gas sector may not be made without —
(a) consultation, and
(b) an impact assessment, a copy of which must be laid before Parliament.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would require consultation and an impact assessment before legislation affecting the relating to the oil and gas sector is changed by regulations made under the Act.

Secretary David Davis

Schedule 7, page 47, line 26, at end insert—

“Explanatory statements for certain powers: appropriateness, equalities etc.

(1) This paragraph applies where a statutory instrument containing regulations under section 7, 8 or 9, or a draft of such an instrument, is to be laid before each House of Parliament.

(2) Before the instrument or draft is laid, the relevant Minister must make a statement to the effect that in the Minister’s opinion the instrument or draft does no more than is appropriate.

(3) Before the instrument or draft is laid, the relevant Minister must make a statement—
(a) as to whether the instrument or draft amends, repeals or revokes any provision of equalities legislation, and
(b) if it does, explaining the effect of each such amendment, repeal or revocation.

(4) Before the instrument or draft is laid, the relevant Minister must make a statement to the effect that, in relation to the instrument or draft, the Minister has, so far as required to do so by equalities legislation, had due regard to the need to eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conduct that is prohibited by or under the Equality Act 2010.

(5) Before the instrument or draft is laid, the relevant Minister must make a statement otherwise explaining—
(a) the instrument or draft,
(b) the reasons for it,
(c) the law before exit day which is relevant to it, and
(d) its effect (if any) on retained EU law.

(6) If the relevant Minister fails to make a statement required by sub-paragraph (2), (3), (4) or (5) before the instrument or draft is laid, a Minister of the Crown must make a statement explaining why the relevant Minister has failed to do so.

(7) A statement under sub-paragraph (2), (3), (4), (5) or (6) must be made in writing and be published in such manner as the Minister making it considers appropriate.

(8) For the purposes of this paragraph, where an instrument or draft is laid before each House of Parliament on different days, the earlier day is to be taken as the day on which it is laid before both Houses.
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(9) This paragraph does not apply in relation to any laying before each House of Parliament of an instrument or draft instrument where an equivalent draft instrument (ignoring any differences relating to procedure) has previously been laid before both Houses.

(10) In this paragraph—

“equalities legislation” means the Equality Act 2006, the Equality Act 2010 or any subordinate legislation made under either of those Acts;

“the relevant Minister” means the Minister of the Crown who makes, or is to make, the instrument.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment imposes requirements on Ministers of the Crown to make explanatory statements in relation to regulations or draft regulations under Clause 7, 8 or 9. The statements will be published and must, in particular, deal with the appropriateness of the regulations and their relationship to equalities legislation as well as providing specified further information.

Stephen Kinnock
Hywel Williams

Schedule 7, page 48, line 14, leave out sub-paragraph (4).

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment, and Amendments 328 and 329, would remove provisions in the Bill that prescribe scrutiny procedures for the National Assembly for Wales. These amendments, coupled with Amendment 330, would allow the National Assembly for Wales to set the scrutiny procedures it considers appropriate for the control of powers proposed for the Welsh Ministers under the Bill.

Jeremy Corbyn
Mr Nicholas Brown
Keir Starmer
Jenny Chapman
Matthew Pennycook
Paul Blomfield
Valerie Vaz
Ms Harriet Harman
Helen Hayes
Ann Coffey
Wera Hobhouse
Vernon Coaker

Paul Farrelly
Ian Murray
Stephen Kinnock
Jamie Stone
Hugh Gaffney
Helen Goodman

Mr David Lammy
Stephen Doughty
Stella Creasy
Mike Gapes
Martin Whitfield
Melanie Onn

Clause 17, page 13, line 34, leave out subsections (1) to (3)

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would remove a widely drawn delegated power, which covers anything that happens as a consequence of the Act.
European Union (Withdrawal) Bill, continued

Ian Blackford
Peter Grant
Joanna Cherry
Patrick Grady
Mary Creagh
Dr Philippa Whitford
Martyn Day

Clause 17, page 13, line 35, leave out “appropriate” and insert “necessary”

Stephen Kinnock
Hywel Williams

Clause 17, page 14, line 4, at end insert “or the Government of Wales Act 2006.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would prevent the Government of Wales Act 2006 from being amended by regulations under Clause 17.

Ian Blackford
Peter Grant
Joanna Cherry
Patrick Grady
Mary Creagh
Martyn Day

Clause 17, page 14, line 7, leave out “appropriate” and insert “necessary”

Secretary David Davis

Clause 17, page 14, line 8, leave out “or the appointment of” and insert “(including its operation in connection with)”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment is consequential on amendment 381 and highlights that transitional, transitory or saving provision under the Bill may relate to exit day.

Stephen Kinnock
Hywel Williams

Clause 17, page 14, line 9, at end insert—

“( ) But the power in subsections (1) and (3) may not be exercised to make provision for Wales to the extent that that provision would be within the devolved competence of the Welsh Ministers for the purposes of Part 2 of Schedule 2.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would prevent a Minister of the Crown from making transitional, transitory or saving provision to the extent that the provision would be within the devolved competence of the Welsh Ministers.
Committee of the whole House: 13 December 2017
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Kerry McCarthy
Caroline Lucas
Mary Creagh
Mr Ben Bradshaw
Darren Jones
Ruth Cadbury

Kerry McCarthy
Caroline Lucas
Mary Creagh
Mr Ben Bradshaw
Darren Jones
Ruth Cadbury

Clause 17, page 14, line 13, at end insert—
“(8) Regulations under this section may not limit the scope or weaken standards of environmental protection.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment ensures that the power to make regulations in Clause 17 may not be exercised to reduce environmental protection.

Kerry McCarthy
Caroline Lucas
Mary Creagh
Mr Ben Bradshaw
Darren Jones
Ruth Cadbury

Clause 17, page 14, line 13, at end insert—
“(8) No regulations may be made under this section after the end of the period of two years beginning with exit day.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment imposes the same restriction on the regulation making powers under Clause 17 as applies to other regulation powers in the Bill.

Mary Creagh
Mike Gapes
Kerry McCarthy

Clause 17, page 14, line 13, at end insert—
“(8) No regulations may be made under this section after the end of the period of two years beginning with exit day.

(9) Regulations made under this section may not amend or repeal retained EU law.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would place restrictions on the power to make consequential and transitional provision.
European Union (Withdrawal) Bill, continued

Clause 17, page 14, line 13, at end insert—
“(8) Regulations under subsection (1) or (5) may not amend, repeal or revoke, or otherwise modify the effect of, any law relating to equality or human rights.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would replicate, for the powers in clause 17, the equality and human rights restrictions on other powers in this Bill (as modified by other amendments).

Caroline Lucas

Page 13, line 33, leave out Clause 17

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would remove the powers granted to Ministers by Clause 17, including the power to amend provisions in this Bill, once enacted, by means of delegated legislation only.

NEW CLAUSES AND NEW SCHEDULES RELATING TO CLAUSE 8, CLAUSE 8

Mr Chris Leslie
Paul Farrelly
Mr David Lammy
Ian Murray
Kerry McCarthy
Catherine McKinnell

Stephen Doughty Helen Hayes Mr Ben Bradshaw
Angela Smith Stella Creasy Mr George Howarth
Mary Creagh Ann Coffey Seema Malhotra
Mike Gapes Martin Whitfield Neil Coyle
Stephen Timms

To move the following Clause—

“International treaties and agreements
The Secretary of State shall, within one month of Royal Assent of this Act, publish an assessment of each of the international treaties, agreements and obligations that will be affected, require amendment or require renegotiation as a result of this Act, including an assessment of where the powers in section 8 may need to be used.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause would require Ministers to publish a full list and assessment of the implications of this Act on the many international treaties and agreements that the United Kingdom is party to
European Union (Withdrawal) Bill, continued

and which may be impacted as a result of this Bill. The assessment would also have to set out those areas where Ministers anticipate the powers in Clause 8 of this Bill may need to be used.

Heidi Alexander
Stephen Doughty
Chuka Umunna
Paul Farrelly
Mr David Lammy
Ian Murray

Caroline Lucas    Kerry McCarthy    Catherine McKinnell
Stella Creasy    Ian Murray       Alison McGovern
Mr Ben Bradshaw  Peter Kyle       Wes Streeting
Darren Jones     Stephen Kinnock  Chris Bryant
Ruth Cadbury     Angela Smith     Maria Eagle
Liz Kendall      Kate Green       Tulip Siddiq
Ms Karen Buck    Ms Angela Eagle  Ann Coffey
Meg Hillier      Phil Wilson      Mary Creagh
Stephen Timms    Neil Coyle       Helen Hayes
Daniel Zeichner  Rushanara Ali    John Woodcock
Dame Margaret Hodge Angela Smith Mrs Madeleine Moon
Mr Barry Sheerman Tom Brake      Sir Vince Cable
Jo Swinson       Sir Edward Davey Susan Elan Jones
Seema Malhotra  Mike Gapes       Layla Moran
Mr Gavin Shuker  Martin Whitfield Gareth Thomas
Lady Hermon

To move the following Clause—

“EEA Agreement

(1) No Minister may, under this Act, notify the withdrawal of the United Kingdom from the EEA Agreement, whether under Article 127 of that Agreement or otherwise.

(2) Regulations under this Act may not make any provision that would constitute a breach of the United Kingdom’s obligations under the EEA Agreement.

(3) Regulations under this Act may not amend or repeal subsection (1) or (2).”

NC22
To move the following Clause—


(1) On exit day and on any day afterwards, a public authority must act in a way which is compatible with—

(a) Part I of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child, and

(b) the Optional Protocols of the UNCRC to which the UK is a signatory state.

(2) So far as it is possible to do so, on exit day and on any day afterwards, primary legislation and subordinate legislation must be read and given effect in a way which is compatible with—

(a) Part I of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child, and

(b) the Optional Protocols of the UNCRC to which the UK is a signatory state.

(3) On exit day and on any day afterwards, a Minister of the Crown must, when exercising any function relating to children, have due regard to the requirements of—

(a) Part I of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child, and

(b) the Optional Protocols of the UNCRC to which the UK is a signatory state.

(4) A Minister of Crown shall undertake and publish a Child Rights Impact Assessment if the function relating to children under subsection (3) entails any of the following—

(a) formulation of a provision to be included in an enactment,

(b) formulation of a new policy, guidance or statement of practice, or

(c) change or review of an existing policy guidance or statement of practice.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause would require Ministers and public authorities, from exit day onwards, to act in such a way as to comply with the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child, and the optional protocols to which the UK is a signatory state.
To move the following Clause—

“United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child (No. 2)

(1) On exit day and on any day afterwards, a public authority must act in a way which is compatible with—

(a) Part I of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child, and

(b) the Optional Protocols of the UNCRC to which the UK is a signatory state.

(2) So far as it is possible to do so, on exit day and on any day afterwards, primary legislation and subordinate legislation must be read and given effect in a way which is compatible with—

(a) Part I of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child, and

(b) the Optional Protocols of the UNCRC to which the UK is a signatory state.

(3) On exit day and on any day afterwards, a Minister of the Crown must, when exercising any function relating to children, have due regard to the requirements of—

(a) Part I of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child, and

(b) the Optional Protocols of the UNCRC to which the UK is a signatory state.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause would require Ministers and public authorities, from exit day onwards, to act in such a way as to comply with the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child, and the optional protocols to which the UK is a signatory state.
Clause 8, page 6, line 30, at end insert “including the Belfast Agreement of 10 April 1998.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment is intended to maintain the provisions of the Good Friday Agreement after the UK leaves the EU.

Clause 8, page 6, line 30, at end insert “including those arising under the British-Irish Agreement 1998”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would allow Ministers to make regulations to fulfil obligations arising out of the British-Irish Agreement (which commits to implementation of the Multi-Party Agreement).

Clause 8, page 6, line 30, at end insert—

“( ) But the power in subsection (1) may not be exercised to make provision for Wales to the extent that that provision would be within the devolved competence of the Welsh Ministers for the purposes of Part 2 of Schedule 2.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would prevent a Minister of the Crown from making provision to prevent or remedy any breach of international obligations to the extent that the provision would be within the devolved competence of the Welsh Ministers.

Clause 8, page 6, line 31, leave out subsection (2)

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment seeks to restrict the delegated powers granted to Ministers by Clause 8.
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Clause 8, page 6, line 32, at end insert “, apart from amending or modifying this Act”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would remove the proposed capacity of Ministers in Clause 8 to modify and amend the Act itself via delegated powers.

Jeremy Corbyn
Mr Nicholas Brown
Keir Starmer
Jenny Chapman
Matthew Pennycook
Paul Blomfield

Valerie Vaz
Mr David Lammy
Catherine McKinnell
Mary Creagh
Mike Gapes
Vernon Coaker

Caroline Lucas
Ms Harriet Harman
Helen Hayes
Ann Coffey
Wera Hobhouse
Helen Goodman

Paul Farrelly
Ian Murray
Stephen Kinnock
Jamie Stone
Martin Whitfield
Melanie Onn

Clause 8, page 6, line 32, at end insert—

“(2A) Regulations under subsection (1) may, in particular, include regulations to match or exceed World Health Organisation air quality standards.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment is intended to ensure that the UK continues to meet international air quality standards after withdrawal from the EU.

Jeremy Corbyn
Mr Nicholas Brown
Keir Starmer
Jenny Chapman
Matthew Pennycook
Paul Blomfield

Vernon Coaker
Mr David Lammy

Paul Farrelly
Diana Johnson
Ms Harriet Harman

Clause 8, page 6, line 35, at end insert—

“(bc) amend or repeal the Northern Ireland Act 1998 (except with the intention of preserving the effects of the Belfast Agreement of 10 April 1998 after exit day).”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment is intended to maintain the provisions of the Good Friday Agreement after the UK leaves the EU.

Tom Brake
Sir Vince Cable
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Mary Creagh
Jamie Stone
Layla Moran

Angela Smith
Wera Hobhouse
Tim Farron
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Tom Brake
Peter Grant
Hywel Williams
Dr Philippa Whitford
Stephen Kinnock
Tim Farron

Caroline Lucas

Clause 8, page 6, line 36, leave out “or”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment is preparatory to Amendment 367.

Tom Brake
Peter Grant
Hywel Williams
Dr Philippa Whitford
Stephen Kinnock
Tim Farron

Caroline Lucas

Clause 8, page 6, line 37, after “revoke”, insert “, or otherwise modify the effect of,”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would ensure that the restriction in this paragraph could not be undermined by the use of legislation which does not amend the text of the Human Rights Act but modifies its effect.

Stephen Doughty
Stephen Gethins
Ian Murray
Hywel Williams
Jo Swinson
Caroline Lucas

Ian Blackford
Stephen Kinnock
Jamie Stone
Wera Hobhouse

Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ann Coffey
Mike Gapes
Martin Whitfield

Tom Brake
Susan Elan Jones
Mrs Madeleine Moon
Albert Owen

Clause 8, page 6, line 38, at end insert “, or

(e) modify the Scotland Act 1998 or the Government of Wales Act 2006.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would prevent the powers of a Minister of the Crown under Clause 8 of the Bill to ensure compliance with international obligations from being exercised to amend the Scotland Act 1998 or the Government of Wales Act 2006.

Stephen Kinnock
Hywel Williams

Clause 8, page 6, line 38, at end insert “, or

(e) modify the Government of Wales Act 2006.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would prevent the Government of Wales Act 2006 from being amended by regulations under Clause 8.
Clause 8, page 6, line 38, at end insert—

“(e) make any provision, unless the Minister considers that the conditions in subsection (3A) where relevant are satisfied in relation to that provision.

(3A) Those conditions are that—

(a) the policy objective intended to be secured by the provision could not be secured by non-legislative means;

(b) the effect of the provision is proportionate to the policy objective;

(c) the provision, taken as a whole, strikes a fair balance between the public interest and the interests of any person adversely affected by it;

(d) the provision does not remove any necessary protection;

(e) the provision does not prevent any person from exercising any right or freedom which that person might reasonably expect to continue to exercise;

(f) the provision is not of constitutional significance”
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Clause 8, page 6, line 38, at end insert—

“(e) remove or reduce any protections currently conferred upon individuals, groups or the natural environment,

(f) prevent any person from continuing to exercise a right that they can currently exercise,

(g) amend, repeal or revoke the Equality Act 2010 or any subordinate legislation made under that Act.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would prevent the Government’s using delegated powers under Clause 8 to reduce rights or protections.
to weaken or abolish existing EU-derived legal rights, such as those on workers’ rights, equality, and environmental protection.

Ian Blackford  Patrick Grady  Stuart C. McDonald  Joanna Cherry  Angela Crawley  Hywel Williams

Martyn Day  Douglas Chapman

Clause 8, page 6, line 38, at end insert—
“(e) amend, repeal or revoke the Equality Act 2010 or any subordinate legislation made under it.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would prevent the powers in Clause 8 being used to amend Equality Act 2010 legislation.

Ian Blackford  Patrick Grady  Douglas Chapman  Stewart Malcolm McDonald  Martyn Day

Clause 8, page 6, line 38, at end insert—
“(e) remove, reduce or otherwise limit the rights of EU citizens resident in the UK.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would prevent the powers in Clause 8 being used to remove, reduce or otherwise limit the rights of EU citizens resident in the UK.

Ian Blackford  Patrick Grady  Douglas Chapman  Stewart Malcolm McDonald  Martyn Day

Clause 8, page 6, line 38, at end insert—
“(e) make provision which, in the opinion of the Minister, could pose a threat to national security.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would prevent the powers in Clause 8 being used to make provision which could pose a threat to national security.
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Mary Creagh
Mike Gapes
Kerry McCarthy

Clause 8, page 6, line 38, at end insert—
“(e) impose or increase taxation”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would prevent the imposition or increase of a tax by regulations made under Clause 8 to comply with international obligations.

Jeremy Corbyn
Mr Nicholas Brown
Keir Starmer
Owen Smith
Jenny Chapman
Matthew Pennycook
Paul Blomfield
Vernon Coaker
Paul Farrelly
Mike Gapes
Hywel Williams
Stephen Timms
Ms Harriet Harman
Mr David Lammy

Clause 8, page 6, line 38, at end insert—
“(e) be incompatible with the British-Irish Agreement 1998 and the Multi-party agreement (the Belfast / Good Friday Agreement) to which it gives effect, including—
(i) the preservation of institutions set up relating to strands 1, 2 and 3 of the Good Friday Agreement,
(ii) human rights and equality,
(iii) the principle of consent, and
(iv) citizenship rights.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment is intended to ensure that the power to make regulations to fulfil obligations arising out of the British-Irish Agreement could not be used in a manner incompatible with those obligations.

Mr Dominic Grieve
Antoinette Sandbach
Anna Soubry
Mr Kenneth Clarke
Stephen Hammond

Clause 8, page 6, line 38, at end insert—
“(e) confer a power to legislate (other than a power to make rules of procedure for a court or tribunal).”
Clause 8, page 6, line 40, at end insert—

“(5) The consent of the Scottish Ministers is required before any provision is made in regulations under this section so far as the provision would be within the devolved competence of the Scottish Ministers within the meaning given in paragraph 18 of Schedule 2.

(6) The consent of the Welsh Ministers is required before any provision is made in regulations under this section so far as the provision would be within the devolved competence of the Welsh Ministers within the meaning given in paragraph 19 of Schedule 2.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require a Minister of the Crown to first seek the consent of the Scottish Ministers or the Welsh Ministers before making any regulations under Clause 8 on Scottish or Welsh devolved matters.

Clause 8, page 6, line 40, at end insert—

“(5) Any power to make, confirm or approve subordinate legislation conferred or modified under this Act and its schedules must be used, and may only be used, insofar as is necessary to ensure that standards of equalities, environmental protection and employment protection, and consumer standards will continue to remain in all respects equivalent to those extant in the EU.

(6) In particular, no agreement relating to international trade or investment with the EU or with a third-party state or states shall be made that permits or requires standards of equalities, environmental protection and employment protection, and consumer standards to fall below those extant in the EU at the time.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would ensure that in exercising the powers under this provision, the Government maintains equivalent standards to the EU, and in particular, in making trade agreements.
Mr Chris Leslie
Mr David Lammy
Ian Murray
Catherine McKinnell
Helen Hayes
Mr Ben Bradshaw
Angela Smith  Mr George Howarth  Mary Creagh
Tom Brake  Sir Vince Cable  Jo Swinson
Sir Edward Davey  Ann Coffey  Mike Gapes
Layla Moran  Martin Whitfield  Paul Farrelly
Neil Coyle  Stephen Timms

To move the following Clause—

“Plain English summary of retained direct EU legislation

HM Government shall ensure that the publication of copies of retained direct EU legislation as set out in the provisions of section 13 and schedule 5 is accompanied wherever possible by a summarising explanatory document setting out in terms that are readily understandable the purpose and effect of that retained direct EU legislation.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This new clause would require Ministers to publish copies of retained direct EU legislation accompanied by ‘plain English’ and readily understandable summarising explanatory documents.
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Jeremy Corbyn
Mr Nicholas Brown
Keir Starmer
Jenny Chapman
Matthew Pennycook
Paul Blomfield

Paul Farrelly Ms Harriet Harman Diana Johnson

Schedule 5, page 36, line 9, at end insert—
“(c) any impact assessment conducted by Her Majesty’s Government that in any way concerns the economic and financial impact of in anyway altering, modifying or abolishing any relevant instrument.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require the Government to publish its economic impact assessments of the policy options for withdrawal from the EU.

Ian Blackford
Patrick Grady
Stephen Gethins
Kirsty Blackman
Peter Grant
Joanna Cherry

Martyn Day

Schedule 5, page 37, leave out paragraph 4

NEW CLAUSES AND NEW SCHEDULES RELATING TO CLAUSE 14 OR SCHEDULE 6, CLAUSE 14, SCHEDULE 6, REMAINING NEW CLAUSES, REMAINING NEW SCHEDULES, CLAUSE 15, SCHEDULES 8 AND 9, CLAUSES 18 AND 19, REMAINING PROCEEDINGS ON THE BILL

NEW CLAUSES AND NEW SCHEDULES RELATING TO CLAUSE 14 OR SCHEDULE 6, CLAUSE 14, SCHEDULE 6

Mr Chris Leslie
Mike Gapes
Chuka Umunna
Neil Coyle
Peter Kyle
Mr Ben Bradshaw

Stephen Doughty Paul Farrelly Mr David Lammy
Ian Murray Catherine McKinnell Helen Hayes
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Angela Smith  Mr George Howarth  Mary Creagh
Ann Coffey  Tulip Siddiq  Angus Brendan MacNeil
Martin Whitfield  Stephen Timms

To move the following Clause—

“New EU-UK Treaty to be ratified prior to exit day

Ministers shall not bring forward regulations to appoint ‘exit day’ unless both Houses of Parliament have ratified, by Act of Parliament, a new Treaty between the United Kingdom and the European Union which is due to take effect immediately upon the United Kingdom’s repeal of the European Communities Act 1972.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause would ensure that Parliament has ratified successor arrangements for a future relationship and Treaty between the EU and UK before ‘exit day’ can be appointed by Ministers.

Mr Chris Leslie
Mr David Lammy
Ian Murray
Stephen Doughty
Mike Gapes
Catherine McKinnell

Helen Hayes  Mr Ben Bradshaw  Angela Smith
Mary Creagh  Ann Coffey  Martin Whitfield
Mr George Howarth  Paul Farrelly  Neil Coyle
Tulip Siddiq  Stephen Timms

To move the following Clause—

“Customs duties

A Minister of the Crown may not make regulations to appoint exit day until Royal Assent is granted to an Act of Parliament making provision for the substitution of section 5 (customs duties) of the European Communities Act 1972 with provisions that shall allow the United Kingdom to remain a member of the EU common customs tariff and common commercial policy.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause would ensure that provisions allowing the UK to remain a member of the Customs Union, as currently set out in section 5 of the European Communities Act 1972 but set to be repealed by section 1 of this Act, will be enacted ahead of exit day.
Committee of the whole House: 13 December 2017
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Secretary David Davis

Clause 14, page 10, line 25, leave out from “means” to “(and” in line 26 and insert “29 March 2019 at 11.00 p.m.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment removes the power for a Minister of the Crown to appoint exit day by regulations and ensures that exit day is fixed at 29 March 2019 at 11.00 p.m. for all purposes.

Yvette Cooper
Ms Harriet Harman
Norman Lamb
Caroline Lucas
Anna Soubry
Helen Hayes

Mr David Lammy
Mr Ben Bradshaw
Stephen Gethins
Mrs Madeleine Moon

Clause 14, page 10, line 25, leave out from “means” to “(and” in line 26 and insert “the time specified by an Act of Parliament approving the final terms of withdrawal of the United Kingdom from the EU”.

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would require ‘exit day’ to be specified, for all purposes, in a separate bill seeking approval for the final terms of the withdrawal of the UK from the EU. It would therefore have the effect of requiring a statute on the withdrawal terms - whatever they might be - to be passed by Parliament before ‘exit day’.

Jeremy Corbyn
Mr Nicholas Brown
Keir Starmer
Jenny Chapman
Matthew Pennycook
Paul Blomfield

Valerie Vaz
Mr David Lammy
Helen Hayes
Ann Coffey
Wera Hobhouse
Mike Gapes
Ms Harriet Harman

Caroline Lucas
Ian Murray
Stephen Kinnock
Seema Malhotra
Hugh Gaffney
Tulip Siddiq
Diana Johnson

Paul Farrelly
Catherine McKinnell
Mr George Howarth
Jamie Stone
Martin Whitfield
Stephen Timms

Clause 14, page 10, line 25, leave out “a Minister of the Crown may by regulations’ and insert ‘Parliament may by a majority approval in both Houses”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment together with Amendments 44 and 45 would empower Parliament to control the length and basic terms of transitional arrangements, and would allow Parliament to start the clock on the sunset clauses within the Bill.
Clause 14, page 10, line 26, at end insert “but exit day must be the same day for the purposes of every provision of this Act.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

To prevent the creation of different exit days for different parts of the Act by SI.

Clause 14, page 10, line 26, at end insert—

““law relating to equality or human rights” means—

(a) the Equality Acts 2006 and 2010;
(b) the Human Rights Act 1998; and
(c) other enactments relating to equality or human rights.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment defines “law relating to equality or human rights” for the purposes of other amendments which would broaden protection provided by the Bill from interference with the Human Rights Act to include other provisions about human rights and equality.

Clause 14, page 10, line 36, at end insert—

““pending matter” means any litigation which has been commenced in any court or tribunal in the United Kingdom and which is not finally determined at exit day”.

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment provides a definition of pending cases for the purposes of Clause 6.
Clause 14, page 10, line 46, leave out “for a term of more than 2 years”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would prevent Ministers using delegated powers to create criminal offences which carry custodial sentences.

Clause 14, page 10, line 48, at end insert—

““retained case law” means—

(a) retained domestic case law, and

(b) retained EU case law;”

Clause 14, page 11, line 2, at end insert—

““retained domestic case law” means any principles laid down by, and any decisions of, a court or tribunal in the United Kingdom, as they have effect immediately before exit day and so far as they—

(a) relate to anything to which section 2, 3 or 4 applies, and

(b) are not excluded by section 5 or Schedule 1,

(as those principles and decisions are modified by or under this Act or by other domestic law from time to time);

“retained EU case law” means any principles laid down by, and any decisions of, the European Court, as they have effect in EU law immediately before exit day and so far as they—

(a) relate to anything to which section 2, 3 or 4 applies, and

(b) are not excluded by section 5 or Schedule 1,

(as those principles and decisions are modified by or under this Act or by other domestic law from time to time);

“retained EU law” means anything which, on or after exit day, continues to be, or forms part of, domestic law by virtue of section 2, 3 or 4 or subsection (3) or (6) above (as that body of law is added to or otherwise modified by or under this Act or by other domestic law from time to time);
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“retained general principles of EU law” means the general principles of EU law, as they have effect in EU law immediately before exit day and so far as they—

(a) relate to anything to which section 2, 3 or 4 applies, and
(b) are not excluded by section 5 or Schedule 1,
(as those principles are modified by or under this Act or by other domestic law from time to time).

Secretary David Davis

Clause 14, page 11, line 24, leave out from “Act” to end of line 32 and insert “references to before, after or on exit day, or to beginning with exit day, are to be read as references to before, after or at 11.00 p.m. on 29 March 2019 or (as the case may be) to beginning with 11.00 p.m. on that day.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment is consequential on amendment 381 and ensures that references to exit day in the Bill and other legislation operate correctly in relation to the time as well as the date of the United Kingdom’s withdrawal from the EU.

Yvette Cooper
Ms Harriet Harman
Norman Lamb
Caroline Lucas
Anna Soubry
Helen Hayes

Clause 14, page 11, line 24, leave out from “Act” to end of line 32 and insert “references to before, after or on exit day, or to beginning with exit day, are to be read as references to before, after or at the time specified by an Act of Parliament approving the final terms of withdrawal of the United Kingdom from the EU”.

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment is consequential on Amendment 386 and ensures that references to exit day in the Bill and other legislation operate correctly in relation to the time as well as the date of the United Kingdom’s withdrawal from the EU.

Jeremy Corbyn
Mr Nicholas Brown
Keir Starmer
Jenny Chapman
Matthew Pennycook
Paul Blomfield

Valerie Vaz
Mr David Lammy
Helen Hayes
Steve McCabe
Mike Gapes
Martin Whitfield
Ms Harriet Harman
Caroline Lucas
Ian Murray
Stephen Kinnock
Seema Malhotra
Wera Hobhouse
Vernon Coaker
Diana Johnson
Paul Farrelly
Catherine McKinnell
Ann Coffey
Jamie Stone
Hugh Gaffney
Stephen Timms

Clause 14, page 11, line 25, leave out “a Minister of the Crown” and insert “Parliament”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment together with Amendments 43 and 45 would empower Parliament to control the length and basic terms of transitional arrangements, and would allow Parliament to start the clock on the sunset clauses within the Bill.
Clause 14, page 11, line 30, leave out “a Minister of the Crown” and insert “Parliament”.

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment together with Amendments 43 and 44 would empower Parliament to control the length and basic terms of transitional arrangements, and would allow Parliament to start the clock on the sunset clauses within the Bill.

Clause 14, page 11, line 48, at end insert—

“(7) The Secretary of State may by regulations amend or modify the definition of “law relating to equality or human rights” in subsection (1).”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would allow Ministers to amend the definition of “law relating to equality or human rights” inserted by Amendment 371.

---

**REMAINING NEW CLAUSES, REMAINING NEW SCHEDULES, CLAUSE 15, SCHEDULES 8 AND 9, CLAUSES 18 AND 19, REMAINING PROCEEDINGS ON THE BILL**

Mr Chris Leslie
Chuka Umunna
Neil Coyle
Peter Kyle
Mr Ben Bradshaw
Stephen Doughty

Paul Farrelly Mr David Lammy Ian Murray
Kate Green Catherine McKinnell Helen Hayes
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To move the following Clause—

**“Committee of the Regions**

Her Majesty’s Government shall—

(a) maintain a full consultative role for local authorities throughout the process of withdrawal from the European Union, in due time and in an appropriate way in the planning and decision-making processes for all matters which concern them, and

(b) provide for a formal mechanism in domestic law fully to replicate the advisory role conferred on local authorities via membership of the European Union Committee of the Regions.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This new clause would ensure that the current consultative role that UK local government currently have via the EU Committee of the Regions would be replicated in the UK after exit day.

To move the following Clause—

**“European Economic Area**

The United Kingdom shall, after exit day, remain a member of the European Economic Area as set out in the European Economic Area Act 1993, and the provisions in Part 2 of Schedule 8 relating to the United Kingdom’s membership of the EEA shall not take effect until such time as Ministers have published a White Paper assessing the costs and benefits for the UK economy of remaining a member of the European Economic Area after exit day.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This new Clause would ensure that the UK can remain a member of the European Economic Area until such time as Ministers publish a specific assessment in the form of a White Paper setting out the costs and benefits for the UK of remaining a member after exit day.
Committee of the whole House: 13 December 2017
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Mr Chris Leslie
Stephen Timms
Mike Gapes
Chuka Umunna
Neil Coyle
Peter Kyle

Mr Ben Bradshaw  Stephen Doughty  Paul Farrelly
Mr David Lammy  Ian Murray  Mike Gapes
Caroline Lucas  Kerry McCarthy  Catherine McKinnell
Helen Hayes  Angela Smith  Rushanara Ali
Stella Creasy  Mary Creagh  Ann Coffey
Catherine West  Tulip Siddiq  Martin Whitfield

To move the following Clause—

“Transitional arrangements

Her Majesty’s Government shall, in pursuit of a new relationship between the United Kingdom and European Union after exit day, seek to negotiate and agree transitional arrangements with the European Union of sufficient duration to allow—

(a) the conclusion and coming into force of new trade agreements replicating as closely as possible all those trade agreements currently applying to the UK by virtue of its membership of the EU before exit day;

(b) an associate membership of the EU Single Market so that the regulatory settlement existing between the UK and EU before exit day can continue for the duration of transitional arrangements, which shall be not less than two years after exit day.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This new Clause would require the UK Government to seek transitional arrangements that would allow existing trade agreements which currently apply to the UK to be negotiated and continued for the circumstances applying after the UK has exited the EU, and would seek transitional arrangements including an associate membership of the EU Single Market for not less than two years following exit day.

Mr Chris Leslie
Stephen Timms
Mike Gapes
Chuka Umunna
Neil Coyle
Peter Kyle

Mr Ben Bradshaw  Stephen Doughty  Paul Farrelly
Mr David Lammy  Ian Murray  Catherine McKinnell
To move the following Clause—

“**Ongoing regulatory requirements**

After exit day the Secretary of State shall continue to assess all EU regulations, decisions and tertiary legislation and publish a report to both Houses of Parliament assessing the costs and benefits of each regulation and directive and whether HM Government should consider it expedient to propose a similar reform to UK domestic legislation in order to secure an ongoing regulatory alignment between the UK and the EU going forward.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

*After exit day the European Union is likely to continue to produce legislation, regulations and decisions that would have applied to the United Kingdom if we had remained a member of the EU. This new clause would require Ministers to publish an assessment of new and developing EU laws and regulations and whether there would be benefits or costs for the UK in adopting similar legal changes to UK domestic legislation with a view to maintaining regulatory alignment with the EU as far as possible.*

Heidi Alexander
Stephen Doughty
Chuka Umunna
Paul Farrelly
Mr David Lammy
Ian Murray
Mike Gapes
Helen Hayes
Stephen Kinnock
Ann Coffey
Martin Whitfield
Stephen Timms

To move the following Clause—

“**EFTA membership**

The Secretary of State shall, no later than six months after this Act has gained Royal Assent, lay a report before Parliament setting out an assessment of whether it would be in the interests of the United Kingdom to join the European Free Trade Association (EFTA) and, if so, whether it should remain a party to the EEA Agreement as a member of EFTA.”
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To move the following Clause—

“General Environmental Principles

(1) In carrying out their duties and functions arising by virtue of this Act, public authorities must have regard to and apply the principles set out in this section.

(2) Any duty or function conferred on a public authority must be construed and have effect in a way that is compatible with the principles in this section and the aim of achieving a high level of environmental protection and improvement of the quality of the environment.

(3) The principles in this section are—

(a) the need to promote sustainable development in the UK and overseas;

(b) the need to contribute to preserving, protecting and improving the environment;

(c) the need to contribute to prudent and rational utilisation of natural resources;

(d) the need to promote measures at international level to deal with regional or worldwide environmental problems, and in particular combating climate change;

(e) the precautionary principle as it relates to the environment;

(f) the principle that preventive action should be taken to avert environmental damage;

(g) the principle that environmental damage should as a priority be rectified at source;

(h) the polluter pays principle;

(i) the principle that environmental protection requirements must be integrated into the definition and implementation of policies and activities, in particular with a view to promoting sustainable development.

(j) the need to guarantee participatory rights including access to information, public participation in decision making and access to justice in relation to environmental matters.

(together the “environmental principles”).

(4) In carrying out their duties and functions, public authorities shall take account of—

(a) available scientific and technical data;

(b) environmental benefits and costs of action or lack of action; and

(c) economic and social development.
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(5) Public authorities, shall when making proposals concerning health, safety, environmental protection and consumer protection policy, take as a base a high level of protection, taking account in particular of any new development based on scientific facts.

(6) Subsection (7) applies in any proceedings in which a court or tribunal determines whether a provision of primary or subordinate legislation is compatible with the environmental principles.

(7) If the court is satisfied that the provision is incompatible with the environmental principles, it may make a declaration of that incompatibility.

(8) In formulating and implementing agriculture, fisheries, transport, research and technological development and space policies, public authorities shall pay full regard to the welfare requirements of animals as sentient beings, while respecting the administrative provisions and customs relating in particular to religious rites, cultural traditions and regional heritage.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause ensures that public authorities carrying out their duties arising by virtue of this act, must have regard to environmental principles currently enshrined in EU law.

Kate Green
Ann Coffey
Rushanara Ali
Mr Chris Leslie
Stella Creasy
Mrs Madeleine Moon
Angela Smith
Heidi Alexander
Stephen Doughty
Tulip Siddiq
Helen Hayes
Chuka Umunna
Catherine McKinnell
Mike Gapes
Mr George Howarth
Jo Swinson
Caroline Lucas
Mr Barry Sheerman
Lisa Nandy
Stephen Kinnock
Ian Murray
Mr David Lammy
Layla Moran
Neil Coyle
Martin Whitfield
Vernon Coaker
Paul Farrelly
Stephen Timms
Diana Johnson

To move the following Clause—

“Promotion of the safety and welfare of children and young people following withdrawal of the United Kingdom from the European Union

(1) The Secretary of State shall make the arrangements specified in this section for the purposes of safeguarding children and promoting their welfare from exit day onwards.

(2) The Secretary of State shall lay before Parliament a strategy for seeking continued co-operation with—

(a) the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (Europol),

(b) Eurojust, and

(c) the European Criminal Records Information System

on matters relating to the safety and welfare of children and young people.
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(3) The Secretary of State shall lay before Parliament a strategy for seeking continued participation in the European Arrest Warrant, in relation to the promotion of the safety and welfare of children and young people.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause would require the Government to lay before Parliament a strategy for maintaining co-operation with certain EU bodies and structures after exit day for the purposes of promoting the safety and welfare of children and young people.

Kate Green
Ann Coffey
Rushanara Ali
Mr Chris Leslie
Stella Creasy
Mrs Madeleine Moon
Angela Smith Heidi Alexander Stephen Doughty
Tulip Siddiq Helen Hayes Chuka Umunna
Catherine McKinnell Mike Gapes Mr George Howarth
Jo Swinson Caroline Lucas Mr Barry Sheerman
Lisa Nandy Stephen Kinnock Ian Murray
Seema Malhotra Mr David Lammy Layla Moran
Martin Whitfield Paul Farrelly Neil Coyle
Stephen Timms

To move the following Clause—

“Programmes eligible until exit day for support from the European Social Fund

The Secretary of State shall bring forward proposals for a fund to support, on and after exit day, programmes and projects which—

(a) relate to

(i) the promotion of social inclusion amongst children and young people,
(ii) efforts to combat poverty and discrimination amongst children and young people, and
(iii) investment in education, training and vocational training or skills and lifelong learning for children and young people, and

(b) would have been eligible for funding up until exit day by the European Social Fund.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause seeks to maintain financial support after exit day for projects and programmes which would have been eligible for funding from the European Social Fund.
“Mitigating any inflationary risks after exit day

(1) The Secretary of State shall lay before Parliament a strategy for mitigating any risks which withdrawal from the EU may present to low income families with children.

(2) The strategy set out in subsection (1) must include a commitment to assess each year whether rates of benefits and tax credits are maintaining value in real terms relative to costs of living as defined by the Consumer Prices Index.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This new clause would require the Secretary of State to lay before Parliament a strategy for mitigating any potential risks which withdrawal from the EU might present to low income families with children.
To move the following Clause—

“European Development Fund

The Secretary of State shall, by 30 September 2018, lay before Parliament a report on the Government’s policy on future payments into the European Development Fund.”

To move the following Clause—

“EU Citizens’ Severance Payments

The Secretary of State shall, by 30 September 2018, lay before Parliament a report on the Government’s policy on EU citizens’ rights to severance payments at EU agencies based in the UK.”

To move the following Clause—

“Diplomatic Staff

The Secretary of State shall, by 30 September 2018, lay before Parliament a report on the Government’s policy on future arrangements for the UK to second diplomatic staff members to the European Union External Action Service.”
European Union (Withdrawal) Bill, continued

Joanna Cherry
Dr Philippa Whitford
Martyn Day
Douglas Chapman

To move the following Clause—

“Duty to make arrangements for an independent evaluation: health and social care

(1) No later than 1 year after this Act is passed, the Secretary of State must make arrangements for the independent evaluation of the impact of this Act on the health and social care sector.

(2) The evaluation carried out by an independent person to be appointed by the Secretary of State, after consulting the Scottish Ministers, the Welsh Ministers and the relevant Northern Ireland departments, must analyse and assess—
   (a) the effects of this Act on the funding of the health and social care sector;
   (b) the effects of this Act on the health and social care workforce;
   (c) the impact of this Act on the economy, efficiency and effectiveness of the health and social care sector; and
   (d) any other such matters relevant to the impact of this Act upon the health and care sector.

(3) The person undertaking an evaluation under subsection (1) above must, in preparing an evaluation report, consult—
   (a) the Scottish Ministers, the Welsh Ministers and the relevant Northern Ireland department;
   (b) providers of health and social care services;
   (c) individuals requiring health and social care services;
   (d) organisations working for and on behalf of individuals requiring health and social care services; and
   (e) any persons whom the Secretary of State deems relevant.

(4) The Secretary of State must, as soon as reasonably practicable after receiving a report of the evaluation, lay a copy of the report before Parliament.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause would require an independent evaluation of the impact of the Act upon the health and social care sector to be made after consulting the Scottish Ministers, the Welsh Ministers and the relevant Northern Ireland department, service providers, those requiring health and social care services, and others.
To move the following Clause—

“European Economic Area (No. 2)

Nothing in this Act authorises the Prime Minister to give notice under Article 127 of the EEA Agreement of the United Kingdom’s intention to opt out of the EEA.”

To move the following Clause—

“Consultation assessing impact of no agreement with the EU for workers on withdrawal

Within six months of the passing of this Act, the Secretary of State must carry out a public consultation assessing the impact on—

(a) workers in the EU who are UK citizens, and
(b) workers in the UK who are EU citizens

if no agreement is reached with the European Union on the UK’s withdrawal.”

*Member’s explanatory statement*

This new clause would require the Secretary of State to carry out a public consultation within six months of the passing of the Act, assessing the impact of not having an EU withdrawal deal on workers in the EU who are UK citizens, and on workers in the UK who are EU citizens.
To move the following Clause—

“Assessing the impact of leaving the EU on social and medical care provision for disabled people

Within six months of the passing of this Act, the Secretary of State must publish an assessment of the impact of leaving the EU on social and medical care provision for disabled people living in the UK.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause would require the Secretary of State to publish within six months of the passing of this Act an assessment of the impact of leaving the EU on social and medical care provision for disabled people living in the UK.

To move the following Clause—

“Mutual Recognition Agreements

(1) In the course of negotiating a withdrawal agreement, Her Majesty’s Government shall seek to maintain after exit day the full range of mutual recognition agreements with which the United Kingdom has obtained rights of product conformity assessments and standards by virtue of its membership of the European Union.

(2) In respect of mutual recognition agreements relating to the safeguarding of public health, within one month of this Act being passed, the Secretary of State must publish a strategy for ensuring that existing UK notified bodies, in accordance with provisions laid out in the EU Medical Devices Regulation, may continue to conduct conformity assessment certification for both UK and EU medical devices to ensure continuity within and beyond the European Union.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause would require the UK Government to seek to maintain existing mutual recognition agreements and to publish a plan for UK notified bodies (such as the British Standards Institute) to continue to perform conformity assessments for medical devices and public health-related products deriving both within the UK and from across the EU.
“Duty to secure safe harbour

(1) It shall be the duty of the Prime Minister to seek to secure the United Kingdom’s continued membership of the Single Market and of the Customs Union until such time as the Prime Minister is satisfied that the conditions in subsections (2) and (3) are met.

(2) The condition in this subsection is that the United Kingdom and the European Union have reached an agreement on the future trading relationship between the United Kingdom and the European Union.

(3) The condition in this subsection is that the United Kingdom has developed a satisfactory framework for immigration controls in respect of nationals of European Union Member States not resident in the United Kingdom on the date on which the United Kingdom ceases to belong to the European Union.”

“Implementation and transition

(1) Her Majesty’s Government shall seek to secure a transition period prior to the implementation of the withdrawal agreement of not less than two years in duration, during which—

(a) access between EU and UK markets should continue on the terms existing prior to exit day,

(b) the structures of EU rules and regulations existing prior to exit day shall be maintained,

(c) the UK and EU shall continue to take part in the level of security cooperation existing prior to exit day,

(d) new processes and systems to underpin the future partnership between the EU and UK can be satisfactorily implemented, including a new immigration system and new regulatory arrangements,
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(e) financial commitments made by the United Kingdom during the course of UK membership of the EU shall be honoured.

(2) No Minister of the Crown shall appoint exit day if the implementation and transition period set out in subsection (1) does not feature in the withdrawal arrangements between the UK and the European Union.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause would ensure that the objectives set out by the Prime Minister in her Florence speech are given the force of law and, if no implementation and transition period is achieved in negotiations, then exit day may not be triggered by a Minister of the Crown. The appointment of an ‘exit day’ would therefore require a fresh Act of Parliament in such circumstances.

Peter Grant
Caroline Lucas
Stephen Doughty
Jo Swinson
Tom Brake
Kerry McCarthy
Layla Moran Mike Gapes Martyn Day
Mr Nigel Evans Robert Neill Angus Brendan MacNeil
Andrew Rosindell Paul Flynn Carol Monaghan
Martin Vickers Lady Hermon Joanna Cherry
Dr Philippa Whitford Alistair Carmichael Mr Stephen Hepburn

NC56

To move the following Clause—

“Saving of acquired rights: Gibraltar

(1) Nothing in this Act is to be construed as removing, replacing, altering or prejudicing the exercise of an acquired right.

(2) Any power, howsoever expressed, contained in this Act may not be exercised if the exercise of that power is likely to or will remove, replace or alter or prejudice the exercise of an acquired right.

(3) In subsection (2) a reference to a power includes a power to make regulations.

(4) In this section an acquired right means a right that existed immediately before exit day—

(a) whereby a person from or established in Gibraltar could exercise that right (either absolutely or subject to any qualification) in the United Kingdom; and

(b) the right arose in the context of the United Kingdom’s membership of the European Union and Gibraltar’s status as a European territory for whose external relations the United Kingdom is responsible within the meaning of Article 355(3) TFEU and to which the provisions of the EU Treaties apply, subject to the exceptions specified in the 1972 Act of Accession.

(5) Nothing in this section prevents the use of the powers conferred by this Act to the extent that acquired rights are not altered or otherwise affected to the detriment of persons enjoying such rights.”

Member’s explanatory statement
The purpose of this new clause is to ensure that the Bill does not remove or prejudice rights (for instance in the financial services field) which, as a result of the UK’s (and Gibraltar’s) common
Mr Chris Leslie
Dr Philippa Whitford
Martyn Day
Neil Coyle
Stephen Timms
Helen Hayes

Mr David Lammy

To move the following Clause—

“Mutual recognition of professional qualifications

(1) In the course of negotiating a withdrawal agreement, Her Majesty’s Government shall seek to maintain after exit day the mutual recognition of professional qualifications which the United Kingdom has obtained under Directives 2005/36/EC and 2013/55/EU by virtue of its membership of the European Union.

(2) HM Government shall ensure that competent authorities for the purpose of the European Union (Recognition of Professional Qualifications) Regulations 2015 may continue to recognise professional qualifications obtained in the European Union as equivalent to qualifications obtained in the UK after exit day to ensure continuity.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This new clause would (a) commit the Government to seeking to replicate in the withdrawal agreement the framework for mutual recognition of professional qualifications the UK has at present and (b) allow competent UK authorities to continue to recognise EU qualifications as equivalent to their UK counterparts.

Mary Creagh
Caroline Lucas
Martyn Day
Kerry McCarthy
Helen Hayes

To move the following Clause—

“Regulation for the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH)

(1) The Secretary of State must take all reasonable steps to ensure that the United Kingdom participates in the standards and procedures established by the Regulation for the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (“REACH”) (Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006) after exit day.
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(2) Subject to the provisions of the withdrawal agreement, steps under subsection (1) may include regulations under section 17, or another provision of this Act, providing for full or partial participation of the United Kingdom in REACH.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause would ensure that after withdrawal from the EU, the UK continued to participate in the Regulation for the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals.

Robert Neill
Stephen Hammond
Wes Streeting

To move the following Clause—

“Mutual market access for financial and professional services

(1) Before exit day, a Minister of the Crown must lay before Parliament a report assessing the progress made by Her Majesty’s Government in negotiating continued mutual access to markets in the EU and the United Kingdom for businesses providing financial or professional services.

(2) “Mutual access to markets” means the ability for a business established in any member State to provide services in or into the United Kingdom and vice versa.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause would require a Minister to report before exit day on the Government’s progress in negotiating mutual market access for financial and professional services.

Robert Neill
Stephen Hammond
Wes Streeting

To move the following Clause—

“Importation of food and feed: port health etc.

(1) Before exit day, a Minister of the Crown must lay before Parliament a report assessing the progress made by Her Majesty’s Government in negotiating—

(a) continued mutual recognition of standards, inspections, certifications and other official controls, and

(b) a continued basis for co-operation among public authorities, as between the United Kingdom and the EU in relation to food or animal feed—

(i) produced in, or imported from a third country into, the United Kingdom or a member State, and

(ii) subsequently exported from the United Kingdom to a member State, or vice versa.

(2) Any power of the Secretary of State or a Minister of the Crown (including a power under retained EU law) to make regulations requiring or authorising the charging of a fee or other charge in respect of the inspection of food or animal feed on its importation into the United Kingdom must, so far as reasonably practicable, be exercised so as to allow public authorities conducting such
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inspections fully to recover any costs incurred in the carrying out of such inspections.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause would require a Minister to report before exit day on the Government’s progress in negotiating mutual recognition of controls on food and feed imports. It would also require the Government to permit, so far as possible, full cost recovery for authorities carrying out border inspections of food or feed.

Tom Brake
Stephen Kinnock
Tim Farron

To move the following Clause—

“Non-regression of equality law

(1) Any EU withdrawal related legislation must be accompanied by a statement made by a Minister of the Crown certifying that in the Minister’s opinion the legislation does not remove or reduce protection under or by virtue of the Equality Acts 2006 and 2010.

(2) In subsection (1) “EU withdrawal related legislation” means—

(a) any statutory instrument under this Act;
(b) any statutory instrument made by a Minister of the Crown wholly or partly in connection with the United Kingdom’s withdrawal from the EU; and
(c) any Bill presented to Parliament by a Minister of the Crown which is wholly or partly connected to the United Kingdom’s withdrawal from the EU.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause would ensure that legislation in connection with withdrawal from the EU does not reduce protections provided by equality law.

Jess Phillips
Stephen Timms
Caroline Lucas
Liz Saville Roberts
Ms Harriet Harman
Dr Philippa Whitford

Rushanara Ali
Ms Marie Rimmer
Catherine West
Ian Blackford
Hywel Williams

Stephen Kinnock
Yvette Cooper
Sarah Champion
Alison Thewliss
Tom Brake

Mr David Lammy
Tim Farron
Helen Hayes
Stuart C. McDonald
Mr Alistair Carmichael
To move the following Clause—

“Co-operation with the European Union on violence against women and girls

(1) Within one month of Royal Assent to this Act, and then once in every subsequent calendar year, the Secretary of State shall lay before Parliament a report on continued co-operation with the European Union on matters relating to violence against women and girls.

(2) That report must include, in particular, an assessment of how, following exit day, co-operation with the European Union will replicate mechanisms which exist within the European Union before exit day to—

(a) maintain common rights for victims of domestic and sexual abuse when moving across borders,
(b) reduce female genital mutilation (FGM),
(c) reduce human trafficking,
(d) reduce child sexual exploitation, and
(e) enable data sharing relating to any of (a) to (d).

(3) The first report made under subsection (1) following Royal Assent must—

(a) include an assessment of the amount and nature of funding provided by European Union institutions to organisations based in the United Kingdom for the purposes of research, service provision, and other activity relating to ending violence against women and girls, and;
(b) outline plans to provide comparable resources for research, service provision, and other activity relating to ending violence against women and girls in the United Kingdom.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause calls for the Government to lay a report before Parliament laying out how cross-border action to end violence against women and girls will continue after exit day, assessing the extent of current European Union funding for work to end violence against women and girls, and setting out the Government’s plans to provide comparable resources.
To move the following Clause—

“Strategy for UK wind energy sector

(1) Within six months of any vote in the House of Commons on the terms of withdrawal from the EU, the Secretary of State shall lay before Parliament a strategy for supporting the UK wind energy sector in its ability to export competitively to markets in the EU.

(2) The strategy set out in subsection (1) must assess the impact that—
   (a) tariffs,
   (b) quotas,
   (c) customs checks, and
   (d) other non-tariff barriers

arising from any withdrawal agreement with the EU will have on the UK wind energy sector’s ability to export competitively to EU markets over the next twenty years.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause would require the Secretary of State to lay before Parliament a strategy for supporting the UK wind energy sector in its ability to export competitively to markets in the EU following exit day, and to do this within six months of any vote in the House of Commons on the terms of withdrawal.

To move the following Clause—

“UK higher education sector: participation in EU programmes

(1) Within six months of any vote in the House of Commons on the terms of withdrawal from the EU, the Secretary of State shall lay before Parliament a strategy setting out its intentions regarding the nature of the UK higher education sector’s future participation in—
   (a) the 2014-2020 Horizon 2020 programme,
   (b) the Erasmus+ Exchange programme, and
   (c) future EU research, collaboration and student exchange programmes.

(2) The strategy set out in subsection (1) must set out its intentions regarding the extent to which the UK higher education sector will be able to access existing and future EU programmes after exit day both—
   (a) during any transitional period, and
   (b) following any transitional period.

(3) The strategy set out in subsection (1) must also estimate the future impact that any withdrawal agreement will have on the UK higher education sector in terms of—
   (a) the financing of future research,
   (b) the quality of future research, measured according to the Research Excellence Framework, and
   (c) the ability to participate in future EU-wide collaborative research programmes in the twenty years starting from the day on which this Act receives Royal Assent.
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(4) The strategy set out in subsection (1) must also set out the extent to which UK Government funds will address any shortfalls identified from calculations and estimates made as a result of subsections (2) and (3).”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause would require the Secretary of State, within six months of any vote in the House of Commons on the terms of withdrawal, to lay before Parliament a strategy setting out its intentions for the UK higher education sector’s future participation in current and future EU research, collaboration and student exchange programmes following exit day. This strategy would have to set out the long-term impact that the withdrawal agreement will have on the UK’s future participation, and set out the extent to which UK Government funds would mitigate this impact.

Kerry McCarthy

“Strategy for economic and social cohesion principles derived from Article 174 of TFEU

(1) The Secretary of State shall, before 31 December 2018, lay before Parliament a strategy for developing principles for economic and social cohesion derived from Article 174 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union.

(2) The strategy laid under subsection (1) shall state the principles derived from Article 174 of TFEU.

(3) The principles under subsection (2) shall form part of UK domestic law on and after the day of the UK’s withdrawal from the EU.

(4) The aims of the strategy under subsection (1) shall be—
(a) to reduce inequalities between communities, and
(b) to reduce disparities between the levels of development of regions of the UK, with particular regard to—
(i) regions with increased levels of deprivation,
(ii) rural and island areas,
(iii) areas affected by industrial transition, and
(iv) regions which suffer from severe and permanent natural or demographic handicaps.

(5) A Minister of the Crown may by regulations make provision for programmes to implement the strategy.

(6) Programmes under subsection (5) shall run for a minimum of ten years and shall be independently monitored.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause would enshrine in domestic law the principles underlying Article 174 (Title XVIII) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union.
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Robert Neill
Stephen Hammond
Wes Streeting

Schedule 8, page 49, line 4, after “document” insert “(not including a contract)”.

*Member’s explanatory statement*

The amendment would make clear that the Bill does not modify the interpretation of contracts relating to EU law.

Kerry McCarthy
Caroline Lucas
Mary Creagh
Mr Ben Bradshaw
Darren Jones
Ruth Cadbury

Catherine McKinnell
Ms Angela Eagle
Mrs Madeleine Moon
Ann Coffey
Wera Hobhouse
Patrick Grady
Layla Moran

Stella Creasy
Ian Murray
Helen Hayes
Mr Dominic Grieve
Martin Whitfield
Angus Brendan MacNeil

Ms Karen Buck
Stephen Doughty
Mr David Lammy
Mike Gapes
Paul Farrelly
Tim Farron

Schedule 8, page 50, line 2, leave out paragraph 3

*Member’s explanatory statement*

This amendment would remove the additional power provided in paragraph 3.

Ian Murray
Stephen Doughty
Stephen Gethins
Hywel Williams
Jo Swinson
Caroline Lucas

Ian Blackford
Stephen Kinnock
Mike Gapes
Wera Hobhouse

Tom Brake
Ann Coffey
Mr David Lammy
Martin Whitfield

Mr Alistair Carmichael
Susan Elan Jones
Mrs Madeleine Moon
Albert Owen

Schedule 8, page 50, line 19, leave out “section 57(4) of the Scotland Act 1998, section 80(8) of the Government of Wales Act 2006 or”

*Member’s explanatory statement*

This amendment and Amendment 190 are in consequence of Amendment 165 as no restriction relating to retained EU law on the making of subordinate legislation by the Scottish Ministers and the Welsh Ministers would apply.
Schedule 8, page 50, line 41, leave out paragraph 5

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would remove the future powers to make subordinate legislation in paragraph 5.

Schedule 8, page 51, line 1, leave out “section 57(4) of the Scotland Act 1998, section 80(8) of the Government of Wales Act 2006 or”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment and Amendment 189 are in consequence of Amendment 165 to Schedule 3 as no restriction relating to retained EU law on the making of subordinate legislation by the Scottish Ministers and the Welsh Ministers will apply.
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Liz Saville Roberts  Jonathan Edwards  Ben Lake
Ian Blackford  Patrick Grady  Kirsty Blackman
Ann Coffey  Jamie Stone  Wera Hobhouse
Martin Whitfield  Tulip Siddiq

Schedule 8, page 54, leave out paragraphs 12 to 17

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment would retain the provisions of the European Economic Area Act 1993 as part of domestic legislation beyond exit day.

Stephen Gethins
Ian Murray
Stephen Doughty
Hywel Williams
Jo Swinson
Caroline Lucas

Ian Blackford  Tom Brake  Mr Alistair Carmichael
Stephen Kinnock  Ann Coffey  Mike Gapes
Mrs Madeleine Moon  Wera Hobhouse  Martin Whitfield
Martyn Day

Schedule 8, page 55, leave out lines 8 to 13 and insert—

“(a) in paragraph (a), omit sub-paragraph (ii), and
(b) in paragraph (b), omit “or with EU law”.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would amend the Criminal Procedure (Scotland) Act 1995 on the right of the Advocate General to take part in proceedings in consequence of removing the restriction on the competence of the Scottish Parliament and Scottish Government by Amendments 164 and 165 to Clause 11 and Schedule 3.

Stephen Kinnock

Schedule 8, page 55, line 16, leave out sub-paragraph (1) and insert—

“(1) For the purposes of the Human Rights Act 1998, any retained EU legislation is to be treated as subordinate legislation and not primary legislation.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would amend the status of EU-derived domestic legislation to subordinate legislation for the purposes of the Human Rights Act 1998.
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Mr Dominic Grieve
Mr Kenneth Clarke
Nicky Morgan
Anna Soubry
Antoinette Sandbach
Stephen Hammond

Dr Sarah Wollaston  Jeremy Leffroy  Robert Neill
Chuka Umunna  Caroline Lucas  Paul Farrelly
Ian Murray  Mike Gapes  Catherine McKinnell
Stephen Doughty  Heidi Allen  Helen Hayes
Mr Ben Bradshaw  Angela Smith  Mr David Lammy
Stella Creasy  Mr George Howarth  Stephen Kinnock
Ann Coffey  Steve McCabe  Seema Malhotra
Jamie Stone  Lady Hermon  Mr Gavin Shuker
Kate Green  Dr Philippa Whitford  Wera Hobhouse
Martin Whitfield  Martyn Day  Darren Jones
Tulip Siddiq  Kerry McCarthy

Schedule 8, page 55, line 17, leave out “primary legislation and not”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would remove the proposal to allow secondary legislation to be treated as primary for the purposes of the Human Rights Act 1998.

Mary Creagh
Mike Gapes
Neil Coyle
Maria Eagle
Helen Hayes
Mr David Lammy

Ann Coffey  Paul Farrelly  Kerry McCarthy

Schedule 8, page 58, line 16, leave out “4” and insert “3”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment, together with Amendments 139 and 141, would restore the right to obtain damages after exit day in respect of governmental failures before exit day to comply with European Union obligations.

Mary Creagh
Mike Gapes
Neil Coyle
Maria Eagle
Helen Hayes
Mr David Lammy

Ann Coffey  Paul Farrelly  Kerry McCarthy

Schedule 8, page 58, line 24, leave out “4” and insert “3”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment, together with Amendments 139 and 140, would restore the right to obtain damages after exit day in respect of governmental failures before exit day to comply with European Union obligations.
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Mary Creagh
Kerry McCarthy
Helen Hayes

Schedule 8, page 58, line 31, leave out paragraph 28 and insert—

“(1) The prohibition on making regulations under section 7, 8, or Schedule 2 after a particular time does not affect the continuation in force of regulations made at or before that time, except where subparagraphs (2) and (3) apply.

(2) Regulations may not be made under powers conferred by regulations made under section 7, 8, or Schedule 2 after the end of the period of two years beginning with exit day.

(3) Regulations made under powers conferred by regulations made under section 7, 8, or Schedule 2 may not be made during the two year period in subparagraph (2) unless a draft has been laid before, and approved by a resolution of, each House of Parliament.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would require all tertiary legislation made under powers conferred by regulations to be subject to Parliamentary control.

Ian Murray
Stephen Doughty
Stephen Gethins
Hywel Williams
Jo Swinson
Caroline Lucas

Schedule 8, page 59, leave out lines 10 to 16

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment, with Amendments 193, 194 and 195, is in consequence of Amendments 164 and 165 to Clause 11 and Schedule 3 which would remove the powers to make an Order in Council to adjust the new restriction on the legislative competence of the Scottish Parliament and the National Assembly for Wales.

Ian Murray
Stephen Doughty
Stephen Gethins
Hywel Williams
Jo Swinson
Caroline Lucas

Schedule 8, page 59, leave out lines 23 to 29

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment, with Amendments 192, 194 and 195, is in consequence of Amendments 164 and 165 to Clause 11 and Schedule 3 which would remove the powers to make an Order in Council to adjust the new restriction on the legislative competence of the Scottish Parliament and the National Assembly for Wales.
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Ian Murray
Stephen Doughty
Stephen Gethins
Hywel Williams
Jo Swinson
Caroline Lucas

Ian Murray
Stephen Doughty
Stephen Gethins
Hywel Williams
Jo Swinson
Caroline Lucas

Ian Blackford Tom Brake Mr Alistair Carmichael
Stephen Kinnock Ann Coffey Susan Elan Jones
Mike Gapes Mrs Madeleine Moon Wera Hobhouse
Martin Whitfield Albert Owen Martyn Day

Schedule 8, page 59, line 47, leave out from beginning to end of line 8 on page 60

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment, with Amendments 192, 193 and 195, is in consequence of Amendments 164 and 165 to Clause 11 and Schedule 3 which would remove the powers to make an Order in Council to adjust the new restriction on the legislative competence of the Scottish Parliament and the National Assembly for Wales.

Hywel Williams
Liz Saville Roberts
Jonathan Edwards
Ben Lake
Joanna Cherry
Wera Hobhouse

Martyn Day

Clause 19, page 14, line 32, at end insert—

“(a) section 1(2);”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment is a consequential amendment resulting from Amendments 78, 79 and 80 to Clause 1 requiring the Prime Minister to reach an agreement on EEA and Customs Union.
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membership, to gain the consent of the devolved legislatures and to report on the effect leaving the EU will have on the block grant before implementing section 1 of this Act.

Tom Brake
Sir Vince Cable
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Caroline Lucas
Tim Farron
Wera Hobhouse

Layla Moran
Jamie Stone
Norman Lamb
Mr Roger Godsiff

Clause 19, page 14, line 40, leave out subsection (2) and insert—

“(2) The remaining provisions of this Act come into force once following a referendum on whether the United Kingdom should approve the United Kingdom and Gibraltar exit package proposed by HM Government at conclusion of the negotiations triggered by Article 50(2) for withdrawal from the European Union or remain a member of the European Union.

(2A) The Secretary of State must, by regulations, appoint the day on which the referendum is to be held.

(2B) The question that is to appear on the ballot papers is—“Do you support the Government’s proposed new agreement between the United Kingdom and Gibraltar and the European Union or Should the United Kingdom remain a member of the European Union?”

(2C) The Secretary of State may make regulations by statutory instrument on the conduct of the referendum.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment is intended to ensure that before March 2019 (or the end of any extension to the two-year negotiation period) a referendum on the terms of the deal has to be held and provides the text of the referendum question.

Hywel Williams
Liz Saville Roberts
Jonathan Edwards
Ben Lake
Joanna Cherry
Caroline Lucas

Wera Hobhouse
Martyn Day

Clause 19, page 14, line 40, at beginning insert “Subject to subsection (2A)”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment is a consequential amendment resulting from Amendments 78, 79 and 80 to Clause 1 requiring the Prime Minister to reach an agreement on EEA and Customs Union membership, gain the consent of the devolved legislatures and report on the effect leaving the EU will have on the block grant before implementing section 1 of this Act.
Clause 19, page 14, line 42, at end insert—
“(2A) But regulations bringing into force section 1 may not be made until the Prime Minister is satisfied that an agreement has been reached for the United Kingdom to remain a member of the EEA and of the Customs Union.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**
This amendment would make the repeal of the European Communities Act 1972 on exit day conditional on the Prime Minister reaching an agreement for the United Kingdom to remain a member of the EEA and Customs Union.

Clause 19, page 14, line 42, at end insert—
“(2A) But regulations bringing into force section 1 may not be made until the Prime Minister is satisfied that resolutions have been passed by the Scottish Parliament, the National Assembly for Wales and the Northern Ireland Assembly signifying consent to the commencement of section 1.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**
This amendment makes the repeal of the European Communities Act 1972 on exit day conditional on the Prime Minister gaining consent from the devolved legislatures.

Clause 19, page 14, line 42, at end insert—
“(2A) But regulations bringing into force section 1 may not be made until the Secretary of State lays a report before—
(a) Parliament, and
(b) the National Assembly for Wales
outlining the effect of the United Kingdom’s withdrawal from the EU on the National Assembly for Wales’s block grant.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**
This amendment would require the UK Government to lay a report before the National Assembly for Wales outlining the effect of the UK’s withdrawal from the EU on Welsh finances, before exercising the power under section 1. This would allow for scrutiny of the Leave Campaign’s promise to maintain current levels of EU funding for Wales.
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Hywel Williams
Liz Saville Roberts
Jonathan Edwards
Ben Lake

Clause 19, page 14, line 42, at end insert—

“(2A) But regulations bringing into force section 1 may not be made until the Secretary of State lays a report before—

(a) Parliament, and

(b) the National Assembly for Wales

outlining the effect of the United Kingdom’s withdrawal from the Single Market and Customs Union on the Welsh economy.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would require the UK Government to lay a report before Parliament and the National Assembly for Wales outlining the effect of the UK’s withdrawal from the EU Single Market and Customs Union before exercising the powers in section 1.

Ian Blackford
Patrick Grady
Kirsty Blackman
Hannah Bardell
Martyn Day
Douglas Chapman

Clause 19, page 14, line 42, at end insert—

“(2A) A Minister of the Crown may not appoint a day for any provision of this Act to come into force until the Secretary of State has published a report on which Scottish products will be identified with geographical indications in any future trade deal that Her Majesty’s Government seeks to negotiate after the United Kingdom’s withdrawal from the European Union, and has laid a copy of the report before Parliament.’

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would require publication of a Government report on which Scottish products will be identified with geographical indications in any future trade deal that Her Majesty’s Government negotiates after the United Kingdom’s withdrawal from the European Union.

Ian Blackford
Patrick Grady
Kirsty Blackman
Drew Hendry
Martyn Day
Douglas Chapman

Clause 19, page 14, line 42, at end insert—

“(2A) A Minister of the Crown may not appoint a day for any provision of this Act to come into force until a Minister of the Crown has published an assessment of the effect of the United Kingdom’s withdrawal from the EU on Scottish businesses and laid a copy of the assessment before Parliament.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would require publication of a Government assessment of the impact of the United Kingdom’s withdrawal from the EU on Scottish businesses.
Clause 19, page 14, line 42, at end insert—

“(2A) A Minister of the Crown may not appoint a day for any provision of this Act to come into force until a Minister of the Crown has published an assessment of the effect of the United Kingdom’s withdrawal from the EU on food and drink safety and quality standards, and has laid a copy of the assessment before Parliament.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would require publication of a Government assessment of the impact of the United Kingdom’s withdrawal from the EU on food and drink safety and quality standards.

ORDER OF THE HOUSE [11 SEPTEMBER 2017]

That the following provisions shall apply to the European Union (Withdrawal) Bill:

**Committal**

1. The Bill shall be committed to a Committee of the whole House.

**Proceedings in Committee**

2. Proceedings in Committee of the whole House shall be completed in eight days.

3. The proceedings shall be taken on each of those days as shown in the first column of the following Table and in the order so shown.

4. The proceedings shall (so far as not previously concluded) be brought to a conclusion at the times specified in the second column of the Table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proceedings</th>
<th>Time for conclusion of proceedings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First day</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Clauses and new Schedules relating to Clause 1, Clause 1</td>
<td>Four hours from the commencement of proceedings on the Bill on the first day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Clauses and new Schedules relating to Clause 6, Clause 6</td>
<td>Eight hours from the commencement of proceedings on the Bill on the first day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second day</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Clauses and new Schedules relating to Clause 2, Clause 2, new Clauses and new Schedules relating to Clause 3, Clause 3, new Clauses and new Schedules relating to Clause 4, Clause 4</td>
<td>Eight hours from the commencement of proceedings on the Bill on the second day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceedings</td>
<td>Time for conclusion of proceedings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third day</td>
<td>Eight hours from the commencement of proceedings on the Bill on the third day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Clauses and new Schedules relating to Clause 5 or Schedule 1, Clause 5, Schedule 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth day</td>
<td>Eight hours from the commencement of proceedings on the Bill on the fourth day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Clauses and new Schedules relating to Clause 11 or Schedule 3, Clause 11, Schedule 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fifth day</td>
<td>Four hours from the commencement of proceedings on the Bill on the fifth day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Clauses and new Schedules relating to Clause 10 or Schedule 2, Clause 10, Schedule 2</td>
<td>Eight hours from the commencement of proceedings on the Bill on the fifth day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Clauses and new Schedules relating to Clause 12 or Schedule 4, Clause 12, Schedule 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sixth day</td>
<td>Eight hours from the commencement of proceedings on the Bill on the sixth day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Clauses and New Schedules relating to Clause 7, Clause 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seventh day</td>
<td>Six hours from the commencement of proceedings on the Bill on the seventh day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Clauses and new Schedules relating to Clause 9, Clause 9, new</td>
<td>Eight hours from the commencement of proceedings on the Bill on the seventh day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clauses and new Schedules relating to Clause 16 or Schedule 7, Clause 16, Schedule 7, Clause 17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Clauses and new Schedules relating to Clause 8, Clause 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eighth day</td>
<td>Four hours from the commencement of proceedings on the Bill on the eighth day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Clauses and new Schedules relating to Clause 13 or Schedule 5, Clause 13, Schedule 5</td>
<td>Eight hours from the commencement of proceedings on the Bill on the eighth day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Clauses and new Schedules relating to Clause 14 or Schedule 6, Clause 14, Schedule 6, remaining new Schedules, Clause 15, Schedules 8 and 9, Clauses 18 and 19, remaining proceedings on the Bill</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Proceedings on Consideration and up to and including Third Reading

5. Any proceedings on Consideration, any proceedings in legislative grand committee and proceedings on Third Reading shall be taken in two days in accordance with the following provisions of this Order.

6. Any proceedings on Consideration and any proceedings in legislative grand committee shall (so far as not previously concluded) be brought to a conclusion two hours before the moment of interruption on the second day.

7. Proceedings on Third Reading shall (so far as not previously concluded) be brought to a conclusion at the moment of interruption on the second day.

Programming committee

8. Standing Order No. 83B (Programming committees) shall not apply to proceedings in Committee of the whole House, to any proceedings on Consideration or to other proceedings up to and including Third Reading.

Other proceedings

9. Any other proceedings on the Bill may be programmed.

NOTICES WITHDRAWN

The following Notices were withdrawn on 12 September 2017:

14 (duplicate of 10) and 125 (duplicate of 62)

The following Notices were withdrawn on 27 October 2017:

341

The following Notices were withdrawn on 6 November 2017:

204

The following Notices were withdrawn on 9 November 2017:

375, 377, 378, 379, NC73, NC74,

The following Notices were withdrawn on 13 November 2017:

117

The following Notices were withdrawn on 14 November 2017:

112, 119