



SUPPLEMENT TO THE VOTES AND PROCEEDINGS

Wednesday 27 January 2021

**PROCEEDINGS
ON CONSIDERATION OF LORDS AMENDMENTS**

**COVERT HUMAN INTELLIGENCE SOURCES
(CRIMINAL CONDUCT) BILL**

*On Consideration of Lords Amendments to the Covert Human Intelligence Sources
(Criminal Conduct) Bill*

GLOSSARY

This document shows the fate of each clause, schedule, amendment and new clause.

The following terms are used:

Added: New Clause agreed without a vote and added to the Bill.

Agreed to: agreed without a vote.

Agreed to on division: agreed following a vote.

Negatived: rejected without a vote.

Negatived on division: rejected following a vote.

Not called: debated in a group of amendments, but not put to a decision.

Not moved: not debated or put to a decision.

Question proposed: debate underway but not concluded.

Withdrawn after debate: moved and debated but then withdrawn, so not put to a decision.

Not selected: not chosen for debate by the Speaker.

2 Proceedings on Consideration of Lords Amendments: 27 January 2021

Covert Human Intelligence Sources (Criminal Conduct) Bill, *continued*

Lords Amendment No. 1

The Solicitor General

Agreed to on division

To move, That this House disagrees with the Lords in their Amendment.

Lords Amendment accordingly disagreed to.

Lords Amendment No. 2

The Solicitor General

Agreed to on division

To move, That this House disagrees with the Lords in their Amendment.

Lords Amendment accordingly disagreed to.

Lords Amendment No. 3

The Solicitor General

Agreed to on division

To move, That this House disagrees with the Lords in their Amendment.

Lords Amendment accordingly disagreed to.

Lords Amendment No. 4

The Solicitor General

Agreed to on division

To move, That this House disagrees with the Lords in their Amendment.

Lords Amendment accordingly disagreed to.

Covert Human Intelligence Sources (Criminal Conduct) Bill, *continued*

Lords Amendment No. 5

As Amendments to the Lords Amendment:—

Keir Starmer
Nick Thomas-Symonds
Conor McGinn
Mr Nicholas Brown

Not selected (a)

Line 4, at end insert—

“32BA Prior judicial authorisation

- (1) The granting of criminal conduct authorisations under section 29B(1) may not take place until a warrant has been issued by a Judicial Commissioner.
- (2) An application to a Judicial Commissioner under subsection (1) shall be made in writing and be accompanied by an affidavit of the person granting the criminal conduct authorisation which sets out—
 - (a) the facts relied on to justify the belief, on reasonable grounds, that a warrant under this section is required;
 - (b) the persons or classes of persons to whom the warrant is proposed to be directed;
 - (c) a general description of the place where the warrant is proposed to be executed, if a general description of that place can be given;
 - (d) the period, not exceeding sixty days or one year, as the case may be, for which the warrant is requested to be in force; and
 - (e) any previous application made under subsection (1) in relation to a person who is identified in the application for the warrant, the date on which each such application was made, the name of the Judicial Commissioner to whom it was made and the Judicial Commissioner’s decision on it.”

The Solicitor General

Agreed to on division (b)

- ★ Leave out lines 27 to 35

Lords Amendment, as amended, agreed to.

Lords Amendments 6 to 14 agreed to.
