

---

Committee Stage: Thursday 30 January 2025

---

## Children's Wellbeing and Schools Bill (Committee Stage Decisions)

This document sets out the fate of each clause, schedule, amendment and new clause considered at committee stage.

A glossary with key terms can be found at the end of this document.

First to Eighth Sittings

---

### *First and Second Sittings*

---

Catherine McKinnell

Agreed to

That—

1. the Committee shall (in addition to its first meeting at 9.25 am on Tuesday 21 January) meet—
  - (a) at 2.00 pm on Tuesday 21 January;
  - (b) at 11.30 am and 2.00 pm on Thursday 23 January;
  - (c) at 9.25 am and 2.00 pm on Tuesday 28 January;
  - (d) at 11.30 am and 2.00 pm on Thursday 30 January;
  - (e) at 9.25 am and 2.00 pm on Tuesday 4 February;
  - (f) at 11.30 am and 2.00 pm on Thursday 6 February;
  - (g) at 9.25 am and 2.00 pm on Tuesday 11 February;
2. the Committee shall hear oral evidence in accordance with the following Table:

Date	Time	Witness
Tuesday 21 January	Until no later than 10.00 am	Coram; Centre for Young Lives

Date	Time	Witness
Tuesday 21 January	Until no later than 10.30am	Association of Directors of Children's Services; Local Government Association
Tuesday 21 January	Until no later than 11.00am	Association of School and College Leaders; National Association of Head Teachers
Tuesday 21 January	Until no later than 11.25am	Cafcass
Tuesday 21 January	Until no later than 2.20pm	The Children's Commissioner for England
Tuesday 21 January	Until no later than 2.40pm	Ofsted
Tuesday 21 January	Until no later than 3.15pm	The Children's Society; Children's Charities Coalition; Become
Tuesday 21 January	Until no later than 3.45pm	Church of England; Catholic Education Service
Tuesday 21 January	Until no later than 4.20pm	United Learning; Harris Federation; Dixons Academies Trust
Tuesday 21 January	Until no later than 4.55pm	Suffolk Primary Headteachers' Association; Northern Education Trust; Confederation of School Trusts
Tuesday 21 January	Until no later than 5.10pm	Axiom Maths
Tuesday 21 January	Until no later than 5.25pm	Child Poverty Action Group
Tuesday 21 January	Until no later than 5.45pm	Department for Education

3. proceedings on consideration of the Bill in Committee shall be taken in the following order: Clauses 1 to 29; Schedule 1; Clauses 30 to 54; Schedule 2; Clauses 55 to 60; new Clauses; new Schedules; remaining proceedings on the Bill;
4. the proceedings shall (so far as not previously concluded) be brought to a conclusion at 5.00 pm on Tuesday 11 February.

---

Catherine McKinnell

Agreed to

That, subject to the discretion of the Chair, any written evidence received by the Committee shall be reported to the House for publication.

---

**Catherine McKinnell**

**Agreed to**

That, at this and any subsequent meeting at which oral evidence is to be heard, the Committee shall sit in private until the witnesses are admitted.

**The following Witnesses gave oral evidence:**

**Dr Carol Homden**, Chief Executive Officer for Coram

**Anne Longfield**, Executive Chair for the Centre for Young Lives

**Andy Smith**, President of the Association of Directors of Children's Services

**Ruth Stanier**, Assistant Director of Policy of the Local Government Association

**Julie McCulloch**, Senior Director of Strategy, Policy & Professional Development Services at the Association of School and College Leaders

**Paul Whiteman**, General Secretary of the National Association of Head Teachers

**Jacky Tiotto**, Chief Executive at CAFCASS

**Dame Rachel de Souza**, the Children's Commissioner

**Sir Martyn Oliver**, HM Chief Inspector of Education, Children's Services and Skills in England at Ofsted

**Lee Owston**, National Director for Education at Ofsted

**Yvette Stanley**, National Director for Regulation and Social Care at Ofsted

**Mark Russell**, Chief Executive of The Children's Society

**Lynn Perry**, CEO of Barnardo's, representing the Children's Charities Coalition

**Katharine Sacks-Jones**, CEO of Become

**Nigel Genders**, Chief Education Officer of the Church of England

**Paul Barber**, Director of the Catholic Education Service

**Jon Coles**, CEO of United Learning

**Sir Dan Moynihan**, CEO of the Harris Federation

**Luke Sparkes**, CEO of the Dixons Academy Trust

**Rebecca Leek**, Executive Director of the Suffolk Primary Headteachers Association

**Leora Cruddas**, Chief Executive, Confederation of School Trusts (CST)

**Jane Wilson**, Deputy CEO for the Northern Education Trust

**David Thomas**, CEO of Axiom Maths

**Kate Anstey**, Head of Education Policy at the Child Poverty Action Group

**Catherine McKinnell MP**, Minister for School Standards

**Stephen Morgan MP**, Minister for Early Education at the Department for Education

*Third and Fourth Sitings*

---

**Munira Wilson**

**Negated on division 36**

Ian Sollom

Clause 1, page 2, line 11, leave out “may (in particular)” and insert “should, where appropriate”

---

**Munira Wilson**

**Not called 37**

Ian Sollom

Clause 1, page 2, line 21, leave out lines 21 to 23 and insert—

“(8) The child in relation to whom the family group decision-making meeting is held should be included in the meeting, unless the local authority deems it inappropriate.”

---

**Neil O'Brien**

**Negated on division 18**

Patrick Spencer

Clause 1, page 2, line 26, at end insert—

“(10) Nothing in this section permits an extension to the 26-week limit for care proceedings in section 14(2)(ii) of the Children and Families Act 2014.”

**Member's explanatory statement**

This amendment clarifies that nothing in this section should imply an extension to the statutory 26-week limit for care proceedings.

---

Ellie Chowns

Not called 49

Clause 1, page 2, line 26, at end insert—

**“31ZB Family group decision-making at the point of reunification**

- (1) This section applies where a care order is to be discharged for the purposes of family reunification.
- (2) Usually prior to a child returning home, and no later than one month after the discharge of a care order, the local authority must offer a family-group decision-making meeting to the child’s parents or any other person with parental responsibility for the child.
- (3) If the offer is accepted by at least one person to whom it is made, the local authority must arrange for the meeting to be held.
- (4) The family-group decision-making meeting should have the purpose of empowering the child’s family network to promote the long-term safety and wellbeing of the child.
- (5) The duty under this section does not apply where the local authority considers that it would not be in the best interests of the child for the family group decision-making meeting to be offered or (as the case may be) to be held.
- (6) A “family network”, in relation to a child, consists of such persons with an interest in the child’s welfare as the authority considers appropriate to attend the meeting having regard to the child’s best interests, and such persons may (in particular) include—
  - (a) the child’s parents or any other person with parental responsibility for the child;
  - (b) relatives, friends or other persons connected with the child.
- (7) Where the local authority considers it appropriate, the child in relation to whom the family group decision-making meeting is held may attend the meeting.
- (8) In exercising functions under this section in relation to a child, the local authority must seek the views of the child unless it considers that it would not be appropriate to do so.”

**Member's explanatory statement**

This amendment would impose a duty on local authorities to offer family-group decision-making at the point of reunification for children in care, analogous to that proposed before care proceedings are initiated.

---

**Clause agreed to.**

---

**Clause 2 agreed to.**

---

Catherine McKinnell

Agreed to Gov 1

Clause 3, page 3, line 33, leave out “the director of children’s services for”

**Member's explanatory statement**

This amendment and Amendment 2 make minor changes relating to local authority nominations to a multi-agency child protection team.

---

Catherine McKinnell

Agreed to Gov 2

Clause 3, page 3, line 36, leave out “the director of children’s services for”

**Member's explanatory statement**

See the explanatory statement for Amendment 1.

---

Neil O'Brien

Negatived on division 19

Patrick Spencer

Clause 3, page 5, line 3, at end insert—

**“16EC Report on work and impact of multi-agency child protection teams**

- (1) The Secretary of State must report annually on the work and impact of multi-agency child protection teams.
- (2) A report under this section shall include analysis of —
  - (a) the membership of multi-agency child protection teams;
  - (b) the specific child protection activities undertaken by such teams;
  - (c) best practice in multi-agency work; and
  - (d) the impact of multi-agency child protection teams on —
    - (i) information sharing;
    - (ii) risk identification; and
    - (iii) joining up services between children’s social care, police, health services, education and other agencies, including the voluntary sector.”

**Member's explanatory statement**

This amendment would require the Secretary of State to report on the effectiveness of multi-agency child protection teams.

---

Catherine McKinnell

Agreed to Gov 3

Clause 3, page 5, line 36, leave out “the director of children’s services for”

**Member's explanatory statement**

This amendment is consequential on Amendment 1.

---

**Catherine McKinnell**

**Agreed to Gov 4**

Clause 3, page 5, line 40, leave out "the director of children's services for"

**Member's explanatory statement**

This amendment is consequential on Amendment 2.

---

**Catherine McKinnell**

**Agreed to Gov 5**

Clause 3, page 6, line 7, leave out "whose director of children's services" and insert "which"

**Member's explanatory statement**

This amendment is consequential on Amendments 1 and 2.

---

**Clause, as amended, agreed to.**

---

**Neil O'Brien**

**Withdrawn after debate 20**

Patrick Spencer

Clause 4, page 6, line 33, at end insert—

"(4A) Where the relevant person considers that the disclosure would be more detrimental to the child than not disclosing the information, this decision must be recorded."

**Member's explanatory statement**

This amendment requires decisions made not to disclose information to be recorded.

---

**Neil O'Brien**

**Not called 21**

Patrick Spencer

Clause 4, page 7, line 5, at end insert —

"(6A) Where information is disclosed under this section, the recipient must consider the welfare of others to whom the information may relate or involve and take steps to promote their welfare."

---

**Munira Wilson**

**Withdrawn after debate 44**

Ian Sollom

Clause 4, page 7, line 37, after “welfare” insert “or wellbeing”

---

**Munira Wilson**

**Not called 43**

Ian Sollom

Clause 4, page 8, line 20, at end insert—

“(11A) The Secretary of State may, by regulations under subsection (10), require every designated person to use a consistent identifier in relation to all children.”

---

**Munira Wilson**

**Not called 45**

Ian Sollom

Clause 4, page 8, line 23, leave out lines 23 to 26

---

**Clause agreed to.**

---

**Munira Wilson**

**Withdrawn after debate 38**

Ian Sollom

Clause 5, page 9, line 20, at end insert—

- “(e) financial support;
- (f) legal support;
- (g) family group decision making.”

---

**Neil O'Brien**

**Not called 22**

Patrick Spencer

Clause 5, page 9, line 37, at end insert—

- “(8) In fulfilling its duties under subsection (7) a local authority must annually consult and collect feedback from children in kinship care and their carers about its kinship local offer.
- (9) Feedback received under subsection (8) must be published annually.”



**Member's explanatory statement**

This amendment would require local authorities to consult children and carers when assessing their kinship care offer.

---

**Munira Wilson**

**Not called 39**

Ian Sollom

Clause 5, page 9, line 38, at end insert—

- “(8) A local authority must from time to time publish—
- (a) comments about its kinship local offer received from or on behalf of children, kinship carers and others with lived experience of aspects of kinship care;
  - (b) the authority’s response to those comments, including details of any action the authority intends to take.
- (9) Comments published under subsection (8)(a) must be published in a form that does not enable the person who made them to be identified.
- (10) The Secretary of State may, by regulations, make further provision about—
- (a) the information to be included in an authority’s kinship local offer;
  - (b) how an authority’s kinship local offer is to be published;
  - (c) the parties who are to be involved and consulted by an authority in developing, preparing and reviewing its kinship local offer;
  - (d) how an authority is to involve children, kinship carers and others with lived experience of aspects of kinship care in the development, preparation and review of its local kinship offer; and
  - (e) the publication of comments on the kinship local offer, and the local authority’s response, under subsection (8)(b), including circumstances in which comments are not required to be published.”

---

**Clause agreed to.**

---

**Clause 6 agreed to.**

---

**Ellie Chowns**

**Withdrawn after debate 12**

Clause 7, page 11, line 38, after “support” insert “and staying put support”

**Member's explanatory statement**

This amendment would include staying put support in the support provided by local authorities under this section and extend the provision of Staying Put for young people to the age of 25.

---

**Ellie Chowns**

**Not called 13**

Clause 7, page 12, line 7, after “support” insert “or staying put support”

**Member's explanatory statement**

See Amendment 12.

---

**Ellie Chowns**

**Not called 14**

Clause 7, page 12, line 10, after “support” insert “or staying put support”

**Member's explanatory statement**

See Amendment 12.

---

**Ellie Chowns**

**Not called 15**

Clause 7, page 12, line 11, after “support” insert “or staying put support”

**Member's explanatory statement**

See Amendment 12.

*Fifth and Sixth Sitings*

---

**Neil O'Brien**

**Negated on division 23**

Patrick Spencer

Clause 7, page 12, line 13, at end insert —

“(3A) Where staying close support is provided, it must be provided with due regard to the wishes of the relevant person and a record must be kept of that person’s wishes.”

**Member's explanatory statement**

This amendment would require local authorities to take account of the wishes of the relevant young person when providing staying close support, and keep a record of those wishes.

---

**Ellie Chowns**

**Not called 16**

Clause 7, page 12, line 14, after first “support” insert “and staying put support”

**Member's explanatory statement**

See Amendment 12.

---

**Munira Wilson**

**Not called 40**

Ian Sollom  
Liz Jarvis

Clause 7, page 12, line 22, at end insert —

- “(vi) financial support;
- (vii) financial literacy”

---

**Ellie Chowns**

**Not called 17**

Clause 7, page 12, line 22, at end insert—

- “(5) “Staying put” has the meaning given by section 23CZA(2) of the Children’s Act 1989.”

**Member's explanatory statement**

See Amendment 12.

---

**Munira Wilson**

**Not called 41**

Ian Sollom  
Liz Jarvis

Clause 7, page 12, line 28, at end insert—

- “(c) the provision of supported lodgings, where the young person and local authority deem appropriate.”

---

**Clause agreed to.**

---

**Clauses 8 and 9 agreed to.**

---

**Neil O'Brien**

**Negatived on division 24**

Patrick Spencer

Clause 10, page 16, line 39, at end insert —

- “(8A) After subsection (9) insert —
  - “(10) Where a child is kept in secure accommodation under this section, the relevant local authority has a duty to provide therapeutic treatment for the child.””

**Member's explanatory statement**

This amendment would place a duty on local authorities to provide treatment for children in secure accommodation.

---

**Clause agreed to.**

---

**Clauses 11 to 13 agreed to.**

---

**Munira Wilson**

**Negatived on division 42**

Ian Sollom  
Liz Jarvis

Clause 14, page 28, line 37, at end insert—

“(c) independent schools with caring responsibilities and offering SEND provision.”

**Member's explanatory statement**

This amendment would include independent special schools within the profit cap provision.

---

**Neil O'Brien**

**Negatived on division 25**

Patrick Spencer

Clause 14, page 29, line 25, at end insert—

- “(10) Before making regulations under this section the Secretary of State must lay before Parliament a report containing —
- (a) details of the number of available placements in relevant establishments or agencies;
  - (b) an analysis of the expected impact of this section on the number of available placements in relevant establishments or agencies.”

---

**Clause agreed to.**

---

**Clauses 15 to 20 agreed to.**

*Seventh and Eighth Sittings*

---

**Catherine McKinnell**

**Agreed to Gov 6**

Clause 21, page 42, line 23, leave out “has the meaning given by section 437(8)” and insert “means—

- (a) a community, foundation or voluntary school, or
- (b) a community or foundation special school”

**Member's explanatory statement**

This amendment amends the definition of “maintained school” in section 551B (inserted into the Education Act 1996 by clause 21) so that it does not exclude community or foundation special schools established in a hospital. Such schools are already excluded by the definition of “relevant school” in that inserted section.

---

**Neil O'Brien**

**Not called 26**

Patrick Spencer

Clause 21, page 43, line 31, at end insert —

- “(4) This section may only come into force after the Secretary of State has laid before Parliament a report containing the following information—
- (a) what form breakfast club provision by schools currently takes;
  - (b) how much breakfast club provision costs schools, and how much is charged by schools for such provision;
  - (c) how much funding is estimated to be required to enable schools to meet the requirements of this section;
  - (d) what additional staff will be required to deliver the breakfast clubs; and
  - (e) the grounds on which the Secretary of State would use the power under section 551C.”

---

**Neil O'Brien**

**Not called 27**

Patrick Spencer

Clause 21, page 43, line 31, at end insert—

- “(4) This section may only come into force after the Secretary of State has provided details of how schools are to be resourced to meet the requirements of this section.”

---

**Neil O'Brien**

**Not called 28**

Patrick Spencer

Clause 21, page 43, line 31, at end insert—

**“551E Duty to fund secondary school breakfast clubs**

- (1) The Secretary of State must, within three months of the passing of the Children’s Wellbeing and Schools Act, create a national school breakfast club programme.
- (2) A programme created under subsection (1) must—
  - (a) provide a 75% subsidy for the food and delivery costs of breakfast club provision; and
  - (b) offer pupils in participating schools free food and drink.
- (3) To be eligible to participate in the programme—
  - (a) a school must be a state funded secondary school, special school or provider of alternative provision; and
  - (b) at least 40% of the pupils on the school’s pupil roll must be in bands A-F of the Income Deprivation Affecting Children Index.”

**Member's explanatory statement**

This amendment would require the Secretary of State to continue with the existing funding programme for secondary school breakfast clubs in areas of deprivation.

---

**Clause, as amended, agreed to.**

---

**Clause 22 agreed to.**

---

**Munira Wilson**

Ian Sollom  
Jess Brown-Fuller

**Negatived on division 87**

Clause 23, page 44, leave out lines 22 to 29 and insert—

- “(1) The appropriate authority of a relevant school may not require a pupil at the school to have to buy branded items of school uniform for use during a school year which cost more in total to purchase than a specified monetary amount, to be reviewed annually.
- (1A) The Secretary of State may by regulations specify the monetary amount that may apply to—
  - (a) a primary pupil; and
  - (b) a secondary pupil.”

---

**Catherine McKinnell**

**Agreed to Gov 7**

Clause 23, page 44, line 22, after “school” insert “in England”

**Member's explanatory statement**

This amendment is consequential on Amendment 8, and is needed to ensure that clause 23 applies only in relation to relevant schools in England.

---

**Neil O'Brien**

**Not called 29**

Patrick Spencer

Clause 23, page 44, line 23, leave out "have" and insert "buy"

**Member's explanatory statement**

This amendment would enable schools to require pupils to wear more than three branded items of school uniform as long as parents have not had to pay for them.

---

**Mike Amesbury**

**Not called 59**

Clause 23, page 44, line 24, leave out "three" and insert "two"

---

**Neil O'Brien**

**Not called 30**

Patrick Spencer

Clause 23, page 44, line 26, leave out "have" and insert "buy"

**Member's explanatory statement**

This amendment would enable schools to require pupils to wear more than three branded items of school uniform as long as parents have not had to pay for them.

---

**Mike Amesbury**

**Not called 60**

Clause 23, page 44, line 27, leave out "three" and insert "two"

---

**Mike Amesbury**

**Not called 61**

Clause 23, page 44, line 28, leave out from "year" to end of paragraph

---

**Neil O'Brien**

**Not called 31**

Patrick Spencer

Clause 23, page 44, line 29, at end insert—

“(1A) The appropriate authority of a school may require a pupil to buy or replace branded items which have been lost or damaged, or which the pupil has grown out of.”

**Member's explanatory statement**

This amendment would enable schools to require pupils to replace lost or damaged branded items.

---

Neil O'Brien

Not called 32

Patrick Spencer

Clause 23, page 44, line 40, at end insert “except PE kit or other clothing or items required as part of the school’s provision of physical education lessons”

---

Neil O'Brien

Negated on division 91

Patrick Spencer

Clause 23, page 44, line 40, at end insert “except items of kit required when representing the school in sporting activities”

---

Catherine McKinnell

Agreed to Gov 8

Clause 23, page 45, leave out lines 13 to 18 and insert—

““relevant school” means—

- (a) an Academy school,
- (b) an alternative provision Academy,
- (c) a maintained school,
- (d) a non-maintained special school, or
- (e) a pupil referral unit,

other than where established in a hospital;”

**Member's explanatory statement**

This amendment ensures that the definition of “relevant school” in section 551ZA (inserted into the Education Act 1996 by clause 23) is consistent with the definition in section 551B of the Education Act 1996 (inserted by clause 21), and accordingly excludes any school established in a hospital.

---

Catherine McKinnell

Agreed to Gov 9

Clause 23, page 45, line 25, leave out “has the meaning given by section 437(8)” and insert “means—

- (a) a community, foundation or voluntary school, or
- (b) a community or foundation special school”



**Member's explanatory statement**

This amendment amends the definition of "maintained school" in section 551ZA (inserted into the Education Act 1996 by clause 23) so that it does not exclude community or foundation special schools established in a hospital, which are now excluded as a result of Amendment 8.

---

**Catherine McKinnell**

**Agreed to Gov 10**

Clause 23, page 45, line 27, at end insert—

"(4) In section 551A (guidance about the costs of school uniforms: England), for subsections (5) and (6) substitute—

"(5) In this section "the appropriate authority" and "relevant school" have the same meanings as in section 551ZA.""

**Member's explanatory statement**

This amendment aligns the definitions in section 551A of the Education Act 1996 with those in the sections inserted by clauses 21 and 23 (as amended by Amendments 6, 7, 8 and 9).

---

**Clause, as amended, agreed to.**

---

**Neil O'Brien**

**Withdrawn after debate 33**

Patrick Spencer

Clause 24, page 46, line 3, leave out from beginning of line to "a" in line 10

---

**Munira Wilson**

**Negated on division 46**

Ian Sollom  
Liz Jarvis  
Jess Brown-Fuller

Clause 24, page 46, line 4, leave out subsection (3)

---

**Neil O'Brien**

**Not called 35**

Patrick Spencer

Clause 24, page 46, line 18, at end insert "or,

"(c) providing services to the child or their family under section 17 of the Children Act 1989."

---

**Neil O'Brien**

**Withdrawn after debate 34**

Patrick Spencer

Clause 24, page 47, line 6, at end insert—

“(8A) Where a local authority refuses consent in respect of a child who meets the criteria for Condition A, the local authority must provide the parents or carers of the relevant child with a statement of reasons for the decision.

(8B) A statement of reasons provided under subsection (8A) must include an assessment of the costs and benefits to the child.”

**Member's explanatory statement**

This amendment would require a local authority to submit a statement of reasons when they do not agree for a child who meets Condition A to be home educated.

---

**Clause agreed to.**

---

**Neil O'Brien**

**Withdrawn after debate 62**

Patrick Spencer

Clause 25, page 49, leave out lines 20 to 21

**Member's explanatory statement**

This amendment would remove a requirement for the register of children not in school to include details of how much time a child spends being educated by parents.

---

**Neil O'Brien**

**Not called 63**

Patrick Spencer

Clause 25, page 49, line 23, after “parent” insert “in respect of each individual or organisation which provides such education for more than six hours a week”

**Member's explanatory statement**

This amendment would ensure that information relating to short activities such as those operated by museums, libraries, companies and charities, as well as individual private tutoring activities, would only need to be recorded on the register of children not in school if they are provided for more than six hours a week.

---

**Neil O'Brien**

**Not called 64**

Patrick Spencer

Clause 25, page 49, line 36, at end insert—

“(1A) The requirements of subsection (1)(e) do not apply to provision provided on weekends or during school holidays.”

---

**Munira Wilson**

**Not called 86**

Ian Sollom  
Jess Brown-Fuller

Clause 25, page 49, line 36, at end insert—

“(1A) The requirement to provide information under subsection (1)(b) does not apply where a safeguarding concern in respect of either parent has been identified.”

---

**Neil O'Brien**

**Not called 65**

Patrick Spencer

Clause 25, page 50, line 41, at end insert—

“(2A) The Secretary of State may only require further information about children to be included on the register by introducing regulations subject to the affirmative procedure.”

**Member's explanatory statement**

This amendment would require the Secretary of State to introduce regulations, subject to agreement in Parliament, when seeking to require additional information to be included in the register of children not in school.

---

**Neil O'Brien**

**Not called 67**

Patrick Spencer

Clause 25, page 52, line 33, after “436B)” insert “but does not include any person or provider that is providing out-of-school education to home-educated children on weekends or during school holidays.”

**Member's explanatory statement**

This amendment would mean that providers of out-of-school education would not be required to provide information to local authorities in respect of education they provide on weekends or during school holidays to home-schooled children.

---

**Neil O'Brien**

**Not called 66**

Patrick Spencer

Clause 25, page 52, line 40, after “way” insert “,

but may not refer to an amount of time that is less than or equal to six hours a week.”

**Member's explanatory statement**

This amendment would mean that providers of out-of-school education would not be required to provide information to local authorities where they provide education for fewer than six hours a week.

---

**Neil O'Brien**

**Not called 68**

Patrick Spencer

Clause 25, page 54, line 43, at end insert—

“(9) The Secretary of State shall publish annually the GCSE results of children listed on the register.

(10) The Secretary of State shall ensure that the GCSE results of children on the register are included for each set of outcome data published by the Government.”

**Member's explanatory statement**

This amendment would require the Secretary of State to record outcome data for children on the register as a subsection of each set of performance data published by the Department for Education.

---

**Clause agreed to.**

---

**Neil O'Brien**

**Withdrawn after debate 69**

Patrick Spencer

Clause 26, page 63, line 18, at end insert—

“(7) A school may submit an appeal against a school nomination notice to the School Admissions Adjudicator for the reasons given in this part and for any other reason.

(8) During the appeal period, the school will be responsible for the education of the child.”

**Member's explanatory statement**

This amendment allows schools to appeal nomination notices.

---

**Clause agreed to.**

\_\_\_\_\_

**Clauses 27 to 29 agreed to.**

\_\_\_\_\_

**Schedule 1 agreed to.**

Adjourned until Tuesday 4 February at 9.25am

---

### **Glossary**

**Added:** New Clause agreed without a vote and added to the Bill.

**Agreed to:** agreed without a vote.

**Agreed to on division:** agreed following a vote.

**Negated:** rejected without a vote.

**Negated on division:** rejected following a vote.

**Not called:** debated in a group of amendments, but not put to a decision.

**Not moved:** not debated or put to a decision.

**Not selected:** not chosen for debate by the Chair.

**Question proposed:** debate underway but not concluded.

**Withdrawn after debate:** moved and debated but then withdrawn, so not put to a decision.