

IN PARLIAMENT  
HOUSE OF COMMONS  
SESSION 2005-06

CROSSRAIL BILL

Against – on Merits – Praying to be heard by Counsel, &c.

To the Honourable the Commons of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland  
in Parliament assembled.

THE HUMBLE PETITION of Hall Aggregates Ltd

SHEWETH as follows:-

- 1 A Bill (hereinafter referred to as "the bill") has been introduced and is now pending in your honourable House intituled a bill to make provision for a railway transport system running from Maidenhead in the County of Berkshire and Heathrow Airport in the London Borough of Hillingdon through central London to Shenfield in the County of Essex and Abbey Wood in the London Borough of Greenwich and for connected purposes.
- 2 The Bill is promoted by Secretary of State for Transport. The Preamble to the Bill recites that it is a bill to make provision for a railway transport system running from Maidenhead in the County of Berkshire and Heathrow Airport in the London Borough of Hillingdon through central London to Shenfield in the County of Essex and Abbey Wood in the London Borough of Greenwich and for connected purposes.
- 3 Objection is raised to the provisions of clauses 5, 6, 7 and Schedules 5 and 6.
- 4 Your petitioners are owners of property at Market Lane, Slough in the Borough of Slough and Dog Kennel Bridge, Thorney in the District of South Buckinghamshire affected by the scheme and identified in the Bill.
- 5 Your Petitioners and their property are injuriously affected by the Bill, to which your Petitioners object for reasons amongst others, hereinafter appearing.
- 6 The land indicated in Notice no. 5343 dated 21 February 2005 and received from Winckworth Shepherd acting on behalf of Crossrail Ltd is identified as being subject to permanent acquisition whereas it is clear that only temporary use of the said land is required by Crossrail Ltd. The land should have been included in the schedule identifying land for which only temporary possession is required.
- 7 The land take indicated on the plans provided is excessive and beyond that required for the scheme.
- 8 There is insufficient provision for access to our retained land.
- 9 For the foregoing and connected reasons your Petitioners respectfully submit that, unless the Bill is amended as proposed above, clauses 5, 6, 7 and Schedules 5 and 6, so far affecting your Petitioners, should not be allowed to pass into law.

YOUR PETITIONERS therefore humbly pray your Honourable House that the Bill may not be allowed to pass into law as it now stands and that they may be heard by their Counsel, Agents and witnesses in support of the allegations of this Petition against so much of the Bill as affects the property, rights and interests of your Petitioners and in support of such other clauses and provisions as may be necessary of expedient of their protection, or that such other relief may be given to your Petitioner in the premises as your Honourable House shall deem meet.

AND YOUR PETITIONERS WILL EVER PRAY, &c.



IN PARLIAMENT

HOUSE OF COMMONS

SESSION 2005-06

CROSSRAIL BILL

PETITION

of HALL AGGREGATES LIMITED

AGAINST the Bill On Merits, Praying to be heard by Counsel, &c.