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This document includes all amendments tabled to date and includes any withdrawn amendments at the end. The amendments have been arranged in accordance with the Order of the House [11 September 2017].
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NEW CLAUSES AND NEW SCHEDULES RELATING TO CLAUSE 1, CLAUSE 1

Frank Field
Ruth Smeeth
Mr Ronnie Campbell
Gareth Snell
Kelvin Hopkins
Graham Stringer

John Grogan

To move the following Clause—

“Date of exit from the European Union

The United Kingdom ceases to belong to the European Union on 30 March 2019.”
Clause 1, page 1, line 3, at beginning insert “Subject to ratification by both Houses of Parliament of a new Treaty between the European Union and the United Kingdom,”

*Member’s explanatory statement*

This amendment would ensure that the United Kingdom does not repeal the European Communities Act 1972 and exit the European Union unless and until a new Treaty establishing a future relationship between the UK and EU has been agreed and ratified by Parliament.

Clause 1, page 1, line 3, after “1972”, insert “, except for section 5 of and Schedule 2 to that Act,”

*Member’s explanatory statement*

This amendment would ensure that the existing legal framework for customs duties and the Customs Union common external tariff framework would continue to be in effect after exit day.

Clause 1, page 1, line 3, at end insert “, if the requirement in subsection (2) is met.

(2) The European Communities Act 1972 shall only be repealed under subsection (1) if an agreement has been reached with the European Council that failure by the Parliament of the United Kingdom to approve the terms of exit for the UK will result in the maintenance of UK membership on existing terms.”
Clause 1, page 1, line 3, at end insert—

“(2) Regulations under section 19(2) bringing into force subsection (1) may not be made until the Prime Minister is satisfied that an agreement has been reached for the United Kingdom to remain a member of the EEA and of the Customs Union.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would make the repeal of the European Communities Act 1972 on exit day conditional on the Prime Minister reaching an agreement for the United Kingdom to remain a member of the EEA and Customs Union.

Hywel Williams
Liz Saville Roberts
Jonathan Edwards
Ben Lake
Caroline Lucas
Joanna Cherry

Jamie Stone
Jo Swinson
Peter Grant

Clause 1, page 1, line 3, at end insert—

“(2) Regulations under section 19(2) bringing into force subsection (1) may not be made until the Prime Minister is satisfied that resolutions have been passed by the Scottish Parliament, the National Assembly for Wales and the Northern Ireland Assembly signifying consent to the commencement of subsection (1).”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would make the repeal of the European Communities Act 1972 on exit day conditional on the Prime Minister gaining consent from the devolved legislatures.
Clause 1, page 1, line 3, at end insert—

“(2) Regulations under section 19(2) bringing into force subsection (1) may not be made until the Secretary of State lays a report before—

(a) Parliament, and

(b) the National Assembly for Wales

outlining the effect of the United Kingdom’s withdrawal from the EU on the National Assembly for Wales’s block grant.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require the UK Government to lay a report before the National Assembly for Wales outlining the effect of the UK’s withdrawal from the EU on Welsh finances, before exercising the power under section 1. This would allow for scrutiny of the Leave Campaign’s promise to maintain current levels of EU funding for Wales.

NEW CLAUSES AND NEW SCHEDULES RELATING TO CLAUSE 6, CLAUSE 6

To move the following Clause—

“Interpretation of retained EU law during transitional period

Within one month of Royal Assent of this Act the Secretary of State shall lay a report before Parliament setting out how the interpretation of retained EU law provisions in section 6 shall operate in the event of a transitional period being agreed between the United Kingdom and the European Union ahead of the implementation of a withdrawal agreement.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause would ensure that Ministers must set out in detail how the provisions in Clause 6 would apply during a transitional period before the United Kingdom fully implements a withdrawal agreement.
To move the following Clause—

“Retention of principles of EU environmental law

(1) On and after exit day the environmental principles of European Union law become principles of United Kingdom law in accordance with this section.

(2) The “environmental principles of EU law” are the principles set out in Article 191 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (the precautionary principle; the principle that preventive action should be taken; the principle that environmental damage should as a priority be rectified at source and that the polluter should pay).

(3) A court or tribunal interpreting or applying an enactment must, so far as it is possible to do so, construe or apply the enactment in a manner that is compatible with the environmental principles of EU law.

(4) A public authority must, in the exercise of its functions, have regard to the environmental principles of EU law.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause would ensure that after withdrawal from the EU, the environmental principles of EU law would be retained as part of UK law.

Jeremy Corbyn
Mr Nicholas Brown
Keir Starmer
Jenny Chapman
Matthew Pennycook
Paul Blomfield

 Clause 6, page 3, line 32, after “exit day” insert “as appointed in accordance with subsection (6A)”

Member’s explanatory statement
This paving amendment is intended to allow for transitional arrangements within the existing structure of rules and regulations.

Mrs Cheryl Gillan

Clause 6, page 3, line 32, after “Court” insert—

“except in relation to anything that happened before that day”.

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would bind UK courts to European Court principles laid down or decisions made after exit day if they related to an act before exit day.

Ian Blackford
Peter Grant
Joanna Cherry
Patrick Grady

Clause 6, page 3, line 33, after “matter” insert “(other than a pending matter)”
Clause 6, page 3, line 33, after “exit day” insert “as appointed in accordance with subsection (6A)”

Member’s explanatory statement

This paving amendment is intended to allow for transitional arrangements within the existing structure of rules and regulations.

Mrs Cheryl Gillan

Clause 6, page 3, line 33, at end insert—

“except in relation to anything that happened before that day.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would enable UK courts to refer matters to the European Court on or after exit day if those matters related to an act before exit day.

Joanna Cherry
Tom Brake
Sir Vince Cable
Jo Swinson
Sir Edward Davey

Clause 6, page 3, line 34, leave out subsection (2) and insert—

“(2) When interpreting retained EU law after exit day a court or tribunal shall pay due regard to any relevant decision of the European Court.”

Jeremy Corbyn
Mr Nicholas Brown
Keir Starmer
Jenny Chapman
Matthew Pennycook
Paul Blomfield

Mr David Lammy

Clause 6, page 3, line 34, leave out from “but” to end of line 36 and insert ‘a court
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or tribunal has a duty to take account of anything done by the European Court in relation to—

(a) employment entitlement, rights and protections;
(b) equality entitlements, rights and protections;
(c) health and safety entitlement, rights and protections.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would help to ensure that Britain continues to have harmonious social standards with the EU.

Jeremy Corbyn
Mr Nicholas Brown
Keir Starmer
Jenny Chapman
Matthew Pennycook
Paul Blomfield

Ian Murray Mr David Lammy 278

Clause 6, page 4, line 19, at end insert—

“(6A) The exit day appointed (in accordance with Clause 14 and paragraph 13 of Schedule 7) for the purposes of subsections (1) and (2) must not be before the end of any transitional period agreed under Article 50 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This paving amendment is intended to allow for transitional arrangements within the existing structure of rules and regulations.

Ian Blackford
Peter Grant
Joanna Cherry
Patrick Grady

Clause 6, page 4, line 20, leave out subsection (7) 203

Jeremy Corbyn
Mr Nicholas Brown
Keir Starmer
Jenny Chapman
Matthew Pennycook
Paul Blomfield

Ian Murray Mr David Lammy 282

Clause 6, page 4, line 26, after “exit day” insert “as appointed in accordance with subsection (6A)”

Member’s explanatory statement
This consequential Amendment is intended to allow for transitional arrangements within the existing structure of rules and regulations.
clause 6, page 4, line 33, after “exit day” insert “as appointed in accordance with subsection (6A)”

Member’s explanatory statement
This consequential Amendment is intended to allow for transitional arrangements within the existing structure of rules and regulations.

Jeremy Corbyn
Mr Nicholas Brown
Keir Starmer
Jenny Chapman
Matthew Pennycook
Paul Blomfield
Jeremy Corbyn  
Mr Nicholas Brown  
Keir Starmer  
Jenny Chapman  
Matthew Pennycook  
Paul Blomfield

Valerie Vaz  
Mr David Lammy  
Kerry McCarthy  
Helen Hayes  
Susan Elan Jones  
Chris Bryant

Caroline Lucas  
Ms Harriet Harman  
Catherine McKinnell  
Stephen Kinnock  
Seema Malhotra  
Catherine West

To move the following Clause—

“Retaining Enhanced Protection

Regulations provided for by Acts of Parliament other than this Act may not be used by Ministers of the Crown to amend or modify retained EU law in the following areas—

(a) employment entitlement, rights and protections;
(b) equality entitlements, rights and protections;
(c) health and safety entitlement, rights and protections;
(d) fundamental rights as defined in the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights."

Member’s explanatory statement

This new clause would prevent delegated powers from other Acts being used to alter workplace protections, equality provisions, health and safety regulations or fundamental rights.

Mr Chris Leslie  
Mr David Lammy  
Ian Murray  
Mike Gapes  
Helen Hayes  
Mr Ben Bradshaw

Stephen Kinnock  
Chris Bryant

To move the following Clause—

“Provisions relating to the EU or the EEA in respect of EU-derived domestic legislation

HM Government shall make arrangements to report to both Houses of Parliament whenever circumstances arising in section 2(2)(d) would otherwise have
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amended provisions or definitions in UK law had the UK remained a member of the EU or EEA beyond exit day.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This new clause would ensure that Parliament is informed of changes in EU and EEA provisions that might have amended UK law if the UK had remained a member of those institutions beyond exit day.

Frank Field
Ruth Smeeth
Mr Ronnie Campbell
Gareth Snell
Kelvin Hopkins

To move the following Clause—

“Continuing validity in the United Kingdom of European Union law

(1) The European Communities Act 1972 shall continue to have effect in the United Kingdom after the date on which the United Kingdom leaves the European Union as if the United Kingdom continued to be bound by the Treaties.

(2) Accordingly all such rights, powers, liabilities, obligations and restrictions created or arising by or under the Treaties, and all such remedies as provided for by or under the Treaties, as in accordance with the Treaties are without further enactment given legal effect or used in the United Kingdom shall continue to be recognised and available in law, and be enforced, allowed and followed accordingly.

(3) Subsections (1) and (2) do not apply to any primary legislation passed by Parliament coming into force after the date of exit from the European Union which includes a provision to the effect that that Act, or specified provisions of that Act, have effect notwithstanding the provisions of section (Continuing validity in the United Kingdom of European Union law)(1) and (2) of the European Union (Withdrawal) Act 2017.”

Frank Field
Ruth Smeeth
Mr Ronnie Campbell
Gareth Snell
Kelvin Hopkins

To move the following Clause—

“Duty of review of European Union law

(1) The Prime Minister must lay before Parliament within six months of the date of the United Kingdom leaving the European Union, and at least once a year thereafter, a review of all European Union legislation and decisions still applicable to the United Kingdom, with proposals for re-enactment, replacement or repeal by the United Kingdom Parliament of any provisions of European Union law, with or without modification, as United Kingdom legislation.
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(2) The House of Commons may appoint or designate one or more select committees to consider any report under subsection (1).

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause would ensure that after exit day, EU-derived employment rights, environmental protection, standards of equalities, health and safety standards and consumer standards can only be amended by primary legislation or subordinate legislation made under this Act.

Clause 2, page 1, line 12, after “passed” insert “and commenced,”

Clause 2, page 1, line 19, at end insert “or any enactment to which subsection (2A) applies.

“(2A) This subsection applies to any enactment of the United Kingdom Parliament which—

(a) applies to Wales and does not relate to matters specified in Schedule 7A to the Government of Wales Act 2006,
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(b) applies to Scotland and does not relate to matters specified in Schedule 5 to the Scotland Act 1998,
(c) applies to Northern Ireland and does not relate to matters specified in Schedules 2 or 3 to the Northern Ireland Act 1998.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would alter the definition of EU retained law so as only to include reserved areas of legislation. This will allow the National Assembly for Wales and the other devolved administrations to legislate on areas of EU derived law which fall under devolved competency for themselves.

Ian Blackford
Peter Grant
Joanna Cherry
Patrick Grady

Clause 2, page 1, line 19, at end insert—
“(2A) For the purposes of this Act, any EU-derived domestic legislation has effect in domestic law immediately before exit day if—
(a) in the case of anything which shall apply or be operative from a particular date, applies or is operative before exit day, or
(b) in any other case, it has been commenced and is in force immediately before exit day.”

NEW CLAUSES AND NEW SCHEDULES RELATING TO CLAUSE 3, CLAUSE 3

Hywel Williams
Liz Saville Roberts
Jonathan Edwards
Ben Lake

Clause 3, page 2, leave out lines 13 to 22

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment, along with Amendment 64 to Schedule 8 would exclude the European Economic Area agreement from the Bill, allowing the UK to remain in the EEA.
NEW CLAUSES AND NEW SCHEDULES RELATING TO CLAUSE 4, CLAUSE 4

Caroline Lucas
Kerry McCarthy
Tom Brake
Liz Saville Roberts
Jim Fitzpatrick
Helen Hayes

Angela Smith
Patrick Grady
Peter Grant
Stephen Gethins
Daniel Zeichner
Sir Vince Cable
Jo Swinson
Sir Edward Davey
Seema Malhotra
Mike Gapes
Layla Moran

To move the following Clause—

“EU Protocol on animal sentience

Obligations and rights contained within the EU Protocol on animal sentience set out in Article 13 of Title II of the Lisbon Treaty shall be recognised and available in domestic law on and after exit day, and shall be enforced and followed accordingly.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This new clause seeks to transfer the EU Protocol on animal sentience set out in Article 13 of Title II of the Lisbon Treaty into UK law, so that animals continue to be recognised as sentient beings under domestic law.

Kerry McCarthy
Caroline Lucas
Mary Creagh
Mr Ben Bradshaw
Darren Jones
Ruth Cadbury

Catherine McKinnell
Stella Creasy
Ms Karen Buck
Ms Angela Eagle
Ian Murray
Stephen Doughty
Mrs Madeleine Moon
Helen Hayes
Angela Smith
Mr David Lammy
Ann Coffey
Seema Malhotra
Mike Gapes

Clause 4, page 2, line 45, leave out sub-paragraph (b)

Member’s explanatory statement

The test set out at Clause 4(1)(a), that such rights are available in domestic law immediately before exit day, is sufficient for those rights to continue to be available following the UK’s exit from the EU.
Clause 4, page 2, line 47, at end insert—

“(1A) Rights, powers, liabilities, obligations, restrictions, remedies and procedures under subsection (1) shall include directly effective rights contained in the following Articles of, and Protocols to, the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-discrimination on ground of nationality</th>
<th>Article 18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Citizenship rights</td>
<td>Article 20 (except article 20(2)(c))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rights of movement and residence deriving from EU citizenship</td>
<td>Article 21(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establishes customs union, prohibition of customs duties, common external tariff</td>
<td>Article 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prohibition on customs duties</td>
<td>Article 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prohibition on quantitative restrictions on imports</td>
<td>Article 34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prohibition on quantitative restrictions on exports</td>
<td>Article 35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exception to quantitative restrictions</td>
<td>Article 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prohibition on discrimination regarding the conditions under which goods are procured</td>
<td>Article 37(1) and (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free movement of workers</td>
<td>Article 45(1), (2) and (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freedom of establishment</td>
<td>Article 49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freedom to provide services</td>
<td>Article 56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services</td>
<td>Article 57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free movement of capital</td>
<td>Article 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Competition</td>
<td>Article 101(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abuse of a dominant position</td>
<td>Article 102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public undertakings</td>
<td>Article 106(1) and (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State aid</td>
<td>Article 107(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commission consideration of plans re: state aid</td>
<td>Article 108(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal taxation</td>
<td>Article 110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-discrimination in indirect taxes</td>
<td>Articles 111 to 113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic co-operation</td>
<td>Articles 120 to 126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equal pay</td>
<td>Article 157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Investment Bank (EIB)</td>
<td>Article 308 (first and second subparagraphs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combating fraud on the EU</td>
<td>Article 325(1) and (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disclosure of information and national security</td>
<td>Article 346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIB</td>
<td>Protocol 5 - Articles 3, 4, 5, 7(1), 13, 15, 18(4), 19(1) and (2), 20(2), 23(1) and (4), 26, 27 (second and third subparagraphs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Privileges and immunities of the EIB</td>
<td>Protocol 7 - Article 21”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kate Green
Ann Coffey
Rushanara Ali
Mr Chris Leslie
Stella Creasy
Mrs Madeleine Moon

Angela Smith
Heidi Alexander
Stephen Doughty
Tuifp Siddiq
Helen Hayes
Chuka Umunna
Catherine McKinnell
Mike Gapes
Jo Swinson
Caroline Lucas
Mr Barry Sheerman
Lisa Nandy
Tom Brake
Sir Vince Cable
Jo Swinson
Sir Edward Davey
Stephen Kinnock
Ian Murray
Seema Malhotra
Catherine West
Jamie Stone
Chris Bryant
Mr David Lammy
Layla Moran

Clause 4, page 2, line 47, at end insert—
“(1A) Rights, powers, liabilities, obligations, restrictions, remedies and procedures under subsection (1) shall include directly effective rights and obligations contained in the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would seek to preserve after exit from the EU any rights or obligations arising from the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child which applied in UK domestic law by virtue of its membership of the European Union.
Clause 4, page 3, line 4, leave out paragraph (b)

Member’s explanatory statement

Clause 4(2)(b) excludes rights arising under EU directives which are not recognised by the courts. This Amendment would remove Clause 4(2)(b) so that rights arising under EU directives (but not yet adjudicated on by the courts) are protected and continue to be available in UK courts.

Clause 4, page 3, line 9, at end insert—

“(4) Where, following the United Kingdom’s exit from the EU, no specific provision has been made in respect of an aspect of EU law applying to the UK or any part of the United Kingdom immediately prior to the United Kingdom’s exit from the EU, that aspect of EU law shall continue to be effective and enforceable in the United Kingdom with equivalent scope, purpose and effect as immediately before exit day.

(5) Where, following the United Kingdom’s exit from the EU, retained EU law is found to incorrectly or incompletely transpose the requirements of EU legislation in force on exit day, a Minister of the Crown shall make regulations made subject to an enhanced scrutiny procedure so as to ensure full transposition of the EU legislation.”

Member’s explanatory statement

New subsection (4) deals with a situation where the UK has incorrectly implemented a directive. In cases of incorrect implementation, reliance on the EU directive may still be necessary. New subsection (5) would ensure that where the UK has not correctly or completely implemented EU law, prior to exit day, there will be a statutory obligation on Ministers to modify UK law to ensure that the relevant EU legislation is correctly and fully implemented.
Mr Chris Leslie  
Mr Pat McFadden  
Chuka Umunna  
Paul Farrelly  
Mr David Lammy  
Ian Murray  

Stephen Doughty  
Catherine McKinnell  
Angela Smith  
Stephen Kinnock  
Chris Bryant  
Kate Green  
Helen Hayes  
Rushanara Ali  
Ann Coffey  
Mike Gapes  
Kerry McCarthy  
Mr Ben Bradshaw  
Stella Creasy  
Catherine West 

To move the following Clause—

**“Charter of Fundamental Rights – Government Report**

1. Within one month of Royal Assent of this Act, HM Government shall lay a report before both Houses of Parliament reviewing the implications of removing the Charter of Fundamental Rights from domestic law after exit day as set out in section 5(4) of this Act.

2. The report under subsection (1) shall set out the policy of Her Majesty’s Government specifically in relation to the fundamental rights of—

   a. dignity, the right to life, to freedom from torture, slavery, the death penalty, eugenic practices and human cloning,

   b. freedoms, the right to liberty, personal integrity, privacy, protection of personal data, marriage, thought, religion, expression, assembly, education, work, property and asylum,

   c. equality, the right to equality before the law, prohibition of all discrimination including on basis of disability, age and sexual orientation, cultural, religious and linguistic diversity, the rights of children and the elderly,

   d. solidarity, the right to fair working conditions, protection against unjustified dismissal, and access to health care, social and housing assistance,

   e. citizens’ rights, the rights of citizens such as the right to vote in elections and to move freely, the right to good administration, to access documents and to petition Parliament, and

   f. justice, the right to an effective remedy, a fair trial, to the presumption of innocence, the principle of legality, non-retrospectivity and double jeopardy."

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This new clause would require Ministers to produce a report reviewing in full the implications of removing from UK law the Charter of Fundamental Rights – and the rights for UK citizens which it has help to guarantee.
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Mr Dominic Grieve
Robert Neill
Steve McCabe
Antionette Sandbach

Clause 5, page 3, line 11, leave out “or rule of law”

*Member’s explanatory statement*

This amendment would remove the reference to a rule of law passed or made before exit day.

Jeremy Corbyn
Mr Nicholas Brown
Keir Starmer
Jenny Chapman
Matthew Pennycook
Paul Blomfield
Catherine West
Mike Gapes
Mr David Lammy

Clause 5, page 3, line 12, after “exit day” insert “as appointed for the purposes of this section (see subsection (5A))”

*Member’s explanatory statement*

This paving Amendment is intended to allow for transitional arrangements within the existing structure of rules and regulations.

Mr Dominic Grieve
Robert Neill
Steve McCabe
Antionette Sandbach
Catherine West

Clause 5, page 3, line 15, leave out “or rule of law”

*Member’s explanatory statement*

This amendment would remove the reference to a rule of law passed or made before exit day.

Mr Dominic Grieve
Robert Neill
Steve McCabe
Catherine West
Antionette Sandbach

Clause 5, page 3, line 17, leave out “or rule of law”

*Member’s explanatory statement*

This amendment would remove the reference to a rule of law passed or made before exit day.
European Union (Withdrawal) Bill, continued

Mr Dominic Grieve
Mr Kenneth Clarke
Nicky Morgan
Anna Soubry
Antoinette Sandbach
Stephen Hammond

Dr Sarah Wollaston  Jeremy Lefroy  Robert Neill
Tom Brake  Sir Vince Cable  Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ian Blackford  Chuka Umunna  Caroline Lucas
Paul Farrelly  Joanna Cherry  Ms Harriet Harman
Patrick Grady  Stephen Gethins  Kirsty Blackman
Peter Grant  Ian Murray  Liz Kendall
Stephen Doughty  Mike Gapes  Kerry McCarthy
Catherine McKinnell  Heidi Allen  Helen Hayes
Mr Ben Bradshaw  Angela Smith  Mr David Lammy
Mr George Howarth  Daniel Zeichner  Stephen Kinnock
Ann Coffey  Seema Malhotra  Catherine West
Jamie Stone  Rushanara Ali  Lady Hermon

Clause 5, page 3, line 20, leave out subsections (4) and (5).

Member’s explanatory statement
To allow the Charter of Fundamental rights to continue to apply domestically in the interpretation and application of retained EU law.

Jeremy Corbyn
Mr Nicholas Brown
Keir Starmer
Jenny Chapman
Matthew Pennycook
Paul Blomfield

Valerie Vaz  Mr Chris Leslie  Mike Gapes
Chuka Umunna  Neil Coyle  Peter Kyle
Mr Ben Bradshaw  Stephen Doughty  Mr Pat McFadden
Caroline Lucas  Paul Farrelly  Mr David Lammy
Ms Harriet Harman  Ian Murray  Liz Kendall
Kate Green  Kerry McCarthy  Catherine McKinnell
Helen Hayes  Angela Smith  Stephen Kinnock
Rushanara Ali  Stella Creasy  Ann Coffey
Steve McCabe  Seema Malhotra  Catherine West
Jamie Stone

Clause 5, page 3, line 20, leave out subsection (4)

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would remove the exclusion of the Charter of Fundamental Rights from retained EU law.
Clause 5, page 3, line 26, at end insert—

“(5A) Within three months of the commencement of this section, the Secretary of State must lay before Parliament regulations to create a fundamental right to the protection of personal data.

(5B) A statutory instrument containing regulations under subsection (5A) may not be made unless a draft has been laid before, and approved by a resolution of, each House of Parliament.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

Clause 5(4) of the Bill excludes the Charter of Fundamental Rights from the ‘incorporation’ powers in the Bill. This amendment would require the Secretary of State to replicate Article 8 of the Charter (the Right to Protection of Personal Data) in UK domestic law within three months of the commencement of Clause 5.

---

Clause 5, page 3, line 26, at end insert—

“(5A) The exit day appointed (in accordance with Clause 14 and paragraph 13 of Schedule 7) for the purposes of this section must not be before the end of any transitional period agreed under Article 50 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This Amendment is intended to allow for transitional arrangements within the existing structure of rules and regulations.
Schedule 1, page 15, line 2, leave out Schedule 1

Member’s explanatory statement

To allow challenges to be brought to retained EU law on the grounds that it is in breach of general principles of EU law and to allow damages to be awarded for any proven breach of such a principle.
Schedule 1, page 15, line 17, leave out paragraph 2 and insert—

2 (1) Any general principle of EU law will remain part of domestic law on or after exit day if—

(a) it was recognised as a general principle of EU law by the European Court in a case decided before exit day (whether or not as an essential part of the decision in the case);

(b) it was recognised as a general principle of EU law in the EU Treaties immediately before exit day;

(c) it was recognised as a general principle of EU law by any direct EU legislation (as defined in section 3(2) of this Act) operative immediately before exit day; or

(d) it was recognised as a general principle of EU law by an EU directive that was in force immediately before exit day.

(2) Without prejudice to the generality of sub-paragraph (1), the principles set out in Article 191 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union shall be considered to be general principles for the purposes of that sub-paragraph.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment clarifies that all the existing principles of EU law will be retained within domestic law whether they originate in the case law of the European Court, the EU treaties, direct EU legislation or EU directives. It also makes clear that the key environmental law principles in Article 191 of the Treaty are retained.
Schedule 1, page 15, line 28, leave out paragraph 4

Member's explanatory statement
This amendment would remove the proposal to end rights in UK domestic law after exit day in relation to damages in accordance with the rule in Francovich.

Schedule 1, page 15, line 29, at end insert “except in relation to anything occurring before that day”.

Member's explanatory statement
This amendment, together with Amendments 140 and 141, would restore the right to obtain damages after exit day in respect of governmental failures before exit day to comply with European Union obligations.

Schedule 1, page 15, line 29, at end insert—

“except in relation to anything occurring before that day.

(2) “Anything occurring before that day” in sub-paragraph (1) shall be taken to mean any action commenced before or after exit day in relation to any act before exit day.”

Member's explanatory statement
This amendment would enable actions to be brought under the Francovich rule either before or after exit day if they related to an act before exit day.
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Tom Brake
Sir Vince Cable
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Tim Farron
Wera Hobhouse
Layla Moran

Jo Swinson
Jamie Stone

Schedule 1, page 15, line 32, after “Rights” insert “or”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment is consequential on Amendment 62.

Tom Brake
Sir Vince Cable
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Tim Farron
Wera Hobhouse
Layla Moran

Jo Swinson
Jamie Stone

Schedule 1, page 15, line 33, leave out “or the rule in Francovich”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment is consequential on Amendment 62.

NEW CLAUSES AND NEW SCHEDULES RELATING TO CLAUSE 11 OR SCHEDULE 3

Jeremy Corbyn
Mr Nicholas Brown
Keir Starmer
Jenny Chapman
Matthew Pennycook
Paul Blomfield

Valerie Vaz
Mr David Lammy
Ms Harriet Harman

Ian Murray
Mike Gapes
Catherine McKinnell

Stephen Doughty
Helen Hayes
Stephen Kinnock

Stella Creasy
Ann Coffey
Susan Elan Jones

Seema Malhotra
Jamie Stone

Clause 11, page 7, line 16, leave out subsections (1) to (3) and insert—

“(1) In section 29(2)(d) of the Scotland Act 1998 (no competence for Scottish Parliament to legislate incompatibly with EU law), omit “or with EU law”.

(2) In section 108A(2)(e) of the Government of Wales Act 2006 (no competence for National Assembly for Wales to legislate incompatibly with EU law), omit “or with EU law”.

(3) In section 6(2)(d) of the Northern Ireland Act (no competency for the Assembly to legislate incompatibly with EU law, omit “is incompatible with EU law.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment removes the Bill’s proposed restrictions on the ability of the Scottish Parliament,
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the National Assembly for Wales and the Northern Ireland Assembly to legislate on devolved matters.

Ian Murray
Stephen Gethins
Stephen Doughty
Hywel Williams
Jo Swinson
Caroline Lucas

Ian Blackford
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Tom Brake
Stella Creasy
Stephen Kinnock
Ann Coffey
Jamie Stone
Chris Bryant
Mike Gapes
Mr David Lammy

Clause 11, page 7, line 16, leave out subsections (1) and (2) and insert—
“(1) In section 29(2)(d) of the Scotland Act 1998 (no competence for Scottish Parliament to legislate incompatibly with EU law), omit “or with EU law”.

(2) In section 108A(2)(e) of the Government of Wales Act 2006 (no competence for National Assembly for Wales to legislate incompatibly with EU law), omit “or with EU law”.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would replace the Bill’s changes to the legislative competence of the Scottish Parliament and the National Assembly for Wales in consequence of EU withdrawal, by removing the restriction on legislative competence relating to EU law and ensuring that no further restriction relating to retained EU law is imposed.

Tom Brake
Sir Vince Cable
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Tim Farron
Wera Hobhouse
Layla Moran

Jo Swinson
Jamie Stone

Clause 11, page 7, line 19, leave out from “law)” to end of line 29 and insert “omit “or with EU law””

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment is intended to remove the proposed bar on the Scottish Parliament legislating inconsistently with EU law after exit day.

Hywel Williams
Liz Saville Roberts
Jonathan Edwards
Ben Lake
Caroline Lucas
Jamie Stone

Clause 11, page 7, leave out lines 22 to 29 and insert—
“(4A) An Act of the Scottish Parliament cannot modify, or confer power by subordinate legislation to modify, retained EU law which relates to matters specified in Schedule 5 to the Scotland Act 1998.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would remove the restrictions on the Scottish Parliament modifying retained EU law except in relation to matters that are reserved.
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Clause 11, page 7, line 33, leave out from “law)” to end of line 7 on page 8 and insert “omit “or with EU law”.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment is intended to remove the proposed bar on the National Assembly for Wales legislating inconsistently with EU law.

Hywel Williams
Liz Saville Roberts
Jonathan Edwards
Ben Lake
Caroline Lucas

Clause 11, page 7, line 9, leave out from “Assembly)” to end of line 28 and insert “omit subsection 2(d)”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would remove the restrictions on the National Assembly for Wales modifying retained EU law except in relation to matters that are reserved.

Tom Brake
Sir Vince Cable
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Tim Farron
Wera Hobhouse
Layla Moran

Clause 11, page 8, line 9, leave out from “Assembly)” to end of line 28 and insert “omit subsection 2(d)”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment is intended to remove the proposed bar on the Northern Ireland Assembly legislating inconsistently with EU law.
Clause 11, page 8, leave out lines 14 to 28 and insert—

“(8) An Act of the Assembly cannot modify, or confer power by subordinate legislation to modify, retained EU law which relates to matters specified in Schedules 2 or 3 to the Northern Ireland Act 1998.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would remove the restrictions on the Northern Ireland Assembly modifying retained EU law except in relation to matters that are reserved or excepted.

Ian Blackford
Joanna Cherry
Patrick Grady
Stephen Gethins
Kirsty Blackman
Peter Grant

Clause 11, page 8, line 28, at end insert—

“(3A) This section shall not come into effect until—

(a) the Scottish Parliament has passed a resolution approving the provisions in subsection (1);

(b) the National Assembly for Wales has passed a resolution approving the provisions in subsection (2); and

(c) the Northern Ireland Assembly has passed a resolution approving the provisions in subsection (3).”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would replace the Bill’s changes to the executive competence of the Scottish Ministers and Welsh Ministers in consequence of withdrawal from the EU, by removing the
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restriction on competence relating to EU law and ensuring that no further restriction relating to retained EU law is imposed.

Stephen Doughty
Stephen Gethins
Ian Murray
Hywel Williams
Jo Swinson
Caroline Lucas

Ian Blackford
Stephen Kinnock
Mr Alistair Carmichael

Tom Brake
Ann Coffey
Mike Gapes

Schedule 3, page 28, line 2, leave out from first “and” to end of line 3

Member’s explanatory statement

This consequential amendment, linked to Amendments 164 and 165 to Clause 11 and Schedule 3, would change a heading in the Scotland Act 1998 to remove a reference to retained EU law.

Stephen Gethins
Stephen Doughty
Ian Murray
Hywel Williams
Jo Swinson
Caroline Lucas

Ian Blackford
Stella Creasy
Mike Gapes

Tom Brake
Stephen Kinnock
Ann Coffey

184

Schedule 3, page 28, line 38, leave out “(d)” to end of line 39 and insert “omit “or with EU law””.

Member’s explanatory statement

This consequential amendment, linked to Amendments 164 and 165 to Clause 11 and Schedule 3, would change the definition of devolution issues in the Scotland Act 1998.

Stephen Gethins
Stephen Doughty
Ian Murray
Hywel Williams
Jo Swinson
Caroline Lucas

Ian Blackford
Stella Creasy
Mike Gapes

Tom Brake
Stephen Kinnock
Ann Coffey

185

Schedule 3, page 29, line 5, leave out paragraph 21

Member’s explanatory statement

This consequential amendment, linked to Amendments 164 and 165 to Clause 11 and Schedule 3, would enable changes to the procedure for subordinate legislation in the Scotland Act 1998.
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Stephen Doughty
Stephen Gethins
Ian Murray
Hywel Williams
Jo Swinson
Caroline Lucas

Ian Blackford                       Tom Brake                      Mr Alistair Carmichael
Stella Creasy                       Stephen Kinnock               Ann Coffey
Susan Elan Jones                    Mike Gapes

Schedule 3, page 29, line 28, leave out from “subsection” to end of line 29 and insert “(4), omit paragraph (d)”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment makes a change consequential on Amendment 165, which would omit section 80 of the Government of Wales Act 2006, making section 58A (4)(d) of that Act redundant.

Stephen Doughty
Stephen Gethins
Ian Murray
Hywel Williams
Jo Swinson
Caroline Lucas

Ian Blackford                       Tom Brake                      Mr Alistair Carmichael
Stella Creasy                       Stephen Kinnock               Ann Coffey
Susan Elan Jones                    Mike Gapes

Schedule 3, page 30, line 4, leave out “before “EU” insert “Retained”” and insert “omit “EU law””

Member’s explanatory statement
Amendment 165 omits section 80 of the Government of Wales Act 2006. This amendment would amend the changes made to the heading before section 80 to reflect the omission of section 80 of the Government of Wales Act 2006.

Stephen Doughty
Stephen Gethins
Ian Murray
Hywel Williams
Jo Swinson
Caroline Lucas

Ian Blackford                       Tom Brake                      Mr Alistair Carmichael
Stella Creasy                       Stephen Kinnock               Ann Coffey
Susan Elan Jones                    Mike Gapes

Schedule 3, page 30, line 5, leave out paragraph 31

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment makes a change consequential on Amendment 165, which would omit section 80 of the Government of Wales Act 2006, making paragraph 31 of Schedule 3 in this Bill redundant.
Stephen Kinnock

★ Schedule 2, page 16, line 12, leave out “the devolved authority considers appropriate” and insert “is essential”.

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would limit the power available to a devolved authority to deal with deficiencies in retained EU law arising from withdrawal in such a way that it could only make provision that is essential to that end.

Ian Blackford
Peter Grant
Joanna Cherry
Patrick Grady

Schedule 2, page 16, line 13, leave out “appropriate” and insert “necessary”

Stephen Kinnock

★ Schedule 2, page 16, line 18, leave out “they consider appropriate” and insert “is essential”.

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would limit the power available to a Minister of the Crown acting jointly with a devolved authority to deal with deficiencies in retained EU law arising from withdrawal in such a way that they could only make provision that is essential to that end.

Ian Blackford
Peter Grant
Joanna Cherry
Patrick Grady

Schedule 2, page 16, line 18, leave out “appropriate” and insert “necessary”

Stephen Gethins
Stephen Doughty
Ian Murray
Hywel Williams
Jo Swinson
Caroline Lucas

Schedule 2, page 16, line 33, at end insert—

“(6) Sub-paragraph (4)(b) does not apply to regulations made under this Part by the Scottish Ministers or the Welsh Ministers.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would include the power to confer a power to legislate among the powers of the Scottish Ministers and Welsh Ministers to make regulations under Part 1 of Schedule 2 to fix problems in retained EU law arising from withdrawal, in line with a Minister of the Crown’s powers under Clause 7.
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Schedule 2, page 17, line 1, leave out paragraph 3

Stephen Gethins
Stephen Doughty
Ian Murray
Hywel Williams
Jo Swinson
Caroline Lucas

Schedule 2, page 17, line 9, at end insert—

“(3) This paragraph does not apply to regulations made under this Part by the Scottish Ministers or the Welsh Ministers.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would provide that the power of the Scottish Ministers and the Welsh Ministers to make regulations under Part 1 of Schedule 2 extends to amending directly applicable EU law incorporated into UK law, in line with a Minister of the Crown’s power in Clause 7.

Schedule 2, page 17, line 13, at end insert—

“(2) This paragraph does not apply to regulations made under this Part by the Scottish Ministers or the Welsh Ministers.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would provide that the power of the Scottish Ministers and the Welsh Ministers to make regulations under Part 1 of Schedule 2 includes the power to confer functions which correspond to functions to make EU tertiary legislation, in line with a Minister of the Crown’s power in Clause 7.
Schedule 2, page 17, line 20, at end insert—

“(2) This paragraph does not apply to regulations made under this Part by the Scottish Ministers or the Welsh Ministers.

Requirement for consultation in certain circumstances

5A No regulations may be made under this Part by the Scottish Ministers or the Welsh Ministers acting alone so far as the regulations—

(a) are to come into effect before exit day, or

(b) remove (whether wholly or partly) reciprocal arrangements of the kind mentioned in section 7(2)(c) or (e),

unless the regulations are, to that extent, made after consulting with a Minister of the Crown.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would replace the requirement for consent from a Minister of the Crown for regulations made by Scottish Ministers or Welsh Ministers in fixing problems in retained EU law that arise from withdrawal if they come into force before exit day or remove reciprocal arrangements with a requirement for Scottish Ministers and Welsh Ministers to consult with a Minister of the Crown before making the regulations.

Schedule 2, page 19, line 47, leave out “and retained EU law”

Member’s explanatory statement

This is a consequential amendment linked to Amendments 164 and 165 to Clause 11 and Schedule 3.
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Tom Brake
Sir Vince Cable
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Tim Farron
Wera Hobhouse
Caroline Lucas

Layla Moran Jo Swinson Jamie Stone 135

Schedule 2, page 20, line 18, leave out paragraph 10

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment is intended to remove the proposed restriction in the Bill on devolved authorities modifying retained direct EU legislation etc.

Ian Murray
Stephen Doughty
Stephen Gethins
Hywel Williams
Jo Swinson
Caroline Lucas

Ian Blackford Tom Brake Mr Alistair Carmichael
Stephen Kinnock Ann Coffey Mike Gapes 178

Schedule 2, page 20, line 23, leave out “and retained EU law”

Member’s explanatory statement
This is a consequential amendment linked to Amendments 164 and 165 to Clause 11 and Schedule 3.

Stephen Kinnock

* Schedule 2, page 20, line 25, after “Crown”, insert “and excluding any provision that could be made under paragraph 7(2) of Schedule 7B to the Government of Wales Act 2006”.

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment, and Amendments 323, 324 and 325, would prevent the Welsh Ministers from using powers proposed in the Bill (to deal with deficiencies in retained EU law arising from withdrawal) to amend the Government of Wales Act 2006.

Stephen Kinnock

* Schedule 2, page 20, line 41, after “5”, insert “or”.

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment, and Amendments 322, 324 and 325, would prevent the Welsh Ministers from using powers proposed in the Bill (to deal with deficiencies in retained EU law arising from withdrawal) to amend the Government of Wales Act 2006.

Stephen Kinnock

* Schedule 2, page 20, line 41, leave out “or 7”.

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment, and Amendments 322, 323 and 325, would prevent the Welsh Ministers from using powers proposed in the Bill (to deal with deficiencies in retained EU law arising from withdrawal) to amend the Government of Wales Act 2006.
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Stephen Kinnock

★ Schedule 2, page 20, line 43, at end insert—
“(f) the provision does not modify the Government of Wales Act 2006.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment, and Amendments 322, 323 and 324, would prevent the Welsh Ministers from using powers proposed in the Bill (to deal with deficiencies in retained EU law arising from withdrawal) to amend the Government of Wales Act 2006.

Stephen Kinnock

★ Schedule 2, page 21, line 38, leave out “the devolved authority consider appropriate” and insert “is essential”.

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would limit the power available to a devolved authority to prevent or remedy a breach of international obligations in such a way that it can only make provision that is essential to that end.

Ian Blackford
Peter Grant
Joanna Cherry
Patrick Grady

Schedule 2, page 21, line 39, leave out “appropriate” and insert “necessary”

Stephen Kinnock

★ Schedule 2, page 21, line 43, leave out “they consider appropriate” and insert “is essential”.

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would limit the power available to a Minister of the Crown acting jointly with a devolved authority to prevent or remedy a breach of international obligations in such a way that they could only make provision that is essential to that end.

Ian Blackford
Peter Grant
Joanna Cherry
Patrick Grady

Schedule 2, page 21, line 43, leave out “appropriate” and insert “necessary”

Peter Grant
Hywel Williams
Tom Brake
Sir Vince Cable
Jo Swinson
Sir Edward Davey

Schedule 2, page 22, line 9, after “or revoke”, insert “, or otherwise modify the effect of,”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would ensure that the restriction in this paragraph could not be undermined by the use of legislation which does not amend the text of the Human Rights Act but modifies its effect.
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Peter Grant
Hywel Williams
Tom Brake
Sir Vince Cable
Jo Swinson
Sir Edward Davey

Schedule 2, page 22, line 10, at end insert “, or
“(f) amend, repeal or revoke, or otherwise modify the effect of, any other law
relating to equality or human rights.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would broaden the restriction in this subsection to protect all legislation relating
to equality and human rights (and not only the Human Rights Act 1998).

Stephen Kinnock

★ Schedule 2, page 22, line 10, at end insert—
“(f) amend, repeal or revoke the Government of Wales Act 2006.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would prevent the Welsh Ministers from using powers proposed in the Bill (to
comply with international obligations) to amend the Government of Wales Act 2006.

Stephen Gethins
Stephen Doughty
Ian Murray
Hywel Williams
Jo Swinson
Caroline Lucas

Ian Blackford
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Tom Brake

Stephen Kinnock
Ann Coffey
Susan Elan Jones

Mike Gapes

Schedule 2, page 22, line 10, at end insert—
“(4A) Sub-paragraph (4)(d) does not apply to regulations made under this Part by the
Scottish Ministers or the Welsh Ministers.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would provide that the power of Scottish Ministers and Welsh Ministers to make
regulations under Part 2 of Schedule 2 includes the power to confer a power to legislate, aligning
those Ministers’ powers to the power of a Minister of the Crown under Clause 8.

Caroline Lucas
Tom Brake
Sir Vince Cable
Jo Swinson
Sir Edward Davey
Layla Moran

Schedule 2, page 22, line 15, leave out “two years beginning with exit day” and
insert “12 months beginning with March 2019”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would restrict the use of the delegated powers granted to Ministers in Clause 8
to a period of 12 months after 29 March 2019.
Schedule 2, page 22, line 25, leave out paragraph 15

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment is intended to remove the proposed restriction in the Bill on devolved authorities modifying retained direct EU legislation etc.

Schedule 2, page 22, line 32, at end insert—

“(3) This paragraph does not apply to regulations made under this Part by the Scottish Ministers or the Welsh Ministers.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would provide that the power of the Scottish Ministers and the Welsh Ministers to make regulations under Part 2 of Schedule 2 extends to amending directly applicable EU law incorporated into UK law. This brings the power into line with the Minister of the Crown power in Clause 8.

Schedule 2, page 23, line 11, at end insert—

“(4) This paragraph does not apply to regulations made under this Part by the Scottish Ministers or the Welsh Ministers.

**Requirement for consultation in certain circumstances**

16A (1) No regulations may be made under this Part by the Scottish Ministers or the Welsh Ministers acting alone so far as the regulations—

(a) are to come into effect before exit day, or

(b) are for the purpose of preventing or remedying any breach of the WTO Agreement, or
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(c) make provision about any quota arrangements or are incompatible with any such arrangements,
unless the regulations are, to that extent, made after consulting with a Minister of the Crown.

(2) In sub-paragraph (1)—
“the WTO Agreement” has the meaning given in paragraph 16(2),
“quota arrangements” has the meaning given in paragraph 16(3).”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would replace the requirement for a Minister of the Crown to consent to regulations made by the Scottish Ministers or the Welsh Ministers to ensure compliance with international obligations if they come into force before exit day or relate to the WTO or quota arrangements, with a requirement for the Scottish Ministers and Welsh Ministers to consult with a Minister of the Crown before making the relevant regulations.

Ian Murray
Stephen Doughty
Stephen Gethins
Hywel Williams
Jo Swinson
Caroline Lucas

Schedule 2, page 23, line 21, leave out “and retained EU law”

Member’s explanatory statement
This is a consequential amendment linked to amendments 164 and 165 to Clause 11 and Schedule 3.

Ian Murray
Stephen Doughty
Stephen Gethins
Hywel Williams
Jo Swinson
Caroline Lucas

Schedule 2, page 23, line 25, leave out “and section 57(4) and (5) of that Act”

Member’s explanatory statement
This is a consequential amendment linked to amendments 164 and 165 to Clause 11 and Schedule 3.
Schedule 2, page 23, line 31, leave out “and retained EU law”

Member’s explanatory statement

This is a consequential amendment linked to amendments 164 and 165 to Clause 11 and Schedule 3.

Schedule 2, page 23, line 35, leave out “80(8)” and insert “80”

Member’s explanatory statement

This consequential amendment, linked to amendments 164 and 165 to Clause 11 and Schedule 3, changes the reference to section 80 of the Government of Wales Act 2006 to make clear that the restriction on the powers of the Welsh Ministers not to act or legislate incompatibly with EU law is removed.

Schedule 2, page 24, line 11, leave out “the devolved authority considers appropriate” and insert “is essential”.

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would limit the power available to a devolved authority to implement the withdrawal agreement in such a way that it could only make provision that is essential to that end.

Schedule 2, page 24, line 12, leave out “appropriate” and insert “necessary”

Schedule 2, page 24, line 16, leave out “they consider appropriate” and insert “is essential”.

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would limit the power available to a Minister of the Crown acting jointly with a
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devolved authority to implement the withdrawal agreement in such a way that they could only make provision that is essential to that end.

Ian Blackford  
Peter Grant  
Joanna Cherry  
Patrick Grady

Schedule 2, page 24, line 16, leave out “appropriate” and insert “necessary”  

Peter Grant  
Hywel Williams  
Tom Brake  
Sir Vince Cable  
Jo Swinson  
Sir Edward Davey

Schedule 2, page 24, line 32, after “or revoke”, insert “, or otherwise modify the effect of,”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would ensure that the restriction in this paragraph could not be undermined by the use of legislation which does not amend the text of the Human Rights Act but modifies its effect.

Peter Grant  
Hywel Williams  
Tom Brake  
Sir Vince Cable  
Jo Swinson  
Sir Edward Davey

Schedule 2, page 24, line 33, at end insert—
(h) amend, repeal or revoke, or otherwise modify the effect of, any other law relating to equality or human rights.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would broaden the restriction in this subsection to protect all legislation relating to equality and human rights (and not only the Human Rights Act 1998).

Stephen Kinnock

★ Schedule 2, page 24, line 33, at end insert—
“(h) amend, repeal or revoke the Government of Wales Act 2006.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would prevent the Welsh Ministers from using powers proposed in the Bill (to implement the withdrawal agreement) to amend the Government of Wales Act 2006.
Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would include the power to confer a power to legislate among the powers of the Scottish Ministers and Welsh Ministers to make regulations under Part 3 of Schedule 2, in line with a Minister of the Crown’s powers under Clause 9.

Schedule 2, page 24, line 33, at end insert—

“(4A) Sub-paragraph (4)(d) does not apply to regulations made under this Part by the Scottish Ministers or the Welsh Ministers.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would provide that the power of the Scottish Ministers and the Welsh Ministers to make regulations under Part 3 of Schedule 2 extends to amending directly applicable EU law incorporated into UK law, in line with the Minister of the Crown’s power in Clause 9.

Schedule 2, page 25, line 11, at end insert—

“(3) This paragraph does not apply to regulations made under this Part by the Scottish Ministers or the Welsh Ministers.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would provide that the power of the Scottish Ministers and the Welsh Ministers to make regulations under Part 3 of Schedule 2 includes the power to confer functions which correspond to functions to make EU tertiary legislation.
Schedule 2, page 25, line 28, at end insert—
“(3) This paragraph does not apply to regulations made under this Part by the Scottish Ministers or the Welsh Ministers.

Requirement for consultation in certain circumstances

25A (1) No regulations may be made under this Part by the Scottish Ministers or the Welsh Ministers acting alone so far as the regulations make provision about any quota arrangements or are incompatible with any such arrangements unless the regulations are, to that extent, made after consulting with a Minister of the Crown.

(2) In sub-paragraph (1), “quota arrangements” has the meaning given in paragraph 25(2).

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment replaces the requirement for Minister of the Crown consent to regulations made by the Scottish Ministers or the Welsh Ministers to implement the withdrawal agreement if they relate to quota arrangements, with a requirement for the Scottish Ministers and Welsh Ministers to consult with a Minister of the Crown before making the relevant regulations.

Stephen Kinnock

Schedule 2, page 25, line 31, at end insert—

“PART []

WELSH MINISTERS—POWER TO MAKE CONSEQUENTIAL AND TRANSITIONAL PROVISION

(1) The Welsh Ministers may by regulations make such provision as is essential in consequence of this Act.

(2) The power to make regulations under sub-paragraph (1) may (among other things) be exercised by modifying any provision made by or under an enactment.

(3) In sub-paragraph (2), “enactment” does not include—

(a) primary legislation passed or made after the end of the Session in which this Act is passed, or

(b) any provision of the Government of Wales Act 2006.

(4) The Welsh Ministers may by regulations make such transitional, transitory or saving provision as is essential in connection with the coming into force of any provision of this Act or the appointment of exit day.
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(5) No regulations may be made under this Part unless every provision of them is within the devolved competence of the Welsh Ministers for the purposes of Part 2.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would provide a power to the Welsh Ministers to make consequential and transitional provision within the devolved competence of the Welsh Ministers.

NEW CLAUSES AND NEW SCHEDULES RELATING TO CLAUSE 12 OR SCHEDULE 4, CLAUSE 12, SCHEDULE 4

Mr Chris Leslie
Mr Pat McFadden
Paul Farrelly
Mr David Lammy
Ian Murray
Liz Kendall
Mike Gapes Catherine McKinnell Stephen Doughty
Helen Hayes Mr Ben Bradshaw Angela Smith
Stella Creasy Tom Brake Sir Vince Cable
Jo Swinson Sir Edward Davey Stephen Kinnock
Ann Coffey Seema Malhotra Catherine West
Chris Bryant Layla Moran

To move the following Clause—

“Withdrawal Agreement Payment to the European Union

Nothing in section 12 of this Act shall be taken to permit a Minister of the Crown, government department or devolved authority to pay out of money provided by Parliament expenditure in relation to a settlement in respect of the making of a withdrawal agreement with the European Union unless a draft of the instrument authorising that payment has been laid before, and approved by a resolution of the House of Commons.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause would ensure that the financial provision made in section 12 of this Act does not allow the Government to make a payment in settlement of the UK’s withdrawal from the European Union as part of a withdrawal agreement or new Treaty unless it has been expressly approved by the House of Commons.
Clause 12, page 9, line 4, at end insert—

“(5) No payment shall be made to the European Union or its member states in respect of the making of a withdrawal agreement or a new Treaty with the European Union or any new settlement relating to arrangements that are to be made after exit day unless a draft of the instrument authorising the payment has been laid before, and approved by a resolution of the House of Commons.”

*Member’s explanatory statement*

This amendment would ensure that there is a vote in the House of Commons to approve any settlement payment agreed by Ministers as a consequence of negotiations on a withdrawal agreement or new Treaty with the European Union.
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Ann Coffey  Mike Gapes  Mr David Lammy  153

Schedule 4, page 35, line 8, at end insert—
“(3) Modification of subordinate legislation under sub-paragraph (2) may not be made for the purposes of—
(a) Creating a fee or charge that does not replicate a fee or charge levied by an EU entity on exit day, or
(b) increasing a fee or charge to an amount larger than an amount charged by an EU entity for the performance of the relevant function on exit day.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would prevent Ministers using the power for public bodies to alter fees and charges either to create a fee or charge that does not currently exist for the purposes of EU regulators, or to increase a UK charge to be higher than an existing EU fee or charge.

NEW CLAUSES AND NEW SCHEDULES RELATING TO CLAUSE 7, CLAUSE 7

Mr Chris Leslie  Mr David Lammy  Ian Murray  Mike Gapes  Catherine McKinnell  Helen Hayes
Mr Ben Bradshaw  Angela Smith  Stella Creasy
Mr George Howarth  Ann Coffey  Chris Bryant
NC18

To move the following Clause—

“Regulations to deal with deficiencies arising from withdrawal – Independent Report

Within one month of Royal Assent of this Act HM Government shall commission the publication of an Independent Report into the constitutional implications of the powers delegated to Ministers in section 7 of this Act and the implications these powers will have on the relationship between Parliament and the executive, the rule of law and legal certainty, and the stability of the UK’s territorial constitution.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause would require the Government to commission an Independent Report into the constitutional implications of the wide-ranging powers to make regulations delegated to Ministers in Clause 7 of the Bill, in pursuance of the conclusions of the 3rd Report of the House of Lords
“Scope of delegated powers

Subject to sections 8 and 9 and paragraphs 13 and 21 of Schedule 2, any power to make, confirm or approve subordinate legislation conferred or modified under this Act and its Schedules must be used, and may only be used, insofar as is necessary to ensure that retained EU law continues to operate effectively after exit day.

Member’s explanatory statement

The purpose of this amendment is to ensure that the powers to create secondary legislation given to Ministers by the Bill can be used only in pursuit of the overall statutory purpose, namely to allow retained EU law to continue to operate effectively after exit day.
To move the following Clause—

“Treatment of retained law

(1) Following the commencement of this Act, no modification may be made to retained EU law save by primary legislation, or by subordinate legislation made under this Act.

(2) By regulation, the Minister may establish a Schedule listing technical provisions of retained EU law that may be amended by subordinate legislation.

(3) Regulations made under subsection (2) will be subject to an enhanced scrutiny procedure including consultation with the public and relevant stakeholders.

(4) Regulations may only be made under subsection (2) to the extent that they will have no detrimental impact on the UK environment.

(5) Delegated powers may only be used to modify provisions of retained EU law listed in any Schedule made under subsection (2) to the extent that such modification will not limit the scope or weaken standards of environmental protection.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This new clause provides a mechanism for Ministers to establish a list of technical provisions of retained EU law that may be amended by subordinate legislation outside of the time restrictions of the Bill.
“Institutional arrangements

(1) Before exit day a Minister of the Crown must make provision that all powers and functions relating to the environment or environmental protection that were exercisable by EU entities or other public authorities anywhere in the United Kingdom before exit day which do not cease to have effect as a result of the withdrawal agreement ("relevant powers and functions") will—

(a) continue to be carried out by an EU entity or public authority;
(b) be carried out by an appropriate existing or newly established entity or public authority in the United Kingdom; or
(c) be carried out by an appropriate international entity or public authority.

(2) For the purposes of this section, relevant powers and functions relating to the UK exercisable by an EU entity or public authority include, but are not limited to—

(a) monitoring and measuring compliance with legal requirements,
(b) reviewing and reporting on compliance with legal requirements,
(c) enforcement of legal requirements,
(d) setting standards or targets,
(e) co-ordinating action,
(f) publicising information including regarding compliance with environmental standards.

(3) Within 12 months of exit day, the Government shall consult on and bring forward proposals for the creation by primary legislation of—

(a) a new independent body or bodies with powers and functions at least equivalent to those of EU entities and public authorities in Member States in relation to environment; and
(b) a new domestic framework for environmental protection and improvement.

(4) Responsibility for any functions or obligations arising from retained EU law for which no specific provision has been made immediately after commencement of this Act will belong to the relevant Minister until such a time as specific provision for those functions or obligations has been made.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This new clause requires the Government to establish new domestic governance proposals following the UK’s exit from the EU and to ensure statutory and institutional basis for future environmental protection.
“Regulations (publication of list)

(1) Within 1 month of this Act receiving Royal Assent, the Secretary of State must publish a draft list of regulations that the Government intends to make under section 7.

(2) A list under subsection (1) must include—
   (a) the proposed title of the regulation,
   (b) the area of retained EU law it is required to correct,
   (c) the Government Department who has responsibility for the regulation, and
   (d) the proposed month in which the regulation will be tabled.

(3) The Secretary of State must ensure that a list published under subsection (1) is updated within one month from the day it was published, and within one month of every subsequent update, to include any regulations that the Government has since determined it intends to make.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This new clause would require the Government to produce a list of regulations it intends to make under the Bills correcting powers, and to update that list each month, in order to provide clarity about when, and in which areas, it believes the power will be necessary.
“Governance and institutional arrangements

(1) Before exit day a Minister of the Crown must seek to make provision that all powers and functions relating to any right, freedom, or protection, that any person might reasonably expect to exercise, that were exercisable by EU entities or other public authorities anywhere in the United Kingdom before exit day, and which do not cease to have effect as a result of the withdrawal agreement (‘relevant powers and functions’) will—

(a) continue to be carried out by an EU entity or public authority;
(b) be carried out by an appropriate existing or newly established entity or public authority in the United Kingdom; or
(c) be carried out by an appropriate international entity or public authority.

(2) For the purposes of this section, relevant powers and functions relating to the UK exercisable by an EU entity or public authority include, but are not limited to—

(a) monitoring and measuring compliance with legal requirements,
(b) reviewing and reporting on compliance with legal requirements,
(c) enforcement of legal requirements,
(d) setting standards or targets,
(e) co-ordinating action,
(f) publicising information.

(3) Responsibility for any functions or obligations arising from retained EU law for which no specific provision has been made immediately after commencement of this Act will belong to the relevant Minister until such a time as specific provision for those functions or obligations has been made.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause would ensure that the institutions and agencies that protect EU derived rights and protections are replaced to a sufficient standard so those rights and protections will still be enjoyed in practice.
To move the following Clause—

“Dealing with deficiencies arising from withdrawal in relation to child refugee family reunion

(1) In the exercise of powers under section 7 (Dealing with deficiencies arising from withdrawal) the Secretary of State must in particular make regulations amending the Immigration Rules in order to preserve the effect in the United Kingdom of Commission Regulation (EU) No. 604/2013 (establishing the criteria and mechanisms for determining the Member State responsible for examining an application for international protection lodged in one of the Member States by a third-country national or a stateless person).

(2) In particular, the regulations made under subsection (1) must provide for an unaccompanied minor who has a family member in the United Kingdom who is a refugee or has been granted humanitarian protection to have the same family reunion rights to be reunited in the United Kingdom with that family member as they would have had under Commission Regulation (EU) No. 604/2013.

(3) The regulations under subsection (1) must require an assessment of the best interests of the minor, taking into account possibilities for family reunification, the minor’s well-being and social development, safety and security considerations, and the view of the minor.

(4) Regulations under this section must be made within six months of this Act receiving Royal Assent.

(5) For the purpose of this section “family member” in relation to the unaccompanied minor, means—

(a) their parents;
(b) their adult siblings;
(c) their aunts and uncles;
(d) their grandparents.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause is intended to provide for refugee family reunion in the UK in place of the family reunion aspects of the Dublin III Regulation, allowing adult refugees in the UK to sponsor relatives who are unaccompanied children to come to the UK from around the world.
Mr Dominic Grieve
Tom Brake
Sir Vince Cable
Jo Swinson
Sir Edward Davey
Robert Neill
Seema Malhotra
Mr David Lammy
Layla Moran
Lady Hermon
Antionette Sandbach

To move the following Clause—

“Treatment of retained law (No. 2)

(1) Following the day on which this Act is passed, no modification may be made to retained EU law except by primary legislation, or by subordinate legislation made under this Act.

(2) The Secretary of State must by regulations establish a schedule listing technical provisions of retained EU law that may be amended by subordinate legislation.

(3) Subordinate legislation to which subsection (2) applies must be subject to an enhanced scrutiny procedure, to be established by regulations made by the Secretary of State after approval in draft by both Houses of Parliament, which must include consultation with the public and relevant stakeholders.

(4) Delegated powers may be used only to modify provisions of retained EU law listed in any Schedule made under subsection (2) to the extent that such modification will not limit the scope or weaken standards of equalities, environmental and employment protection, and consumer standards.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would qualify the powers conferred to alter law by statutory instrument after exit day.

Mary Creagh

★ To move the following Clause—

“Enforcement of retained environmental law

(1) The Secretary of State must make regulations under section 7 of this Act for the purpose of ensuring that retained EU legislation relating to environmental protection continues to be monitored and enforced effectively after exit day.

(2) The regulations must, in particular—

(a) create a statutory corporation (to be called “the Environmental Protection Agency”) with operational independence from Ministers of the Crown to monitor environmental targets set by retained EU law relating to environmental protection;

(b) require the statutory corporation to report to Parliament every year on progress in meeting those targets and to make recommendations for remedial action where appropriate;
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(c) allow the statutory corporation to publish additional reports identifying action or omissions on the part of Ministers of the Crown that is likely to result in targets not being met.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause would require Ministers of the Crown to make specific provision for the enforcement of EU legislation relating to environmental protection.

Mr Chris Leslie
Paul Farrelly
Mr David Lammy
Joanna Cherry
Ian Murray
Mike Gapes

Catherine McKinnell
Helen Hayes
Mary Creagh
Jo Swinson
Jamie Stone

Stephen Doughty
Mr Ben Bradshaw
Tom Brake
Sir Edward Davey
Layla Moran

Carbon Lucas
Angela Smith
Sir Vince Cable
Ann Coffey

Clause 7, page 5, line 4, leave out “appropriate” and insert “necessary”

Member’s explanatory statement
This Amendment would reduce the wide discretion for using delegated legislation and limit it to those aspects which are unavoidable.

Mr Dominic Grieve
John Penrose
Paul Farrelly
Joanna Cherry
Mike Gapes
Mr Edward Vaizey

Stephen Doughty
Tom Brake
Sir Edward Davey
Steve McCabe
Mr David Lammy
Antionette Sandbach

Helen Hayes
Sir Vince Cable
Stephen Kinnock
Jamie Stone
Layla Moran

Mr George Howarth
Jo Swinson
Ann Coffey
Susan Elan Jones
Lady Hermon

Clause 7, page 5, line 5, leave out from “effectively” to end of line 6 on page 6.
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Yvette Cooper
Hilary Benn
Ms Harriet Harman
Nicky Morgan
Robert Neill
Mary Creagh

Rachel Reeves
Ian Murray
Stephen Doughty
Angela Smith
Stella Creasy
Ian Murray
Jamie Stone

Norman Lamb
Mike Gapes
Helen Hayes
Stephen Kinnock
Mr George Howarth
Steve McCabe
Chris Bryant

Mr David Lammy
Catherine McKinnell
Mr Ben Bradshaw
Rushanara Ali
Ann Coffey
Seema Malhotra
Susan Elan Jones

Clause 7, page 5, line 7, at end insert—
“(1A) Regulations under subsection (1) may be made so far as necessary to adapt the body of EU law to fit the UK’s domestic legal framework.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would place a general provision on the face of the Bill to the effect that the delegated powers granted by the Bill should be used only so far as necessary.

Tom Brake
Sir Vince Cable
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Caroline Lucas
Mike Gapes
Tim Farron

Wera Hobhouse
Jo Swinson
Jamie Stone

Stephen Doughty
Ann Coffey
Mr David Lammy

Layla Moran
Ian Murray

Clause 7, page 5, line 7, at end insert—
“(1A) A Minister of the Crown must by regulations make provision to maintain, preserve and protect the rights of any citizen of an EU member state who was lawfully resident in the UK immediately before exit day, and in particular to continue their right to be lawfully resident in the UK.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This Amendment is intended to preserve after exit day the rights, including residence rights, of EU citizens in the UK.

Ian Blackford
Patrick Grady
Stuart C. McDonald
Joanna Cherry

Clause 7, page 5, line 7, at end insert—
“(1A) The Secretary of State shall make regulations to define “failure to operate efficiently” for the purposes of this section.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require the Secretary of State to define in regulations one of the criteria for the use of Clause 7 powers to deal with deficiencies arising from withdrawal from the EU.
Clause 7, page 5, line 7, at end insert—

“( ) But the power in subsection (1) may not be exercised to make provision for Wales to the extent that that provision would be within the devolved competence of the Welsh Ministers for the purposes of Part 1 of Schedule 2.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would prevent a Minister of the Crown from making provision to deal with deficiencies in retained EU law arising from withdrawal to the extent that the provision would be within the devolved competence of the Welsh Ministers.

Clause 7, page 5, line 8, leave out “(but are not limited to)” and insert “and are limited to”

Member’s explanatory statement
To restrict the power of a Minister to make regulations to amend retained EU law to cases where the EU law is deficient in the way set out in the Bill.

Clause 7, page 5, line 8, leave out “(but are not limited to)”
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‘deficiencies in retained EU law’ but allows Ministers significant latitude. By removing the qualifying phrase ‘but are not limited to’, subsection (2) becomes a more precise prescribed set of circumstances where Ministers may and may not make regulations.

Mr Chris Leslie
Mr George Howarth
Mike Gapes
Mr David Lammy

Clause 7, page 5, line 41, at end insert—

“(3A) Regulations under this section may not be made unless a Minister of the Crown has laid before each House of Parliament a report setting out how any functions, regulation-making powers or instruments of a legislative character undertaken by EU entities prior to exit day and instead to be exercisable by a public authority in the United Kingdom shall also be subject to the level of legislative scrutiny by the UK Parliament equivalent to that available to the European Parliament prior to exit day.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would ensure that any regulatory or rule-making powers transferred from EU entities to UK public bodies receive the same degree of scrutiny that would have been the case if the UK had remained in the European Union.

Mr Chris Leslie
Chuka Umunna
Neil Coyle
Peter Kyle
Mr Ben Bradshaw
Stephen Doughty

Caroline Lucas  Paul Farrelly  Mr David Lammy
Joanna Cherry  Ian Murray  Mike Gapes
Catherine McKinnell  Helen Hayes  Angela Smith
Stephen Kinnock  Stella Creasy  Tom Brake
Sir Vince Cable  Jo Swinson  Sir Edward Davey
Ann Coffey  Jamie Stone  Layla Moran

Clause 7, page 5, line 42, leave out subsection (4)

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would remove the scope for regulations to make provisions that could be made by an Act of Parliament.
Clause 7, page 5, line 43, at end insert “, apart from amending or modifying this Act”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would remove the proposed capacity of Ministers under Clause 7 to modify and amend the Act itself via delegated powers.

Clause 7, page 5, line 44, leave out subsection (5) and insert—

“(5) No regulations may be made under this section which provide for the establishment of public authorities in the United Kingdom.

(6) Subsection (5) applies to but is not limited to—

(a) Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators (ACER),
(b) Office of the Body of European Regulators for Electronic Communications (BEREC Office),
(c) Community Plant Variety Office (CPVO),
(d) European Border and Coast Guard Agency (Frontex),
(e) European Agency for the operational management of large-scale IT systems in the area of freedom, security and justice (eu-LISA),
(f) European Asylum Support Office (EASO),
(g) European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA),
(h) European Banking Authority (EBA),
(i) European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC),
(j) European Chemicals Agency (ECHA),
(k) European Environment Agency (EEA),
(l) European Fisheries Control Agency (EFCA),
(m) European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority (EIOPA),
(n) European Maritime Safety Agency (EMSA),
(o) European Medicines Agency (EMA),
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(p) European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction (EMCDDA),
(q) European Union Agency for Network and Information Security (ENISA),
(r) European Police Office (Europol),
(s) European Union Agency for Railways (ERA),
(t) European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA), and
(u) European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO).”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment ensures that the Government cannot establish new agencies using delegated legislation.

Mr Chris Leslie
Chuka Umunna
Neil Coyle
Peter Kyle
Mr Ben Bradshaw
Stephen Doughty

Mr Pat McFadden, Paul Farrelly, Mr David Lammy
Ian Murray, Mike Gapes, Catherine McKinnell
Helen Hayes, Angela Smith, Stella Creasy
Mary Creagh, Tom Brake, Sir Vince Cable
Jo Swinson, Sir Edward Davey, Stephen Kinnock
Ann Coffey, Catherine West, Jamie Stone
Chris Bryant, Layla Moran

Clause 7, page 6, line 3, leave out sub-paragraph (ii)

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would remove the ability of Ministers to replace or abolish public service functions currently undertaken by EU entities without making an alternative provision for those equivalent public services to continue domestically after exit day. Retaining the existing functions undertaken by the EU is an important principle that the part of this sub-clause could potentially undermine.
Clause 7, page 6, line 3, leave out “abolished”

*Member’s explanatory statement*

To prevent the abolition by SI of a function currently carried out by an EU entity in the UK, as opposed to its replacement or modification.

Clause 7, page 6, line 4, leave out paragraph (b).

*Member’s explanatory statement*

This amendment seeks to prevent the establishment of new public bodies by means of secondary legislation only, as opposed to primary legislation.

Clause 7, page 6, line 6, at end insert—

“(5A) Regulations under this section must be prefaced by a statement by the person making the regulations—

(a) specifying the nature of the failure of retained European Union law to operate effectively or other deficiency arising from the withdrawal of the
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United Kingdom from the European Union in respect of which the regulations are made, and
(b) declaring that the person making the regulations—
   (i) is satisfied that the conditions in section 7 are met,
   (ii) is satisfied that the regulations contain only provision which is appropriate for the purpose of preventing, remedying or mitigating any failure to operate effectively or other deficiency in retained European Union law arising from the withdrawal of the United Kingdom from the European Union in respect of which the regulations are made,
   (iii) is satisfied that the effect of the regulations is in due proportion to that failure to operate effectively or other deficiency in European Union retained law arising from the withdrawal of the United Kingdom from the European Union, and
   (iv) is satisfied that the regulations are compatible with the Convention rights (within the meaning of section 1 of the Human Rights Act 1998 (c. 42))."

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment replicates the provisions in the Civil Contingencies Act 2004, which limit Ministers’ powers even in a time of declared emergency. They ensure that statutory instruments are proportionate and necessary.

Yvette Cooper
Hilary Benn
Ms Harriet Harman
Nicky Morgan
Robert Neill
Mary Creagh

Rachel Reeves Norman Lamb Paul Farrelly
Mr David Lammy Joanna Cherry Ian Murray
Liz Kendall Mike Gapes Caroline Lucas
Catherine McKinnell Stephen Doughty Helen Hayes
Mr Ben Bradshaw Angela Smith Stella Creasy
Mr George Howarth Mary Creagh Stephen Kinnock
Ann Coffey Susan Elan Jones Seema Malhotra
Jamie Stone

Clause 7, page 6, line 6, at end insert—

“(5A) But a Minister may not make provision under subsection (4), other than provision which merely restates an enactment, unless the Minister considers that the conditions in subsection (5B), where relevant, are satisfied in relation to that provision.

(5B) These conditions are that—

(a) the effect of the provision is proportionate to the policy objective,
(b) the provision does not remove any necessary protection, and
(c) the provision does not prevent any person from continuing to exercise any right or freedom which that person might reasonably expect to continue to exercise.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment is intended to prevent the regulation-making power from being used to remove necessary protections.
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Kerry McCarthy
Caroline Lucas
Mike Gapes
Mary Creagh
Mr Ben Bradshaw
Darren Jones

Ruth Cadbury  Catherine McKinnell  Stella Creasy
Ms Karen Buck  Ms Angela Eagle  Ian Murray
Stephen Doughty  Mrs Madeleine Moon  Mr David Lammy
Stephen Kinnock  Ann Coffey  Susan Elan Jones

Clause 7, page 6, line 6, at end insert—
“(5A) A public authority established under this section will be abolished after two years.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment provides for any new public authority established under secondary legislation to be temporary.

Tom Brake
Sir Vince Cable
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Caroline Lucas
Tim Farron
Wera Hobhouse

Layla Moran  Jo Swinson  Mary Creagh
Jamie Stone  Mr David Lammy

Clause 7, page 6, line 10, at end insert—
“(ca) weaken, remove or replace any requirement of law in effect in the United Kingdom place immediately before exit day which, in the opinion of the Minister, was a requirement up to exit day of the United Kingdom’s membership of the customs union,”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment is intended to prevent the regulation-making powers being used to create barriers to the UK’s continued membership of the customs union.

Tom Brake
Sir Vince Cable
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Caroline Lucas
Tim Farron
Wera Hobhouse

Jo Swinson  Mary Creagh  Jamie Stone
Mr David Lammy  Layla Moran

Clause 7, page 6, line 10, at end insert—
“(ca) weaken, remove or replace any requirement of law in effect in the United Kingdom place immediately before exit day which, in the opinion of the Minister, was a requirement up to exit day of the United Kingdom’s membership of the single market,”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment is intended to prevent the regulation-making powers being used to create barriers to the UK’s continued membership of the single market.
Clause 7, page 6, line 11, at end insert—

“(da) apply to Wales unless they relate to matters specified in Schedule 7A to the Government of Wales Act 2006,

(db) apply to Scotland unless they relate to matters specified in Schedule 5 to the Scotland Act 1998,

(dc) apply to Northern Ireland unless they relate to matters specified in Schedules 2 or 3 to the Northern Ireland Act 1998.”

*Member's explanatory statement*

This amendment prevents Ministers of the Crown from making regulations under the powers in Clause 7 that apply to Wales, Scotland or Northern Ireland other than in relation to reserved (or, in the case of Northern Ireland, excepted and reserved) matters.

Clause 7, page 6, line 11, at end insert—

“(da) remove any protections or rights of consumers which are available in the United Kingdom under EU law immediately before exit day.”

*Member's explanatory statement*

This amendment would prevent the Government from using powers in the Act to remove any consumer protections or rights enshrined in EU law after the United Kingdom’s withdrawal from the European Union.

Clause 7, page 6, line 12, after “revoke” insert “the Equality Act 2010 or”

*Member’s explanatory statement*

This amendment would prevent regulations under the Bill being used to amend the Equality Act 2010.
Clause 7, page 6, line 13, after “it”, insert—

“( ) modify the Scotland Act 1998 or the Government of Wales Act 2006,”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would prevent the powers of a Minister of the Crown under Clause 7 of the Bill to fix problems in retained EU law from being exercised to amend the Scotland Act 1998 or the Government of Wales Act 2006.

Clause 7, page 6, line 13, after “it”, insert—

“( ) modify the Government of Wales Act 2006,”.

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would prevent the Government of Wales Act 2006 from being amended by regulations under Clause 7.

Clause 7, page 6, line 14, leave out from “1998” to end of line 18 and insert “or otherwise affect any legislation derived from the Belfast Agreement of 10 April 1998 or the intention of that Agreement.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment is intended to ensure that the EU Withdrawal Bill does not affect any legislation derived from the Good Friday Agreement or the intention of the Good Friday Agreement.
Clause 7, page 6, line 18, at end insert—

“(g) make any other provision, unless the Minister considers that the conditions in subsection (6A) where relevant are satisfied in relation to that provision.

(6A) Those conditions are that—
(a) the policy objective intended to be secured by the provision could not be secured by non-legislative means;
(b) the effect of the provision is proportionate to the policy objective;
(c) the provision, taken as a whole, strikes a fair balance between the public interest and the interests of any person adversely affected by it;
(d) the provision does not remove any necessary protection;
(e) the provision does not prevent any person from continuing to exercise any right or freedom which that person might reasonably expect to continue to exercise;
(f) the provision is not of constitutional significance”

Member’s explanatory statement
To narrow down the circumstances in which this power can be exercised.
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Jeremy Corbyn
Mr Nicholas Brown
Keir Starmer
Jenny Chapman
Matthew Pennycook
Paul Blomfield

Valerie Vaz
Mr David Lammy
Mike Gapes
Helen Hayes
Mary Creagh
Jo Swinson
Susan Elan Jones
Jamie Stone

Caroline Lucas
Ian Murray
Kerry McCarthy
Stephen Kinnock
Tom Brake
Sir Edward Davey
Seema Malhotra
Layla Moran

Paul Farrelly
Stephen Doughty
Catherine McKinnell
Stella Creasy
Sir Vince Cable
Ann Coffey
Catherine West

Clause 7, page 6, line 18, at end insert—
“(g) remove or reduce any protections currently conferred upon individuals,
grupos or the natural environment,
(h) prevent any person from continuing to exercise a right that they can
currently exercise,
(i) amend, repeal or revoke the Equality Act 2010 or any subordinate
legislation made under that Act.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would prevent the Government’s using delegated powers under Clause 7 to
reduce rights or protections.

Ian Blackford
Joanna Cherry
Patrick Grady
Stephen Gethins
Kirsty Blackman
Peter Grant

Jamie Stone

Clause 7, page 6, line 18, at end insert—
“(g) make changes to EU-derived domestic legislation concerning the rights
of workers in the UK unless the Secretary of State has secured unanimous
agreement from the Joint Ministerial Committee.”
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Kerry McCarthy
Caroline Lucas
Mary Creagh
Mr Ben Bradshaw
Darren Jones
Ruth Cadbury

Catherine McKinnell
Ms Angela Eagle
Mrs Madeleine Moon
Mr David Lammy
Jo Swinson
Ann Coffey
Layla Moran

Stella Creasy
Ian Murray
Helen Hayes
Tom Brake
Sir Edward Davey
Jamie Stone

Ms Karen Buck
Stephen Doughty
Angela Smith
Sir Vince Cable
Stephen Kinnock
Mike Gapes

Clause 7, page 6, line 18, at end insert—
“(g) limit the scope or weaken standards of environmental protection.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This Amendment ensures that the power to make regulations in Clause 7 may not be exercised to reduce environmental protection.

Caroline Lucas
Mr David Lammy
Mike Gapes

Clause 7, page 6, line 18, at end insert—
“(g) amend, repeal or revoke any legal right derived from EU law and operative in UK law immediately before 30 March 2019.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment seeks to prevent the delegated powers granted to Ministers by Clause 7 being used to weaken or abolish existing EU-derived legal rights, such as those on workers’ rights, equality, and environmental protection.

Kate Green
Ann Coffey
Rushanara Ali
Mr Chris Leslie
Stella Creasy
Mrs Madeleine Moon

Angela Smith
Tulip Siddiq
Catherine McKinnell
Jo Swinson
Lisa Nandy
Ian Murray
Layla Moran

Heidi Alexander
Helen Hayes
Mike Gapes
Caroline Lucas
Tom Brake
Seema Malhotra

Stephen Doughty
Chuka Umunna
Mr George Howarth
Mr Barry Sheerman
Sir Vince Cable
Mr David Lammy

Clause 7, page 6, line 18, at end insert—
“(g) make any provision which is not compliant with the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would seek to bar Ministers from making regulations under Clause 7 which are not compliant with the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child.
Clause 7, page 6, line 18, at end insert—
“(g) make changes to EU-derived domestic legislation concerning the co-ordination of social security systems between the UK and EU member states unless the Secretary of State has consulted with the relevant Minister in each of the devolved administrations.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require that changes cannot be made under Clause 7 to EU-derived domestic legislation concerning the co-ordination of social security systems between the UK and EU member states unless the Secretary of State has consulted with the relevant Minister in each of the devolved administrations.

Clause 7, page 6, line 18, at end insert—
“(g) make changes to EU-derived domestic legislation concerning eligibility for UK pensions unless a public consultation on these changes has taken place.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require that changes cannot be made under Clause 7 to EU-derived domestic legislation concerning eligibility for UK pensions unless a public consultation on these changes has taken place.

Clause 7, page 6, line 18, at end insert—
“(g) make changes to EU-derived domestic legislation concerning agricultural policies in the UK unless the Secretary of State has secured unanimous agreement from the Joint Ministerial Committee to those changes.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would ensure that the power to make regulations on agricultural policy under Clause 7 could not be exercised without agreement from the Joint Ministerial Council.

Clause 7, page 6, line 18, at end insert—
“(g) make changes to EU-derived domestic legislation concerning fisheries in the UK unless the Secretary of State has secured unanimous agreement from the Joint Ministerial Committee to those changes.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would ensure that the power to make regulations concerning fisheries under Clause 7 could not be exercised without agreement from the Joint Ministerial Council.
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Ian Blackford
Patrick Grady
Stuart C. McDonald
Joanna Cherry
Angela Crawley

Clause 7, page 6, line 18, at end insert—
“(g) amend, repeal or revoke the Equality Act 2010 or any subordinate legislation made under it.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would prevent the powers in Clause 7 being used to amend Equality Act 2010 legislation.

Ian Blackford
Patrick Grady
Stuart C. McDonald
Joanna Cherry

Clause 7, page 6, line 18, at end insert—
“(g) remove, reduce or otherwise limit the rights of EU citizens resident in the UK.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would prevent the powers in Clause 7 being used to remove, reduce or otherwise limit the rights of EU citizens resident in the UK.

Ian Blackford
Patrick Grady
Douglas Chapman
Stewart Malcolm McDonald

Clause 7, page 6, line 18, at end insert—
“(g) make provision which, in the opinion of the Minister, could pose a threat to national security.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would prevent the powers in Clause 7 being used to make provision which could pose a threat to national security.
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Mary Creagh
Daniel Zeichner
Ruth Cadbury
Mr Ben Bradshaw
Heidi Alexander
Peter Kyle

Maria Eagle  Liz Kendall  Mike Gapes
Chuka Umunna  Darren Jones  Stella Creasy
Stephen Doughty  Chris Bryant  Kerry McCarthy
Catherine McKinnell  Caroline Lucas  Helen Hayes
Angela Smith  Rushanara Ali  Mr David Lammy
Stephen Kinnock  Ann Coffey  Ian Murray
Catherine West  Chris Bryant

Clause 7, page 6, line 18, at end insert—

“(6A) Regulations may not be made under this section unless a Minister of the Crown has certified that the Minister is satisfied that the regulations do not remove or reduce any environmental protection provided by retained EU law.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment ensures that regulations under this section cannot interfere with environmental protection under retained EU law, by requiring a Ministerial certificate.

Mr Dominic Grieve
John Penrose
Mike Gapes
Stephen Doughty
Stephen Kinnock
Mr George Howarth

Ian Murray  Jamie Stone  Chris Bryant
Mr David Lammy  Lady Hermon  Antionette Sandbach

Clause 7, page 6, line 21, leave out subsection (8).

Hywel Williams
Liz Saville Roberts
Jonathan Edwards
Ben Lake
Caroline Lucas
Joanna Cherry

Stephen Kinnock

Clause 7, page 6, line 25, at end insert—

“(9) Regulations may only be made under subsection (5)(a)(ii) if an impact assessment on the replacement, abolition or modification of the functions of EU entities is laid before each House of Parliament prior to them being made.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment prevents Ministers of the Crown from being able to replace, abolish or modify the functions of EU Agencies without laying impact assessments on its effect before both Houses of Parliament.
Clause 7, page 6, line 25, at end insert—

“(9) The consent of the Scottish Ministers is required before any provision is made in regulations under this section so far as the provision would be within the devolved competence of the Scottish Ministers within the meaning given in paragraph 9 of Schedule 2.

(10) The consent of the Welsh Ministers is required before any provision is made in regulations under this section so far as the provision would be within the devolved competence of the Welsh Ministers within the meaning given in paragraph 10 of Schedule 2.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would require a Minister of the Crown to first seek the consent of the Scottish Ministers or the Welsh Ministers before making any regulations under Clause 7 on Scottish or Welsh devolved matters.

---

**NEW CLAUSES AND NEW SCHEDULES RELATING TO CLAUSE 9, CLAUSE 9, NEW CLAUSES AND NEW SCHEDULES RELATING TO CLAUSE 16 OR SCHEDULE 7, CLAUSE 16, SCHEDULE 7, CLAUSE 17**

**NEW CLAUSES AND NEW SCHEDULES RELATING TO CLAUSE 9, CLAUSE 9**

Yvette Cooper
Ms Harriet Harman
Norman Lamb
Nicky Morgan
Robert Neill
Mary Creagh

Rachel Reeves  
Joanna Cherry  
Mike Gapes  
Caroline Lucas  
Angela Smith  
Ann Coffey

Paul Farrelly  
Ian Murray  
Catherine McKinnell  
Helen Hayes  
Stella Creasy  
Chris Bryant

Mr David Lammy  
Liz Kendall  
Stephen Doughty  
Mr Ben Bradshaw  
Mr George Howarth

NC3

To move the following Clause—

**“Implementing the withdrawal agreement**

1. No powers to make regulations under this Act may be used for the purposes of implementing the withdrawal agreement.
European Union (Withdrawal) Bill, continued

(2) The Secretary of State must lay a report before Parliament detailing how the withdrawal agreement will be implemented, including any proposed primary legislation.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause is linked to the removal of Clause 9 and paragraph 6 of Schedule 7 to require the Government to implement the withdrawal agreement through separate primary and secondary legislation rather than through this bill.

Mr Chris Leslie
Mike Gapes
Chuka Umunna
Neil Coyle
Peter Kyle
Mr Ben Bradshaw
Liz Kendall
Paul Farrelly
Catherine McKinnell
Sir Vince Cable
Ann Coffey
Layla Moran
Stephen Doughty
Mr David Lammy
Helen Hayes
Jo Swinson
Ruth Cadbury
Caroline Lucas
Ian Murray
Tom Brake
Sir Edward Davey
Chris Bryant

To move the following Clause—

“Arrangements for withdrawing from the EU

Notwithstanding any powers granted under this Act, no Minister of the Crown may agree to the arrangements for the withdrawal of the United Kingdom from the European Union referred to in Article 50(2) of the Treaty on European Union until Royal Assent is granted to an Act of Parliament—

(a) authorising the Minister to agree to an exit day to be specified in the Act,
(b) authorising the Minister to agree to those arrangements that will apply after exit day, the arrangements to be specified in the Act.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause would ensure that a separate Act of Parliament would be required for Ministers to determine exit day and to set out the arrangements that will apply after exit day.
Committee of the whole House: 23 October 2017
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Mr Chris Leslie
Caroline Lucas
Paul Farrelly
Mr David Lammy
Ian Murray
Mike Gapes
Catherine McKinnell
Stephen Doughty
Helen Hayes
Mr Ben Bradshaw
Stella Creasy
Stephen Kinnock
Ann Coffey
Chris Bryant

To move the following Clause—

“Publication of the Withdrawal Agreement
The powers for Ministers set out in section 9 shall not come into force unless and until a final withdrawal agreement made between the United Kingdom and the European Union has been published and copies placed in the Libraries of the House of Commons and the House of Lords.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause would ensure that the wide-ranging powers for Ministers to implement the withdrawal agreement set out in Clause 9 of the Bill cannot come into force until the withdrawal agreement has been published.

Tom Brake
Sir Vince Cable
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Tim Farron
Wera Hobhouse
Layla Moran
Jo Swinson
Jamie Stone

To move the following Clause—

“Parliamentary vote on withdrawal from European Economic Area
The requirement of this section is that each House of Parliament has passed a resolution in the following terms—
That this House supports the United Kingdom’s withdrawal from the European Economic Area.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause describes the requirement for each House of Parliament to agree to withdrawal from the European Economic Area and is linked to Amendment 128 which makes the exercise of the power to make regulations implementing the withdrawal agreement contingent on such agreement.
Conor McGinn  
Mr David Lammy  
Seema Malhotra  
Mike Gapes  

To move the following Clause—

“Status of Irish citizens in the United Kingdom

Before making any regulations under section 9, the Minister shall commit to making available to Irish citizens lawfully resident in the United Kingdom after exit day any status, rights and entitlements available to Irish citizens before exit day, inclusive of and in addition to their status, rights and entitlements as EU citizens.”

Conor McGinn  
Mr David Lammy  
Mr George Howarth  
Ian Murray  
Seema Malhotra  
Mike Gapes  

To move the following Clause—

“Provisions of the Good Friday Agreement

Before making any regulations under section 9, the Minister shall commit to maintaining the provisions of the Good Friday Agreement and subsequent Agreements agreed between the United Kingdom and Ireland since 1998, including—

(a) the free movement of people, goods and services on the island of Ireland,
(b) citizenship rights,
(c) the preservation of institutions set up relating to strands 1, 2 and 3 of the Good Friday Agreement,
(d) human rights and equality,
(e) the principle of consent,
(f) the status of the Irish language, and
(g) a Bill of Rights.”

Ian Blackford  
Peter Grant  
Joanna Cherry  
Patrick Grady  

Clause 9, page 6, line 43, leave out “appropriate” and insert “necessary”
Clause 9, page 6, line 45, at end insert “, subject to the prior enactment of a statute by Parliament approving the final terms of withdrawal of the United Kingdom from the European Union.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

To require the final deal with the EU to be approved by statute passed by Parliament.

Stephen Kinnock

 starvation Clause 9, page 6, line 45, at end insert—

“( ) But the power in subsection (1) may not be exercised to make provision for Wales to the extent that that provision would be within the devolved competence of the Welsh Ministers for the purposes of Part 2 of Schedule 2.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would prevent a Minister of the Crown from making provision to implement the withdrawal agreement to the extent that the provision would be within the devolved competence of the Welsh Ministers.

Caroline Lucas

Clause 9, page 7, line 1, leave out subsection (2).

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment seeks to restrict the delegated powers granted to Ministers by Clause 9.

Chris Bryant

Stephen Doughty

Helen Hayes

Mr Ben Bradshaw

Mary Creagh

Stephen Kinnock

Ann Coffey

Susan Elan Jones

Ian Murray

Jamie Stone

Mike Gapes

Mr David Lammy

Clause 9, page 7, line 2, leave out “(including modifying this Act)” and insert “except modifying this Act, the Parliament Acts 1911 and 1949 and any Act granted
Royal Assent in the session of Parliament in which this Act is passed"

**Member's explanatory statement**

This removes the power of Ministers to amend this Act, the Parliament Acts and any Act granted assent in this session of Parliament. It is necessary so as to safeguard the constitutional provisions in the Parliament Acts, such as the provision that a Parliament cannot last more than five years and the relative powers of the House of Lords.

Jeremy Corbyn
Mr Nicholas Brown
Keir Starmer
Jenny Chapman
Matthew Pennycook
Paul Blomfield

Valerie Vaz
Mr David Lammy
Catherine McKinnell
Stephen Kinnock
Steve McCabe
Mike Gapes

Caroline Lucas
Ms Harriet Harman
Stephen Doughty
Mary Creagh
Seema Malhotra

Paul Farrelly
Ian Murray
Helen Hayes
Ann Coffey
Jamie Stone

**Clause 9, page 7, line 2, leave out ‘(including modifying this Act)’ and insert ‘, apart from amending or modifying this Act’**

**Member's explanatory statement**

This amendment would remove the proposed capacity of Ministers in Clause 9 to modify and amend the Act itself via delegated powers.

Mr Chris Leslie
Chuka Umunna
Neil Coyle
Peter Kyle
Mr Ben Bradshaw
Stephen Doughty

Mr Pat McFadden
Mr David Lammy
Helen Hayes
Mary Creagh
Jo Swinson
Jamie Stone

Caroline Lucas
Ian Murray
Angela Smith
Tom Brake
Sir Edward Davey
Mike Gapes

Paul Farrelly
Catherine McKinnell
Stella Creasy
Sir Vince Cable
Ann Coffey

**Clause 9, page 7, line 2, leave out “including” and insert “but not”**

**Member's explanatory statement**

This amendment would prevent the Ministerial order making powers in Clause 9 being used to modify the European Union (Withdrawal) Act itself.
Clause 9, page 7, line 5, at end insert—
“(bc) amend or repeal the Northern Ireland Act 1998 (except with the intention of preserving the effects of the Belfast Agreement of 10 April 1998 after exit day).”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment is intended to maintain the provisions of the Good Friday Agreement after the UK leaves the EU.

Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—
“(e) make any provision, unless the Minister considers that the conditions in subsection (3B) where relevant are satisfied in relation to that provision.

(3A) Those conditions are that—
(a) the policy objective intended to be secured by the provision could not be secured by non-legislative means;
(b) the effect of the provision is proportionate to the policy objective;
(c) the provision, taken as a whole, strikes a fair balance between the public interest and the interests of any person adversely affected by it;
(d) the provision does not remove any necessary protection;
(e) the provision does not prevent any person from continuing to exercise any right or freedom which that person might reasonably expect to continue to exercise;
(f) the provision is not of constitutional significance”
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Jeremy Corbyn
Mr Nicholas Brown
Keir Starmer
Jenny Chapman
Matthew Pennycook
Paul Blomfield

Valerie Vaz
Mr David Lammy
Kerry McCarthy
Helen Hayes
Stella Creasy
Susan Elan Jones
Mike Gapes

Caroline Lucas
Ms Harriet Harman
Catherine McKinnell
Stephen Kinnock
Mary Creagh
Seema Malhotra

Paul Farrelly
Ian Murray
Stephen Doughty
Rushanara Ali
Ann Coffey
Jamie Stone

Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—
“(e) remove or reduce any protections currently conferred upon individuals,
groups or the natural environment,
(f) prevent any person from continuing to exercise a right that they can
currently exercise,
(g) amend, repeal or revoke the Equality Act 2010 or any subordinate
legislation made under that Act.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would prevent the Government’s using delegated powers under Clause 9 to
reduce rights or protections.

Kerry McCarthy
Caroline Lucas
Mary Creagh
Mr Ben Bradshaw
Darren Jones
Ruth Cadbury

Catherine McKinnell
Ms Angela Eagle
Mrs Madeleine Moon
Tom Brake
Sir Edward Davey
Jamie Stone

Stella Creasy
Ian Murray
Helen Hayes
Sir Vince Cable
Stephen Kinnock
Mike Gapes

Ms Karen Buck
Stephen Doughty
Mr David Lammy
Jo Swinson
Ann Coffey
Layla Moran

Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—
“(e) limit the scope or weaken standards of environmental protection.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This Amendment ensures that the power to make regulations in Clause 8 may not be exercised to
reduce environmental protection.

Caroline Lucas
Mr David Lammy

Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—
“(e) amend, repeal or revoke any legal right derived from EU law and
operative in UK law immediately before 30 March 2019.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment seeks to prevent the delegated powers granted to Ministers by Clause 9 being
used to weaken or abolish existing EU-derived legal rights, such as those on workers’ rights,
equality, and environmental protection.
Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—

“(e) remove, reduce or otherwise amend the rights of any citizen of an EU Member State who was lawfully resident in the UK on any day before 30 March 2019.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment seeks to protect the existing rights of EU citizens living in the UK.

Kate Green
Ann Coffey
Rushanara Ali
Mr Chris Leslie
Stella Creasy
Mrs Madeleine Moon

Angela Smith  Heidi Alexander  Stephen Doughty
Tulip Siddiq  Helen Hayes  Chuka Umunna
Catherine McKinnell  Mike Gapes  Jo Swinson
Caroline Lucas  Mr Barry Sheerman  Lisa Nandy
Daniel Zeichner  Tom Brake  Sir Vince Cable
Jo Swinson  Sir Edward Davey  Stephen Kinnock
Ian Murray  Mr David Lammy  Layla Moran

Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—

“(e) make any provision which is not compliant with the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would seek to bar Ministers from making regulations under Clause 9 which are not compliant with the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child.

Ian Blackford
Patrick Grady
Stuart C. McDonald
Joanna Cherry
Angela Crawley

Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—

“(e) amend, repeal or revoke the Equality Act 2010 or any subordinate legislation made under it.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would prevent the powers in Clause 9 being used to amend Equality Act 2010 legislation.
Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—

“(e) remove, reduce or otherwise limit the rights of EU citizens resident in the UK.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would prevent the powers in Clause 9 being used to remove, reduce or otherwise limit the rights of EU citizens resident in the UK.

---

Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—

“(e) make provision which, in the opinion of the Minister, could pose a threat to national security.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would prevent the powers in Clause 9 being used to make provision which could pose a threat to national security.

---

Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—

“(3A) No regulations may be made under this section unless the terms of the withdrawal agreement have been approved by both Houses of Parliament.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment makes the exercise of the power to make regulations implementing the withdrawal
agreement contingent on the requirement for separate agreement on withdrawal from the European Economic Area of NC29.

Stephen Gethins
Stephen Doughty
Ian Murray
Hywel Williams
Jo Swinson
Caroline Lucas

Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—

“(3A) The consent of the Scottish Ministers is required before any provision is made in regulations under this section that modifies the Scotland Act 1998.

(3B) The consent of the Welsh Ministers is required before any provision is made in regulations under this section that modifies the Government of Wales Act 2006.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would prevent a Minister of the Crown from using the power to make regulations under Clause 9 implementing any withdrawal agreement to change the devolution settlements for Scotland and Wales without the consent of the Scottish Ministers or Welsh Ministers.

Stewart Malcolm McDonald
Patrick Grady
Martin Docherty-Hughes
Douglas Chapman

Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—

“(3A) No regulations may be made under this section until a Minister of the Crown has submitted a formal request to the President of the European Council that the UK should continue to be a member of the European Union’s Political and Security Committee after exit day.”

Stewart Malcolm McDonald
Patrick Grady
Carol Monaghan
Douglas Chapman

Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—

“(3A) No regulations may be made under this section until a Minister of the Crown has submitted a formal request to the President of the European Council that the UK should continue to be a signatory to all agreements signed through the European Union’s Common Foreign and Security Policy.”

Peter Grant
Patrick Grady
Carol Monaghan

Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—

“(3A) No regulations may be made under this section until a Minister of the Crown has submitted a formal request to the President of the European Council that the UK should continue to be a member of the European Union’s Foreign Affairs Council.”
Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—

“(3A) No regulations may be made under this section until a Minister of the Crown has submitted a formal request to the President of the European Council that the UK should continue to be a member of the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require publication of a Government assessment of the impact of the United Kingdom continuing to be a member of the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development, before any regulations are made under section 9.

Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—

“(3A) No regulations may be made under this section until the Chancellor of the Exchequer has laid before Parliament an assessment of the impact of the UK continuing to be a member of the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require publication of a Government assessment of the impact of the United Kingdom continuing to be a member of the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development, before any regulations are made under section 9.

Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—

“(3A) No regulations may be made under this section until the Chancellor of the Exchequer has submitted a formal request to the President of the European Council that the UK should continue to be a member of the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require publication of a Government assessment of the impact of the United Kingdom continuing to be a member of the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development, before any regulations are made under section 9.

Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—

“(3A) No regulations may be made under this section until the Chancellor of the Exchequer has submitted a formal request to the President of the European Council that the UK should continue to be a member of the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require publication of a Government assessment of the impact of the United Kingdom continuing to be a member of the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development, before any regulations are made under section 9.

Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—

“(3A) No regulations may be made under this section until the Chancellor of the Exchequer has submitted a formal request to the President of the European Council that the UK should continue to be a member of the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require publication of a Government assessment of the impact of the United Kingdom continuing to be a member of the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development, before any regulations are made under section 9.
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United Kingdom ending freedom of movement on the UK’s public finances, before any regulations are made under section 9.

Ian Blackford
Patrick Grady
Kirsty Blackman

Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—
“(3A) No regulations may be made under this section until the Chancellor of the Exchequer has laid before Parliament an assessment of the broadened responsibilities of the UK Treasury following the UK’s withdrawal from the EU.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require publication of a Government assessment of the broadened responsibilities of the UK Treasury following the UK’s withdrawal from the EU, before any regulations are made under section 9.

Stephen Kinnock

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would prevent the Government of Wales Act 2006 from being amended by regulations under Clause 9.

Mr Edward Vaizey
Rachel Reeves
Layla Moran
Robert Neill
Seema Malhotra
Catherine West

Dr Philippa Whitford
Chuka Umunna
Mrs Madeleine Moon
Darren Jones
Mr Chris Leslie
Stephen Kinnock
Chris Bryant
Gavin Shuker
Ian Murray
Luciana Berger
John Woodcock
Anna Turley
Gareth Snell
Julie Elliott
Daniel Zeichner
Ms Angela Eagle
Mary Creagh
Drew Hendry
Stella Creasy
Stephen Doughty
Mr David Lammy
Wes Streeting
Emma Reynolds
Ruth Cadbury
Pat McFadden
Stephen Timms
Vernon Coaker
Neil Coyle
Mr Ben Bradshaw
Maria Eagle
Angela Smith
Ruth Smeeth
Mr George Howarth
Albert Owen
Mike Gapes
Kate Green
Tulip Siddiq
Kerry McCarthy
Phil Wilson
Ann Coffey
Rushanara Ali
Alison McGovern
Liz Kendall
Heidi Alexander
Sir Kevin Barron
Mr Adrian Bailey
Shabana Mahmood
Catherine McKinnell

Clause 9, page 7, line 8, at end insert—
“(3A) No regulations may be made under this section until—
(a) the Government has laid before Parliament a strategy for maintaining those protections, safeguards, programmes for participation in nuclear research and development, and trading or other arrangements which will lapse as a result of the UK’s withdrawal from membership of, and
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participation in, the European Atomic Energy Community (Euratom), and

(b) the strategy has been approved by both Houses of Parliament.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would prevent the Government using any delegated powers under Clause 9 until it had secured Parliamentary approval for its proposals to replace any provisions that cease to apply as a result of the UK’s withdrawal from membership of Euratom.

Mr Chris Leslie
Chuka Umunna
Neil Coyle
Peter Kyle
Mr Ben Bradshaw
Stephen Doughty
Paul Farrelly
Catherine McKinnell
Ann Coffey
Chris Bryant
Mr David Lammy
Helen Hayes
Susan Elan Jones
Mike Gapes
Ian Murray
Mr George Howarth
Mr David Lammy
Stella Creasy
Tom Brake
Sir Edward Davey
Ian Murray
Sir Vince Cable
Stephen Kinnock
Mike Gapes
Jo Swinson
Ann Coffey
Layla Moran

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert “or until the withdrawal agreement has been published and legislation proposed in the 2017 Gracious Speech in relation to customs, trade, immigration, fisheries, agriculture, nuclear safeguards and international sanctions has been published.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would ensure that powers to Ministers to make regulations implementing the withdrawal agreement cannot be exercised until such time as the withdrawal agreement has been published along with the publication of associated legislative proposals on customs, trade, immigration, fisheries, agriculture, nuclear safeguards and international sanctions.

Chris Bryant
Stephen Doughty
Helen Hayes
Mr Ben Bradshaw
Mr David Lammy
Stella Creasy

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—

“(5) Regulations under this section will lapse two years after exit day.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

Although the power conferred by this clause lapses on exit day, there is no sunset clause for the statutory instruments provided under it. This would make all such statutory instruments lapse two years after exit day and require the Government to introduce primary legislation if it wanted to keep them in force.
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Ian Blackford
Joanna Cherry
Patrick Grady
Stephen Gethins
Kirsty Blackman
Peter Grant

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has signed an agreement with the EU guaranteeing that the UK will remain a permanent member of the EU Single Market.”

Caroline Lucas
Jo Swinson
Jamie Stone
Tom Brake
Sir Edward Davey
Layla Moran
Caroline Lucas
Liz Saville Roberts
Mr David Lammy
Seema Malhotra
Peter Grant
Stephen Gethins
Mike Gapes

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until such time as the Government has signed an agreement with the EU that maintains and guarantees the existing
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rights of EU citizens living in the UK, and UK citizens living elsewhere in the EU, as of 29 March 2019.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment seeks to protect the existing rights of both EU citizens living in the UK, and UK citizens living elsewhere in the EU.

Conor McGinn
Mike Gapes

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) No regulations may be made under this section unless the requirement in section [Status of Irish citizens in the United Kingdom] has been satisfied.”

Stephen Gethins
Stephen Doughty
Ian Murray
Hywel Williams
Jo Swinson
Caroline Lucas

Ian Blackford
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Tom Brake
Stephen Kinnock
Ann Coffey
Susan Elan Jones
Jamie Stone
Mike Gapes

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) The consent of the Scottish Ministers is required before any provision is made in regulations under this section so far as the provision would be within the devolved competence of the Scottish Ministers within the meaning given in paragraph 18 of Schedule 2.

(6) The consent of the Welsh Ministers is required before any provision is made in regulations under this section so far as the provision would be within the devolved competence of the Welsh Ministers within the meaning given in paragraph 19 of Schedule 2.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require a Minister of the Crown to first seek the consent of the Scottish Ministers or the Welsh Ministers before making any regulations under Clause 9 on Scottish or Welsh devolved matters.
Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid before Parliament a strategy for the UK to retain access to the EU’s Emissions Trading System markets after withdrawal from the EU.”

*Member’s explanatory statement*
This amendment would require the Secretary of State to publish a strategy to retain access to the EU’s Emissions Trading System markets after withdrawal.

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid before Parliament a strategy for the UK’s continued participation in the North Seas Countries’ Offshore Grid Initiative after withdrawal from the EU.”

*Member’s explanatory statement*
This amendment would require the Secretary of State to set out a strategy for the UK to continue participation in the North Seas Countries’ Offshore Grid Initiative after withdrawal from the EU.

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Chancellor of the Exchequer has published a statement setting out a strategy for retaining access to the European Investment Bank.”

*Member’s explanatory statement*
This amendment would require the Government to publish a strategy for retaining access to the European Investment Bank.

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Chancellor of the Exchequer has published a statement setting out a strategy for retaining membership of the European Investment Fund.”

*Member’s explanatory statement*
This amendment would require the Government to publish a strategy for retaining access to the European Investment Fund.
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Ian Blackford
Patrick Grady
Angus Brendan MacNeil
Patricia Gibson

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid a report before Parliament setting out a strategy for seeking the maintenance of UK membership of the European Food Safety Authority on existing terms after withdrawal from the EU.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require the Government to publish a strategy for continuing to be a member of the European Food Safety Authority.

Dr Philippa Whitford
Patrick Grady
Dr Lisa Cameron

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid a report before Parliament setting out a strategy for seeking the preservation of reciprocal healthcare agreements on existing terms as under social security coordination regulations 883/2004 and 987/2009 after the UK’s withdrawal from the EU.

(6) Any changes to regulations in subsection (5) shall only be made after—
(a) the House of Commons has passed a resolution approving changes to regulations mentioned in subsection (5),
(b) the Scottish Parliament has passed a resolution approving changes to regulations mentioned in subsection (5),
(c) the National Assembly of Wales has passed a resolution approving changes to regulations mentioned in subsection (5), and
(d) the Northern Ireland Assembly has passed a resolution approving changes to regulations mentioned in subsection (5).”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require the Secretary of State to publish a strategy for ensuring that reciprocal healthcare arrangements continue after the UK leaves the EU.

Dr Philippa Whitford
Ian Blackford
Patrick Grady
Deidre Brock

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid a report before Parliament setting out a strategy for seeking to maintain UK membership of the European Medicines Agency on existing terms after withdrawal from the EU.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require the Government to publish a strategy for continuing to be a member of the European Medicines Agency.
Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—

“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid a report before Parliament setting out a strategy for seeking to maintain UK membership of the European Agency for Safety and Health at Work after withdrawal from the EU.”

Member's explanatory statement
This amendment would require the Government to publish a strategy for continuing to be a member of the European Agency for Safety and Health at Work.

Dr Philippa Whitford
Patrick Grady
Carol Monaghan

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—

“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid a report before Parliament setting out a strategy for seeking to maintain UK membership of the European Chemicals Agency after withdrawal from the EU.”

Member's explanatory statement
This amendment would require the Government to publish a strategy for continuing to be a member of the European Chemicals Agency.

Alan Brown
Hannah Bardell
Patrick Grady
Deidre Brock
Dr Philippa Whitford

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—

“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid a report before Parliament setting out a strategy for seeking to maintain UK membership of the European Single Sky Agreement on existing terms after withdrawal from the EU.”

Member's explanatory statement
This amendment would require the Government to publish a strategy for continuing to be a member of the European Single Sky Agreement.

Alan Brown
Hannah Bardell
Patrick Grady
Deidre Brock
Dr Philippa Whitford

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—

“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid a report before Parliament setting out a strategy for seeking to maintain UK membership of the European Aviation Safety Agency on existing terms after withdrawal from the EU.”

Member's explanatory statement
This amendment would require the Government to set out a strategy for seeking to ensure that the
Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—

“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid a report before Parliament setting out a strategy for seeking to retain UK membership of the European Maritime Safety Agency on existing terms after withdrawal from the EU.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would require the Secretary of State to set out a strategy for seeking to ensure that the UK continues to be a member of the European Maritime Safety Agency after withdrawal from the EU.

Brendan O’Hara
Patrick Grady
Deidre Brock
Tommy Sheppard
Tom Brake
Sir Vince Cable

Jo Swinson  Sir Edward Davey  Layla Moran  

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—

“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid a report before Parliament setting out a strategy for seeking to maintain access for the UK to reciprocal roaming charge agreements on existing terms as under Regulation 2017/920, after withdrawal from the EU.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would seek to ensure that roaming charges do not come into effect after exit day for UK citizens in the EU and vice versa.
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Brendan O’Hara
Patrick Grady
Deidre Brock
Carol Monaghan

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid a report before Parliament setting out a strategy for seeking to retain UK membership of Creative Europe on existing terms after withdrawal from the EU.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require the Secretary of State to set out a strategy for seeking to ensure that the UK continued to be a member of Creative Europe after withdrawal from the EU.

Ian Blackford
Patrick Grady
Stuart C. McDonald
Joanna Cherry

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has made a formal request to President of the European Council that the UK continues membership of the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights after withdrawal from the EU.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require the UK to make a request to the President of the European Council for continued UK membership of the European Agency for Fundamental Rights after withdrawal from the EU.

Ian Blackford
Patrick Grady
Stuart C. McDonald
Joanna Cherry

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has published a strategy for reaching an agreement with the EU to enable the UK to have continued access to Passenger Name Records after withdrawal from the EU.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require the Secretary of State to set out a strategy for seeking to ensure that the UK continued to have access to Passenger Name Records after withdrawal from the EU.

Ian Blackford
Patrick Grady
Stuart C. McDonald
Joanna Cherry

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid before Parliament a strategy for reaching agreement with the EU to enable the UK to continue to have access to the Schengen Information System after withdrawal from the EU.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require the Secretary of State to set out a strategy for reaching agreement...
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with the EU to enable the UK to continue to have access to the Schengen Information System after withdrawal from the EU.

Ian Blackford
Patrick Grady
Stuart C. McDonald
Joanna Cherry

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid before Parliament a strategy for reaching agreement with the EU to enable the UK to continue to have access to the European Arrest Warrant.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require the Secretary of State to set out a strategy for reaching agreement with the EU to enable the UK to continue to have access to the European Arrest Warrant after withdrawal from the EU.

Ian Blackford
Patrick Grady
Stuart C. McDonald
Joanna Cherry

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid before Parliament a strategy for reaching agreement with the EU to enable the UK to continue to have membership of EUROPOL.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require the Secretary of State to set out a strategy for reaching agreement with the EU to enable the UK to continue to have membership of EUROPOL after withdrawal from the EU.

Ian Blackford
Patrick Grady
Stuart C. McDonald
Joanna Cherry

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid before Parliament a strategy for reaching agreement with the EU to enable the UK to continue to have membership of EUROJUST.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require the Secretary of State to set out a strategy for reaching agreement with the EU to enable the UK to continue to have membership of EUROJUST after withdrawal from the EU.

Ian Blackford
Patrick Grady
Stuart C. McDonald
Joanna Cherry

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—
“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid before Parliament a strategy for reaching agreement with the EU to enable
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the UK to continue to have access to the European Criminal Records Information system with the EU.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would require the Secretary of State to set out a strategy for reaching agreement with the EU to enable the UK to continue to have access to the European Criminal Records Information system with the EU after withdrawal from the EU.

Ian Blackford
Patrick Grady
Stuart C. McDonald
Joanna Cherry

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—

“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid before Parliament a strategy for reaching agreement with the EU to enable the UK to continue to have access to the Prüm Council decisions relating to fingerprint and DNA exchange with the EU.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would require the Secretary of State to set out a strategy for reaching agreement with the EU to enable the UK to continue to have access to the Prüm Council decisions relating to fingerprint and DNA exchange with the EU, after withdrawal from the EU.

Ian Blackford
Patrick Grady
Stuart C. McDonald
Joanna Cherry

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—

“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid before Parliament a strategy for reaching agreement with the EU to enable the UK to continue to have access to the False and Authentic Documents Online (“FADO”) internet-based image archiving system.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would require the Secretary of State to set out a strategy for reaching agreement with the EU to enable the UK to continue to have access to the False and Authentic Documents Online (“FADO”) internet-based image archiving system after withdrawal from the EU.

Ian Blackford
Patrick Grady
Stuart C. McDonald
Joanna Cherry

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—

“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid before Parliament a strategy for reaching agreement with the EU to enable the UK to continue to participate in the Convention on Mutual Assistance and Cooperation between Customs Administrations of 1997 (“Naples II Convention”).”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would require the Secretary of State to set out a strategy for reaching agreement with the EU to enable the UK to continue to participate in the Convention on Mutual Assistance and Cooperation between Customs Administrations of 1997 (“Naples II Convention”), after withdrawal from the EU.
Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—

“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid before Parliament a strategy for reaching agreement with the EU to enable the UK to continue to have access to the EU Intelligence Analysis Centre.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require the Secretary of State to set out a strategy for reaching agreement with the EU to enable the UK to continue to have access to the EU Intelligence Analysis Centre after withdrawal from the EU.

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—

“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid a report before both Houses of Parliament setting out a strategy for ensuring that lawyers registered to practise in England, Wales, Northern Ireland and Scotland shall not lose their right of audience at the European Court after the UK’s withdrawal from the EU.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require the Secretary of State to set out a strategy for reaching agreement with the EU to enable British-registered lawyers to continue to appear before the Court of Justice of the European Union, after withdrawal from the EU.

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—

“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid a report before both Houses of Parliament setting out a strategy for ensuring that lawyers from England, Wales, Northern Ireland and Scotland shall not lose their status of legal profession privilege concerning communications with regard to proceedings before the European Court, after the UK’s withdrawal from the EU.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require the Secretary of State to set out a strategy for reaching agreement with the EU to ensure that communications from British-registered lawyers with regard to proceedings before the European Court continue to be covered by legal profession privilege, after withdrawal from the EU.
Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—

“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid before both Houses of Parliament an agreement with the Scottish Government for the freedom of movement of EU citizens in Scotland to continue after exit day.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would facilitate the continuance of free movement in and out of Scotland after exit day.

Clause 9, page 7, line 9, at end insert—

“(5) No regulations may be made under this section until the Secretary of State has laid a report before both Houses of Parliament setting out a strategy for continued participation by the United Kingdom in the common European Asylum System.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would require the Secretary of State to set out a strategy for continued participation by the United Kingdom in the common European Asylum System, after withdrawal from the EU.
NEW CLAUSES AND NEW SCHEDULES RELATING TO CLAUSE 16 OR SCHEDULE 7, CLAUSE 16, SCHEDULE 7, CLAUSE 17

Jeremy Corbyn
Mr Nicholas Brown
Keir Starmer
Jenny Chapman
Matthew Pennycook
Paul Blomfield

Valerie Vaz  Paul Farrelly  Mr David Lammy
Ian Murray  Catherine McKinnell  Helen Hayes
Stephen Kinnock  Ann Coffey  Seema Malhotra
Chris Bryant  Mike Gapes

To move the following Clause—

“Scrutiny Committee

(1) For the purposes of this Act ‘a scrutiny committee’ refers to either—

(a) the House of Lords Secondary Legislation Scrutiny Committee, or
(b) a Committee of the House of Commons which is established to perform
the specific functions assigned to a scrutiny committee in this Act.

(2) The scrutiny committee referred to in subsection (1)(b) shall be chaired by a
Member who is—

(a) of the same Party as the Official Opposition, and
(b) elected by the whole House.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause establishes the principle that there shall be a Commons triage committee which
works alongside the Lords Secondary Legislation Scrutiny Committee to determine the level of
scrutiny each statutory instrument shall receive.
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Mr Chris Leslie
Chuka Umunna
Neil Coyle
Peter Kyle
Mr Ben Bradshaw
Stephen Doughty

NC6

Mr Pat McFadden
Ian Murray
Angela Smith
Jo Swinson
Ann Coffey

Paul Farrelly
Catherine McKinnell
Tom Brake
Sir Edward Davey
Mike Gapes

Mr David Lammy
Helen Hayes
Sir Vince Cable
Stephen Kinnock
Layla Moran

To move the following Clause—

“Government proposals for Parliamentary scrutiny

Within one month of Royal Assent of this Act the Leader of the House of Commons shall publish proposals for improved scrutiny of delegated legislation and regulations that result from this Act.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This new clause would require the Government to bring forward early proposals for the House of Commons to consider as changes to Standing Orders to reflect the scrutiny required as a result of changes to regulation and delegated legislation made by this Act.

NC7

Mr Chris Leslie
Chuka Umunna
Neil Coyle
Peter Kyle
Mr Ben Bradshaw
Stephen Doughty

Paul Farrelly
Catherine McKinnell
Stella Creasy
Jo Swinson
Ann Coffey

Mr David Lammy
Helen Hayes
Tom Brake
Sir Edward Davey
Mike Gapes

Ian Murray
Angela Smith
Sir Vince Cable
Stephen Kinnock
Layla Moran

To move the following Clause—

“Consultation

The Government shall follow the principles set out in the Cabinet Office Code of Practice in respect of public consultation in advance of regulations being made under powers granted by this Act.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This new clause would commit Ministers to abiding by the existing Cabinet Office code of practice on consultations in respect of regulations to be made under the Bill.
“Social, employment and environmental protection

Any rights, protections, liabilities, obligations, powers, remedies and procedures which exist immediately before exit day in the fields of—

(a) social and employment law, and
(b) environmental law

will not be amended through any regulations made to deal with deficiencies or withdrawal unless approved by a resolution of each House of Parliament or by Act of Parliament”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This new Clause would ensure that social, employment and environmental laws cannot be changed by the order-making powers delegated to Ministers without a vote in Parliament.
(2) Where the relevant Committee decides that the statutory instrument will be subject to enhanced parliamentary scrutiny the Committee shall have the power—
   (a) to require a draft of the proposed statutory instrument be laid before Parliament;
   (b) to require the relevant Minister to provide further evidence or explanation as to the purpose and necessity of the proposed instrument;
   (c) to make recommendations to the relevant Minister in relation to the text of the draft statutory instrument;
   (d) to recommend to the House that “no further proceedings be taken” in relation to the draft statutory instrument.

(3) Where an instrument is subject to enhanced scrutiny, the relevant Minister must have regard to any recommendations made by the Parliamentary Committee pursuant to subparagraph (c) above before laying a revised draft instrument before each House of Parliament.

(4) Where an instrument is subject to public consultation, the relevant Minister must have regard to the results of the consultation before laying a revised draft instrument before each House of Parliament or making a Written Statement explaining why no revision is necessary.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This new clause seeks to ensure that a Parliamentary Committee rather than ministers should decide what is the appropriate level of scrutiny for regulations made under the Act and that the Parliamentary Committee has the power to require enhanced scrutiny in relation to regulations that it considers to be particularly significant or contentious.
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(3) The declaration required in sub-paragraph (1) must either—

(a) state that, in the Minister’s view, the provisions of the draft regulations do not exceed what is necessary to prevent, remedy or mitigate any deficiency in retained EU law arising from the withdrawal of the United Kingdom from the EU (a “statement of necessity”); or

(b) include a statement to the effect that although the Minister is unable to make a statement of necessity the Government nevertheless proposes to exercise the power to make the regulations in the form of the draft.

(4) Subject as follows, if after the expiry of the 21-day period a joint committee of both Houses of Parliament appointed to consider draft regulations under this Schedule (“the joint committee”) has not reported to both Houses a resolution in respect of the draft regulations laid under sub-paragraph (1), the Minister may proceed to make a statutory instrument in the form of the draft regulations.

(5) A statutory instrument containing regulations under sub-paragraph (4) shall be subject to annulment in pursuance of a resolution of either House of Parliament.

(6) The procedure in sub-paragraphs (7) to (9) shall apply to the proposal for the draft regulations instead of the procedure in sub-paragraph (4) if—

(a) either House of Parliament so resolves within the 21-day period,

(b) the joint committee so recommends within the 21-day period and neither House by resolution rejects the recommendation within that period, or

(c) the draft regulations contain provision to—

(i) establish a public authority in the United Kingdom,

(ii) provide for any function of an EU entity or public authority in a member State to be exercisable instead by a public authority in the United Kingdom established by regulations under section 7, 8 or 9 or Schedule 2,

(iii) provides for any function of an EU entity or public authority in a member State of making an instrument of a legislative character to be exercisable instead by a public authority in the United Kingdom,

(iv) imposes, or otherwise relates to, a fee in respect of a function exercisable by a public authority in the United Kingdom,

(v) creates, or widens the scope of, a criminal offence, or

(vi) creates or amends a power to legislate.

(7) The Minister must have regard to—

(a) any representations,

(b) any resolution of either House of Parliament, and

(c) any recommendations of a committee of either House of Parliament charged with reporting on the proposal for the draft regulations, made during the 60-day period with regard to the draft regulations.

(8) If after the expiry of the 60-day period the draft regulations are approved by a resolution of each House of Parliament, the Minister may make regulations in the form of the draft.

(9) If after the expiry of the 60-day period the Minister wishes to proceed with the draft regulations but with material changes, the Minister may lay before Parliament—

(a) revised draft regulations, and

(b) a statement giving a summary of the changes proposed.

(10) If the revised draft regulations are approved by a resolution of each House of Parliament, the Minister may make regulations in the terms of the revised draft.
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(11) For the purposes of sub-paragraphs (1) to (10) regulations are made in the terms of draft regulations or revised draft regulations if they contain no material change to their provisions.

(12) In sub-paragraphs (1) to (10), references to the “21-day” and “60-day” periods in relation to any draft regulations are to the periods of 21 and 60 days beginning with the day on which the draft regulations were laid before Parliament.

(13) For the purposes of sub-paragraph (12), no account is to be taken of any time during which Parliament is dissolved or prorogued or during which either House is adjourned for more than four days.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would require the Minister to provide an explanatory statement on whether the regulations simply transpose EU law or make further changes, subject to a check by a committee of the House, and require that if the regulations involve more than simple transposition the super affirmative procedure must be used.

Tom Brake
Sir Vince Cable
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Caroline Lucas
Tim Farron
Wera Hobhouse

Jo Swinson
Jamie Stone
Layla Moran

Schedule 7, page 39, line 13, leave out paragraphs 1 to 3 and insert—

“Scrutiny procedure: introductory

1 A statutory instrument containing regulations under section 7 may not be made by a Minister of the Crown unless it complies with the procedures in this Part.

Determination of scrutiny procedure

2 (1) The explanatory document laid with a statutory instrument or draft statutory instrument containing regulations under section 7 must contain a recommendation by the Minister as to which of the following should apply in relation to the making of an order pursuant to the draft order—

(a) the negative resolution procedure;
(b) the affirmative resolution procedure;
(c) the super-affirmative procedure.

(2) The explanatory document must give reasons for the Minister’s recommendation.

(3) Where the Minister’s recommendation is that the negative resolution procedure should apply, that procedure shall apply unless, within the 30-day period—

(a) either House of Parliament requires that the super-affirmative procedure shall apply, in which case that procedure shall apply; or
(b) in a case not falling within paragraph (a), either House of Parliament requires that the affirmative resolution procedure shall apply, in which case that procedure shall apply.

(4) Where the Minister’s recommendation is that the affirmative resolution should apply, that procedure shall apply unless, within the 30-day period, either House of Parliament requires that the super-affirmative resolution procedure shall apply, in which case the super-affirmative resolution procedure shall apply.
(5) Where the Minister’s recommendation is that the super-affirmative procedure should apply, that procedure shall apply.

(6) For the purposes of this paragraph a House of Parliament shall be taken to have required a procedure within the 30-day period if—

(a) that House resolves within that period that that procedure shall apply; or

(b) in a case not falling within paragraph (a), a committee of that House charged with reporting on the draft order has recommended within that period that that procedure shall apply and the House has not by resolution rejected that recommendation within that period.

**Super-affirmative procedure**

3 (1) for the purposes of this Part of this Schedule, the “super-affirmative resolution procedure” is as follows.

(2) The Minister must have regard to—

(a) any representations,

(b) any resolution of either House of Parliament, and

(c) any recommendations of a committee of either House of Parliament charged with reporting on the draft order, made during the 60-day period with regard to the draft order.

(3) If, after the expiry of the 60-day period, the Minister wishes to make an order in the terms of the draft, he or she must lay before Parliament a statement—

(a) stating whether any representations were made; and

(b) if any representations were so made, giving details of them.

(4) The Minister may after the laying of such a statement make an order in the terms of the draft if it is approved by a resolution of each House of Parliament.

(5) However, a committee of either House charged with reporting on the draft order may, at any time after the laying of a statement under sub-paragraph (3) and before the draft order is approved by that House under sub-paragraph (4), recommend under this subparagraph that no further proceedings be taken in relation to the draft order.

(6) Where a recommendation is made by a committee of either House under subparagraph (5) in relation to a draft statutory instrument, no proceedings may be taken in relation to the draft statutory instrument in that House unless the recommendation is, in the same Session, rejected by resolution of that House.

(7) If, after the expiry of the 60-day period, the Minister wishes to make an order consisting of a version of the draft statutory instrument with material changes, he or she must lay before Parliament—

(a) a revised draft statutory instrument; and

(b) a statement giving details of—

(i) any representations made; and

(ii) the revisions proposed.

(8) The Minister may after laying a revised draft statutory instrument and statement under sub-paragraph (7) make regulations in the terms of the revised statutory instrument if it is approved by a resolution of each House of Parliament.

(9) However, a committee of either House charged with reporting on the revised draft statutory instrument may, at any time after the revised draft statutory is laid under sub-paragraph (7) and before it is approved by that House under sub-paragraph (8), recommend under this sub-paragraph that no further proceedings be taken in relation to the revised draft statutory instrument.
(10) Where a recommendation is made by a committee of either House under sub-paragraph (9) in relation to a revised draft statutory instrument, no proceedings may be taken in relation to the revised draft statutory instrument in that House under subsection (8) unless the recommendation is, in the same Session, rejected by resolution of that House.

(11) In this Part—

(a) the “30-day period” means the period of 30 days beginning with the day on which the draft statutory instrument was laid before Parliament;

(b) the “60-day period” means the period of 60 days beginning with the day on which the draft statutory instrument was laid before Parliament;

(c) the “affirmative resolution procedure” has the same meaning as in section 17 of the Legislative and Regulatory Reform Act 2006;

(d) the “negative resolution procedure” has the same meaning as in section 16 of the Legislative and Regulatory Reform Act 2006.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would ensure Parliament has the power to determine, following recommendations by the Minister, which parliamentary procedure should be used to scrutinise statutory instruments containing regulations that deal with deficiencies arising from EU withdrawal. It also provides for use of the “super-affirmative resolution procedure” whereby a committee of either House can recommend that no further proceedings be taken in relation to a draft order, which can only be over-turned by a resolution of that House.

Chris Bryant
Ian Murray
Helen Hayes
Mr Ben Bradshaw
Mr David Lammy
Ann Coffey

Jamie Stone Mike Gapes

Schedule 7, page 39, line 13, leave out “which contain provisions falling with sub-paragraph (2).”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment is linked to Amendment 21 and removes the provision that certain statutory instruments can be introduced under the negative resolution and requires all SIs made under Clause 7 to go through the affirmative route with a vote in both Houses. It means that the Government could not bypass Parliament by refusing to grant time for a debate on annulling an SI.

Ian Blackford
Peter Grant
Joanna Cherry
Patrick Grady

Schedule 7, page 39, line 14, after “unless” insert—

“(a) the Minister laying the instrument has made a declaration that the instrument does no more than necessary to prevent, remedy or mitigate—

(i) any failure of retained EU law to operate effectively, or

(ii) any other deficiency in retained EU law arising from the withdrawal of the United Kingdom from the EU, and

(b) ”.
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Schedule 7, page 39, line 17, leave out paragraphs (2) and (3)

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment is linked to Amendment 20 and removes the provision that certain statutory instruments can be introduced under the negative resolution and requires all SIs made under Clause 7 to go through the affirmative route with a vote in both Houses. It means that the Government could not bypass Parliament by refusing to grant time for a debate on annulling an SI.

Schedule 7, page 39, line 17, after “if” insert “A scrutiny committee determines that”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment together with Amendments 34 and 35 would establish that it is for Parliament to decide which level of scrutiny a Statutory Instrument shall receive under Clause 7 of this Act, and that matters of policy interest will be subject to the approval of both Houses and to amendment.

Schedule 7, page 39, line 29, at end insert—

“(g) is otherwise of sufficient policy interest to merit the application of sub-paragraph (1).”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment together with Amendments 33 and 35 would establish that it is for Parliament to decide which level of scrutiny a Statutory Instrument shall receive under Clause 7 of this Act, and that matters of policy interest will be subject to the approval of both Houses and to amendment.
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Schedule 7, page 39, line 29, at end insert—
“(g) makes changes to the application of the 2012 Energy Efficiency Directive in the UK.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would make any changes to the application of the 2012 Energy Efficiency Directive in the UK subject to approval by resolution of each House of Parliament.

Schedule 7, page 39, line 29, at end insert—
“(g) makes changes to EU-derived domestic legislation concerning the rights of workers in the UK.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require that the rights of workers currently afforded by EU law that are being transposed into UK law can be changed only through affirmative procedure.

Schedule 7, page 39, line 29, at end insert—
“(g) makes changes to EU-derived domestic legislation concerning rights for disabled people in the UK.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require that the rights of disabled people currently afforded by EU law that are being transposed into UK law can be changed only through affirmative procedure.

Schedule 7, page 39, line 29, at end insert—
“(g) makes changes to EU-derived domestic legislation concerning annual leave rights,
(h) makes changes to EU-derived domestic legislation concerning agency worker rights,”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would make any changes to the application of the 2012 Energy Efficiency Directive in the UK subject to approval by resolution of each House of Parliament.

Schedule 7, page 39, line 29, at end insert—
“(g) makes changes to EU-derived domestic legislation concerning the rights of workers in the UK.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require that the rights of workers currently afforded by EU law that are being transposed into UK law can be changed only through affirmative procedure.

Schedule 7, page 39, line 29, at end insert—
“(g) makes changes to EU-derived domestic legislation concerning rights for disabled people in the UK.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require that the rights of disabled people currently afforded by EU law that are being transposed into UK law can be changed only through affirmative procedure.

Schedule 7, page 39, line 29, at end insert—
“(g) makes changes to EU-derived domestic legislation concerning annual leave rights,
(h) makes changes to EU-derived domestic legislation concerning agency worker rights,”
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(i) makes changes to EU-derived domestic legislation concerning part-time worker rights,
(j) makes changes to EU-derived domestic legislation concerning fixed-term worker rights,
(k) makes changes to EU-derived domestic legislation concerning work-based health and safety obligations,
(l) makes changes to EU-derived legislation concerning state-guaranteed payments upon an employer’s insolvency,
(m) makes changes to EU-derived domestic legislation concerning collective redundancy rights,
(n) makes changes to EU-derived domestic legislation concerning terms and conditions of employment rights,
(o) makes changes to EU-derived domestic legislation concerning posted worker rights,
(p) makes changes to EU-derived domestic legislation concerning paternity, maternity and parental leave rights,
(q) makes changes to EU-derived domestic legislation concerning protection of employment upon the transfer of a business, or
(r) makes changes to EU-derived domestic legislation concerning anti-discrimination.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would list areas regarding workers’ rights where changes to EU-derived law could be made only through affirmative procedure.

Ian Blackford
Patrick Grady
Stuart C. McDonald
Joanna Cherry

Schedule 7, page 39, line 29, at end insert—
“(g) defines “failure to operate efficiently” under section 7(1A).”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment, linked to Amendment 264, would ensure that any regulations to define “failure to operate efficiently” under section 7(1A) would be subject to affirmative procedure.
Schedule 7, page 39, line 30, leave out sub-paragraphs (3) to (10) and insert—

“(3) A Minister of the Crown must not make an Order under (1) and (2) above or any other Order to which this Schedule applies, unless—

(a) a draft Order and explanatory document has been laid before Parliament in accordance with paragraph 1A; and

(b) in the case of any Order which can be made other than solely by a resolution of each House of Parliament, the Order is made as determined under paragraph 1B in accordance with—

(i) the negative resolution procedure (see paragraph 1C); or

(ii) the affirmative resolution procedure (see paragraph 1D); or

(c) it is declared in the Order that it appears to the person making it that because of the urgency of the matter, it is necessary to make the Order without a draft being so approved (see paragraph 1E).

Draft Order and Explanatory document laid before Parliament

1A (1) If the minister considers it appropriate to proceed with the making of an Order under this Part, he must lay before Parliament—

(a) a draft of the Order, together with

(b) an explanatory document.

(2) The explanatory document must—

(a) explain under which power or powers in this Part the provision contained in the Order is made;

(b) introduce and give reasons for the provision;

(c) explain why the Minister considers that—

(i) in the case of an Order under section 7, include, so far as appropriate, an assessment of the extent to which the provision made by the Order would prevent, remedy or mitigate—

(a) any failure of retained EU law to operate effectively; or
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(b) any other deficiency in retained EU law arising from the withdrawal of the United Kingdom from the EU,

(ii) in the case of an Order under section 8, include, so far as appropriate, an assessment of the extent to which the provision made by the Order would prevent or remedy any breach, arising from the withdrawal of the United Kingdom from the EU, of the international obligations of the United Kingdom,

(iii) in the case of an Order under section 9, include, so far as appropriate, an assessment of the extent to which implementation of the withdrawal agreement should be in force on or before exit day.

(d) identify and give reasons for—

(i) any functions of legislating conferred by the Order; and

(ii) the procedural requirements attaching to the exercise of those functions.

Determination of Parliamentary procedure

1B (1) The explanatory document laid with a draft Order under paragraph 1A must contain a recommendation by the Minister as to which of the following should apply in relation to the making of an Order pursuant to the draft Order—

(a) the negative resolution procedure (see paragraph 1C); or

(b) the affirmative resolution procedure (see paragraph 1D).

(2) The explanatory document must give reasons for the Minister’s recommendation.

(3) Where the Minister’s recommendation is that the negative resolution procedure should apply, that procedure shall apply unless, within the 20-day period either House of Parliament requires that the affirmative resolution procedure shall apply, in which case that procedure shall apply.

(4) For the purposes of this paragraph a House of Parliament shall be taken to have required a procedure within the 20-day period if—

(a) that House resolves within that period that that procedure shall apply; or

(b) in a case not falling within sub paragraph (4)(a), a committee of that House charged with reporting on the draft Order has recommended within that period that that procedure should apply and the House has not by resolution rejected that recommendation within that period.

(5) In this section the “20-day period” means the period of 20 days beginning with the day on which the draft Order was laid before Parliament under paragraph 1A.

Negative resolution procedure

1C (1) For the purposes of this Part, the “negative resolution procedure” in relation to the making of an Order pursuant to a draft order laid under paragraph 1A is as follows.

(2) The Minister may make an order in the terms of the draft Order subject to the following provisions of this paragraph.

(3) The Minister may not make an order in the terms of the draft Order if either House of Parliament so resolves within the 40-day period.

(4) For the purposes of this paragraph an Order is made in the terms of a draft Order if it contains no material changes to the provisions of the draft Order.
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(5) In this paragraph the “40-day period” means the period of 40 days beginning with the day on which the draft Order was laid before Parliament under paragraph 1A.

Affirmative resolution procedure

1D (1) For the purposes of this Part the “affirmative resolution procedure” in relation to the making of an Order pursuant to a draft Order laid under paragraph 1A is as follows.

(2) The Minister must have regard to—
   (a) any representations,
   (b) any resolution of either House of Parliament, and
   (c) any recommendations of a committee of either House of Parliament charged with reporting on the draft Order, made during the 40-day period with regard to the draft Order.

(3) If, after the expiry of the 40-day period, the minister wishes to make an Order in the terms of the draft, he must lay before Parliament a statement—
   (a) stating whether any representations were made under sub-paragraph (2)(a); and
   (b) if any representations were so made, giving details of them.

(4) The Minister may after the laying of such a statement make an Order in the terms of the draft if it is approved by a resolution of each House of Parliament.

(5) If, after the expiry of the 40-day period, the Minister wishes to make an Order consisting of a version of the draft Order with material changes, he must lay before Parliament—
   (a) a revised draft Order; and
   (b) a statement giving details of—
      (i) any representations made under sub-paragraph (2)(a); and
      (ii) the revisions proposed.

(6) The Minister may after laying a revised draft Order and statement under sub-paragraph (5) make an Order in the terms of the revised draft if it is approved by a resolution of each House of Parliament.

(7) For the purposes of sub-paragraphs (4) an Order is made in the terms of a draft Order if it contains no material changes to the provisions of the draft Order.

(8) In this paragraph the “40-day period” has the meaning given by paragraph 4(5)(a).

Procedure in urgent cases

1E (1) If an Order is made without being approved in draft, the person making it must lay it before Parliament, accompanied by the required information, after it is made.

(2) If, at the end of the period of one month beginning with the day on which the original Order was made, a resolution has not been passed by each House approving the original or replacement Order, the Order ceases to have effect.

(3) For the purposes of sub-paragraph (1), “required information” means—
   (a) a statement of the reasons for proceeding under paragraph 1E; and
   (b) an explanatory document, as set out in paragraph 1A (2).

Member’s explanatory statement

To set up a triage and scrutiny system under the control of Parliament for determining how Statutory Instruments under Clause 7 of the Bill will be dealt with.
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Mr Chris Leslie
Mr Ben Bradshaw
Ian Murray
Jamie Stone
Mike Gapes

Schedule 7, page 39, line 30, leave out sub-paragraph (3).

*Member’s explanatory statement*

This amendment would facilitate the use of affirmative and super-affirmative procedures, other than for the transfer of functions of EU public bodies.

Jeremy Corbyn
Mr Nicholas Brown
Keir Starmer
Jenny Chapman
Matthew Pennycook
Paul Blomfield

Valerie Vaz Caroline Lucas Paul Farrelly
Mr David Lammy Ms Harriet Harman Ian Murray
Kerry McCarthy Catherine McKinnell Helen Hayes
Stephen Kinnock Ann Coffey Seema Malhotra
Jamie Stone Mike Gapes

Schedule 7, page 39, line 33, at end insert “, unless a scrutiny committee determines that the instrument is of such significant policy interest that it ought to be subject to approval of each House with a procedure that allows for amendment.”

*Member’s explanatory statement*

This amendment together with Amendments 33 and 34 would establish that it is for Parliament to decide which level of scrutiny a Statutory Instrument shall receive under Clause 7 of this Act, and that matters of policy interest will be subject to the approval of both Houses and to amendment.

Mary Creagh
Mike Gapes
Mr David Lammy

Schedule 7, page 39, line 33, at end insert—

“(3A) Regulations appointing any exit day may not be made unless a draft has been laid before, and approved by a resolution of, each House of Parliament.”

*Member’s explanatory statement*

This amendment would require regulations appointing an exit day to be subject to the affirmative procedure.

Stephen Kinnock

★ Schedule 7, page 39, line 42, leave out sub-paragraphs (6) and (7).

*Member’s explanatory statement*

This amendment, and Amendments 329 and 331, would remove provisions in the Bill that prescribe scrutiny procedures for the National Assembly for Wales. These amendments, coupled with Amendment 330, would allow the National Assembly for Wales to set the scrutiny procedures it considers appropriate for the control of powers proposed for the Welsh Ministers under the Bill.
Schedule 7, page 40, line 23, leave out sub-paragraphs (2) to (4) and insert—
“(2) The procedure provided for in paragraphs 1 to 3 of this Part in respect of the Houses of Parliament applies in relation to regulations to which this paragraph applies as well as any other procedure provided for by this paragraph which is applicable to the regulations concerned.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment applies the procedures set out in Amendment 129 in respect of the UK Parliament for regulations made jointly by a Minister of the Crown acting jointly with a devolved authority.

Schedule 7, page 40, line 32, leave out from “is” to end of line 34 and insert “subject to the rules set out in paragraphs 1 to 1E above.”

Member’s explanatory statement
Consequential amendment to Amendment 3.

Schedule 7, page 41, line 15, leave out sub-paragraphs (10) and (11).

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment, and Amendments 328 and 331, would remove provisions in the Bill that prescribe scrutiny procedures for the National Assembly for Wales. These amendments, coupled with Amendment 330, would allow the National Assembly for Wales to set the scrutiny procedures it considers appropriate for the control of powers proposed for the Welsh Ministers under the Bill.
Schedule 7, page 42, line 17, at end insert—

“(3A) A Minister cannot make a declaration under sub-paragraph (2) unless they have satisfied themselves that they have sufficiently consulted—

(a) relevant public authorities,
(b) businesses,
(c) people, and
(d) other organisations

who are likely to be affected by the instrument.”

*Member’s explanatory statement*

This amendment would require that, when using the urgent cases provision in the Bill, the Minister must first consult with businesses and other relevant organisations.

Schedule 7, page 42, line 31, at end insert—

“(7) For the purposes of this paragraph “urgent” has the same meaning as “emergency” in Section 1 of the Civil Contingencies Act 2004.”

*Member’s explanatory statement*

This amendment would limit the circumstances in which Ministers can use procedures for urgent cases to circumstances in which there is a serious threat of damage to human welfare, the environment or the security of the United Kingdom.
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Jeremy Corbyn
Mr Nicholas Brown
Keir Starmer
Jenny Chapman
Matthew Pennycook
Paul Blomfield

Valerie Vaz Caroline Lucas Paul Farrelly
Ms Harriet Harman Ian Murray Catherine McKinnell
Helen Hayes Stephen Kinnock Mr David Lammy
Ann Coffey Seema Malhotra Jamie Stone
Mike Gapes

Schedule 7, page 43, line 3, after “if” insert “a scrutiny committee determines that”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment together with Amendments 37 and 38 would establish that it is for Parliament to decide which level of scrutiny a Statutory Instrument shall receive under Clause 8 of this Bill, and that matters of policy interest will be subject to the approval of both Houses and to amendment.

Jeremy Corbyn
Mr Nicholas Brown
Keir Starmer
Jenny Chapman
Matthew Pennycook
Paul Blomfield

Valerie Vaz Caroline Lucas Paul Farrelly
Mr David Lammy Ms Harriet Harman Ian Murray
Catherine McKinnell Helen Hayes Stephen Kinnock
Ann Coffey Seema Malhotra Jamie Stone
Mike Gapes

Schedule 7, page 43, line 15, at end insert—
“(g) is otherwise of sufficient policy interest to merit the application of sub-paragraph (1)”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment together with Amendments 36 and 38 would establish that it is for Parliament to decide which level of scrutiny a Statutory Instrument shall receive under Clause 8 of this Bill, and that matters of policy interest will be subject to the approval of both Houses and to amendment.

Chris Bryant
Mr David Lammy
Ian Murray
Stephen Doughty
Helen Hayes
Mr Ben Bradshaw

Stephen Kinnock Ann Coffey Catherine West
Jamie Stone Mike Gapes

Schedule 7, page 43, line 19, at end insert “or if the Government has not provided time on the floor of the House for a debate and vote on a prayer against the statutory instrument signed by the Leader of the Opposition or 80 Members of the House of Commons.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This would mean that if the Leader of the Opposition or 80 members of the House of Commons were to sign a prayer against an SI that was subject under Schedule 7 to the negative procedure,
Jeremy Corbyn
Mr Nicholas Brown
Keir Starmer
Jenny Chapman
Matthew Pennycook
Paul Blomfield

Valerie Vaz
Mr David Lammy
Helen Hayes
Seema Malhotra

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment together with Amendments 36 and 37 would establish that it is for Parliament to decide which level of scrutiny a Statutory Instrument shall receive under Clause 8 of this Bill, and that matters of policy interest will be subject to the approval of both Houses and to amendment.

Yvette Cooper
Ms Harriet Harman
Norman Lamb
Nicky Morgan
Robert Neill
Mary Creagh

Rachel Reeves
Mr David Lammy
Catherine McKinnell
Mr Ben Bradshaw
Mr George Howarth
Jo Swinson
Ann Coffey
Mike Gapes

Schedule 7, page 43, line 19, at end insert “, unless a scrutiny committee determines that the instrument is of such significant policy interest that it ought to be subject to approval of each House with a procedure that allows for amendment.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment together with Amendments 36 and 37 would establish that it is for Parliament to decide which level of scrutiny a Statutory Instrument shall receive under Clause 8 of this Bill, and that matters of policy interest will be subject to the approval of both Houses and to amendment.

Chris Bryant
Mr David Lammy
Helen Hayes
Mr Ben Bradshaw
Ann Coffey
Ian Murray

Jamie Stone
Mike Gapes

Schedule 7, page 43, line 26, leave out paragraph 6

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment is linked to New Clause 3 to require the Government to implement the withdrawal agreement through separate primary and secondary legislation rather than through this Bill.
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sub-paragraph (2).”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment is linked to Amendment 24 and removes the provision that certain statutory instruments can be introduced under the negative resolution and requires all SIs under Clause 9 to go through the affirmative route with a vote in both Houses. It means that the Government could not bypass Parliament by refusing to grant time for a debate on annulling an SI.

Chris Bryant
Mr David Lammy
Helen Hayes
Ann Coffey
Ian Murray
Jamie Stone

Mike Gapes

Schedule 7, page 43, line 30, leave out sub-paragraph (2)

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment is linked to Amendment 23 and removes the provision that certain statutory instruments can be introduced under the negative resolution and requires all SIs under Clause 9 to go through the affirmative route with a vote in both Houses. It means that the Government could not bypass Parliament by refusing to grant time for a debate on annulling an SI.

Jeremy Corbyn
Mr Nicholas Brown
Keir Starmer
Jenny Chapman
Matthew Pennycook
Paul Blomfield

Valerie Vaz
Mr David Lammy
Helen Hayes
Jamie Stone

Caroline Lucas
Ms Harriet Harman
Stephen Kinnock
Mike Gapes

Paul Farrelly
Ian Murray
Ann Coffey

Schedule 7, page 43, line 30, after “if” insert “a scrutiny committee determines that”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment together with Amendments 40 and 41 would establish that it is for Parliament to decide which level of scrutiny a Statutory Instrument shall receive under Clause 9 of this Bill, and that matters of policy interest will be subject to the approval of both Houses and to amendment.
Schedule 7, page 43, line 43, at end insert—
“(h) is otherwise of sufficient policy interest to merit the application of subparagraph (1).”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment together with Amendments 39 and 41 would establish that it is for Parliament to decide which level of scrutiny a Statutory Instrument shall receive under Clause 9 of this Bill, and that matters of policy interest will be subject to the approval of both Houses and to amendment.

Schedule 7, page 43, line 47, at end insert “, unless a scrutiny committee determines that the instrument if of such significant policy interest that it ought to be subject to approval of each House with a procedure that allows for amendment.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment together with Amendments 39 and 40 would establish that it is for Parliament to decide which level of scrutiny a Statutory Instrument shall receive under Clause 9 of this Bill, and that matters of policy interest will be subject to the approval of both Houses and to amendment.

Schedule 7, page 44, line 37, after “section 17(5)” insert “, other than regulations to appoint an exit day,”

Member’s explanatory statement
Consequential to amendment 293.

Schedule 7, page 45, line 5, after “section 17(5)” insert “, other than regulations to appoint an exit day,”

Member’s explanatory statement
Consequential to amendment 293.
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Mr Chris Leslie
Chuka Umunna
Neil Coyle
Peter Kyle
Mr Ben Bradshaw
Stephen Doughty

Caroline Lucas  Paul Farrelly  Mr David Lammy
Ian Murray  Catherine McKinnell  Helen Hayes
Angela Smith  Stella Creasy  Ann Coffey
Jamie Stone  Mike Gapes

58

Schedule 7, page 45, line 23, leave out “urgency” and insert “emergency”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would remove the wider latitude currently allowing Ministers to make regulations without Parliamentary approval “by reason of urgency” and instead only allow such executive action “by reason of emergency”. An emergency is a situation that poses an immediate risk to human health, life, property, or environment.

Stephen Kinnock

★ Schedule 7, page 45, line 40, at end insert—

“Scrutiny of regulations made by Welsh Ministers

11A (1) A statutory instrument containing regulations under this Act of the Welsh Ministers must be made in accordance with the procedures from time to time set out in the Standing Orders of the National Assembly for Wales for the scrutiny of regulations under this Act.

(2) Sub-paragraph (1) applies to statutory instruments made by the Welsh Ministers acting alone and to statutory instruments made by the Welsh Ministers acting jointly with a Minister of the Crown.

(3) The Standing Orders of the National Assembly for Wales may set out different procedures for the making of different statutory instruments or for different categories of statutory instruments under this Act and, for the avoidance of doubt, may empower the Assembly or a committee of the Assembly to decide which of those procedures is to apply to an instrument or category of instruments.

(4) For the purposes of section 11A of the Statutory Instruments Act 1946, and any other provisions of that Act referred to in that section, the provisions set out from time to time in the Standing Orders of the National Assembly for Wales for the scrutiny of regulations under this Act shall be deemed to be provisions of an Act.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would allow the National Assembly for Wales to set the scrutiny procedures it considers appropriate for the control of powers proposed for the Welsh Ministers under the Bill.
Schedule 7, page 46, line 18, at end insert—
“12A Any power to make regulations under this Act may not be exercised by a Minister of the Crown until 14 days after the Minister has circulated a draft of the regulations to the citizens’ jury appointed under section [Citizens’ jury on Brexit negotiations].

Member’s explanatory statement
The intention of this amendment is to provide for a citizens’ jury to be consulted before regulations are made under this Act.

Schedule 7, page 46, line 29, at end insert—
“14A Any power to make regulations in this Act relating to the oil and gas sector may not be made without —
(a) consultation, and
(b) an impact assessment, a copy of which must be laid before Parliament.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require consultation and an impact assessment before legislation affecting the relating to the oil and gas sector is changed by regulations made under the Act.

Schedule 7, page 48, line 14, leave out sub-paragraph (4).

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment, and Amendments 328 and 329, would remove provisions in the Bill that prescribe scrutiny procedures for the National Assembly for Wales. These amendments, coupled with Amendment 330, would allow the National Assembly for Wales to set the scrutiny procedures it considers appropriate for the control of powers proposed for the Welsh Ministers under the Bill.
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Clause 17, page 13, line 34, leave out subsections (1) to (3)

This amendment would remove a widely drawn delegated power, which covers anything that happens as a consequence of the Act.

Clause 17, page 13, line 35, leave out “appropriate” and insert “necessary”

This amendment would prevent the Government of Wales Act 2006 from being amended by regulations under Clause 17.

Clause 17, page 14, line 4, at end insert “or the Government of Wales Act 2006.”

This amendment would prevent a Minister of the Crown from making transitional, transitory or saving provision to the extent that the provision would be within the devolved competence of the Welsh Ministers.
Clause 17, page 14, line 13, at end insert—

“(8) Regulations under this section may not limit the scope or weaken standards of environmental protection.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment ensures that the power to make regulations in Clause 17 may not be exercised to reduce environmental protection.

Clause 17, page 14, line 13, at end insert—

“(8) No regulations may be made under this section after the end of the period of two years beginning with exit day.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment imposes the same restriction on the regulation making powers under Clause 17 as applies to other regulation powers in the Bill.

Clause 17, page 14, line 13, at end insert—

“(8) No regulations may be made under this section after the end of the period of two years beginning with exit day.

(9) Regulations made under this section may not amend or repeal retained EU law.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would place restrictions on the power to make consequential and transitional provision.
Page 13, line 33, leave out Clause 17

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would remove the powers granted to Ministers by Clause 17, including the power to amend provisions in this Bill, once enacted, by means of delegated legislation only.

NEW CLAUSES AND NEW SCHEDULES RELATING TO CLAUSE 8, CLAUSE 8

Mr Chris Leslie
Paul Farrelly
Mr David Lammy
Ian Murray
Kerry McCarthy
Catherine McKinnell

Stephen Doughty  Helen Hayes  Mr Ben Bradshaw
Angela Smith  Stella Creasy  Mr George Howarth
Mary Creagh  Ann Coffey  Seema Malhotra
Mike Gapes

To move the following Clause—

“International treaties and agreements

The Secretary of State shall, within one month of Royal Assent of this Act, publish an assessment of each of the international treaties, agreements and obligations that will be affected, require amendment or require renegotiation as a result of this Act, including an assessment of where the powers in section 8 may need to be used.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This new clause would require Ministers to publish a full list and assessment of the implications of this Act on the many international treaties and agreements that the United Kingdom is party to and which may be impacted as a result of this Bill. The assessment would also have to set out those areas where Ministers anticipate the powers in Clause 8 of this Bill may need to be used.
To move the following Clause—

**EEA Agreement**

1. No Minister may, under this Act, notify the withdrawal of the United Kingdom from the EEA Agreement, whether under Article 1 of that Agreement or otherwise.

2. Regulations under this Act may not make any provision that would constitute a breach of the United Kingdom’s obligations under the EEA Agreement.

3. Regulations under this Act may not amend or repeal subsection (1) or (2).”
To move the following Clause—


(1) On exit day and on any day afterwards, a public authority must act in a way which is compatible with—

(a) Part I of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child, and
(b) the Optional Protocols of the UNCRC to which the UK is a signatory state.

(2) So far as it is possible to do so, on exit day and on any day afterwards, primary legislation and subordinate legislation must be read and given effect in a way which is compatible with—

(a) Part I of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child, and
(b) the Optional Protocols of the UNCRC to which the UK is a signatory state.

(3) On exit day and on any day afterwards, a Minister of the Crown must, when exercising any function relating to children, have due regard to the requirements of—

(a) Part I of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child, and
(b) the Optional Protocols of the UNCRC to which the UK is a signatory state.

(4) A Minister of Crown shall undertake and publish a Child Rights Impact Assessment if the function relating to children under subsection (3) entails any of the following—

(a) formulation of a provision to be included in an enactment,
(b) formulation of a new policy, guidance or statement of practice, or
(c) change or review of an existing policy guidance or statement of practice.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This new clause would require Ministers and public authorities, from exit day onwards, to act in such a way as to comply with the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child, and the optional protocols to which the UK is a signatory state.
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Kate Green
Ann Coffey
Rushanara Ali
Mr Chris Leslie
Stella Creasy
Mrs Madeleine Moon
Angela Smith Heidi Alexander Stephen Doughty
Tulip Siddiq Helen Hayes Chuka Umunna
Catherine McKinnell Mike Gapes Mr George Howarth
Jo Swinson Caroline Lucas Mr Barry Sheerman
Lisa Nandy Tom Brake Sir George Howarth
Jo Swinson Sir Edward Davey Stephen Kinnock
Ian Murray Seema Malhotra Mr David Lammy
Layla Moran

To move the following Clause—

“United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child (No. 2)

(1) On exit day and on any day afterwards, a public authority must act in a way which is compatible with—

(a) Part I of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child, and
(b) the Optional Protocols of the UNCRC to which the UK is a signatory state.

(2) So far as it is possible to do so, on exit day and on any day afterwards, primary legislation and subordinate legislation must be read and given effect in a way which is compatible with—

(a) Part I of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child, and
(b) the Optional Protocols of the UNCRC to which the UK is a signatory state.

(3) On exit day and on any day afterwards, a Minister of the Crown must, when exercising any function relating to children, have due regard to the requirements of—

(a) Part I of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child, and
(b) the Optional Protocols of the UNCRC to which the UK is a signatory state.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This new clause would require Ministers and public authorities, from exit day onwards, to act in such a way as to comply with the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child, and the optional protocols to which the UK is a signatory state.

Ian Blackford
Peter Grant
Joanna Cherry
Patrick Grady
Mary Creagh

Clause 8, page 6, line 28, leave out “appropriate” and insert “necessary”
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Tom Brake
Sir Vince Cable
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Angela Smith
Mary Creagh
Jamie Stone

Layla Moran

Clause 8, page 6, line 30, at end insert “including the Belfast Agreement of 10 April 1998.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment is intended to maintain the provisions of the Good Friday Agreement after the UK leaves the EU.

Stephen Kinnock

★ Clause 8, page 6, line 30, at end insert—

“( ) But the power in subsection (1) may not be exercised to make provision for Wales to the extent that that provision would be within the devolved competence of the Welsh Ministers for the purposes of Part 2 of Schedule 2.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would prevent a Minister of the Crown from making provision to prevent or remedy any breach of international obligations to the extent that the provision would be within the devolved competence of the Welsh Ministers.

Caroline Lucas

Clause 8, page 6, line 31, leave out subsection (2)

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment seeks to restrict the delegated powers granted to Ministers by Clause 8.

Jeremy Corbyn
Mr Nicholas Brown
Keir Starmer
Jenny Chapman
Matthew Pennycook
Paul Blomfield

Valerie Vaz
Mr David Lammy
Catherine McKinnell
Mary Creagh
Mike Gapes

Caroline Lucas
Ms Harriet Harman
Helen Hayes
Ann Coffey

Paul Farrelly
Ian Murray
Stephen Kinnock
Jamie Stone

Clause 8, page 6, line 32, at end insert “, apart from amending or modifying this Act”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would remove the proposed capacity of Ministers in Clause 8 to modify and amend the Act itself via delegated powers.
Clause 8, page 6, line 35, at end insert—
“(bc) amend or repeal the Northern Ireland Act 1998 (except with the intention of preserving the effects of the Belfast Agreement of 10 April 1998 after exit day).”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment is intended to maintain the provisions of the Good Friday Agreement after the UK leaves the EU.

Clause 8, page 6, line 38, at end insert “, or
(e) modify the Scotland Act 1998 or the Government of Wales Act 2006.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would prevent the powers of a Minister of the Crown under Clause 8 of the Bill to ensure compliance with international obligations from being exercised to amend the Scotland Act 1998 or the Government of Wales Act 2006.

Clause 8, page 6, line 38, at end insert “, or
(e) modify the Government of Wales Act 2006.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would prevent the Government of Wales Act 2006 from being amended by regulations under Clause 8.
Mr Dominic Grieve  
Mr Kenneth Clarke  
Nicky Morgan  
Anna Soubry  
Antoinette Sandbach  
Stephen Hammond  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dr Sarah Wollaston</th>
<th>Jeremy Lefroy</th>
<th>Robert Neill</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chuka Umunna</td>
<td>Caroline Lucas</td>
<td>Paul Farrelly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ian Murray</td>
<td>Liz Kendall</td>
<td>Kerry McCarthy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catherine McKinnell</td>
<td>Stephen Doughty</td>
<td>Heidi Allen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen Hayes</td>
<td>Mr Ben Bradshaw</td>
<td>Angela Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr David Lammy</td>
<td>Mr George Howarth</td>
<td>Tom Brake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sir Vince Cable</td>
<td>Jo Swinson</td>
<td>Sir Edward Davey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephen Kinnock</td>
<td>Ann Coffey</td>
<td>Susan Elan Jones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seema Malhotra</td>
<td>Jamie Stone</td>
<td>Mike Gapes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lady Hermon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clause 8, page 6, line 38, at end insert—

“(e) make any provision, unless the Minister considers that the conditions in subsection (3A) where relevant are satisfied in relation to that provision.

(3A) Those conditions are that—

(a) the policy objective intended to be secured by the provision could not be secured by non-legislative means;
(b) the effect of the provision is proportionate to the policy objective;
(c) the provision, taken as a whole, strikes a fair balance between the public interest and the interests of any person adversely affected by it;
(d) the provision does not remove any necessary protection;
(e) the provision does not prevent any person from exercising any right or freedom which that person might reasonably expect to continue to exercise;
(f) the provision is not of constitutional significance”

Jeremy Corbyn  
Mr Nicholas Brown  
Keir Starmer  
Jenny Chapman  
Matthew Pennycook  
Paul Blomfield  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Valerie Vaz</th>
<th>Paul Farrelly</th>
<th>Mr David Lammy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ms Harriet Harman</td>
<td>Ian Murray</td>
<td>Kerry McCarthy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catherine McKinnell</td>
<td>Helen Hayes</td>
<td>Stephen Kinnock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Creagh</td>
<td>Ann Coffey</td>
<td>Seema Malhotra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamie Stone</td>
<td>Mike Gapes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clause 8, page 6, line 38, at end insert—

“(e) remove or reduce any protections currently conferred upon individuals, groups or the natural environment,

(f) prevent any person from continuing to exercise a right that they can currently exercise,
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(g) amend, repeal or revoke the Equality Act 2010 or any subordinate legislation made under that Act.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would prevent the Government’s using delegated powers under Clause 8 to reduce rights or protections.

Kerry McCarthy
Caroline Lucas
Mary Creagh
Mr Ben Bradshaw
Darren Jones
Ruth Cadbury

Catherine McKinnell
Ms Angela Eagle
Mrs Madeleine Moon
Ann Coffey
Stella Creasy
Ian Murray
Helen Hayes
Jamie Stone
Ms Karen Buck
Stephen Doughty
Mr David Lammy
Mike Gapes

Clause 8, page 6, line 38, at end insert—
“(e) limit the scope or weaken standards of environmental protection.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This Amendment ensures that the power to make regulations in Clause 8 may not be exercised to reduce environmental protection.

Caroline Lucas
Mr David Lammy

Clause 8, page 6, line 38, at end insert—
“(e) amend, repeal or revoke any legal right derived from EU law and operative in UK law immediately before 30 March 2019.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment seeks to prevent the delegated powers granted to Ministers by clause 8 being used to weaken or abolish existing EU-derived legal rights, such as those on workers’ rights, equality, and environmental protection.

Ian Blackford
Patrick Grady
Stuart C. McDonald
Joanna Cherry
Angela Crawley

Clause 8, page 6, line 38, at end insert—
“(e) amend, repeal or revoke the Equality Act 2010 or any subordinate legislation made under it.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would prevent the powers in Clause 8 being used to amend Equality Act 2010 legislation.
European Union (Withdrawal) Bill, continued

Ian Blackford
Patrick Grady
Stuart C. McDonald
Joanna Cherry

Clause 8, page 6, line 38, at end insert—
“(e) remove, reduce or otherwise limit the rights of EU citizens resident in the UK.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would prevent the powers in Clause 8 being used to remove, reduce or otherwise limit the rights of EU citizens resident in the UK.

Ian Blackford
Patrick Grady
Douglas Chapman
Stewart Malcolm McDonald

Clause 8, page 6, line 38, at end insert—
“(e) make provision which, in the opinion of the Minister, could pose a threat to national security.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would prevent the powers in Clause 8 being used to make provision which could pose a threat to national security.

Mary Creagh

Clause 8, page 6, line 38, at end insert—
“(e) impose or increase taxation”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would prevent the imposition or increase of a tax by regulations made under Clause 8 to comply with international obligations.

Caroline Lucas

Clause 8, page 6, line 40, leave out “two years beginning with exit day” and insert “12 months beginning with 30 March 2019”.

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would restrict the use of the delegated powers granted to Ministers in clause 8 to a period of 12 months after 29 March 2019.

Stephen Gethins
Stephen Doughty
Ian Murray
Hywel Williams
Jo Swinson
Caroline Lucas

Clause 8, page 6, line 40, at end insert—
“(5) The consent of the Scottish Ministers is required before any provision is made in regulations under this section so far as the provision would be within the devolved...
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competence of the Scottish Ministers within the meaning given in paragraph 18 of Schedule 2.

(6) The consent of the Welsh Ministers is required before any provision is made in regulations under this section so far as the provision would be within the devolved competence of the Welsh Ministers within the meaning given in paragraph 19 of Schedule 2.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require a Minister of the Crown to first seek the consent of the Scottish Ministers or the Welsh Ministers before making any regulations under Clause 8 on Scottish or Welsh devolved matters.

NEW CLAUSES AND NEW SCHEDULES RELATING TO CLAUSE 13 OR SCHEDULE 5,
CLAUSE 13, SCHEDULE 5

Mr Chris Leslie
Mr David Lammy
Ian Murray
Catherine McKinnell
Helen Hayes
Mr Ben Bradshaw
Angela Smith
Mr George Howarth
Mary Creagh
Tom Brake
Sir Vince Cable
Jo Swinson
Sir Edward Davey
Ann Coffey
Mike Gapes
Layla Moran

To move the following Clause—

“Plain English summary of retained direct EU legislation

HM Government shall ensure that the publication of copies of retained direct EU legislation as set out in the provisions of section 13 and schedule 5 is accompanied wherever possible by a summarising explanatory document setting out in terms that are readily understandable the purpose and effect of that retained direct EU legislation.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause would require Ministers to publish copies of retained direct EU legislation accompanied by ‘plain English’ and readily understandable summarising explanatory documents.

Ian Blackford
Patrick Grady
Stephen Gethins
Kirsty Blackman
Peter Grant

Clause 13, page 9, line 9, at end insert—

“(3) A Minister of the Crown may by regulations—

(a) make provision enabling or requiring judicial notice to be taken of a relevant matter, or
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(b) provide for the admissibility in any legal proceedings of specified evidence of—
   (i) a relevant matter, or
   (ii) instruments or documents issued by or in the custody of an EU entity.”

Ian Blackford
Patrick Grady
Stephen Gethins
Kirsty Blackman
Peter Grant
Joanna Cherry

Schedule 5, page 37, leave out paragraph 4

NEW CLAUSES AND NEW SCHEDULES RELATING TO CLAUSE 14 OR SCHEDULE 6,
CLAUSE 14, SCHEDULE 6, REMAINING NEW CLAUSES, REMAINING NEW SCHEDULES,
CLAUSE 15, SCHEDULES 8 AND 9, CLAUSES 18 AND 19, REMAINING PROCEEDINGS ON
THE BILL

NEW CLAUSES AND NEW SCHEDULES RELATING TO CLAUSE 14 OR SCHEDULE 6,
CLAUSE 14, SCHEDULE 6

Mr Chris Leslie
Mike Gapes
Chuka Umunna
Neil Coyle
Peter Kyle
Mr Ben Bradshaw
Stephen Doughty Paul Farrelly Mr David Lammy
Ian Murray Catherine McKinnell Helen Hayes
Angela Smith Mr George Howarth Mary Creagh
Ann Coffey

To move the following Clause—

“New EU-UK Treaty to be ratified prior to exit day

Ministers shall not bring forward regulations to appoint ‘exit day’ unless both Houses of Parliament have ratified, by Act of Parliament, a new Treaty between the United Kingdom and the European Union which is due to take effect
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immediately upon the United Kingdom’s repeal of the European Communities Act 1972.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause would ensure that Parliament has ratified successor arrangements for a future relationship and Treaty between the EU and UK before ‘exit day’ can be appointed by Ministers.

Mr Chris Leslie
Mr David Lammy
Ian Murray
Stephen Doughty
Mike Gapes
Catherine McKinnell
Helen Hayes  Mr Ben Bradshaw  Angela Smith
Mary Creagh  Ann Coffey

To move the following Clause—

“Customs duties

A Minister of the Crown may not make regulations to appoint exit day until Royal Assent is granted to an Act of Parliament making provision for the substitution of section 5 (customs duties) of the European Communities Act 1972 with provisions that shall allow the United Kingdom to remain a member of the EU common customs tariff and common commercial policy.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause would ensure that provisions allowing the UK to remain a member of the Customs Union, as currently set out in section 5 of the European Communities Act 1972 but set to be repealed by section 1 of this Act, will be enacted ahead of exit day.

Caroline Lucas

Clause 14, page 10, line 25, leave out “such day as a Minister of the Crown may by regulations appoint (and see subsection (2))” and insert “29 March 2019”.

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment seeks to ensure that time limits on the use of delegated powers granted to Ministers in the Bill are fixed as starting on 29 March 2019, the expiry of the two-year Article 50 negotiation period.
Clause 14, page 10, line 25, leave out “a Minister of the Crown may by regulations’ and insert ‘Parliament may by a majority approval in both Houses’

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment together with Amendments 44 and 45 would empower Parliament to control the length and basic terms of transitional arrangements, and would allow Parliament to start the clock on the sunset clauses within the Bill.

Clause 14, page 10, line 26, at end insert “but exit day must be the same day for the purposes of every provision of this Act.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

To prevent the creation of different exit days for different parts of the Act by SI.

Clause 14, page 11, line 2, at end insert—

“‘retained domestic case law’ means any principles laid down by, and any decisions of, a court or tribunal in the United Kingdom, as they have effect immediately before exit day and so far as they—

(a) relate to anything to which section 2, 3 or 4 applies, and
(b) are not excluded by section 5 or Schedule 1,

(as those principles and decisions are modified by or under this Act or by other domestic law from time to time).”
Clause 14, page 11, line 25, leave out “a Minister of the Crown” and insert “Parliament”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment together with Amendments 43 and 45 would empower Parliament to control the length and basic terms of transitional arrangements, and would allow Parliament to start the clock on the sunset clauses within the Bill.
“Committee of the Regions

Her Majesty’s Government shall—

(a) maintain a full consultative role for local authorities throughout the process of withdrawal from the European Union, in due time and in an appropriate way in the planning and decision-making processes for all matters which concern them, and

(b) provide for a formal mechanism in domestic law fully to replicate the advisory role conferred on local authorities via membership of the European Union Committee of the Regions.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This new clause would ensure that the current consultative role that UK local government currently have via the EU Committee of the Regions would be replicated in the UK after exit day.

“European Economic Area

The United Kingdom shall, after exit day, remain a member of the European Economic Area as set out in the European Economic Area Act 1993, and the provisions in Part 2 of Schedule 8 relating to the United Kingdom’s membership of the EEA shall not take effect until such time as Ministers have published a
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White Paper assessing the costs and benefits for the UK economy of remaining a member of the European Economic Area after exit day.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This new Clause would ensure that the UK can remain a member of the European Economic Area until such time as Ministers publish a specific assessment in the form of a White Paper setting out the costs and benefits for the UK of remaining a member after exit day.

---

Mr Chris Leslie
Stephen Timms
Mike Gapes
Chuka Umunna
Neil Coyle
Peter Kyle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mr Ben Bradshaw</th>
<th>Stephen Doughty</th>
<th>Paul Farrelly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr David Lammy</td>
<td>Ian Murray</td>
<td>Mike Gapes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caroline Lucas</td>
<td>Kerry McCarthy</td>
<td>Catherine McKinnell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen Hayes</td>
<td>Angela Smith</td>
<td>Rushanara Ali</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stella Creasy</td>
<td>Mary Creagh</td>
<td>Ann Coffey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catherine West</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To move the following Clause—

### “Transitional arrangements”

Her Majesty’s Government shall, in pursuit of a new relationship between the United Kingdom and European Union after exit day, seek to negotiate and agree transitional arrangements with the European Union of sufficient duration to allow—

(a) the conclusion and coming into force of new trade agreements replicating as closely as possible all those trade agreements currently applying to the UK by virtue of its membership of the EU before exit day;

(b) an associate membership of the EU Single Market so that the regulatory settlement existing between the UK and EU before exit day can continue for the duration of transitional arrangements, which shall be not less than two years following exit day.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This new Clause would require the UK Government to seek transitional arrangements that would allow existing trade agreements which currently apply to the UK to be negotiated and continued for the circumstances applying after the UK has exited the EU, and would seek transitional arrangements including an associate membership of the EU Single Market for not less than two years following exit day.
“Ongoing regulatory requirements

After exit day the Secretary of State shall continue to assess all EU regulations, decisions and tertiary legislation and publish a report to both Houses of Parliament assessing the costs and benefits of each regulation and directive and whether HM Government should consider it expedient to propose a similar reform to UK domestic legislation in order to secure an ongoing regulatory alignment between the UK and the EU going forward.”

Member’s explanatory statement

After exit day the European Union is likely to continue to produce legislation, regulations and decisions that would have applied to the United Kingdom if we had remained a member of the EU. This new clause would require Ministers to publish an assessment of new and developing EU laws and regulations and whether there would be benefits or costs for the UK in adopting similar legal changes to UK domestic legislation with a view to maintaining regulatory alignment with the EU as far as possible.
“General Environmental Principles

(1) In carrying out their duties and functions arising by virtue of this act, public authorities must have regard to and apply the principles set out in this section.

(2) Any duty or function conferred on a public authority must be construed and have effect in a way that is compatible with the principles in this section and the aim of achieving a high level of environmental protection and improvement of the quality of the environment.

(3) The principles in this section are—

(a) the need to promote sustainable development in the UK and overseas;
(b) the need to contribute to preserving, protecting and improving the environment;
(c) the need to contribute to prudent and rational utilisation of natural resources;
(d) the need to promote measures at international level to deal with regional or worldwide environmental problems, and in particular combating climate change;
(e) the precautionary principle as it relates to the environment;
(f) the principle that preventive action should be taken to avert environmental damage;
(g) the principle that environmental damage should as a priority be rectified at source;
(h) the polluter pays principle;
(i) the principle that environmental protection requirements must be integrated into the definition and implementation of policies and activities, in particular with a view to promoting sustainable development.
(j) the need to guarantee participatory rights including access to information, public participation in decision making and access to justice in relation to environmental matters.

(together the “environmental principles”).
Committee of the whole House: 23 October 2017
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(4) In carrying out their duties and functions, public authorities shall take account of—
   (a) available scientific and technical data;
   (b) environmental benefits and costs of action or lack of action; and
   (c) economic and social development.

(5) Public authorities shall when making proposals concerning health, safety, environmental protection and consumer protection policy, take as a base a high level of protection, taking account of any new development based on scientific facts.

(6) Subsection (7) applies in any proceedings in which a court or tribunal determines whether a provision of primary or subordinate legislation is compatible with the environmental principles.

(7) If the court is satisfied that the provision is incompatible with the environmental principles, it may make a declaration of that incompatibility.

(8) In formulating and implementing agriculture, fisheries, transport, research and technological development and space policies, public authorities shall pay full regard to the welfare requirements of animals as sentient beings, while respecting the administrative provisions and customs relating in particular to religious rites, cultural traditions and regional heritage.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause ensures that public authorities carrying out their duties arising by virtue of this act, must have regard to environmental principles currently enshrined in EU law.

Kate Green
Ann Coffey
Rushanara Ali
Mr Chris Leslie
Stella Creasy
Mrs Madeleine Moon

Angela Smith
Heidi Alexander
Stephen Doughty
Tulip Siddiq
Helen Hayes
Chuka Umunna
Catherine McKinnell
Mike Gapes
Mr George Howarth
Jo Swinson
Caroline Lucas
Mr Barry Sheerman
Lisa Nandy
Stephen Kinnock
Ann Coffey
Ian Murray
Mr David Lammy
Layla Moran

NC31

To move the following Clause—

“Promotion of the safety and welfare of children and young people following withdrawal of the United Kingdom from the European Union

(1) The Secretary of State shall make the arrangements specified in this section for the purposes of safeguarding children and promoting their welfare from exit day onwards.

(2) The Secretary of State shall lay before Parliament a strategy for seeking continued co-operation with—
   (a) the European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation (Europol),
   (b) Eurojust, and
   (c) the European Criminal Records Information System
on matters relating to the safety and welfare of children and young people.

(3) The Secretary of State shall lay before Parliament a strategy for seeking continued participation in the European Arrest Warrant, in relation to the promotion of the safety and welfare of children and young people.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This new clause would require the Government to lay before Parliament a strategy for maintaining co-operation with certain EU bodies and structures after exit day for the purposes of promoting the safety and welfare of children and young people.

---

Kate Green
Ann Coffey
Rushanara Ali
Mr Chris Leslie
Stella Creasy
Mrs Madeleine Moon

Angela Smith  Heidi Alexander  Stephen Doughty
Tulip Siddiq  Helen Hayes  Chuka Umunna
Catherine McKinnell  Mike Gapes  Mr George Howarth
Jo Swinson  Caroline Lucas  Mr Barry Sheerman
Lisa Nandy  Stephen Kinnock  Ann Coffey
Ian Murray  Seema Malhotra  Mr David Lammy
Layla Moran

NC32

To move the following Clause—

**“Programmes eligible until exit day for support from the European Social Fund”**

The Secretary of State shall bring forward proposals for a fund to support, on and after exit day, programmes and projects which—

(a) relate to

(i) the promotion of social inclusion amongst children and young people,
(ii) efforts to combat poverty and discrimination amongst children and young people, and
(iii) investment in education, training and vocational training or skills and lifelong learning for children and young people,

(b) would have been eligible for funding up until exit day by the European Social Fund.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This new clause seeks to maintain financial support after exit day for projects and programmes which would have been eligible for funding from the European Social Fund.

---
To move the following Clause—

“Mitigating any inflationary risks after exit day

(1) The Secretary of State shall lay before Parliament a strategy for mitigating any risks which withdrawal from the EU may present to low income families with children.

(2) The strategy set out in subsection (1) must include a commitment to assess each year whether rates of benefits and tax credits are maintaining value in real terms relative to costs of living as defined by the Consumer Prices Index.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This new clause would require the Secretary of State to lay before Parliament a strategy for mitigating any potential risks which withdrawal from the EU might present to low income families with children.

____________________________________________________

Peter Grant
Patrick Grady
Carol Monaghan

To move the following Clause—

“European Neighbourhood Policy

The Secretary of State shall, by 30 September 2018, lay before Parliament a strategy for seeking to maintain a role for the UK in the EU’s European Neighbourhood Policy after exit day.”

____________________________________________________
European Union (Withdrawal) Bill, continued

Chris Law
Peter Grant
Patrick Grady
Hannah Bardell

To move the following Clause—

“European Development Fund

The Secretary of State shall, by 30 September 2018, lay before Parliament a report on the Government’s policy on future payments into the European Development Fund.”

Peter Grant
Hannah Bardell
Patrick Grady
Kirsty Blackman

To move the following Clause—

“EU Citizens’ Severance Payments

The Secretary of State shall, by 30 September 2018, lay before Parliament a report on the Government’s policy on EU citizens’ rights to severance payments at EU agencies based in the UK.”

Peter Grant
Hannah Bardell
Patrick Grady
Carol Monaghan

To move the following Clause—

“Diplomatic Staff

The Secretary of State shall, by 30 September 2018, lay before Parliament a report on the Government’s policy on future arrangements for the UK to second diplomatic staff members to the European Union External Action Service.”
To move the following Clause—

“Duty to make arrangements for an independent evaluation: health and social care

(1) No later than 1 year after this Act is passed, the Secretary of State must make arrangements for the independent evaluation of the impact of this Act on the health and social care sector.

(2) The evaluation carried out by an independent person to be appointed by the Secretary of State, after consulting the Scottish Ministers, the Welsh Ministers and the relevant Northern Ireland departments, must analyse and assess—

(a) the effects of this Act on the funding of the health and social care sector;
(b) the effects of this Act on the health and social care workforce;
(c) the impact of this Act on the economy, efficiency and effectiveness of the health and social care sector; and
(d) any other such matters relevant to the impact of this Act upon the health and care sector.

(3) The person undertaking an evaluation under subsection (1) above must, in preparing an evaluation report, consult—

(a) the Scottish Ministers, the Welsh Ministers and the relevant Northern Ireland department;
(b) providers of health and social care services;
(c) individuals requiring health and social care services;
(d) organisations working for and on behalf of individuals requiring health and social care services; and
(e) any persons whom the Secretary of State deems relevant.

(4) The Secretary of State must, as soon as reasonably practicable after receiving a report of the evaluation, lay a copy of the report before Parliament.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause would require an independent evaluation of the impact of the Act upon the health and social care sector to be made after consulting the Scottish Ministers, the Welsh Ministers and the relevant Northern Ireland department, service providers, those requiring health and social care services, and others.

To move the following Clause—

“European Economic Area (No. 2)
Nothing in this Act authorises the Prime Minister to give notice under Article 127 of the EEA Agreement of the United Kingdom’s intention to opt out of the EEA.”
To move the following Clause—

“Consultation assessing impact of no agreement with the EU for workers on withdrawal

Within six months of the passing of this Act, the Secretary of State must carry out a public consultation assessing the impact on—

(a) workers in the EU who are UK citizens, and
(b) workers in the UK who are EU citizens

if no agreement is reached with the European Union on the UK’s withdrawal.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause would require the Secretary of State to carry out a public consultation within six months of the passing of the Act, assessing the impact of not having an EU withdrawal deal on workers in the EU who are UK citizens, and on workers in the UK who are EU citizens.

To move the following Clause—

“Assessing the impact of leaving the EU on social and medical care provision for disabled people

Within six months of the passing of this Act, the Secretary of State must publish an assessment of the impact of leaving the EU on social and medical care provision for disabled people living in the UK.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause would require the Secretary of State to publish within six months of the passing of this Act an assessment of the impact of leaving the EU on social and medical care provision for disabled people living in the UK.
To move the following Clause—

“Mutual Recognition Agreements

(1) In the course of negotiating a withdrawal agreement, Her Majesty’s Government shall seek to maintain after exit day the full range of mutual recognition agreements with which the United Kingdom has obtained rights of product conformity assessments and standards by virtue of its membership of the European Union.

(2) In respect of mutual recognition agreements relating to the safeguarding of public health, within one month of this Act being passed, the Secretary of State must publish a strategy for ensuring that existing UK notified bodies, in accordance with provisions laid out in the EU Medical Devices Regulation, may continue to conduct conformity assessment certification for both UK and EU medical devices to ensure continuity within and beyond the European Union.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This new clause would require the UK Government to seek to maintain existing mutual recognition agreements and to publish a plan for UK notified bodies (such as the British Standards Institute) to continue to perform conformity assessments for medical devices and public health-related products deriving both within the UK and from across the EU.

To move the following Clause—

“Duty to secure safe harbour

(1) It shall be the duty of the Prime Minister to seek to secure the United Kingdom’s continued membership of the Single Market and of the Customs Union until such time as the Prime Minister is satisfied that the conditions in subsections (2) and (3) are met.

(2) The condition in this subsection is that the United Kingdom and the European Union have reached an agreement on the future trading relationship between the United Kingdom and the European Union.

(3) The condition in this subsection is that the United Kingdom has developed a satisfactory framework for immigration controls in respect of nationals of European Union Member States not resident in the United Kingdom on the date on which the United Kingdom ceases to belong to the European Union.”
Mr Kenneth Clarke  
Mr Chris Leslie  
Caroline Lucas  
Chuka Umunna  
Ann Coffey  
Ian Murray  
Seema Malhotra  
Helen Hayes  
Mike Gapes  
Mr David Lammy

To move the following Clause—

“Implementation and transition

(1) Her Majesty’s Government shall seek to secure a transition period prior to the implementation of the withdrawal agreement of not less than two years in duration, during which—

(a) access between EU and UK markets should continue on the terms existing prior to exit day,

(b) the structures of EU rules and regulations existing prior to exit day shall be maintained,

(c) the UK and EU shall continue to take part in the level of security cooperation existing prior to exit day,

(d) new processes and systems to underpin the future partnership between the EU and UK can be satisfactorily implemented, including a new immigration system and new regulatory arrangements,

(e) financial commitments made by the United Kingdom during the course of UK membership of the EU shall be honoured.

(2) No Minister of the Crown shall appoint exit day if the implementation and transition period set out in subsection (1) does not feature in the withdrawal arrangements between the UK and the European Union”

Member’s explanatory statement

This new clause would ensure that the objectives set out by the Prime Minister in her Florence speech are given the force of law and, if no implementation and transition period is achieved in negotiations, then exit day may not be triggered by a Minister of the Crown. The appointment of an ‘exit day’ would therefore require a fresh Act of Parliament in such circumstances.

Peter Grant  
Caroline Lucas  
Stephen Doughty  
Jo Swinson  
Tom Brake  
Kerry McCarthy  
Layla Moran

To move the following Clause—

“Saving of acquired rights: Gibraltar

(1) Nothing in this Act is to be construed as removing, replacing, altering or prejudicing the exercise of an acquired right.
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(2) Any power, howsoever expressed, contained in this Act may not be exercised if the exercise of that power is likely to or will remove, replace or alter or prejudice the exercise of an acquired right.

(3) In subsection (2) a reference to a power includes a power to make regulations.

(4) In this section an acquired right means a right that existed immediately before exit day—

(a) whereby a person from or established in Gibraltar could exercise that right (either absolutely or subject to any qualification) in the United Kingdom; and

(b) the right arose in the context of the United Kingdom’s membership of the European Union and Gibraltar’s status as a European territory for whose external relations the United Kingdom is responsible within the meaning of Article 355(3) TFEU and to which the provisions of the EU Treaties apply, subject to the exceptions specified in the 1972 Act of Accession.

(5) Nothing in this section prevents the use of the powers conferred by this Act to the extent that acquired rights are not altered or otherwise affected to the detriment of persons enjoying such rights.”

Stephen Doughty
Stella Creasy
Kate Green
Daniel Zeichner
Ann Coffey
Mike Gapes

Mr David Lammy

To move the following Clause—

“Citizens’ Jury on Brexit Negotiations

(1) A citizens’ jury shall be established to enable UK citizens to be consulted on the progress of negotiations between the UK and the EU on the withdrawal of the UK from the EU, and the approach outlined in UK Government White Papers.

(2) The citizens’ jury shall in total be composed of exactly 1501 persons.

(3) Members of the citizens’ jury shall be randomly selected by means of eligibility from UK citizens on the current electoral register as registered on the date of this Act receiving Royal Assent, with allocation across the nine UK Government Regions, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland weighted by population, and a stratification plan, with the aim of securing a group of people who are broadly representative demographically of the UK electorate across characteristics including whether they voted Leave or Remain.

(4) The jury will be broken down into individual sittings for each of the nine UK Government Regions in England, as well as Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland.

(5) The sittings will be for no more than 72 hours at a time, facilitated by independent facilitators, and if required, by electing fore-people from within their number.

(6) Membership of the jury will be subject to the same regulations and exceptions as a regular jury, but membership can be declined without penalty.

(7) The citizens’ jury will be able to require Ministerial and official representatives of the UK Government and the Devolved Administrations to give testimony to
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them to inform their work, and to have the power to invite other witnesses to give evidence as required.

(8) The citizens’ jury shall publish reports setting out their conclusions on the negotiations and UK Government White Papers.

(9) The first report from the citizens’ jury shall be published within two months of this Act receiving Royal Assent, and subsequent reports shall be published at intervals of no more than two months.

(10) Costs incurred by the citizens’ jury shall be met by the Exchequer.”

Mr Chris Leslie

To move the following Clause—

“Mutual recognition of professional qualifications

(1) In the course of negotiating a withdrawal agreement, Her Majesty’s Government shall seek to maintain after exit day the mutual recognition of professional qualifications which the United Kingdom has obtained under Directives 2005/36/EC and 2013/55/EU by virtue of its membership of the European Union.

(2) HM Government shall ensure that competent authorities for the purpose of the European Union (Recognition of Professional Qualifications) Regulations 2015 may continue to recognise professional qualifications obtained in the European Union as equivalent to qualifications obtained in the UK after exit day to ensure continuity.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This new clause would (a) commit the Government to seeking to replicate in the withdrawal agreement the framework for mutual recognition of professional qualifications the UK has at present and (b) allow competent UK authorities to continue to recognise EU qualifications as equivalent to their UK counterparts.

Mary Creagh

To move the following Clause—

“Regulation for the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH)

(1) The Secretary of State must take all reasonable steps to ensure that the United Kingdom participates in the standards and procedures established by the Regulation for the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals (“REACH”) (Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006) after exit day.

(2) Subject to the provisions of the withdrawal agreement, steps under subsection (1) may include regulations under section 17, or another provision of this Act, providing for full or partial participation of the United Kingdom in REACH.”
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**Member’s explanatory statement**

This new clause would ensure that after withdrawal from the EU, the UK continued to participate in the Regulation for the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restriction of Chemicals.

---

Kerry McCarthy  
Caroline Lucas  
Mary Creagh  
Mr Ben Bradshaw  
Darren Jones  
Ruth Cadbury

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catherine McKinnell</th>
<th>Stella Creasy</th>
<th>Ms Karen Buck</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ms Angela Eagle</td>
<td>Ian Murray</td>
<td>Stephen Doughty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs Madeleine Moon</td>
<td>Helen Hayes</td>
<td>Mr David Lammy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann Coffey</td>
<td>Mr Dominic Grieve</td>
<td>Mike Gapes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Schedule 8, page 50, line 2, leave out paragraph 3**

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would remove the additional power provided in paragraph 3.

---

Ian Murray  
Stephen Doughty  
Stephen Gethins  
Hywel Williams  
Jo Swinson  
Caroline Lucas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ian Blackford</th>
<th>Tom Brake</th>
<th>Mr Alistair Carmichael</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stephen Kinnock</td>
<td>Ann Coffey</td>
<td>Mr David Lammy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Gapes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Susan Elan Jones</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Schedule 8, page 50, line 19, leave out “section 57(4) of the Scotland Act 1998, section 80(8) of the Government of Wales Act 2006 or”**

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment and Amendment 190 are in consequence of Amendment 165 as no restriction relating to retained EU law on the making of subordinate legislation by the Scottish Ministers and the Welsh Ministers would apply.

---

Kerry McCarthy  
Caroline Lucas  
Mary Creagh  
Mr Ben Bradshaw  
Darren Jones  
Ruth Cadbury

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catherine McKinnell</th>
<th>Stella Creasy</th>
<th>Ms Karen Buck</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ms Angela Eagle</td>
<td>Ian Murray</td>
<td>Stephen Doughty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs Madeleine Moon</td>
<td>Helen Hayes</td>
<td>Mr David Lammy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann Coffey</td>
<td>Mr Dominic Grieve</td>
<td>Mike Gapes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Schedule 8, page 50, line 41, leave out paragraph 5**

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would remove the future powers to make subordinate legislation in paragraph 5.
Schedule 8, page 51, line 1, leave out “section 57(4) of the Scotland Act 1998, section 80(8) of the Government of Wales Act 2006 or”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment and Amendment 189 are in consequence of Amendment 165 to Schedule 3 as no restriction relating to retained EU law on the making of subordinate legislation by the Scottish Ministers and the Welsh Ministers will apply.

Schedule 8, page 54, leave out paragraphs 12 to 17

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would retain the provisions of the European Economic Area Act 1993 as part of domestic legislation beyond exit day.

Schedule 8, page 55, leave out lines 8 to 13 and insert—

“(a) in paragraph (a), omit sub-paragraph (ii), and

(b) in paragraph (b), omit “or with EU law”.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would amend the Criminal Procedure (Scotland) Act 1995 on the right of the Advocate General to take part in proceedings in consequence of removing the restriction on the competence of the Scottish Parliament and Scottish Government by Amendments 164 and 165 to Clause 11 and Schedule 3.
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Schedule 8, page 55, line 17, leave out “primary legislation and not”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would remove the proposal to allow secondary legislation to be treated as primary for the purposes of the Human Rights Act 1998.

Mary Creagh
Mike Gapes
Neil Coyle
Maria Eagle
Helen Hayes
Mr David Lammy
Ann Coffey

Schedule 8, page 58, line 16, leave out “4” and insert “3”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment, together with Amendments 139 and 141, would restore the right to obtain damages after exit day in respect of governmental failures before exit day to comply with European Union obligations.

Mary Creagh
Mike Gapes
Neil Coyle
Maria Eagle
Helen Hayes
Mr David Lammy
Ann Coffey

Schedule 8, page 58, line 24, leave out “4” and insert “3”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment, together with Amendments 139 and 140, would restore the right to obtain damages after exit day in respect of governmental failures before exit day to comply with European Union obligations.
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Mary Creagh

Schedule 8, page 58, line 31, leave out paragraph 28 and insert—

“(1) The prohibition on making regulations under section 7, 8, or Schedule 2 after a particular time does not affect the continuation in force of regulations made at or before that time, except where subparagraphs (2) and (3) apply.

(2) Regulations may not be made under powers conferred by regulations made under section 7, 8, or Schedule 2 after the end of the period of two years beginning with exit day.

(3) Regulations made under powers conferred by regulations made under section 7, 8, or Schedule 2 may not be made during the two year period in subparagraph (2) unless a draft has been laid before, and approved by a resolution of, each House of Parliament.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require all tertiary legislation made under powers conferred by regulations to be subject to Parliamentary control.

Ian Murray
Stephen Doughty
Stephen Gethins
Hywel Williams
Jo Swinson
Caroline Lucas

Ian Blackford Tom Brake Mr Alistair Carmichael
Stephen Kinnock Ann Coffey Susan Elan Jones
Mike Gapes

Schedule 8, page 59, leave out lines 10 to 16

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment, with Amendments 193, 194 and 195, is in consequence of Amendments 164 and 165 to Clause 11 and Schedule 3 which would remove the powers to make an Order in Council to adjust the new restriction on the legislative competence of the Scottish Parliament and the National Assembly for Wales.

Ian Murray
Stephen Doughty
Stephen Gethins
Hywel Williams
Jo Swinson
Caroline Lucas

Ian Blackford Tom Brake Mr Alistair Carmichael
Stephen Kinnock Ann Coffey Susan Elan Jones
Mike Gapes

Schedule 8, page 59, leave out lines 23 to 29

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment, with Amendments 192, 194 and 195, is in consequence of Amendments 164 and 165 to Clause 11 and Schedule 3 which would remove the powers to make an Order in Council to adjust the new restriction on the legislative competence of the Scottish Parliament and the National Assembly for Wales.
Schedule 8, page 59, line 47, leave out from beginning to end of line 8 on page 60

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment, with Amendments 192, 193 and 195, is in consequence of Amendments 164 and 165 to Clause 11 and Schedule 3 which would remove the powers to make an Order in Council to adjust the new restriction on the legislative competence of the Scottish Parliament and the National Assembly for Wales.

Schedule 8, page 60, leave out lines 13 to 23

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment, with Amendments 192, 193 and 194, is in consequence of Amendments 164 and 165 to Clause 11 and Schedule 3 which would remove the powers to make an Order in Council to adjust the new restriction on the legislative competence of the Scottish Parliament and the National Assembly for Wales.

Clause 19, page 14, line 32, at end insert—

“(a) section 1(2);”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment is a consequential amendment resulting from Amendments 78, 79 and 80 to Clause 1 requiring the Prime Minister to reach an agreement on EEA and Customs Union membership, to gain the consent of the devolved legislatures and to report on the effect leaving the EU will have on the block grant before implementing section 1 of this Act.
Clause 19, page 14, line 40, leave out subsection (2) and insert—

“(2) The remaining provisions of this Act come into force once following a referendum on whether the United Kingdom should approve the United Kingdom and Gibraltar exit package proposed by HM Government at conclusion of the negotiations triggered by Article 50(2) for withdrawal from the European Union or remain a member of the European Union.

(2A) The Secretary of State must, by regulations, appoint the day on which the referendum is to be held.

(2B) The question that is to appear on the ballot papers is—“Do you support the Government’s proposed new agreement between the United Kingdom and Gibraltar and the European Union or Should the United Kingdom remain a member of the European Union?”

(2C) The Secretary of State may make regulations by statutory instrument on the conduct of the referendum.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment is intended to ensure that before March 2019 (or the end of any extension to the two-year negotiation period) a referendum on the terms of the deal has to be held and provides the text of the referendum question.

Clause 19, page 14, line 40, at beginning insert “Subject to subsection (2A)”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment is a consequential amendment resulting from Amendments 78, 79 and 80 to Clause 1 requiring the Prime Minister to reach an agreement on EEA and Customs Union membership, gain the consent of the devolved legislatures and report on the effect leaving the EU will have on the block grant before implementing section 1 of this Act.
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Hywel Williams
Liz Saville Roberts
Jonathan Edwards
Ben Lake
Caroline Lucas
Joanna Cherry

Mike Gapes

Clause 19, page 14, line 42, at end insert—
“(2A) But regulations bringing into force section 1 may not be made until the Prime Minister is satisfied that an agreement has been reached for the United Kingdom to remain a member of the EEA and of the Customs Union.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would make the repeal of the European Communities Act 1972 on exit day conditional on the Prime Minister reaching an agreement for the United Kingdom to remain a member of the EEA and Customs Union.

Hywel Williams
Liz Saville Roberts
Jonathan Edwards
Ben Lake
Caroline Lucas
Joanna Cherry

Clause 19, page 14, line 42, at end insert—
“(2A) But regulations bringing into force section 1 may not be made until the Prime Minister is satisfied that resolutions have been passed by the Scottish Parliament, the National Assembly for Wales and the Northern Ireland Assembly signifying consent to the commencement of section 1.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment makes the repeal of the European Communities Act 1972 on exit day conditional on the Prime Minister gaining consent from the devolved legislatures.

Hywel Williams
Liz Saville Roberts
Jonathan Edwards
Ben Lake
Caroline Lucas
Joanna Cherry

Clause 19, page 14, line 42, at end insert—
“(2A) But regulations bringing into force section 1 may not be made until the Secretary of State lays a report before—
(a) Parliament, and
(b) the National Assembly for Wales
outlining the effect of the United Kingdom’s withdrawal from the EU on the National Assembly for Wales’s block grant.”

Member’s explanatory statement
This amendment would require the UK Government to lay a report before the National Assembly for Wales outlining the effect of the UK’s withdrawal from the EU on Welsh finances, before exercising the power under section 1. This would allow for scrutiny of the Leave Campaign’s promise to maintain current levels of EU funding for Wales.
Clause 19, page 14, line 42, at end insert—

“(2A) But regulations bringing into force section 1 may not be made until the Secretary of State lays a report before—

(a) Parliament, and

(b) the National Assembly for Wales

outlining the effect of the United Kingdom’s withdrawal from the Single Market and Customs Union on the Welsh economy.”

*Member’s explanatory statement*

This amendment would require the UK Government to lay a report before Parliament and the National Assembly for Wales outlining the effect of the UK’s withdrawal from the EU Single Market and Customs Union before exercising the powers in section 1.

---

Clause 19, page 14, line 42, at end insert—

“(2A) A Minister of the Crown may not appoint a day for any provision of this Act to come into force until the Secretary of State has published a report on which Scottish products will be identified with geographical indications in any future trade deal that Her Majesty’s Government seeks to negotiate after the United Kingdom’s withdrawal from the European Union, and has laid a copy of the report before Parliament.’

*Member’s explanatory statement*

This amendment would require publication of a Government report on which Scottish products will be identified with geographical indications in any future trade deal that Her Majesty’s Government negotiates after the United Kingdom’s withdrawal from the European Union.

---

Clause 19, page 14, line 42, at end insert—

“(2A) A Minister of the Crown may not appoint a day for any provision of this Act to come into force until a Minister of the Crown has published an assessment of the effect of the United Kingdom’s withdrawal from the EU on Scottish businesses and laid a copy of the assessment before Parliament.”

*Member’s explanatory statement*

This amendment would require publication of a Government assessment of the impact of the United Kingdom’s withdrawal from the EU on Scottish businesses.
Clause 19, page 14, line 42, at end insert—

“(2A) A Minister of the Crown may not appoint a day for any provision of this Act to come into force until a Minister of the Crown has published an assessment of the effect of the United Kingdom’s withdrawal from the EU on food and drink safety and quality standards, and has laid a copy of the assessment before Parliament.”

**Member’s explanatory statement**

This amendment would require publication of a Government assessment of the impact of the United Kingdom’s withdrawal from the EU on food and drink safety and quality standards.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proceedings</th>
<th>Time for conclusion of proceedings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Third day</td>
<td>Eight hours from the commencement of proceedings on the Bill on the third day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Clauses and new Schedules relating to Clause 5 or Schedule 1, Clause 5, Schedule 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth day</td>
<td>Eight hours from the commencement of proceedings on the Bill on the fourth day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Clauses and new Schedules relating to Clause 11 or Schedule 3, Clause 11, Schedule 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fifth day</td>
<td>Four hours from the commencement of proceedings on the Bill on the fifth day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Clauses and new Schedules relating to Clause 10 or Schedule 2, Clause 10, Schedule 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Clauses and new Schedules relating to Clause 12 or Schedule 4, Clause 12, Schedule 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sixth day</td>
<td>Eight hours from the commencement of proceedings on the Bill on the sixth day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Clauses and New Schedules relating to Clause 7, Clause 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seventh day</td>
<td>Six hours from the commencement of proceedings on the Bill on the seventh day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Clauses and new Schedules relating to Clause 9, Clause 9, new Clauses and new Schedules relating to Clause 16 or Schedule 7, Clause 16, Schedule 7, Clause 17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Clauses and new Schedules relating to Clause 8, Clause 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eighth day</td>
<td>Eight hours from the commencement of proceedings on the Bill on the eighth day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Clauses and new Schedules relating to Clause 13 or Schedule 5, Clause 13, Schedule 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Clauses and new Schedules relating to Clause 14 or Schedule 6, Clause 14, Schedule 6, remaining new Schedules, Clause 15, Schedules 8 and 9, Clauses 18 and 19, remaining proceedings on the Bill</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Proceedings on Consideration and up to and including Third Reading

5. Any proceedings on Consideration, any proceedings in legislative grand committee and proceedings on Third Reading shall be taken in two days in accordance with the following provisions of this Order.

6. Any proceedings on Consideration and any proceedings in legislative grand committee shall (so far as not previously concluded) be brought to a conclusion two hours before the moment of interruption on the second day.

7. Proceedings on Third Reading shall (so far as not previously concluded) be brought to a conclusion at the moment of interruption on the second day.

Programming committee

8. Standing Order No. 83B (Programming committees) shall not apply to proceedings in Committee of the whole House, to any proceedings on Consideration or to other proceedings up to and including Third Reading.

Other proceedings

9. Any other proceedings on the Bill may be programmed.

NOTICES WITHDRAWN

The following Notices were withdrawn on 12 September 2017:

14 (duplicate of 10) and 125 (duplicate of 62)