

HOUSE OF COMMONS

SESSSION 2005-2006

CROSSRAIL

PETITION

of

**Robert Muir Bangert**

and

**Pamela Anne Bangert**

**AGAINST**

HOUSE OF COMMONS  
SESSION 2005-06

CROSSRAIL

PETITION

**Against the Bill - Praying to be heard by counsel, &c.**

---

TO THE HONORABLE THE COMMONS OF THE UNITED KINGDOM OF  
GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND IN PARLIAMENT  
ASSEMBLED.

**THE HUMBLE PETITION OF ROBERT MUIR BANGERT AND PAMELA  
ANNE BANGERT**

SHEWETH as follows:-

1. A Bill (hereinafter called "The Bill") has been introduced into and is now pending in your honourable House entitled "A Bill to make provision for a railway transport system running from Maidenhead in the county of Berkshire, and Heathrow Airport in the London Borough of Hillingdon, through central London to Shenfield in the county of Essex, and Abbey Wood in the London Borough of Greenwich; and for connected purposes".
2. Clauses 1 to 20 set out the Bill's objectives in relation to the construction and operation of the railway transport system mentioned in paragraph 1 above. They include provision for compulsory acquisition, planning permission, heritage issues, trees and noise. Clauses 21 to 44 of the Bill establish a regulatory regime for the railway transport system and clauses 45 to 59 of the Bill deal with miscellaneous and general provisions.
3. The works proposed to be authorised by the Bill are specified in Schedule 1 to the Bill and the scheduled works are defined in the Bill as the works specified in Schedule 1 to the Bill which are works authorised to be constructed by the nominated undertaker (defined in the Bill and hereinafter referred to as "the nominated undertaker").
4. Your Petitioners are Robert Muir Bangert and Pamela Anne Bangert, both of 2, Kingfisher Close, Hutton, a bungalow situated about half a mile from Shenfield station. There is no other

resident at this address.

5. Your petitioners are aged 76 and 71 respectively and the first mentioned is disabled and unable to visit shops without the use of a car. Both rely heavily on the car-parking facilities in Shenfield which are already inadequate. During the work of construction, much of the car-park space is planned to be used as work site and even after the work is finished the total car-park space available will be less than at present.
6. Your Petitioners and their rights interests and property will be injuriously affected by the proposals in the Bill to which your Petitioners object for the reasons, amongst others, hereinafter appearing.
7. The reduction in car parking space will force your Petitioners and many like them to go elsewhere to visit shops. This will take trade away from local traders and will also add to traffic on roads which are already crowded, thus increasing congestion and pollution. The additional transport will involve additional cost and the pollution will be particularly troublesome to the latter of your Petitioners who suffers from asthma..
8. There are other clauses and provisions in the Bill which, if passed into law as they now stand, will prejudicially affect the rights and interest of your Petitioners and other clauses and provisions necessary for their protection are omitted therefrom.

YOUR PETITIONERS THEREFORE

HUMBLY PRAY

your Honourable House  
that the Bill may not  
pass into law as it now  
stands and that they be  
heard by themselves,  
their counsel, agents  
and witnesses in  
support of the  
allegations of this  
petition, against so  
much of the Bill as  
affects the property,  
rights and interests of  
your Petitioners and in  
support of such other  
clauses and

amendments as may be  
necessary and proper  
for their protection and  
benefit.

AND YOUR PETITIONERS will ever pray, &c