

HOUSE OF COMMONS  
SESSION 2005-06

CROSSRAIL

PETITION

**Against the Bill – Praying to be heard by counsel, &c.**

---

TO THE HONOURABLE THE COMMONS OF THE UNITED KINGDOM OF  
GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND IN PARLIAMENT  
ASSEMBLED.

**THE HUMBLE PETITION OF MR. FEDERICK WHITE ,MRS. LILIAN  
WHITE,MR.KENNETH WHITE**

SHEWETH as follows:-

1. A Bill (hereinafter called "the Bill") has been introduced into and is now pending in your honourable House entitled "A Bill to make provision for a railway transport system running from Maidenhead in the County of Berkshire, and Heathrow Airport in the London Borough of Hillingdon, through central London to Shenfield in the County of Essex, and Abbey Wood in the London Borough of Greenwich; and for connected purposes".
2. Clauses 1 to 20 set out the Bill's objectives in relation to the construction and operation of the railway transport system mentioned in paragraph 1 above. They include provision for

compulsory acquisition, planning permission, heritage issues, trees and noise. Clauses 21 to 44 of the Bill establish a regulatory regime for the railway transport system and clauses 45 to 59 of the Bill deal with miscellaneous and general provisions.

3. The works proposed to be authorised by the Bill are specified in Schedule 1 to the Bill and the scheduled works are defined in the Bill as the works specified in Schedule 1 to the Bill which are works authorised to be constructed by the nominated undertaker (defined in the Bill and hereinafter referred to as "the nominated undertaker").
4. Your Petitioners' are Mr. Frederick White Mrs. Lilian White Mr. Kenneth White 14 Hunter Avenue Shenfield CM15 8PF. is a privately owned semi-detached bungalow occupied by three adults.

Your Petitioners' house faces onto the site of the proposed works at Shenfield station. According to the Environmental Statement deposited with the Bill, residents of Hunter Avenue will be significantly affected by noise and or visual impact, to the extent that some residents may be eligible for insulation or even rehousing. Your Petitioners will be so affected.

Your Petitioner house is located on a road which will, according to the environmental statement deposited with the Bill, be used as a route for construction vehicles coming to and from the work sites at Shenfield Station and your Petitioners' will therefore be affected by increased noise and traffic congestion outside their house.

6. Your Petitioners' and their rights interests and property will be injuriously affected by the proposals in the Bill to which your Petitioners' objects, for the reasons, amongst others, hereinafter

appearing.

7. Your Petitioner suffers from a serious respiratory disease "Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease" the workshops of the Cross Rail project will be opposite your Petitioner's property, this situation will worsen your Petitioner's condition. At present Hunter Avenue is a quiet residential side road, the Promoters' workshops and all the other activities associated with the construction of the Cross Rail project will transform this otherwise pleasant area into a busy hubb destroying the ambience of the whole area. This in turn will totally devalue your Petitioner's property making it virtually impossible to sell should there be a desire or a need to do so. Therefore the Promoters should be obligated to offer reasonable compensation even purchasing affected properties at market values. As even when the construction work is completed the area will not be as it previously was. There will obviously be a need for further development of facilities and services associated with such a major expansion of Shenfield station. In view of this perhaps Stratford could be considered as a more appropriate alternative to Shenfield as Stratford is already a major rail hubb, and its importance will be increased when the Eurostar link and the Olympic complexes are completed.
8. There are other clauses and provisions in the Bill which, if passed into law as they now stand, will prejudicially affect the rights and interest of your Petitioner and other clauses and provisions necessary for their protection and benefit are omitted therefrom.

YOUR PETITIONER[S]  
THEREFORE

HUMBLY PRAY

your Honourable House

that the Bill may not pass  
into law as it now stands  
and that they be heard by  
themselves their counsel,  
agents and witnesses in  
support of the allegations  
of this petition, against so  
much of the Bill as affects  
the property, rights, and  
interests of your  
Petitioners and in support  
of such other clauses and  
amendments as may be  
necessary and proper for  
their protection and  
benefit.

HOUSE OF COMMONS  
SESSION 2005-06

CROSSRAIL

**P E T I T I O N**  
**of MR. FREDERICK WHITE MRS. LILIAN WHITE MR. KENNEHT WHITE**

---

**AGAINST,**  
**BY COUNSEL, &c.**