

HOUSE OF COMMONS

SESSION 2005-06

CROSSRAIL BILL

PETITION

of

Owen Southcott

Against,

by Counsel, &c.

HOUSE OF COMMONS
SESSION 2005-06

CROSSRAIL BILL

P E T I T I O N

Against the Bill - Praying to be heard by Counsel, &c.

TO THE HONOURABLE THE COMMONS OF THE UNITED KINGDOM OF
GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND IN PARLIAMENT ASSEMBLED.

THE HUMBLE PETITION of Owen Southcott.

SHEWETH as follows:-

1 A Bill (hereinafter called "the bill") has been introduced into and is now pending in your honourable House entitled "A bill to make provision for a railway transport system running from Maidenhead, in the County of Berkshire, and Heathrow Airport in the London Borough of Hillingdon, through central London to Shenfield, in the County of Essex, and Abbey Wood, in the London Borough of Greenwich; and for connected purposes".

2 Clauses 1 to 20 set out the Bill's objectives in relation to the construction and operation of the railway transport system mentioned in paragraph 1 above. They include provision for compulsory acquisition, planning permission, heritage issues, trees and noise. Clauses 21 to 44 of the Bill establish a regulatory regime for the railway transport system and clauses 45 to 59 of the Bill deal with miscellaneous and general provisions.

3 The works proposed to be authorised by the Bill are specified in Schedule 1 to the Bill and the scheduled works are defined in the Bill as the works specified in Schedule 1 to the Bill which are works authorised to be constructed by the nominated undertaker (defined in the Bill and hereinafter referred to as "the nominated undertaker").

4 Your Petitioner is Owen Southcott of 'Homebrook', Alexander Lane, Shenfield, Essex CM13 1AG. My wife Susan and children Cameron (8), Lewis (6) and Imogen (4) also live at the address.

5 Your Petitioner's house faces onto the site of the proposed works at Shenfield station. According to the Environmental Statement deposited with the Bill, residents of Alexander Lane will be significantly affected by noise and or visual impact, to the extent that some residents may be eligible for insulation or even rehousing. Your Petitioner will be so affected.

6 Your Petitioner and his rights interests and property will be injuriously affected by the proposals in the Bill to which your Petitioner objects, for the reasons, amongst others, hereinafter appearing.

7 Your Petitioner think that the Bill is wrong due to the fact that is has no added benefit to the residents of the area. The Promoters of the project should stop at the Stratford site which makes much more environment, economical and strategic sense.

8 There are other clauses and provisions of the Bill which, if passed into law as they now stand, will prejudicially affect the rights and interest of your Petitioners property and other clauses and provisions necessary for their protection and benefit are omitted therefrom.

YOUR PETITIONERS therefore humbly pray

your Honourable House that the Bill may not pass into law as it now stands and that he be heard by himself, his counsel, agents and witnesses in support of the allegations of this petition, against so much of the Bill as affects the property, rights and interests of your Petitioner and in support of such other clauses and amendments as may be necessary and proper for his protection and benefit .

AND your Petitioners will ever pray, &c.