



House of Commons

NOTICES OF AMENDMENTS

given up to and including

Friday 30 October 2020

New Amendments handed in are marked thus ★

☆ *Amendments which will comply with the required notice period at their next appearance*

CONSIDERATION OF BILL (REPORT STAGE)

OVERSEAS OPERATIONS (SERVICE PERSONNEL AND VETERANS) BILL

NOTE

This document includes all amendments tabled to date and includes any withdrawn amendments at the end. The amendments have been arranged in the order in which they relate to the Bill.

Mr Kevan Jones

NC1

☆ To move the following Clause—

“Judicial oversight of investigations

- (1) This section applies to any investigation by a police force into alleged conduct as described in subsection 3 of section 1.
- (2) The police force investigating the conduct must place their preliminary findings before an allocated judge advocate as soon as possible, but no later than 6 months after the alleged offence was brought to their attention.
- (3) The judge advocate shall have the power to determine—
 - (a) that no serious, permanent or lasting psychological or physical injury has been caused; and order that the investigation should cease;
 - (b) that the evidence is of a tenuous character because of weakness or vagueness or because of inconsistencies with other evidence, and that it is not in the interests of justice to continue an investigation; and order that the investigation should cease; or

Overseas Operations (Service Personnel and Veterans) Bill, *continued*

- (c) that there is merit in the complaint; and make directions as to the timetable and extent of further investigation.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This new clause would set a timetable for police investigations into alleged conduct during overseas operations, to ensure they are as short as possible and provide an opportunity for a judge to stop an unmeritorious or vexatious investigation early.

Mr Kevan Jones

NC2

- ☆ To move the following Clause—

“Limitation of time for minor offences

No proceedings shall be brought against any person in relation to a relevant offence, where—

- (a) the condition set out in subsection 3 of section 1 is satisfied,
- (b) the offence is subject to summary conviction only, or is one in the commission of which no serious, permanent or lasting psychological or physical injury has been caused, and
- (c) a period of six months has passed from the time the offence was committed or discovered.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This new clause would dispose of minor allegations of misconduct by imposing a time limit similar to that which exists in relation to summary only matters in Magistrates’ Courts.

Mr Kevan Jones

NC3

- ☆ To move the following Clause—

“Access to justice for service personnel

Within 12 months of this Act coming into force, the Secretary of State shall commission an independent evaluation comparing—

- (a) access to justice for members and former members of the regular and reserve forces and of British overseas territory forces to whom section 369(2) of the Armed Forces Act 2006 (persons subject to service law) applies, in relation to legal proceedings in connection with operations of the armed forces outside the British Islands, with
 - (b) access to justice for asylum seekers and prisoners seeking to bring an action against the Crown.”
-

Overseas Operations (Service Personnel and Veterans) Bill, *continued*

John Healey
 Mrs Sharon Hodgson
 Stephen Morgan
 Mr Khalid Mahmood
 Chris Evans

NC4

☆ To move the following Clause—

“Ability to conduct a fair trial

The principle referred to in section 1(1) is that a relevant prosecutor making a decision to which that section applies may determine that proceedings should be brought against the person for the offence, or, as the case may be, that the proceedings against the person for the offence should be continued, only if the prosecutor has reasonable grounds for believing that the fair trial of the person has not been materially prejudiced by the time elapsed since the alleged conduct took place.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This new clause is intended to replace Clause 2 of the Bill. It replaces the presumption against prosecution with a requirement on a prosecutor deciding whether to bring or continue a prosecution to consider whether the passage of time has materially prejudiced the prospective defendant’s chance of a fair trial.

John Healey
 Mrs Sharon Hodgson
 Stephen Morgan
 Mr Khalid Mahmood
 Chris Evans

NC5

☆ To move the following Clause—

“Restrictions on time limits: actions brought against the Crown by service personnel

Nothing in this Part applies to any action brought against the Crown by a person who is a member or former member of the regular or reserve forces, or of a British overseas territory force to whom section 369(2) of the Armed Forces Act 2006 (persons subject to service law) applies.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This new clause amends Part 2 of the Bill so that it explicitly excludes actions brought against the Crown by serving or former service personnel from the limitations on courts’ discretion that the Part imposes in respect of actions relating to overseas operations.

Overseas Operations (Service Personnel and Veterans) Bill, *continued*

John Healey
 Mrs Sharon Hodgson
 Stephen Morgan
 Mr Khalid Mahmood
 Chris Evans

NC6

☆ To move the following Clause—

“Duty of care to service personnel

- (1) The Secretary of State shall establish a duty of care standard in relation to legal, pastoral and mental health support provided to service personnel involved in investigations or litigation arising from overseas operations, as defined in subsection (6) of section 1.
- (2) The Secretary of State shall lay a copy of this standard before Parliament within six months of the date on which this Act receives Royal Assent.
- (3) The Secretary of State shall thereafter in each calendar year—
 - (a) prepare a duty of care report; and
 - (b) lay a copy of the report before Parliament.
- (4) The duty of care report is a report about the continuous process of review and improvement to meet the duty of care standard established in subsection (1), in particular in relation to incidents arising from overseas operations of—
 - (a) litigation and investigations brought against service personnel for allegations of criminal misconduct and wrongdoing;
 - (b) civil litigation brought by service personnel against the Ministry of Defence for negligence and personal injury;
 - (c) judicial reviews and inquiries into allegations of misconduct by service personnel;
 - (d) in such other fields as the Secretary of State may determine.
- (5) In preparing a duty of care report the Secretary of State must have regard to, and publish relevant data in relation to (in respect of overseas operations)—
 - (a) the adequacy of legal, welfare and mental health support services provided to service personnel who are accused of crimes;
 - (b) complaints made by service personnel and, or their legal representation when in the process of bringing or attempting to bring civil claims against the Ministry of Defence for negligence and personal injury;
 - (c) complaints made by service personnel and, or their legal representation when in the process of investigation or litigation for an accusation of misconduct;
 - (d) meeting national care standards and safeguarding to families of service personnel, where relevant.
- (6) In section (1) “service personnel” means—
 - (a) members of the regular forces and the reserve forces;
 - (b) members of British Overseas Territory forces who are subject to service law;
 - (c) former members of any of Her Majesty’s forces who are ordinarily resident in the United Kingdom; and
 - (d) where relevant, family members of any person meeting the definition within (a), (b) or (c).”
- (7) In subsection (1) “Duty of Care” means both the legal and moral obligation of the Ministry of Defence to ensure the well-being of service personnel.

Overseas Operations (Service Personnel and Veterans) Bill, *continued*

- (8) None of the provisions contained within this clause shall be used to alter the principle of Combat Immunity.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This new clause will require the Ministry of Defence to identify a new duty of care to create a new standard for policy, services and training in relation to legal, pastoral and mental health support provided to service personnel involved in investigations or litigations arising from overseas operations, and to report annually on their application of this standard.

John Healey
Mrs Sharon Hodgson
Stephen Morgan
Mr Khalid Mahmood
Chris Evans

NC7

- ☆ To move the following Clause—

“Duty of care to service personnel

- (1) This section applies where—
- (a) a person has been acquitted of an offence relating to conduct on overseas operations; or
 - (b) a determination has been made that an investigation into an offence relating to such conduct should cease under section (Judicial oversight of investigations).
- (2) No further investigation into the alleged conduct shall be commenced unless—
- (a) compelling new evidence has become available; and
 - (b) an allocated judge advocate determines that the totality of the evidence against the accused is sufficiently strong.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This new clause would require a judge advocate of the armed services to determine if new evidence is sufficient to grant reinvestigation of armed forces personnel for alleged offences in which they have been acquitted or the original investigation was ceased.

Overseas Operations (Service Personnel and Veterans) Bill, *continued*

Ms Harriet Harman
Joanna Cherry
Ms Karen Buck
Jamie Stone
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey

Tim Farron
Wera Hobhouse
Munira Wilson
Lloyd Russell-Moyle

Christine Jardine
Daisy Cooper
Sarah Olney

Layla Moran
Wendy Chamberlain
Stephen Farry

11

Page 1, line 4, leave out Clause 1

Member's explanatory statement

Part 1 of the Bill introduces restrictions on prosecution for certain offences, including a presumption against prosecution. This amendment is one of a series that would remove Part 1 from the Bill.

Ms Harriet Harman
Joanna Cherry
Ms Karen Buck
Jamie Stone
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey

Tim Farron
Wera Hobhouse
Munira Wilson
Lloyd Russell-Moyle

Christine Jardine
Daisy Cooper
Sarah Olney

Layla Moran
Wendy Chamberlain
Stephen Farry

18

Clause 1, page 2, line 2, leave out "5" and insert "10"

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment is one of two providing that the presumption against prosecution should apply after 10 years (instead of 5 years).

Ms Harriet Harman
Joanna Cherry
Ms Karen Buck
Jamie Stone
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey

Tim Farron
Wera Hobhouse
Munira Wilson
Lloyd Russell-Moyle

Christine Jardine
Daisy Cooper
Sarah Olney

Layla Moran
Wendy Chamberlain
Stephen Farry

19

Clause 1, page 2, line 4, leave out "5" and insert "10"

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment is one of two providing that the presumption against prosecution should apply after 10 years (instead of 5 years).

Overseas Operations (Service Personnel and Veterans) Bill, *continued*

John Healey
Mrs Sharon Hodgson
Stephen Morgan
Mr Khalid Mahmood
Chris Evans
Ms Harriet Harman

Jamie Stone
Tim Farron
Wera Hobhouse
Munira Wilson

Mr Alistair Carmichael
Christine Jardine
Daisy Cooper
Sarah Olney

Ed Davey
Layla Moran
Wendy Chamberlain

64

☆ Page 2, line 12, leave out Clause 2

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment, which would remove Clause 2 from the Bill, should be read together with NC4, which replaces the presumption against prosecution with a requirement on a prosecutor to consider whether the passage of time has materially prejudiced the prospective defendant's chance of a fair trial.

Ms Harriet Harman
Joanna Cherry
Ms Karen Buck
Jamie Stone
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey

Tim Farron
Wera Hobhouse
Munira Wilson
Lloyd Russell-Moyle

Christine Jardine
Daisy Cooper
Sarah Olney

Layla Moran
Wendy Chamberlain
Stephen Farry

13

Page 2, line 18, leave out Clause 3

Member's explanatory statement

Part 1 of the Bill introduces restrictions on prosecution for certain offences, including a presumption against prosecution. This amendment is one of a series that would remove Part 1 from the Bill.

Mr Kevan Jones

24

☆ Clause 3, page 2, line 20, leave out “(so far as they tend to reduce the person's culpability or otherwise tend against prosecution)”

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment would ensure that, in giving particular weight to the matters in subsection (2), a prosecutor may consider whether any matter tends to reduce or increase culpability, tending against or in favour of prosecution respectively.

Overseas Operations (Service Personnel and Veterans) Bill, *continued*

Ms Harriet Harman
 Joanna Cherry
 Ms Karen Buck
 Jamie Stone
 Mr Alistair Carmichael
 Ed Davey

Tim Farron
 Wera Hobhouse
 Munira Wilson
 Lloyd Russell-Moyle

Christine Jardine
 Daisy Cooper
 Sarah Olney

Layla Moran
 Wendy Chamberlain
 Stephen Farry

21

Clause 3, page 2, leave out lines 23 to 29

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment is one of two that together would delete the requirement for a prosecutor to give "particular weight" in a prosecution decision after 5 years to the adverse effect on a person of the conditions the person was exposed to during deployment.

Mr Kevan Jones

25

☆ Clause 3, page 2, line 33, at end insert—

“(ba) the thoroughness, promptness and efficacy of any ongoing investigation into the alleged conduct or any relevant previous investigation, and the reasons for any delays in such investigations;”

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment would ensure that the adequacy of any investigative process to date is given particular weight by a relevant prosecutor.

Mr Kevan Jones

26

☆ Clause 3, page 2, line 33, at end insert—

“(bb) the public interest in maintaining public trust in the criminal justice system and upholding the principle of accountability of the Armed Forces;”

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment would ensure that a relevant prosecutor gives particular weight to maintaining public trust in the criminal justice system and upholding the principle of accountability of the Armed Forces.

Mr Kevan Jones
 Martin Docherty-Hughes
 Carol Monaghan

27

☆ Clause 3, page 2, line 33, at end insert—

“(bc) the nature of the alleged conduct, in particular whether it engaged the obligations of the United Kingdom under Articles 2, 3, 4 or 5 of the European Convention on Human Rights;”

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment would ensure that particular weight is given by a prosecutor where the alleged conduct engages the UK's obligations under Article 2 (right to life), Article 3 (prohibition on torture and inhuman or degrading treatment), Article 4 (prohibition of slavery and forced labour) or Article 5 (prohibition of arbitrary detention) ECHR.

Overseas Operations (Service Personnel and Veterans) Bill, *continued*

Mr Kevan Jones

28

☆ Clause 3, page 2, line 33, at end insert—

“(bd) whether the person had command responsibility for the alleged conduct, and to what extent;”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would ensure that particular weight is given by a relevant prosecutor where the person had command responsibility for the alleged conduct.

John Healey
Mrs Sharon Hodgson
Stephen Morgan
Mr Khalid Mahmood
Chris Evans

38

☆ Clause 3, page 2, line 33, after subsection (2)(b), insert—

“(c) the quality and duration of relevant investigations.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would require prosecutors to give weight to the quality and duration of relevant investigations when deciding whether to bring or continue proceedings against a person relating to alleged conduct during overseas operations.

Ms Harriet Harman
Joanna Cherry
Ms Karen Buck
Jamie Stone
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey

Tim Farron
Wera Hobhouse
Munira Wilson
Lloyd Russell-Moyle

Christine Jardine
Daisy Cooper
Sarah Olney

Layla Moran
Wendy Chamberlain
Stephen Farry

22

Clause 3, page 2, leave out lines 34 to 43

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment is one of two that together would delete the requirement for a prosecutor to give “particular weight” in a prosecution decision after 5 years to the adverse effect on a person of the conditions the person was exposed to during deployment.

Overseas Operations (Service Personnel and Veterans) Bill, *continued*

Ms Harriet Harman
Joanna Cherry
Ms Karen Buck
Jamie Stone
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey

Tim Farron
Wera Hobhouse
Munira Wilson
Lloyd Russell-Moyle

Christine Jardine
Daisy Cooper
Sarah Olney

Layla Moran
Wendy Chamberlain
Stephen Farry

14

Page 3, line 1, leave out Clause 4

Member's explanatory statement

Part 1 of the Bill introduces restrictions on prosecution for certain offences, including a presumption against prosecution. This amendment is one of a series that would remove Part 1 from the Bill.

Ms Harriet Harman
Joanna Cherry
Ms Karen Buck
Jamie Stone
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey

Tim Farron
Wera Hobhouse
Munira Wilson
Lloyd Russell-Moyle

Christine Jardine
Daisy Cooper
Sarah Olney

Layla Moran
Wendy Chamberlain
Stephen Farry

15

Page 3, line 15, leave out Clause 5

Member's explanatory statement

Part 1 of the Bill introduces restrictions on prosecution for certain offences, including a presumption against prosecution. This amendment is one of a series that would remove Part 1 from the Bill.

Martin Docherty-Hughes
Carol Monaghan

31

☆ Clause 5, page 3, line 29, at end insert—

“(c) where the offence is punishable with a criminal penalty by the law of Scotland, except with the consent of the Lord Advocate.”

John Healey
Mrs Sharon Hodgson
Stephen Morgan
Mr Khalid Mahmood
Chris Evans

39

☆ Clause 5, page 3, line 29, at end insert—

“(3A) Where the consent of the Attorney General is sought under subsection (2) or (3) above, the Attorney General must prepare a report containing his reasons for

Overseas Operations (Service Personnel and Veterans) Bill, continued

granting or withholding consent, as the case may be, with reference to sections 1 to 3 of this Act, and must lay a copy of this report before Parliament.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment requires the Attorney General to lay out their evidence and assessment as to why they granted or refused consent to prosecute.

Ms Harriet Harman
Joanna Cherry
Ms Karen Buck
Jamie Stone
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey

Tim Farron
Wera Hobhouse
Munira Wilson
Lloyd Russell-Moyle

Christine Jardine
Daisy Cooper
Sarah Olney

Layla Moran
Wendy Chamberlain
Stephen Farry

16

Page 3, line 40, leave out Clause 6

Member’s explanatory statement

Part 1 of the Bill introduces restrictions on prosecution for certain offences, including a presumption against prosecution. This amendment is one of a series that would remove Part 1 from the Bill.

Ms Harriet Harman
Joanna Cherry
Ms Karen Buck
Jamie Stone
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey

Tim Farron
Wera Hobhouse
Munira Wilson
Lloyd Russell-Moyle

Christine Jardine
Daisy Cooper
Sarah Olney

Layla Moran
Wendy Chamberlain
Stephen Farry

20

Clause 6, page 4, line 13, at end insert—

“(2A) An offence is not a “relevant offence” if it amounts to—

- (a) torture, within the meaning of section 134 Criminal Justice Act 1988; or
- (b) genocide, a crime against humanity or a war crime as defined in section 50 of the International Criminal Court Act 2001.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment provides that the presumption against prosecution does not apply to war crimes, crimes against humanity, genocide or torture.

Overseas Operations (Service Personnel and Veterans) Bill, *continued*

Martin Docherty-Hughes
Carol Monaghan

32

☆ Clause 6, page 4, line 13, at end insert—

“(3A) A service offence is not a “relevant offence” if it is an offence whose prosecution is required under the United Kingdom’s international treaty obligations.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would exclude the prosecution of serious international crimes (such as torture, genocide, crimes against humanity, and certain war crimes) from the limitations otherwise imposed by the Bill.

Ms Harriet Harman
Joanna Cherry
Ms Karen Buck
Jamie Stone
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey

Tim Farron
Wera Hobhouse
Munira Wilson
Lloyd Russell-Moyle

Christine Jardine
Daisy Cooper
Sarah Olney

Layla Moran
Wendy Chamberlain
Stephen Farry

17

Page 4, line 27, leave out Clause 7

Member’s explanatory statement

Part 1 of the Bill introduces restrictions on prosecution for certain offences, including a presumption against prosecution. This amendment is one of a series that would remove Part 1 from the Bill.

Martin Docherty-Hughes
Carol Monaghan

33

☆ Page 6, line 4, leave out Clause 8

Martin Docherty-Hughes
Carol Monaghan

34

☆ Page 6, line 15, leave out Clause 9

Overseas Operations (Service Personnel and Veterans) Bill, *continued*

Martin Docherty-Hughes
Carol Monaghan

35

☆ Page 6, line 26, leave out Clause 10

Ms Harriet Harman
Joanna Cherry
Ms Karen Buck
Jamie Stone
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey

Tim Farron
Wera Hobhouse
Munira Wilson
Martin Docherty-Hughes

Christine Jardine
Daisy Cooper
Sarah Olney
Carol Monaghan

Layla Moran
Wendy Chamberlain
Stephen Farry
Lloyd Russell-Moyle

23

Page 6, line 38, leave out Clause 11

Member's explanatory statement

This clause would introduce a hard deadline for human rights claims and also includes detailed provision around the impact of proceedings on the mental health of Armed Forces witnesses. This amendment deletes this clause from the bill.

Jamie Stone
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey
Tim Farron
Christine Jardine
Layla Moran

Wera Hobhouse
Munira Wilson

Daisy Cooper
Sarah Olney

Wendy Chamberlain
Stephen Farry

60

☆ Clause 11, page 7, line 23, at end insert—

“(c) the importance of the proceedings in securing the rights of the claimant.”

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment adds a further consideration to which UK courts must have particular regard when determining whether to disapply the standard HRA limitation period of one year so as to ensure that the claimant's interest in having their claim proceed is not subordinated.

Overseas Operations (Service Personnel and Veterans) Bill, *continued*

Jamie Stone
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey
Tim Farron
Christine Jardine
Layla Moran

Wera Hobhouse
Munira Wilson

Daisy Cooper
Sarah Olney

Wendy Chamberlain
Stephen Farry

46

- ☆ Clause 11, page 7, line 30, leave out from “before” to the end of line 34 and insert “the end of the period of 6 years beginning with the date of knowledge.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment is one of a series that change the relevant date from which the six-year longstop starts to run so as to account for legitimate and explicable delays commonly experienced by persons bringing claims under the HRA arising out of overseas operations.

Jamie Stone
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey
Tim Farron
Christine Jardine
Layla Moran

Wera Hobhouse
Munira Wilson

Daisy Cooper
Sarah Olney

Wendy Chamberlain
Stephen Farry

41

- ☆ Clause 11, page 7, line 34, at end insert—

“(4A) The court may disapply the rule in subsection (1) (b) where it appears to the court that it would be equitable to do so having regard to the reasons for the delay, in particular whether the delay resulted from—

(a) the nature of the injuries;

(b) logistical difficulties in securing the services required to bring a claim, so long as the claimant was making all reasonable attempts to secure such services, or

(c) any other reasons outside the control of the person bringing the claim.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment introduces a discretion for UK courts to allow a Human Rights Act claim arising out of overseas operations to proceed in prescribed circumstances so as to account for legitimate and explicable delays commonly experienced by persons bringing such claims.

Mr Kevan Jones

29

- ☆ Clause 11, page 7, line 36, leave out “first ought to have known”

Overseas Operations (Service Personnel and Veterans) Bill, *continued*

Jamie Stone
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey
Tim Farron
Christine Jardine
Layla Moran

Wera Hobhouse
Munira Wilson

Daisy Cooper
Sarah Olney

Wendy Chamberlain
Stephen Farry

47

☆ Clause 11, page 7, line 40, at end insert—

“(c) of the manifestation of the harm resulting from that act which is the subject of the claim; and

(d) that they were eligible to bring a claim under the Human Rights Act 1998 against the Ministry of Defence or Secretary of State for Defence in the courts of the United Kingdom.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment is one of a series that change the relevant date from which the six-year longstop starts to run so as to account for legitimate and explicable delays commonly experienced by persons bringing claims under the HRA arising out of overseas operations.

Jamie Stone
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey
Tim Farron
Christine Jardine
Layla Moran

Wera Hobhouse
Munira Wilson

Daisy Cooper
Sarah Olney

Wendy Chamberlain
Stephen Farry

40

☆ Page 8, line 14, leave out Clause 12

Member’s explanatory statement

Clause 12 would require the Secretary of State to consider making a derogation under Article 15(1) ECHR in respect of any significant overseas operations. This amendment would remove this requirement.

Overseas Operations (Service Personnel and Veterans) Bill, *continued*

John Healey
 Mrs Sharon Hodgson
 Stephen Morgan
 Mr Khalid Mahmood
 Chris Evans

37

☆ Clause 12, page 8, line 20, at end, insert—

“(1A) No order may be made by the Secretary of State under section 14 following consideration under this section unless a draft of the order has been laid before, and approved by, each House of Parliament.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment would require significant derogations regarding overseas operations proposed by the Government from the European Convention on Human Rights to be approved by Parliament before being made.

Mr David Davis
 Dan Jarvis
 Mrs Pauline Latham
 Mr Ian Liddell-Grainger
 Crispin Blunt
 Caroline Lucas

Ms Harriet Harman
 Ed Davey
 Layla Moran
 Wendy Chamberlain
 Stephen Farry
 Anne Marie Morris
 Florence Eshalomi
 Derek Twigg

Jamie Stone
 Tim Farron
 Wera Hobhouse
 Munira Wilson
 Rushanara Ali
 Stephen Timms
 Dame Diana Johnson
 Mr Andrew Mitchell

Mr Alistair Carmichael
 Christine Jardine
 Daisy Cooper
 Sarah Olney
 Mrs Emma Lewell-Buck
 Olivia Blake
 Chris Bryant
 Lloyd Russell-Moyle

1

Schedule 1, page 12, line 6, at end insert—

“(13A) An offence under section 134 of the Criminal Justice Act 1988 (torture).”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment is one of a series designed to ensure that the Bill’s “triple lock” provisions to block prosecutions would not apply to torture and related offences under UK law. This suite of amendments would ensure that the existing offences of torture – contained in the 1988 Criminal Justice Act and in other parts of UK law incorporating longstanding laws of war – would not be included within the Bill’s “triple lock” against prosecutions of UK soldiers.

Overseas Operations (Service Personnel and Veterans) Bill, *continued*

Mr David Davis
 Dan Jarvis
 Mrs Pauline Latham
 Mr Ian Liddell-Grainger
 Crispin Blunt
 Caroline Lucas

Ms Harriet Harman	Jamie Stone	Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey	Tim Farron	Christine Jardine
Layla Moran	Wera Hobhouse	Daisy Cooper
Wendy Chamberlain	Munira Wilson	Sarah Olney
Stephen Farry	Rushanara Ali	Mrs Emma Lewell-Buck
Anne Marie Morris	Stephen Timms	Olivia Blake
Florence Eshalomi	Dame Diana Johnson	Chris Bryant
Derek Twigg	Mr Andrew Mitchell	Lloyd Russell-Moyle

2

Schedule 1, page 12, line 40, leave out “or” and insert—

- “(b) a crime against humanity within article 7.1(f),
- (c) a crime against humanity within article 7.1(i)
- (d) a crime against humanity within article 7.1(k), or”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment is one of a series designed to ensure that the Bill’s “triple lock” provisions to block prosecutions would not apply to torture and related offences under UK law. This suite of amendments would ensure that the existing offences of torture – contained in the 1988 Criminal Justice Act and in other parts of UK law incorporating longstanding laws of war – would not be included within the Bill’s “triple lock” against prosecutions of UK soldiers.

Mr David Davis
 Dan Jarvis
 Mrs Pauline Latham
 Mr Ian Liddell-Grainger
 Crispin Blunt
 Caroline Lucas

Ms Harriet Harman	Jamie Stone	Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey	Tim Farron	Christine Jardine
Layla Moran	Wera Hobhouse	Daisy Cooper
Wendy Chamberlain	Munira Wilson	Sarah Olney
Stephen Farry	Rushanara Ali	Mrs Emma Lewell-Buck
Anne Marie Morris	Stephen Timms	Olivia Blake
Florence Eshalomi	Dame Diana Johnson	Chris Bryant
Derek Twigg	Mr Andrew Mitchell	Lloyd Russell-Moyle

3

Schedule 1, page 12, line 42, leave out “or” and insert—

- “(ii) article 8.2(a)(ii) (which relates to international conflict),
- (iii) article 8.2(b)(xxi) (which relates to international conflict), or”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment is one of a series designed to ensure that the Bill’s “triple lock” provisions to block prosecutions would not apply to torture and related offences under UK law. This suite of amendments would ensure that the existing offences of torture – contained in the 1988 Criminal Justice Act and in other parts of UK law incorporating longstanding laws of war – would not be included within the Bill’s “triple lock” against prosecutions of UK soldiers.

Overseas Operations (Service Personnel and Veterans) Bill, *continued*

Mr David Davis
 Dan Jarvis
 Mrs Pauline Latham
 Mr Ian Liddell-Grainger
 Crispin Blunt
 Caroline Lucas

Ms Harriet Harman	Jamie Stone	Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey	Tim Farron	Christine Jardine
Layla Moran	Wera Hobhouse	Daisy Cooper
Wendy Chamberlain	Munira Wilson	Sarah Olney
Stephen Farry	Rushanara Ali	Mrs Emma Lewell-Buck
Anne Marie Morris	Stephen Timms	Olivia Blake
Florence Eshalomi	Dame Diana Johnson	Chris Bryant
Derek Twigg	Mr Andrew Mitchell	Lloyd Russell-Moyle

4

Schedule 1, page 13, line 2, at end insert “, or

- (iv) article 8.2(c)(i) (which relates to armed conflicts not of an international character) insofar as it relates to the offences of cruel treatment and torture,
- (v) article 8.2(c)(ii) (which relates to armed conflicts not of an international character).”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment is one of a series designed to ensure that the Bill’s “triple lock” provisions to block prosecutions would not apply to torture and related offences under UK law. This suite of amendments would ensure that the existing offences of torture – contained in the 1988 Criminal Justice Act and in other parts of UK law incorporating longstanding laws of war – would not be included within the Bill’s “triple lock” against prosecutions of UK soldiers.

Mr David Davis
 Dan Jarvis
 Mrs Pauline Latham
 Mr Ian Liddell-Grainger
 Crispin Blunt
 Caroline Lucas

Ms Harriet Harman	Jamie Stone	Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey	Tim Farron	Christine Jardine
Layla Moran	Wera Hobhouse	Daisy Cooper
Wendy Chamberlain	Munira Wilson	Sarah Olney
Stephen Farry	Rushanara Ali	Mrs Emma Lewell-Buck
Anne Marie Morris	Stephen Timms	Olivia Blake
Florence Eshalomi	Dame Diana Johnson	Chris Bryant
Derek Twigg	Mr Andrew Mitchell	Lloyd Russell-Moyle

5

Schedule 1, page 13, line 14, leave out “or” and insert—

- “(b) a crime against humanity within article 7.1(f),
- (c) a crime against humanity within article 7.1(i),
- (d) a crime against humanity within article 7.1(k), or”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment is one of a series designed to ensure that the Bill’s “triple lock” provisions to block prosecutions would not apply to torture and related offences under UK law. This suite of amendments would ensure that the existing offences of torture – contained in the 1988 Criminal Justice Act and in other parts of UK law incorporating longstanding laws of war – would not be included within the Bill’s “triple lock” against prosecutions of UK soldiers.

Overseas Operations (Service Personnel and Veterans) Bill, *continued*

Mr David Davis
 Dan Jarvis
 Mrs Pauline Latham
 Mr Ian Liddell-Grainger
 Crispin Blunt
 Caroline Lucas

Ms Harriet Harman	Jamie Stone	Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey	Tim Farron	Christine Jardine
Layla Moran	Wera Hobhouse	Daisy Cooper
Wendy Chamberlain	Munira Wilson	Sarah Olney
Stephen Farry	Rushanara Ali	Mrs Emma Lewell-Buck
Anne Marie Morris	Stephen Timms	Olivia Blake
Florence Eshalomi	Dame Diana Johnson	Chris Bryant
Derek Twigg	Mr Andrew Mitchell	Lloyd Russell-Moyle

6

Schedule 1, page 13, line 16, leave out “or” and insert—

- “(ii) article 8.2(a)(ii) ((which relates to international conflict),
- (iii) article 8.2(b)(xxi) (which relates to international conflict), or”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment is one of a series designed to ensure that the Bill’s “triple lock” provisions to block prosecutions would not apply to torture and related offences under UK law. This suite of amendments would ensure that the existing offences of torture – contained in the 1988 Criminal Justice Act and in other parts of UK law incorporating longstanding laws of war – would not be included within the Bill’s “triple lock” against prosecutions of UK soldiers.

Mr David Davis
 Dan Jarvis
 Mrs Pauline Latham
 Mr Ian Liddell-Grainger
 Crispin Blunt
 Caroline Lucas

Ms Harriet Harman	Jamie Stone	Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey	Tim Farron	Christine Jardine
Layla Moran	Wera Hobhouse	Daisy Cooper
Wendy Chamberlain	Munira Wilson	Sarah Olney
Stephen Farry	Rushanara Ali	Mrs Emma Lewell-Buck
Anne Marie Morris	Stephen Timms	Olivia Blake
Florence Eshalomi	Dame Diana Johnson	Chris Bryant
Derek Twigg	Mr Andrew Mitchell	Lloyd Russell-Moyle

7

Schedule 1, page 13, line 18, at end insert—

- “(iii) article 8.2(c)(i) (which relates to armed conflicts not of an international character) insofar as it relates to the offences of cruel treatment and torture,
- (iv) article 8.2(c)(ii) (which relates to armed conflicts not of an international character).”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment is one of a series designed to ensure that the Bill’s “triple lock” provisions to block prosecutions would not apply to torture and related offences under UK law. This suite of amendments would ensure that the existing offences of torture – contained in the 1988 Criminal Justice Act and in other parts of UK law incorporating longstanding laws of war – would not be included within the Bill’s “triple lock” against prosecutions of UK soldiers.

Overseas Operations (Service Personnel and Veterans) Bill, *continued*

Mr David Davis
 Dan Jarvis
 Mrs Pauline Latham
 Mr Ian Liddell-Grainger
 Crispin Blunt
 Caroline Lucas

Ms Harriet Harman	Jamie Stone	Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey	Tim Farron	Christine Jardine
Layla Moran	Wera Hobhouse	Daisy Cooper
Wendy Chamberlain	Munira Wilson	Sarah Olney
Stephen Farry	Rushanara Ali	Mrs Emma Lewell-Buck
Anne Marie Morris	Stephen Timms	Olivia Blake
Florence Eshalomi	Dame Diana Johnson	Chris Bryant
Derek Twigg	Mr Andrew Mitchell	Lloyd Russell-Moyle

8

Schedule 1, page 14, line 8, leave out “or” and insert—

- “(b) a crime against humanity within article 7.1(f),
- (c) a crime against humanity within article 7.1(i),
- (d) a crime against humanity within article 7.1(k), or”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment is one of a series designed to ensure that the Bill’s “triple lock” provisions to block prosecutions would not apply to torture and related offences under UK law. This suite of amendments would ensure that the existing offences of torture – contained in the 1988 Criminal Justice Act and in other parts of UK law incorporating longstanding laws of war – would not be included within the Bill’s “triple lock” against prosecutions of UK soldiers.

Mr David Davis
 Dan Jarvis
 Mrs Pauline Latham
 Mr Ian Liddell-Grainger
 Crispin Blunt
 Caroline Lucas

Ms Harriet Harman	Jamie Stone	Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey	Tim Farron	Christine Jardine
Layla Moran	Wera Hobhouse	Daisy Cooper
Wendy Chamberlain	Munira Wilson	Sarah Olney
Stephen Farry	Rushanara Ali	Mrs Emma Lewell-Buck
Anne Marie Morris	Stephen Timms	Olivia Blake
Florence Eshalomi	Dame Diana Johnson	Chris Bryant
Derek Twigg	Mr Andrew Mitchell	Lloyd Russell-Moyle

9

Schedule 1, page 14, line 10, leave out “or” and insert—

- “(iii) article 8.2(a)(ii) ((which relates to international conflict),
- (iv) article 8.2(b)(xxi) (which relates to international conflict), or”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment is one of a series designed to ensure that the Bill’s “triple lock” provisions to block prosecutions would not apply to torture and related offences under UK law. This suite of amendments would ensure that the existing offences of torture – contained in the 1988 Criminal Justice Act and in other parts of UK law incorporating longstanding laws of war – would not be included within the Bill’s “triple lock” against prosecutions of UK soldiers.

Overseas Operations (Service Personnel and Veterans) Bill, *continued*

Mr David Davis
 Dan Jarvis
 Mrs Pauline Latham
 Mr Ian Liddell-Grainger
 Crispin Blunt
 Caroline Lucas

Ms Harriet Harman
 Ed Davey
 Layla Moran
 Wendy Chamberlain
 Stephen Farry
 Anne Marie Morris
 Florence Eshalomi
 Derek Twigg

Jamie Stone
 Tim Farron
 Wera Hobhouse
 Munira Wilson
 Rushanara Ali
 Stephen Timms
 Dame Diana Johnson
 Mr Andrew Mitchell

Mr Alistair Carmichael
 Christine Jardine
 Daisy Cooper
 Sarah Olney
 Mrs Emma Lewell-Buck
 Olivia Blake
 Chris Bryant
 Lloyd Russell-Moyle

10

Schedule 1, page 14, line 12, at end insert—

- “(iii) article 8.2(c)(i) (which relates to armed conflicts not of an international character) insofar as it relates to the offences of cruel treatment and torture, or
- (iv) article 8.2(c)(ii) (which relates to armed conflicts not of an international character).”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment is one of a series designed to ensure that the Bill’s “triple lock” provisions to block prosecutions would not apply to torture and related offences under UK law. This suite of amendments would ensure that the existing offences of torture – contained in the 1988 Criminal Justice Act and in other parts of UK law incorporating longstanding laws of war – would not be included within the Bill’s “triple lock” against prosecutions of UK soldiers.

Mr Kevan Jones

30

- ☆ Schedule 2, page 16, line 5, at end insert “save for exceptional cases where the overriding interest of justice should be served.”

Jamie Stone
 Mr Alistair Carmichael
 Ed Davey
 Tim Farron
 Christine Jardine
 Layla Moran

Wera Hobhouse
 Munira Wilson

Daisy Cooper
 Sarah Olney

Wendy Chamberlain
 Stephen Farry

42

- ☆ Schedule 2, page 16, line 5, at end insert—

“(1ZAi) The court may disapply the rule in subsection (1ZA) where it appears to the court that it would be equitable to do so having regard to the reasons for the delay, in particular whether the delay resulted from—

- (a) the nature of the injuries;

Overseas Operations (Service Personnel and Veterans) Bill, continued

(b) logistical difficulties in securing the services required to bring a claim, so long as the claimant was making all reasonable attempts to secure such services, or

(c) any other reasons outside the control of the person bringing the claim.”

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment introduces a discretion for the courts of England and Wales to allow a civil claim for personal injury arising out of overseas operations to proceed in prescribed circumstances so as to account for legitimate and explicable delays commonly experienced by persons bringing such claims.

Jamie Stone
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey
Tim Farron
Christine Jardine
Layla Moran

Wera Hobhouse
Munira Wilson

Daisy Cooper
Sarah Olney

Wendy Chamberlain
Stephen Farry

48

☆ Schedule 2, page 16, line 5, leave out “the section 11 relevant date” and insert “the date of knowledge”

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment is one of a series that changes the relevant date from which the six-year longstop starts to run in England and Wales so as to account for legitimate and explicable delays commonly experienced by persons bringing civil claims for personal injury arising out of overseas operations.

Jamie Stone
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey
Tim Farron
Christine Jardine
Layla Moran

Wera Hobhouse
Munira Wilson

Daisy Cooper
Sarah Olney

Wendy Chamberlain
Stephen Farry

49

☆ Schedule 2, page 16, line 30, leave out “the section 11 relevant date (ignoring, for this purpose, the reference to section 11 (5) in paragraph (a) of the definition of that term)” and insert “the date of knowledge.”

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment is one of a series that changes the relevant date from which the six-year longstop starts to run in England and Wales so as to account for legitimate and explicable delays commonly experienced by persons bringing civil claims for wrongful death arising out of overseas operations.

Overseas Operations (Service Personnel and Veterans) Bill, *continued*

Jamie Stone
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey
Tim Farron
Christine Jardine
Layla Moran

Wera Hobhouse
Munira Wilson

Daisy Cooper
Sarah Olney

Wendy Chamberlain
Stephen Farry

50

- ☆ Schedule 2, page 16, line 35, leave out “the section 12 relevant date” and insert “the date of knowledge”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment is one of a series that changes the relevant date from which the six-year longstop starts to run in England and Wales so as to account for legitimate and explicable delays commonly experienced by persons bringing civil claims for wrongful death arising out of overseas operations.

Jamie Stone
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey
Tim Farron
Christine Jardine
Layla Moran

Wera Hobhouse
Munira Wilson

Daisy Cooper
Sarah Olney

Wendy Chamberlain
Stephen Farry

43

- ☆ Schedule 2, page 16, line 36, at end insert—

“(2Bi) The court may disapply the rules in subsections (2A) and (2B) where it appears to the court that it would be equitable to do so having regard to the reasons for the delay, in particular whether the delay resulted from—

- (a) the nature of the injuries;
- (b) logistical difficulties in securing the services required to bring a claim, so long as the claimant was making all reasonable attempts to secure such services, or
- (c) any other reasons outside the control of the person bringing the claim.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment introduces a discretion for the courts of England and Wales to allow a civil claim for wrongful death arising out of overseas operations to proceed in prescribed circumstances so as to account for legitimate and explicable delays commonly experienced by persons bringing such claims.

Overseas Operations (Service Personnel and Veterans) Bill, *continued*

Jamie Stone
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey
Tim Farron
Christine Jardine
Layla Moran

Wera Hobhouse
Munira Wilson

Daisy Cooper
Sarah Olney

Wendy Chamberlain
Stephen Farry

61

☆ Schedule 2, page 17, line 5, at end insert—

“(c) the court must also have particular regard to the importance of the proceedings in securing the rights of the claimant.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment adds a further consideration to which the courts of England and Wales must have particular regard when determining whether to disapply the standard limitation period of three years so as to ensure that the claimant’s interest in having their civil claim proceed is not illegitimately subordinated.

Jamie Stone
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey
Tim Farron
Christine Jardine
Layla Moran

Wera Hobhouse
Munira Wilson

Daisy Cooper
Sarah Olney

Wendy Chamberlain
Stephen Farry

51

☆ Schedule 2, page 17, leave out from beginning of line 35 to end of line 5 on page 18, and insert—

““the date of knowledge” means the date on which the person bringing the proceedings first knew, or first ought to have known—

- (a) of the act complained of;
- (b) that it was an act of the Ministry of Defence or the Secretary of State for Defence;
- (c) of the manifestation of the injury resulting from that act which is the subject of the claim, and
- (d) that they were eligible to bring a claim against the Ministry of Defence or Secretary of State for Defence in the courts of the United Kingdom.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment is one of a series that changes the relevant date from which the six-year longstop starts to run in England and Wales so as to account for legitimate and explicable delays commonly experienced by persons bringing civil claims for personal injury and wrongful death arising out of overseas operations.

Overseas Operations (Service Personnel and Veterans) Bill, *continued*

Jamie Stone
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey
Tim Farron
Christine Jardine
Layla Moran

Wera Hobhouse
Munira Wilson

Daisy Cooper
Sarah Olney

Wendy Chamberlain
Stephen Farry

62

☆ Schedule 3, page 20, line 32, at end insert—

“(c) the importance of the proceedings in securing the rights of the claimant.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment adds a further consideration to which the courts of Scotland must have particular regard when determining whether to disapply the standard limitation period of three years so as to ensure that the claimant’s interest in having their civil claim proceed is not subordinated.

Jamie Stone
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey
Tim Farron
Christine Jardine
Layla Moran

Wera Hobhouse
Munira Wilson

Daisy Cooper
Sarah Olney

Wendy Chamberlain
Stephen Farry

52

☆ Schedule 3, page 20, line 41, leave out “the section 17 relevant date” and insert “the date of knowledge (see subsection (13))”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment is one of a series that changes the relevant date from which the six-year longstop starts to run in Scotland so as to account for legitimate and explicable delays commonly experienced by persons bringing civil claims for personal injury arising out of overseas operations

Jamie Stone
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey
Tim Farron
Christine Jardine
Layla Moran

Wera Hobhouse
Munira Wilson

Daisy Cooper
Sarah Olney

Wendy Chamberlain
Stephen Farry

53

☆ Schedule 3, page 21, line 4, leave out “the section 18 relevant date” and insert “the date of knowledge (see subsection (13))”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment is one of a series that changes the relevant date from which the six-year longstop starts to run in Scotland so as to account for legitimate and explicable delays commonly experienced by persons bringing civil claims for wrongful death arising out of overseas operations.

Overseas Operations (Service Personnel and Veterans) Bill, *continued*

Jamie Stone
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey
Tim Farron
Christine Jardine
Layla Moran

Wera Hobhouse
Munira Wilson

Daisy Cooper
Sarah Olney

Wendy Chamberlain
Stephen Farry

54

- ☆ Schedule 3, page 21, line 9, leave out “the section 17 relevant date” and insert “the date of knowledge (see subsection (13))”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment is one of a series that changes the relevant date from which the six-year longstop starts to run in Scotland so as to account for legitimate and explicable delays commonly experienced by persons bringing civil claims for personal injury arising out of overseas operations.

Jamie Stone
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey
Tim Farron
Christine Jardine
Layla Moran

Wera Hobhouse
Munira Wilson

Daisy Cooper
Sarah Olney

Wendy Chamberlain
Stephen Farry

44

- ☆ Schedule 3, page 21, line 9, at end insert—

“(7A) The court may disapply the rules in subsections (5) to (7) where it appears to the court that it would be equitable to do so having regard to the reasons for the delay, in particular whether the delay resulted from—

- (a) the nature of the injuries;
- (b) logistical difficulties in securing the services required to bring a claim, so long as the claimant was making all reasonable attempts to secure such services, or
- (c) any other reasons outside the control of the person bringing the claim.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment introduces a discretion for the courts of Scotland to allow a civil claim for personal injury or wrongful death arising out of overseas operations to proceed in prescribed circumstances so as to account for legitimate and explicable delays commonly experienced by persons bringing such claims.

Jamie Stone
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey
Tim Farron
Christine Jardine
Layla Moran

Wera Hobhouse
Munira Wilson

Daisy Cooper
Sarah Olney

Wendy Chamberlain
Stephen Farry

55

- ☆ Schedule 3, page 22, leave out lines 12 to 17 and insert—

““the date of knowledge” means the date on which the person bringing the proceedings first knew, or first ought to have known—

Overseas Operations (Service Personnel and Veterans) Bill, *continued*

- (a) of the act complained of;
- (b) that it was an act of the Ministry of Defence or the Secretary of State for Defence;
- (c) of the manifestation of the injury resulting from that act which is the subject of the claim, and
- (d) that they were eligible to bring a claim against the Ministry of Defence or Secretary of State for Defence in the courts of the United Kingdom.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment is one of a series that changes the relevant date from which the six-year longstop starts to run in Scotland so as to account for legitimate and explicable delays commonly experienced by persons bringing civil claims for personal injury and wrongful death arising out of overseas operations.

Jamie Stone
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey
Tim Farron
Christine Jardine
Layla Moran

Wera Hobhouse
Munira Wilson

Daisy Cooper
Sarah Olney

Wendy Chamberlain
Stephen Farry

56

- ☆ Schedule 4, page 24, line 5, leave out “the Article 7 relevant date” and insert “the date of knowledge”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment is one of a series that changes the relevant date from which the six-year longstop starts to run in Northern Ireland so as to account for legitimate and explicable delays commonly experienced by persons bringing civil claims for personal injury arising out of overseas operations.

Jamie Stone
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey
Tim Farron
Christine Jardine
Layla Moran

Wera Hobhouse
Munira Wilson

Daisy Cooper
Sarah Olney

Wendy Chamberlain
Stephen Farry

45

- ☆ Schedule 4, page 24, line 5, at end insert—

“(1Ai) The court may disapply the rule in paragraph (1A) where it appears to the court that it would be equitable to do so having regard to the reasons for the delay, in particular whether the delay resulted from—

- (a) the nature of the injuries;

Overseas Operations (Service Personnel and Veterans) Bill, continued

(b) logistical difficulties in securing the services required to bring a claim, so long as the claimant was making all reasonable attempts to secure such services, or

(c) any other reasons outside the control of the person bringing the claim.”

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment introduces a discretion for the courts of Northern Ireland to allow a civil claim for personal injury or wrongful death arising out of overseas operations to proceed in prescribed circumstances so as to account for legitimate and explicable delays commonly experienced by persons bringing such claims.

Jamie Stone
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey
Tim Farron
Christine Jardine
Layla Moran

Wera Hobhouse
Munira Wilson

Daisy Cooper
Sarah Olney

Wendy Chamberlain
Stephen Farry

57

☆ Schedule 4, page 24, line 29, leave out “the Article 7 relevant date (ignoring, for this purpose, the reference to Article 7(5) in paragraph (a) of the definition of that term)” and insert “the date of knowledge”

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment is one of a series that changes the relevant date from which the six-year longstop starts to run in Northern Ireland so as to account for legitimate and explicable delays commonly experienced by persons bringing civil claims for personal injury out of overseas operations.

Jamie Stone
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey
Tim Farron
Christine Jardine
Layla Moran

Wera Hobhouse
Munira Wilson

Daisy Cooper
Sarah Olney

Wendy Chamberlain
Stephen Farry

58

☆ Schedule 4, page 24, line 34, leave out “the Article 9 relevant date” and insert “the date of knowledge”

Member's explanatory statement

This amendment is one of a series that changes the relevant date from which the six-year longstop starts to run in Northern Ireland so as to account for legitimate and explicable delays commonly experienced by persons bringing civil claims for wrongful death arising out of overseas operations.

Overseas Operations (Service Personnel and Veterans) Bill, *continued*

Jamie Stone
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey
Tim Farron
Christine Jardine
Layla Moran

Wera Hobhouse
Munira Wilson

Daisy Cooper
Sarah Olney

Wendy Chamberlain
Stephen Farry

63

☆ Schedule 4, page 25, line 5, at end insert—

“(c) the court must also have particular regard to the importance of the proceedings in securing the rights of the claimant.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment adds a further consideration to which the courts of Northern Ireland must have particular regard when determining whether to disapply the standard limitation period of three years so as to ensure that the claimant’s interest in having their civil claim proceed is not subordinated.

Jamie Stone
Mr Alistair Carmichael
Ed Davey
Tim Farron
Christine Jardine
Layla Moran

Wera Hobhouse
Munira Wilson

Daisy Cooper
Sarah Olney

Wendy Chamberlain
Stephen Farry

59

☆ Schedule 4, page 25, leave out lines 25 to 43 and insert—

““the date of knowledge” means the date on which the person bringing the proceedings first knew, or first ought to have known—

- (a) of the act complained of;
- (b) that it was an act of the Ministry of Defence or the Secretary of State for Defence;
- (c) of the manifestation of the injury resulting from that act which is the subject of the claim, and
- (d) that they were eligible to bring a claim against the Ministry of Defence or Secretary of State for Defence in the courts of the United Kingdom.”

Member’s explanatory statement

This amendment is one of a series that changes the relevant date from which the six-year longstop starts to run in Northern Ireland so as to account for legitimate and explicable delays commonly experienced by persons bringing civil claims for personal injury and wrongful death arising out of overseas operations.

ORDER OF THE HOUSE [23 SEPTEMBER 2020]

That the following provisions shall apply to the Overseas Operations (Service Personnel and Veterans) Bill:

Committal

1. The Bill shall be committed to a Public Bill Committee.

Overseas Operations (Service Personnel and Veterans) Bill, *continued**Proceedings in Public Bill Committee*

2. Proceedings in the Public Bill Committee shall (so far as not previously concluded) be brought to a conclusion on Thursday 22 October 2020.
3. The Public Bill Committee shall have leave to sit twice on the first day on which it meets.

Proceedings on Consideration and up to and including Third Reading

4. Proceedings on Consideration and any proceedings in legislative grand committee shall (so far as not previously concluded) be brought to a conclusion one hour before the moment of interruption on the day on which proceedings on Consideration are commenced.
5. Proceedings on Third Reading shall (so far as not previously concluded) be brought to a conclusion at the moment of interruption on that day.
6. Standing Order No. 83B (Programming committees) shall not apply to proceedings on Consideration and up to and including Third Reading.

Other proceedings

7. Any other proceedings on the Bill may be programmed.
-

NOTICES WITHDRAWN

The following Notices were withdrawn on 29 October 2020:

Amendment 12
