OVERSEAS OPERATIONS (SERVICE PERSONNEL AND VETERANS) BILL

EXPLANATORY NOTES

What these notes do

These Explanatory Notes relate to the Overseas Operations (Service Personnel and Veterans) Bill as brought from the House of Commons on 4 November 2020 (HL Bill 147).

- These Explanatory Notes have been prepared by the Ministry of Defence (MOD) in order to assist the reader of the Bill and to help inform debate on it. They do not form part of the Bill and have not been endorsed by Parliament.

- These Explanatory Notes explain what each part of the Bill will mean in practice, provide background information on the development of policy, and provide additional information on how the Bill will affect existing legislation.

- These Explanatory Notes might best be read alongside the Bill. They are not, and are not intended to be, a comprehensive description of the Bill.
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1. Parliamentary approval for financial costs or for charges imposed
2. Compatibility with the European Convention on Human Rights
3. Related documents
4. Annex A – Territorial extent and application in the United Kingdom
Overview of the Bill

1. The Overseas Operations (Service Personnel and Veterans) Bill includes the following provisions:

a. Criminal measures to raise the threshold for prosecution of current or former Service personnel. These measures create a statutory presumption against prosecution of current or former Service personnel for alleged offences committed on operations outside the British islands more than five years ago. These provisions will raise the threshold to be applied by prosecutors when considering whether a service person or veteran should be prosecuted in such cases, by stipulating that it is to be exceptional for a prosecutor to determine that a prosecution should be brought. In addition, the prosecutor must give particular weight to the adverse impact of the particular conditions the person was exposed to and the exceptional demands and stresses of overseas operations on a Service person (including on their ability to make sound judgments and on their mental health) and, in cases where there have been previous investigations and no compelling new evidence has emerged, the public interest in finality. The presumption applies to all offences save for the sexual offences listed in Schedule 1. If a prosecutor determines that, notwithstanding the presumption, it is appropriate for a prosecution to be brought, the consent of the Attorney General for England and Wales or the Advocate General for Northern Ireland will then be required for the prosecution to proceed. This measure may, over time, have an indirect impact on repeat criminal investigations, as police investigations may not be continued if, in consultation with prosecutors, it is assessed that cases will not meet the ‘exceptional’ threshold.

b. Restriction of judicial discretion to allow civil claims in respect of overseas operations. This will further restrict the court’s discretion to extend the normal time limit of three years for bringing civil claims for personal injury and/or death in relation to historical events outside the UK by requiring the court to take into account additional factors (in addition to those that already exist) when deciding whether to allow a claim outside the three-year limitation period. Those additional factors include, for example, particular regard to the likely impact of the operational context on the ability to remember events and the likely impact of any legal action on the mental health of any service personnel witness.

c. Restriction of judicial discretion to allow claims under the Human Rights Act 1998 (HRA) in respect of overseas operations. This will require the court to take into account various factors (as set out in the bullet point above for civil claims) when considering whether to extend the primary limitation period of one year.

d. Limitation longstop for civil claims in respect of operations overseas. There is also a new absolute limitation longstop of six years which will ensure claims are brought within six years of the date of incident, or within six years of the date of knowledge.

e. Changes to private international law rules for personal injury and death claims. The Bill amends existing legislation to provide that when the limitation periods of another country are applied to these claims, there will be an absolute limitation longstop of six years.

f. Limitation longstop for claims under the HRA in respect of overseas operations. This similarly imposes a new absolute limitation longstop of six years for bringing HRA claims in relation to overseas operations. There will be a consistent approach to limitation for both personal injury and death claims and for HRA claims. The time for the longstop on HRA claims will be calculated from the date of the incident occurring. If the date of knowledge is more than five years after the incident, however, claimants will have an...
additional one year to make a claim which may potentially take them beyond the six years longstop limit. This ensures victims are not disadvantaged.

g. **Duty to consider derogating from certain rights in the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) in relation to significant overseas operations.** This provision will introduce a requirement for the Secretary of State to consider whether it is appropriate to derogate in light of the situation at the time.

### Policy and legal background

2. This Bill aims to provide greater certainty for Service personnel and veterans in relation to claims and potential prosecution for historical events, that occurred in the complex environment of armed conflict overseas.

3. This Bill seeks to address issues that have partly arisen from the expansion of the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) to cover overseas military operations where the UK had assumed that international humanitarian law had primacy.

4. It also seeks to raise the bar for prosecutions in relation to alleged historical incidents that occurred on overseas operations, requiring prosecutors to have proper regard to the challenging context.

5. The measures aim to provide greater certainty by reassuring Service personnel and veterans that prosecution decisions must take into account factors as specified in the Bill and that prosecutions will only go ahead in exceptional cases.

6. This Bill represents one strand of the Government’s approach to addressing the problem of “Lawfare” (the judicialisation of armed conflict) and to ending the cycle of reinvestigation of historic events. The Government is intending to introduce separate legislation covering operations in Northern Ireland.

7. International humanitarian law was developed to regulate the conduct of combat operations and recognises the inherent risks and dangers both for Service personnel and for the local population. It imposes obligations that are realistic and reasonable to expect the Armed Forces to meet even when operating in hostile conditions overseas. However, judgements in Strasbourg and the UK’s domestic courts in relation to operations in Iraq and Afghanistan confirmed the applicability of ECHR to overseas military operations, and the actions of the MOD and UK Service personnel.

8. Operations in Iraq in 2003-2009 (Operation Telic) and Afghanistan in 2002-2017 (Operation Herrick) gave rise to an unprecedented number of legal claims for damages and non-compliance with the UK’s obligations under the ECHR. MOD faced approximately 1,400 judicial review claims and over 900 civil claims for compensation arising from the 2003-09 operations in Iraq, and around 100 claims arising from operations in Afghanistan. In some cases, the courts found that the UK’s legal obligations were breached: they ruled that detention processes were flawed, and that some practices which constituted inhuman or degrading treatment were prevalent.

9. There were also instances of criminal behaviour, where individuals were prosecuted for serious criminal offences. Nothing in this Bill will stop those guilty of committing serious criminal acts from being prosecuted, where appropriate.

10. UK Service personnel and veterans have been subject to numerous claims – including those that were ultimately discredited, or were brought in multiple jurisdictions, or were found to have been encouraged by lawyers pursuing financial gain. Ultimately, such behaviour resulted in Phil Shiner of Public Interest Lawyers being struck off for serious breaches of the solicitors’ code of conduct.
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As announced in the then Defence Secretary’s Written Ministerial Statements of 21 May and 22 July 2019, a 12-week public consultation on proposed legal protections measures for Service personnel and veterans who served in operations outside the UK was launched on 22 July 2019. The consultation set out three main proposals which would be beneficial to Armed Forces personnel and veterans: a statutory presumption against prosecution, a new partial defence to murder, and a time limit on civil litigation claims for personal injury/death. There were over 4,200 responses to the consultation. These responses helped to shape the measures in this Bill.

Territorial extent and application

The Bill will extend to England and Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland. The effects of the provisions are substantively the same throughout the UK, but as amendments are needed to different pieces of legislation in the different nations, some provisions of the Bill apply to England and Wales only, some to Scotland, and others to Northern Ireland.

The matters to which the provisions of the Bill relate are not within the legislative competence of the Scottish Parliament, Senedd Cymru or the Northern Ireland Assembly because they relate to the Armed Forces, and no legislative consent motion is being sought in relation to any provision of the Bill.
Commentary on provisions of Bill

Part 1: Restrictions on prosecution for certain offences

Presumption against prosecution

Clause 1: Prosecutorial decision regarding alleged conduct during overseas operations

14 This Clause sets out when the statutory presumption against prosecution (Clause 2), and the requirement to give particular weight to specified factors (Clause 3), will apply to decisions whether or not to prosecute in criminal cases. The effect of the Clause is such that the measures at Clauses 2 and 3 also apply to decisions as to whether or not private prosecutions should be allowed to continue.

15 This Clause sets out:

a. which groups will be covered by Clauses 2 and 3 of the Bill: the Armed Forces (reserves and regulars), and members of British Overseas Territory Forces (BOTF) when operating with UK Armed Forces;

b. that the measures only apply to events that took place more than five years ago, with the start point for calculating this time period being the date that the alleged conduct occurred. Where an alleged offence continued over more than one day, the start point for the 5-year time period will be the last day on which the alleged conduct occurred;

c. that the measures at Clauses 2 and 3 only apply to these groups when they are deployed on relevant overseas operations; and

d. what is meant by “relevant overseas operations”.

Clause 2: Presumption against prosecution

16 This Clause explains the effect of the presumption: that it is to be exceptional for a prosecutor to determine that proceedings should be brought in relation to offences committed by members of the Armed Forces when deployed on operations outside of the British Islands more than five years ago.

Clause 3: Matters to be given particular weight

17 This Clause sets out the specific matters to which a prosecutor must give particular weight when deciding whether or not to bring proceedings in a particular case. These matters are:

a. Subsection (2)(a) - the demands of overseas military operations, and the adverse effects that deployment on such operations can have on Service personnel, including on their capacity to make sound decisions and their mental health (this is further expanded in 3(3) and 3(4)). This clause highlights the marked difference in the circumstances surrounding an alleged offence committed on operations overseas, compared with a situation where the alleged criminal conduct occurs in the domestic civilian setting. It seeks to ensure that full recognition is given to these differences when prosecutors make decisions on whether to bring proceedings.

b. Subsection (2)(b) – applies to the situation where there has been a previous investigation or investigations in relation to alleged criminal conduct and no compelling new evidence has arisen since any such investigation(s). The Clause is aimed at addressing concerns over the impact on personnel of repeat investigations and the threat of prosecution long after the events in question, in particular where there is no compelling new evidence to
be considered. It highlights the public interest in these cases coming to a timely and final resolution.

18 Giving weight to these matters is required so far as they may reduce the culpability of the accused individual and shift the balance of decision-making by the prosecutor in favour of not prosecuting.

Clause 4: Section 3: supplementary

19 This Clause explains what is meant by “relevant previous investigation” and “new” evidence.

Consent to prosecution

Clause 5: Requirement of consent to prosecute

20 This Clause sets out the requirement that if a prosecutor determines that, notwithstanding the presumption against prosecution (at Clause 2) and the requirement to give particular weight to specified matters (at Clause 3), it is appropriate to prosecute a current or former member of the Armed Forces in relation to an alleged offence committed on overseas operations more than five years ago, the consent of the Attorney General for England and Wales, or the Advocate General for Northern Ireland, must be obtained before a prosecution can proceed. The Clause does not extend to Scotland given that all criminal prosecution decisions in the public interest in Scotland are taken by, or on behalf of, the Lord Advocate. This is reflected in the Scotland Act 1998 which upholds the constitutional role of the Lord Advocate as the head of the systems of criminal prosecution and investigation of deaths in Scotland.

Clause 6: “Relevant offence”

21 The definition of a “relevant offence” includes service offences under section 42 of the Armed Forces Act 2006 and all criminal offences except those that are specifically excluded by virtue of Schedule 1 (i.e. sexual offences).

22 Any alleged offences committed by the groups identified in Clause 1 against a member of the Armed Forces (including BOTF), a Crown Servant or a defence contractor will not constitute a relevant offence.

Clause 7: General interpretation etc

23 This Clause provides definitions and supplementary explanations for terminology appearing in Clauses 1 to 7. In particular:

a. Subsection (1) provides that for murder or any other offence that causes death, where the victim’s death occurred after the date of the injury, the five year time period will be counted from the day of the alleged conduct (rather than from the date of death).

b. Subsection (2) explains what is covered by the term “the British Islands”.

c. Subsection (3) defines “relevant prosecutors”; namely, the Director of Service Prosecutions, together with the public prosecutors in England & Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland.

d. Subsection (4) defines a number of other terms used in this Part. In particular, it identifies the investigating authorities.
Part 2: Limitation Periods and Human Rights

Limitation

Clause 8: Restrictions on time limits to bring actions: England and Wales
24 Subsection (1) introduces Schedule 2, Part 1 which amends the rules governing the court’s discretion to disapply the three-year time limit for bringing claims for personal injuries and deaths as set out in the Limitation Act 1980 (LA); it provides that the limitation period cannot be extended beyond six years, and specifies additional factors to which the court must have regard when exercising its discretion. These changes apply only to claims for personal injuries or deaths sustained in the course of overseas operations.

25 Subsection (3) explains that Part 2 of Schedule 2 amends the Foreign Limitation Periods Act 1984. These changes ensure that the law on foreign limitation periods is consistent with the amendments in Part 1 of Schedule 2.

Clause 9: Restrictions on time limits to bring actions: Scotland
26 Subsection (1) introduces Schedule 3, Part 1 which amends the rules governing the court’s discretion to override the three-year time limit for bringing claims for personal injuries and deaths as set out in the Prescription and Limitation (Scotland) Act 1973; it provides that the limitation period cannot be extended beyond six years, and specifies factors to which the court must have regard when exercising its discretion. These changes apply only to claims for personal injuries or deaths sustained in the course of overseas operations.

27 Subsection (2) explains that Part 2 of Schedule 3 amends the Prescription and Limitation (Scotland) Act 1973 to ensure that the law on foreign limitation periods is consistent with the amendments in Part 1 of Schedule 3.

Clause 10: Restrictions on time limits to bring actions: Northern Ireland
28 Subsection (1) introduces Schedule 4, Part 1 which amends the rules governing the court’s discretion to disapply the three-year time limit for bringing claims for personal injuries and deaths as set out in the Limitation (Northern Ireland) Order 1989/1339; it provides that the limitation period cannot be extended beyond six years, and specifies additional factors to which the court must have regard when exercising its discretion. These changes apply only to claims for personal injuries or deaths sustained in the course of overseas operations.

29 Subsection (3) explains that Part 2 of Schedule 4 amends the Foreign Limitation Periods (Northern Ireland) Order 1985/754. These changes ensure that the law on foreign limitation periods is consistent with the amendments in Part 1 of Schedule 4.

Clause 11: Court’s discretion to extend time in certain Human Rights Act proceedings
30 This Clause amends the rules governing the court’s discretion to extend the one-year time limit for bringing claims under the HRA. These changes apply only to proceedings in connection with overseas operations.

31 Subsection (2) inserts a new section 7A into the HRA.

32 Section 7A(1) specifies that a court’s exercise of discretion is subject to section 7A(2) and (4).
   a. Section 7A(2) sets out certain factors to which the court must have particular regard, when deciding whether to allow a claim outside the normal time limit. These factors are intended to ensure that the court takes proper account of the operational context (as
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Section 7A(3)), and the likely impact of proceedings on the mental health of the Service personnel or veterans involved.

b. Section 7A(4) imposes a rule that claims cannot be brought more than six years after the act complained of occurred, or more than one year after the date of knowledge (as defined in section 7A(5)) if later.

Subsection (3) specifies that new section 7A applies to any such claim that is commenced after that section comes into force. Claims that have been commenced before new section 7A comes into force are unaffected by these amendments.

Section 7A(6) establishes the scope of section 7A; it applies only to claims brought against the Ministry of Defence or Secretary of State for Defence in connection with overseas operations (as defined in section 7A(7)). Proceedings will not be “in connection with” overseas operations if they relate to preparatory work, e.g. training in preparation for overseas operations.

Sections 7A(8) and (7A)(9) define “the British Islands” and “Her Majesty’s forces”.

**Duty to consider derogation**

**Clause 12: Duty to consider derogation from Convention**

36 This inserts a new section 14A into the HRA, and imposes upon the Secretary of State a duty to consider derogation from the ECHR under certain circumstances.

37 Section 14A(1) sets out the nature of the duty and the circumstances under which it arises.

38 The duty arises only in relation to overseas operations (as defined in section 14A(2)) that the Secretary of State considers meet a minimum threshold: the operation must be “significant”. This is intended to avoid imposing a duty in relation to any operations that manifestly would not meet the criteria for derogation set out in Article 15 of the Convention.

39 The duty is an ongoing one, requiring the Secretary of State to keep under review throughout such operations whether a derogation would be appropriate. This reflects the nature of operations, which may change or evolve over time.

40 Discretion rests with the Secretary of State as to whether the two qualifying conditions (the significance of the operation, and the appropriateness of derogation) are met. If the Secretary of State decides that it would be appropriate to derogate in relation to a particular overseas operation, section 14 of the HRA would apply.

**Part 3: General**

**Clause 13: Power to make consequential provision**

41 This Clause provides the Secretary of State and the Lord Chancellor with the power to make consequential amendments.

**Clause 14: Extent**

42 This Clause sets out the territorial extent of the Bill. It extends to England and Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland, except as specified in this clause.

**Clause 15: Commencement and transitional provision**

43 This Clause makes provision about the coming into force of the provisions of the Bill.

44 None of the provisions in Clauses 1 to 11 will apply to proceedings started before the date on which the provisions of the Bill come into force.
Clause 16: Short Title
45 This Clause sets out the short title of the Bill.

Schedule 1: Excluded offences for the purposes of section 6
46 Schedule 1 details the sexual offences excluded from the scope of the requirements of Clauses 2, 3 and 5, and is structured as follows:
47 Part 1 - Criminal conduct etc under Armed Forces legislation.
48 Part 2 - International Criminal Court Act 2001
49 Part 3 - International Criminal Court (Scotland) Act 2001
50 Part 4 - Provisions extending jurisdiction in respect of certain sexual offences.

Schedule 2: Limitation periods: England and Wales

Part 1 – Court’s discretion to disapply time limits
51 Part 1 of Schedule 2 amends section 33 of the LA, which sets out the factors that the court must consider when exercising its discretion to extend the normal time limit (set out in section 11 and section 12 of the LA) for bringing claims for personal injury or death. These amendments do not change the way in which the time limit is calculated.
52 Paragraph 1(1) and (2) insert new subsections (1ZA) to (1ZC) into section 33 of the LA.
   a. Subsection (1ZA) prohibits the court from allowing any claim to proceed if it has been commenced more than six years after the relevant date (as defined in new subsection (7)).
   b. Subsection (1ZB) specifies that these amendments apply only to claims against the Ministry of Defence or Secretary of State for Defence or members of Her Majesty’s forces made in connection with overseas operations (as defined in new subsection (7)) where the damage (as defined in subsection (1ZC)) complained of occurred outside the British Islands.
53 Paragraph 1(3) inserts new subsections (2A) to (2B) into section 33 of the LA. These specify the effect of these amendments in relations to section 12 of the LA.
54 Paragraph 1(4) inserts new subsections (5A) and (5B) into section 33 of the LA. Subsection (5A) specifies those additional factors to which the court must have particular regard, when deciding whether to disapply the normal time limit for bringing a personal injury or death claim. These factors are intended to ensure that the court takes proper account of the operational context (as defined in subsection (5B)), and the likely impact of giving evidence on the mental health of the Service personnel or veterans involved.
55 Paragraph 1(5), which inserts a new subsection (6A) into section 33 of the LA, clarifies how these amendments will apply in light of the Limitation (Enemies and War Prisoners) Act 1945.
56 Paragraph 1(6) substitutes a new subsection (7) into section 33 of the LA. This amends the definitions used to restrict the effect of these amendments to personal injuries or deaths sustained during overseas operations; and explains how “the relevant date” is to be calculated.

Part 2 – Restriction of foreign limitation law
57 Part 2 of Schedule 2 amends the Foreign Limitation Periods Act 1984, and ensures that the law on foreign limitation periods is consistent with the amendments to the LA introduced by Clause 8(1). Those amendments restrict – to a maximum of six years from the date on which the cause of action arose – the court’s discretion to extend the normal time limit for bringing claims against
the Ministry of Defence, the Secretary of State for Defence, or members of Her Majesty’s forces for personal injuries, deaths or false imprisonment that occurred during an overseas operation.

Paragraphs 2(2) and (3) insert a new section (1ZA) into the Act.

Subsection (1ZA)(1) specifies that, for subsection (1ZA)(3) to apply, the conditions set out in subsections (1ZA)(1) and (1ZA)(2) must be met.

Subsection (1ZA)(1) requires that the cause of action has arisen in connection with an overseas military operation, and is subject to the limitation law of the foreign country under whose law the tort claim has been brought.

Subsection (1ZA)(2) requires that a period of six years must have elapsed since the date on which the foreign limitation period began, or when the action could first have been brought.

If both those conditions are satisfied, subsection (1ZA)(3) specifies that the defendant (i.e. Ministry of Defence, the Secretary of State for Defence, or members of Her Majesty’s forces) will have a complete defence to any such claim. This ensures that no claim in relation to overseas operations that is brought in reliance on foreign law can proceed after six years.

Subsection (1ZA)(4) defines the meaning of “overseas armed forces tort action”.

Subsections (1ZA)(5) and (6) specify what should happen in relation to claims where the limitation period under the applicable foreign law has been suspended, interrupted, or disregarded, or where the Limitation (Enemies and Prisoners of War) Act 1945 applies.

Paragraph 2(4) inserts a new subsection (3A) into section 7 of the Act, and specifies that new section 1ZA applies to any claim that is commenced after that section comes into force. Claims that have been commenced before new section 1ZA comes into force are unaffected by these amendments.

Schedule 3: Limitation periods: Scotland

Part 1 – Court’s power to override time limits

Part 1 of Schedule 3 amends section 19A of the Prescription and Limitation (Scotland) Act 1973, which permits the court to override the normal time limit for bringing claims.

Paragraph 1(3) inserts a new section 19AA, which restricts the power in section 19A in relation to overseas operations.

a. Section 19AA(2) makes the exercise of power subject to section 19AA(3) and 19AA(5) to 19AA(7).

b. Section 19AA(3) specifies the factors to which the court must have particular regard when deciding whether to disapply the normal time limit for bringing a claim for personal injury (including delictual protection of liberty i.e. false imprisonment) or death. These factors are intended to ensure that the court takes proper account of the operational context (as defined in section 19AA(4)), and the likely impact of giving evidence on the mental health of the Service personnel or veterans involved.

c. Sections (19AA)(5) and (19AA)(6) prohibit the court from allowing any claim to proceed if it has been commenced more than six years after the relevant date (as defined in section 19AA(13)). Section 19AA(7) prohibits the court from allowing a claim under section 18(4) of the Act to proceed unless the person died within six years of the relevant date (as defined in section 19AA(13)).
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d. Section 19AA(8) to (10) specify what should happen where periods of time have been disregarded, or where the Limitation (Enemies and War Prisoners) Act 1945 applies.

e. Sections 19AA(11) to (14) provide definitions of the terms used elsewhere in this section.

**Part 2 – Restriction of foreign limitation law**

68 Part 2 of Schedule 2 amends section 23A of the Prescription and Limitation (Scotland) Act 1973, and ensures that the law on foreign limitation periods is consistent with the amendments introduced by Clause 9(1). Those amendments restrict – to a maximum of six years from the date on which the cause of action arose – the court’s discretion to extend the normal time limit for bringing claims against the Ministry of Defence, the Secretary of State for Defence, or members of Her Majesty’s forces for personal injuries, deaths or false imprisonment that occurred during an overseas operation.

69 Paragraph 2(1) and (3) insert a new section 23B into the Act.

70 Section 23B(1) specifies that subsection (3) applies where the conditions set out in subsections (1) and (2) are met.

a. Section 23B(1) requires that the cause of action has arisen in relation to an overseas military operation, and is subject to the limitation law of the foreign country under whose law the personal injury claim has been brought.

b. Section 23B(2) requires that a period of six years must have elapsed since the date on which the foreign limitation period began, or when the action could first have been brought.

71 If both those conditions are satisfied, subsection (3) specifies that the defendant (i.e. Ministry of Defence, the Secretary of State for Defence, or members of Her Majesty’s forces) will have a complete defence to any such claim. This ensures that no claim in relation to overseas operations that is brought in reliance on foreign law can proceed after six years.

72 Section 23B(4) defines the meaning of “overseas armed forces personal injury action”.

73 Sections 23B(5) specifies what should happen in relation to claims where the limitation period under the applicable foreign law has been suspended, interrupted or disregarded.

**Schedule 4: Limitation periods: Northern Ireland**

**Part 1 – Court’s discretion to disapply time limits**

74 Part 1 of Schedule 4 amends article 50 of the Limitation (Northern Ireland) Order 1989 / 1339, which permits the Court to override the normal time limit for bringing claims.

75 Paragraph 1(2) inserts new paragraphs (1A) to (1C).

a. New paragraph (1A) prohibits the court from allowing any claim to proceed if it has been commenced more than six years after the relevant date (as defined in new paragraph (8)).

b. New paragraph (1B) specifies that these amendments apply only to claims against the Ministry of Defence or Secretary of State for Defence or members of Her Majesty’s forces made in connection with overseas operations where the damage (as defined in paragraph (1C)) complained of occurred outside the British Islands.

76 Paragraph 1(3) inserts new paragraphs (3A) and (3B), which explain how these amendments interact with article 9.
Paragraph 1(4) inserts new paragraph (6A). Paragraph (6A) specifies those additional factors to which the court must have particular regard when deciding whether to disapply the normal time limit for bringing a personal injury or death claim. These factors are intended to ensure that the court takes proper account of the operational context (as defined in paragraph (6B)), and the likely impact of giving evidence on the mental health of the Service personnel or veterans involved.

Paragraph (1)(5) inserts a new paragraph (7A), which clarifies how these amendments will apply in light of the Limitation (Enemies and War Prisoners) Act 1945.

Paragraph 1(6) and (7) insert new definitions.

**Part 2 – Restriction of foreign limitation law**

Part 2 of Schedule 4 amends the Foreign Limitation Periods (Northern Ireland) Order 1985/754, and ensures that the law on foreign limitation periods is consistent with the amendments introduced by Clause 10(1). Those amendments restrict – to a maximum of six years from the date on which the cause of action arose – the court’s discretion to extend the normal time limit for bringing claims against the Ministry of Defence, the Secretary of State for Defence, or members of Her Majesty’s forces for personal injuries, deaths or false imprisonment that occurred during an overseas operation.

Paragraph 2(1) and (3) insert a new article 3A into the Order.

Article 3A(1) specifies that, for article 3A(3) to apply, the conditions set out in paragraphs (1) and (2) must be met.

a. Article 3A(1) requires that the cause of action has arisen in relation to an overseas operation, and is subject to the limitation law of the foreign country under whose law the tort claim has been brought.

b. Article 3A(2) requires that a period of six years must have elapsed since the date on which the foreign limitation period began, or when the action could first have been brought.

If both those conditions are satisfied, article 3A(3) specifies that the defendant (i.e. Ministry of Defence, the Secretary of State for Defence, or members of Her Majesty’s forces) will have a complete defence to any such claim. This ensures that no claim in relation to overseas operations that is brought in reliance on foreign law can proceed after six years.

Article 3A(4) defines the meaning of “overseas armed forces tort action” for the purposes of article 3A.

Article 3A(5) and (6) specifies what should happen in relation to claims where the limitation period under the applicable foreign law has been suspended, interrupted or disregarded, or where the Limitation (Enemies and Prisoners of War) Act 1945 applies.

Paragraph 2(4) amends article 8, and specifies that new article 3A applies to any claim that is commenced after that article comes into force. Claims that have been commenced before new article 3A comes into force are unaffected by these amendments.
**Commencement**

87 Clause 15 sets out how the different provisions will be commenced.

**Financial implications of the Bill**

88 The Government does not expect the provisions of the Bill to have any direct financial implications. There are no expected direct costs to business or civil society organisations as a result of this Bill.

**Parliamentary approval for financial costs or for charges imposed**

89 No money resolution is required.

**Compatibility with the European Convention on Human Rights**

90 Baroness Goldie DL has made a statement under section 19(1)(a) of the Human Rights Act 1998 that, in her view, the provisions of the Bill are compatible with the Convention rights.

**Related documents**

91 The following documents are relevant to the Bill and can be read at the stated locations:

- Public Consultation on Legal Protections for Armed Forces Personnel and Veterans serving in operations outside the United Kingdom, available [here](#).

- Public Consultation on Legal Protections for Armed Forces Personnel and Veterans serving in operations outside the United Kingdom: Ministry of Defence Analysis and Response, available [here](#).

- House of Commons Defence Committee report, “Drawing a line: Protecting veterans by a Statute of Limitations”. The full report can be found [here](#). The Government’s response can be found [here](#).

- Joint Committee on Human Rights report on the Overseas Operations (Service Personnel and Veterans) Bill can be found [here](#).

**Annex A – Territorial extent and application in the United Kingdom**

92 The Bill will extend to England and Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland. The effects of the provisions are substantively the same throughout the UK, but as amendments are needed to different pieces of legislation in the different nations, some provisions of the Bill apply to England and Wales only, some to Scotland, and others to Northern Ireland.
The extent and application of the provisions are summarised in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provision</th>
<th>Extends to E &amp; W and applies to England?</th>
<th>Extends to E &amp; W and applies to Wales?</th>
<th>Extends and applies to Scotland?</th>
<th>Extends and applies to Northern Ireland?</th>
<th>Would corresponding provision be within the competence of Senedd Cymru?</th>
<th>Would corresponding provision be within the competence of the Scottish Parliament?</th>
<th>Would corresponding provision be within the competence of the Northern Ireland Assembly?</th>
<th>Legislative Consent Motion sought?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clause 1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause 2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause 3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause 4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause 5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause 6</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause 7</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause 8</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause 9</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause 10</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause 11</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause 12</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause 13</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause 14</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause 15</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clause 16</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule 1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule 2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule 3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule 4</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The ‘English votes for English Laws’ procedure does not apply for Clause 8 or for Schedule 2. Although these provisions extend to England and Wales only, they relate to a matter that is reserved, meaning neither the Scottish Parliament, Senedd Cymru, or the Northern Ireland Assembly could legislate in their territories on the same matter.

These Explanatory Notes relate to the Overseas Operations (Service Personnel and Veterans) Bill as brought from the House of Commons on 4 November 2020 (HL Bill 147).
OVERSEAS OPERATIONS (SERVICE PERSONNEL AND VETERANS) BILL

EXPLANATORY NOTES

These Explanatory Notes relate to the Overseas Operations (Service Personnel and Veterans) Bill as brought from the House of Commons on 4 November 2020 (HL Bill 147).

Ordered by the House of Lords to be printed, 4 November 2020.
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