EXPLANATORY NOTES

INTRODUCTION

1. These explanatory notes relate to the Mental Health Bill [HL] as brought from the House of Lords on 7th March 2007. They have been prepared by the Department of Health and the Home Office, in consultation with the Welsh Assembly Government, in order to assist the reader of the Bill and to help inform debate on it. They do not form part of the Bill and have not been endorsed by Parliament.

2. The notes need to be read in conjunction with the Bill. They are not, and are not meant to be, a comprehensive description of the Bill. So where a clause or part of a clause does not seem to require any explanation or comment, none is given.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS USED IN EXPLANATORY NOTES

3. The following terms are used throughout the explanatory notes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The 1983 Act</td>
<td>the Mental Health Act 1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>approved clinician</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMHP</td>
<td>approved mental health professional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASW</td>
<td>approved social worker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCW</td>
<td>Care Council for Wales</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTO</td>
<td>community treatment order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECHR</td>
<td>European Convention on Human Rights</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bill 76—EN
BACKGROUND AND SUMMARY

Background

4. The legislation governing the compulsory treatment of certain people who have a mental disorder is the Mental Health Act 1983 (the 1983 Act). The main purpose of this Bill is to amend that Act but it is also being used to introduce “Bournewood safeguards” (see paragraph 12) through amending the Mental Capacity Act 2005 (MCA).

5. The 1983 Act is largely concerned with the circumstances in which a person with a mental disorder can be detained for treatment for that disorder without his or her consent. It also sets out the processes that must be followed and the safeguards for patients, to ensure that they are not inappropriately detained or treated without their consent. The main purpose of the legislation is to ensure that people with serious mental disorders which threaten their health or safety or the safety of the public can be treated irrespective of their consent where it is necessary to prevent them from harming themselves or others.

6. In 1998, the Richardson Committee – an independent expert committee chaired by Professor Genevra Richardson – was set up to review mental health law and to consider what changes were needed. The Richardson Committee presented its report to the Government in July 1999, and the report – Review of the Mental Health Act: Report of the Expert Committee – and a Green Paper setting out the Government's proposals for a new Mental Health Act – Reform of the Mental Health Act 1983, Proposals for Consultation; Cm4480 – were published in November 1999.

7. In July 2000, the NHS Plan (Cm4818) set out the Government's plans for mental health services, including its plans for reforming mental health legislation.
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8. Taking account of views expressed on the Green Paper, in December 2000 the Government published a White Paper – Reforming the Mental Health Act; Cm5016 – which set out a proposed new legal framework for when and how care and treatment should be provided for a person with a mental disorder without his or her consent.

9. In June 2002, the Government published a draft Mental Health Bill for consultation. It was accompanied by a consultation document seeking views about a number of policy areas. Having considered the comments received from the 2002 consultation exercise, the Government amended the Bill. The amended draft also took account of discussions with stakeholders since 2002.


11. In March 2006, the Government announced that, having further considered the views expressed about the 2004 draft Bill, it was proposing to amend the 1983 Act rather than replace it.

12. This Bill also amends the MCA. These changes are in response to the 2004 European Court of Human Rights judgment (HLvUK) (the “Bournewood judgment”) involving an autistic man who was kept at Bournewood Hospital by doctors against the wishes of his carers. The European Court of Human Rights found that admission to and retention in hospital of HL under the common law of necessity amounted to a breach of Article 5(1) ECHR (deprivation of liberty) and of Article 5(4) ECHR (right to have lawfulness of detention reviewed by a court).

13. A consultation document on the Bournewood judgement was issued for both England and Wales in March 2005 and the consultation period ended in June 2005. The policy proposals in the Bill have been developed in the light of the consultation responses, and further discussions and consideration in the light of those responses.

Summary

14. The Bill introduces a number of changes to the 1983 Act and the MCA. The following are the main changes to the 1983 Act:

- **definition of mental disorder**: it changes the way the 1983 Act defines mental disorder, so that a single definition applies throughout the 1983 Act, and abolishes references to categories of disorder.
- **criteria for detention**: it introduces a new test of whether a person’s ability to make decisions about medical treatment is significantly impaired because of mental disorder. Unless this test is met, a person may not be detained under Part 2 of the 1983 Act. It also, in effect, applies the so-called “treatability” test (see paragraph 45 below) more widely than at present, with the effect that no-one may be detained for medical treatment under the 1983 Act unless such treatment is likely to alleviate or prevent deterioration in their condition. Both these changes
are included in the Bill as a result of amendments which the Government opposed in the House of Lords.

- **professional roles**: it is broadening the group of practitioners who can take on the role of the approved Social Worker (ASW) and Responsible Medical Officer (RMO).

- **nearest relative (NR)**: it gives to patients the right to make an application to displace their NR and enables county courts to displace a NR where there are reasonable grounds for doing so. The provisions for determining the NR will be amended to include civil partners amongst the list of relatives.

- **supervised community treatment (SCT)**: it introduces SCT for patients following a period of detention in hospital. It is expected that this will allow a small number of patients with a mental disorder to live in the community whilst subject to certain conditions under the 1983 Act, to ensure they continue with the medical treatment they need. Currently some patients leave hospital and do not continue with their treatment, their health deteriorates and they require detention again – the so-called “revolving door”.

- **Mental Health Review Tribunal (MHRT)**: it introduces an order-making power to reduce the time before a case has to be referred to the MHRT by the hospital managers. It also introduces a single Tribunal for England and one in Wales.

- **abolition of finite restriction orders**: it removes the possibility of restriction orders being made for a limited period, so that they may remain in force for as long as the offender's mental health problem poses a risk of harm to others.

15. The changes to the MCA provide for procedures to authorise the deprivation of liberty of a person resident in a hospital or care home who lacks capacity to consent (“Bournewood safeguards”). The MCA principles of supporting a person to make a decision when possible, and acting at all times in the person’s best interests and in the least restrictive manner, will apply to all decision-making in operating the Bournewood safeguards. The context for the Bournewood policy proposals is the Government commitment in the White Paper *Our Health, Our Care, Our Say* that people with ongoing care needs, whether their needs arise in older age, through illness or disability, should be cared for in ways that promote their independence, well-being and choice. Deprivation of liberty should therefore be avoided where possible and would only be authorised if identified by independent assessment as a necessary and proportionate course of action to protect the person from harm.

16. The Government accepts that there will be some people who will need to be cared for in circumstances that deprive them of liberty because it is necessary to do so, in their best interests in order to protect them from harm. The Government does not consider that deprivation of liberty would be justified in large numbers of cases but recognises that such circumstances may arise, for example for some people with severe autism or dementia.

17. The aim of the Bournewood provisions is to provide legal safeguards for those vulnerable people who are deprived of their liberty, to prevent arbitrary decisions to deprive a person of liberty and to give rights of appeal. The safeguards apply to adults who lack capacity to consent to treatment or care, who are suffering from a disorder of the mind but who are not detained under the 1983 Act.
OVERVIEW OF THE STRUCTURE

18. Part 1 sets out the amendments to the 1983 Act. The commentary follows the order of the clauses in Part 1. Part 2 sets out the amendments to the MCA. Part 3 sets out general provisions such as transitional provisions and a power to make consequential amendments.

TERRITORIAL EXTENT

19. For the most part, the Bill has the same extent as the Acts that it amends and therefore generally extends only to England and Wales. See paragraphs 236 to 245 for an explanation of some of the matters relating to Scotland.

20. The 1983 Act has provisions for the transfer of patients to and from Scotland, Northern Ireland, the Channel Islands and the Isle of Man. These are amended by the Bill to make it possible to transfer patients subject to non-resident treatment outside England and Wales (currently this will only apply to patients in Scotland) to SCT in England and Wales and vice versa.

Territorial application: Wales

21. Clause 37 provides for the continuation of the MHRT for Wales, and Schedule 2 to the 1983 Act is amended to provide for the appointment by the Lord Chancellor of a President for that Tribunal.

22. Annex A provides further detail on the provisions of the Bill containing new functions that will transfer, so far as exercisable in relation to Wales, to Welsh Ministers.
COMMENTARY

PART 1 – AMENDMENTS TO MENTAL HEALTH ACT 1983

CHAPTER 1 – CHANGES TO KEY PROVISIONS

Clause 1: removal of categories of mental disorder
23. Clause 1 amends the wording of the definition of mental disorder in the 1983 Act from “mental illness, arrested or incomplete development of mind, psychopathic disorder and any other disability or disorder of mind” to “any disorder or disability of the mind”.

24. The fact that a person suffers from a mental disorder does not, of itself, mean that any action can or should be taken in respect of them under the 1983 Act. Action can be taken only where particular circumstances or criteria set out in the 1983 Act apply.

25. Examples of clinically recognised mental disorders include mental illnesses such as schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, anxiety or depression, as well as personality disorders, eating disorders, autistic spectrum disorders and learning disabilities. Disorders or disabilities of the brain are not regarded as mental disorders unless (and only to the extent that) they give rise to a disability or disorder of the mind as well.

26. The clause also abolishes the four categories of mental disorder used in the 1983 Act at the moment, namely mental illness, mental impairment, psychopathic disorder and severe mental impairment.

Schedule 1: categories of mental disorder - further amendments etc
27. Subsection (4) of clause 1: Part 1 of Schedule 1 replaces references in the 1983 Act to the four categories of mental disorder with references simply to mental disorder. The effect is to widen the application of the provisions in question to all mental disorders, not just those which fall within one of the four categories (or the particular category or categories to which the provision applies). Practical examples of disorders which would now be covered by those provisions are forms of personality disorder which would not be considered legally to be “mental illness” and which do not fall within the current definition of psychopathic disorder because they do not result in abnormally aggressive or seriously irresponsible conduct on the part of the person concerned. Other examples almost certainly include certain types of psychological dysfunction arising from brain injury or damage in adulthood. Part 2 of the Schedule makes similar amendments to certain other Acts.

Clause 2: learning disability
28. Clause 2 provides that for certain provisions of the 1983 Act a person may not be considered to be suffering from a mental disorder simply as a result of having a learning disability, unless that disability is associated with abnormally aggressive or seriously irresponsible conduct on the part of the person concerned.

29. The provisions in question are those which are currently limited to one or more of the four categories of mental disorder which are to be abolished by clause 1. As well as
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criteria for detention they also include criteria for the use of guardianship in section 7 and guardianship orders in section 37.

30. The reference to association with abnormally aggressive or seriously irresponsible conduct is derived from the current definitions of “mental impairment” and “severe mental impairment” (which are removed by clause 1). Accordingly, where the 1983 Act as it stands now effectively precludes the use of detention or other compulsory measures on the basis of a learning disability which is not associated with abnormally aggressive or seriously irresponsible conduct, the same will be true of the Act as amended.

Clause 3: changes to exclusions from operation of the 1983 Act

31. Section 1(3) currently says that the definition of mental disorder shall not be construed as implying that a person may be dealt with under the 1983 Act as suffering from mental disorder “by reason only of promiscuity or other immoral conduct, sexual deviancy or dependence on alcohol or drugs.” Clause 3 substitutes for this a provision to the effect that people are not to be considered to have a mental disorder for the purposes of the Act solely on the grounds of their:

   (a) substance misuse (including dependence upon, or use of, alcohol or drugs)
   (b) sexual identity or orientation
   (c) commission, or likely commission, of illegal or disorderly acts
   (d) cultural, religious or political beliefs.

32. The clause as it stands is the result of an amendment which the Government opposed in the House of Lords.

33. The term “substance misuse” is not defined. The Government considers that, in addition to misuse of and dependence on alcohol or drugs, it is likely to encompass the use of those and other substances which adversely affects the health or social functioning of the person concerned, which puts the health, safety or welfare of that person or any other person at risk, or which is illegal.

34. The effect of the exclusion in paragraph (a) is that no action can be taken under the 1983 Act solely on the basis that a person engages in substance misuse, even if in other contexts that misuse would be considered clinically to be a mental disorder.

35. It does not mean that such people are excluded entirely from the scope of the 1983 Act. A person who engages in substance misuse may also suffer from another disorder which warrants action under the 1983 Act. Nor does it mean that people may never be treated without consent under the 1983 Act for substance misuse. Like treatment for any other condition which is not itself a mental disorder, treatment for substance misuse may be given under the 1983 Act if it forms part of treatment for a condition which is a mental disorder for the purposes of the 1983 Act (see clause 9 for the definition of medical treatment).

36. The effect of paragraph (b) is that no action can be taken under the 1983 Act solely on the basis of a person’s sexual identity or orientation. Like substance misuse, sexual orientation and identity are not defined. The Government understands the former to
mean sexual attraction towards people of the same, or opposite sex, or both, and the latter to mean the terms (for example “heterosexual”, “homosexual” or “bisexual”) by which a person thinks of, or describes, their own sexual orientation (regardless of what that orientation is in objective terms).

37. Sexual orientation and identity in these terms are not clinically recognised mental disorders. However, there are disorders of sexual preference which are recognised clinically as mental disorders. Some of these disorders would probably be considered “sexual deviance” in the terms of the current exclusion in section 1(3) of the 1983 Act (for example paraphilias like fetishism or paedophilia). Such disorders will not be excluded as a result of this clause.

38. The effect of paragraphs (c) and (d) respectively is that no action can be taken under the 1983 Act solely on the basis of the commission, or likely commission, of illegal or disorderly acts, or on the basis of a person’s cultural, religious or political beliefs. The Government understands that someone who has committed a criminal or disorderly act (or is likely to) may nonetheless be found to have a mental disorder, whether or not the criminal or disorderly act is in some way related to that mental disorder. And the fact that a mental disorder manifests itself in disordered beliefs of a cultural, religious or political nature would not prevent those disordered beliefs being taken into account in determining whether a person has a mental disorder for the purposes of the Act.

39. Clinically, neither promiscuity nor “other immoral conduct” by itself is regarded as a mental disorder, so the removal of that exclusion makes no practical difference.

**Criteria for detention under the 1983 Act: overview**

40. A person can be detained under the 1983 Act only where certain criteria are met. Different criteria apply to detention for different purposes. Detention of civil patients is dealt with in Part 2 of the 1983 Act. Admission for assessment can be for up to 28 days and cannot be renewed (although in limited circumstances it can be extended under section 29 pending resolution of proceedings to appoint an acting nearest relative for a patient). Admission for treatment is for up to 6 months in the first place, and can be renewed periodically thereafter. The criteria for admission for assessment are in section 2 of the 1983 Act, the criteria for admission for treatment in section 3. Part 3 of the 1983 Act contains various powers for the courts to order the detention in hospital of people involved in criminal proceedings, either while the proceedings are in progress or as an alternative to punishment. It also contains powers for the Secretary of State (in practice the Home Secretary) to transfer prisoners to hospital for treatment. The criteria in each case are set out in the relevant section.

41. Where a patient is detained for treatment under section 3 or under Part 3, the detention must be renewed periodically. Criteria for this renewal are in section 20 of the 1983 Act. Patients detained for assessment under section 2 or for treatment under section 3 and under certain powers in Part 3 may apply to the MHRT for discharge. The criteria the MHRT must use when deciding the application are set out in sections 72-74.
Clause 4: impaired decision making: admission for assessment and treatment

42. Clause 4 provides that an application for detention in hospital for assessment under section 2 of the 1983 Act or for medical treatment under section 3 may not be made unless the person’s ability to make decisions about the provision of medical treatment is significantly impaired because of mental disorder. Clause 4 is included in the Bill as a result of an amendment which the Government opposed in the House of Lords.

43. While there is no precedent for this provision in legislation in England and Wales a similar provision exists in the Mental Health (Care and Treatment) (Scotland) Act 2003 (an Act of the Scottish Parliament). Volume 2 of the Code of Practice published by the Scottish Executive\(^1\) to accompany that Act states (at paragraph 23 of Chapter 1):

“One difference between incapacity and significantly impaired decision-making ability arguably is that the latter is primarily a disorder of the mind in which a decision is made, resulting in the decision being made on the basis of reasoning coloured by a mental disorder. Incapacity, by contrast, broadly involves a disorder of brain and cognition which implies actual impairments or deficits which prevent or disrupt the decision-making process.”

Clause 5: replacement of “treatability” and “care” tests with appropriate treatment test

44. Clause 5 provides that the availability of appropriate medical treatment is to be a criterion for detention under section 3 of the 1983 Act, related sections of Part 3 and the corresponding criteria for renewal and discharge. Subsection (3) inserts a new subsection (4) into section 3 of the 1983 Act, to the effect that appropriate medical treatment means treatment which is likely to alleviate or prevent a deterioration in the patient’s condition.

45. Subsection (3) of this clause is included in the Bill in its current form as a result of an amendment which the Government opposed in the House of Lords. The effect is to retain and apply more widely the effect of the so-called “treatability” test. The treatability test requires the relevant decision-maker to determine whether medical treatment “is likely to alleviate or prevent deterioration in the patient’s condition”. Currently, under the 1983 Act, where that test forms part of the criteria for detention under a particular section, it applies at all stages to patients suffering from mental impairment or psychopathic disorder (i.e. to the initial decision to detain, and both renewal and discharge from detention). However, for patients suffering from mental illness or severe mental impairment it applies only when detention is being renewed under section 20(4) (or 21B) or when the MHRT is considering discharge in accordance with the criteria in section 72(1)(b). In both these cases there is an alternative test – variously known as the “grave incapacity” or “care” test - which may be applied instead. Both the treatability test and this alternative test are abolished by this clause and replaced by the test of whether appropriate treatment is available, but, because of the way that appropriate medical treatment is defined as a result of the

---

\(^1\) Mental Health (Care and Treatment) (Scotland) Act 2003 Code of Practice Volume 2 - Civil Compulsory Powers (Parts 5, 6, 7 & 20), Scottish Executive, September 21, 2005.
Lords amendment, the effect of that new test is the same as that of the treatability test which it replaces. However, there will no longer be an alternative “grave incapacity” test and, because of the removal of categories of disorder by clause 1, the new test (the “restated treatability test”) applies equally to all mental disorders.

46. As an illustration, the effect of clauses 1, 4 and 5 and paragraph 2 of Schedule 1 on the criteria for applications for admission for treatment under section 3 is as follows:
3 Admission for treatment

(1) A patient may be admitted to a hospital and detained there for the period allowed by the following provisions of this Act in pursuance of an application (in this Act referred to as “an application for admission for treatment”) made in accordance with this section.

(2) An application for admission for treatment may be made in respect of a patient on the grounds that—

(a) he is suffering from mental illness, severe mental impairment, psychopathic disorder or mental impairment and his mental disorder is of a nature or degree which makes it appropriate for him to receive medical treatment in a hospital; and

(aa) because of his mental disorder, his ability to make decisions about the provision of medical treatment is significantly impaired;

(b) in the case of psychopathic disorder or mental impairment, such treatment is likely to alleviate or prevent a deterioration of his condition; and

(c) it is necessary for the health or safety of the patient or for the protection of other persons that he should receive such treatment and it cannot be provided unless he is detained under this section; and

(d) appropriate medical treatment is available for him.

(3) An application for admission for treatment shall be founded on the written recommendations in the prescribed form of two registered medical practitioners, including in each case a statement that in the opinion of the practitioner the conditions set out in subsection (2) above are complied with; and each such recommendation shall include—

(a) such particulars as may be prescribed of the grounds for that opinion so far as it relates to the conditions set out in paragraphs (a) and (d b) of that subsection; and

(b) a statement of the reasons for that opinion so far as it relates to the conditions set out in paragraph (c) of that subsection, specifying whether other methods of dealing with the patient are available and, if so, why they are not appropriate.

(4) In this Act, references to appropriate medical treatment, in relation to a person’s mental disorder, are references to medical treatment which is likely to alleviate or prevent deterioration in his condition.
Clause 6: renewal of detention

47. Clause 6 is included in the Bill as a result of an amendment which the Government opposed. The effect of the clause is to prevent a patient’s responsible clinician (RC) (whether they are a doctor or a member of another profession) renewing the patient’s detention unless they have agreement that the criteria for renewal are met from a registered medical practitioner who has examined the patient. The registered medical practitioner must have been professionally concerned with the medical treatment of the patient or, if no such practitioner is available, the registered medical practitioner must be an approved clinician (AC). (An explanation of the role of the RC can be found under Chapter 2.)

Clause 7: further cases in which appropriate treatment test is to apply

48. Clause 7 also adds the same restated treatability test described under clause 5 above into three other sets of detention criteria in Part 3 of the 1983 Act, by inserting the same requirement that appropriate medical treatment be available. The criteria affected are those in sections 36 (remand for treatment), 48 (transfer of unsentenced prisoners) and section 51(6) (hospital orders where it is impractical or inappropriate to bring a detainee before the court). These provisions do not at present apply to patients suffering from psychopathic disorder or mental impairment and so they do not include the current form of the treatability test to be abolished clause 5. As a result, the restated treatability test will be an additional requirement in these sections, rather than a replacement for an existing test.

Clause 8: appropriate treatment test in Part 4 of the 1983 Act

49. Part 4 of the Act is largely concerned with the medical treatment of patients liable to be detained under the Act. Clause 8 adds a new subsection (3) to section 64, which explains that in Part 4, references to it being appropriate for treatment to be given are references to treatment being likely to alleviate or prevent a deterioration in the patient’s condition. This is relevant only to the new sections 58A (electro-convulsive therapy (ECT), etc…) and 64C (certification requirement – community patients) introduced by clauses 30 and 35 below, respectively. Clause 8 as it stands is the result of amendments which the Government opposed in the House of Lords.

Clause 9: change in definition of “medical treatment”

50. Clause 9 amends the definition of medical treatment in section 145(1) to read:

“medical treatment includes nursing, psychological intervention, and specialist mental health habilitation, rehabilitation and care”.

51. Accordingly, the definition covers medical treatment in its normal sense as well as the other forms of treatment mentioned. Practical examples of psychological interventions include cognitive therapy, behaviour therapy and counselling. “Habilitation” and “rehabilitation” are used in practice to describe the use of specialised services provided by professional staff including nurses, psychologists, therapists and social workers which are designed to improve or modify patients’ physical and mental abilities and social functioning. That can, for example, include helping patients learn to eat by themselves or to communicate for the first time, or preparing them for a return to normal community living. The distinction between habilitation and rehabilitation depends in practice on the extent of patients’ existing
abilities – “rehabilitation” is appropriate only where the patients are relearning skills or abilities they have had before.

Summary of effect of amendments in Chapter 1 of Part 1 (other than the impaired decision-making test in clause 4):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provision</th>
<th>Currently applies to</th>
<th>Will apply in future to</th>
<th>Learning disability provision to apply in future</th>
<th>“Treatability” test applies now</th>
<th>Restated treatability test (“appropriate treatment”) to apply in future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Civil Patients (Part 2 of the Act)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission for assessment for up to 28 days (section 2)</td>
<td>Mental disorder</td>
<td>Mental disorder</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admission for treatment (s3)</td>
<td>MI, MM, PD, SMM</td>
<td>Mental disorder</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Holding power” for patients already in hospital (s5)</td>
<td>Mental disorder</td>
<td>Mental disorder</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guardianship (s7)</td>
<td>MI, MM, PD, SMM</td>
<td>Mental disorder</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients concerned in criminal proceedings (Part 3 of the Act)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remand to hospital for report (s35)</td>
<td>MI, MM, PD, SMM</td>
<td>Mental disorder</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remand to hospital for treatment (s36)</td>
<td>MI, SMM</td>
<td>Mental disorder</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital order (s37)</td>
<td>MI, MM, PD, SMM</td>
<td>Mental disorder</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital order without conviction (s37(3) &amp; 51(5))</td>
<td>MI, SMM</td>
<td>Mental disorder</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interim hospital order (s38)</td>
<td>MI, MM, PD, SMM</td>
<td>Mental disorder</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provision</th>
<th>Currently applies to</th>
<th>Will apply in future to</th>
<th>Learning disability provision to apply in future</th>
<th>“Treatability” test applies now</th>
<th>Restated treatability test (“appropriate treatment”) to apply in future</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hospital and limitation directions (s45A)</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>Mental disorder</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer direction – sentenced prisoner (s47)</td>
<td>MI, MM, PD, SMM</td>
<td>Mental disorder</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer direction – other (s48)</td>
<td>MI, SMM</td>
<td>Mental disorder</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: MI = mental illness, MM = mental impairment, PD = psychopathic disorder, SMM = severe mental impairment

Note: the impaired decision-making test in clause 4 applies only to applications for detention under sections 2 and 3.

Clause 10: the fundamental principles

52. Clause 10 amends section 118 to insert new subsections into the existing provision regarding the requirement to have a Mental Health Act Code of Practice.

53. Clause 10 requires the Secretary of State to include in the Code of Practice a statement of principles that he or she thinks should inform decisions made under the Act.

54. The clause contains a list of issues that needs to be addressed in the preparation of the statement of principles. In preparing the statement of principles the Secretary of State must also have regard to the desirability of ensuring the efficient use of resources and the equitable distribution of services.

55. The responsibility for the Code of Practice in Wales is, as a result of the Government of Wales Act 2006, transferred to Welsh Ministers. The amendments made by this clause will apply to Welsh Ministers.

56. Clause 10 provides that the people listed in section 118(1)(a) and (b) shall have regard to the Code of Practice. This is to confirm in statute the status of the Code of Practice, as elaborated on by the House of Lords in the case of Regina v Ashworth Hospital Authority (now Mersey Care National Health Service Trust) ex parte Munjaz [2005] UKHL 58. Those people listed in section 118(1)(a) and (b) are registered medical practitioners, managers, and staff of hospitals, independent hospitals and care
homes, and approved social workers dealing with patients admitted to hospital, or on
guardianship or SCT under the Act; and registered medical practitioners and members
of other professions dealing with patients suffering from a mental disorder.

CHAPTER 2 – PROFESSIONAL ROLES

Overview

57. Chapter 2 provides for roles which are central to the operation of the 1983 Act
potentially to be performed by a wider range of professionals than at present. In
particular, it replaces the role of the RMO with that of the RC and the ASW with the
AMHP.

58. Under the 1983 Act, the RMO is the registered medical practitioner in charge of the
treatment of the patient. As such, the RMO has various designated functions,
including deciding when patients can be discharged and allowed out on leave. The
identity of the RMO is a question of fact in the circumstances (except in respect of
guardianship where the RMO is the person appointed as such by the local social
services authority (LSSA)). In practice, RMOs are usually consultant psychiatrists.

59. By contrast, the RC may be any practitioner who has been approved for that purpose
(an AC – see below). Approval need not be restricted to medical practitioners, and
may be extended to practitioners from other professions, such as nursing, psychology,
occupational therapy and social work. RCs will take over most of the functions of
RMOs, although some functions currently reserved to RMOs may be taken instead by
another AC, not just the RC. RCs will also have certain new functions in relation to
SCT.

60. Similarly, Chapter 2 replaces the ASW with the AMHP. Under section 114 of the
1983 Act, an LSSA is required to appoint a sufficient number of ASWs to carry out
key functions. These include making applications to admit patients for assessment,
treatment or guardianship.

61. AMHPs will take on the functions of the ASWs, including the function of making
applications for admission and detention in hospital under Part 2 of the 1983 Act. Like
RCs, they are also to have certain new functions in relation to SCT. As well as
social workers a wider group of professionals, for example nurses, occupational
therapists and psychologists, will potentially be eligible for approval as AMHPs as
long as individuals have the right skills, experience and training.

Clause 11: amendments to Part 2 of the 1983 Act

62. Clause 11 makes a number of amendments to Part 2 of the 1983 Act (compulsory
admission to hospital and guardianship) to substitute the RC for the RMO. It inserts
a definition for the RC, which essentially defines the RC as the AC with overall
responsibility for a patient’s case (although there is a slightly different definition in
relation to patients subject to guardianship). In other words, all RCs will be taken
from a pool of ACs who have been approved as capable of fulfilling the
responsibilities of the RC role.
Clause 11 also amends section 5(2) and (3) of the 1983 Act so that an AC, in addition to a registered medical practitioner, may hold an inpatient for up to 72 hours from the time a report is furnished to the hospital managers if the AC thinks an application for admission under the Act should be made.

**Clause 12: amendments to Part 3 of the 1983 Act**

Clause 12 makes similar amendments to Part 3 of the 1983 Act (patients concerned in criminal proceedings etc). It also provides that certain functions currently restricted to registered medical practitioners (who need not be RMOs) will in future be exercisable as well, or instead, by ACs. For example, it will be possible for an AC as well as any registered medical practitioner to be responsible for the report on the medical condition of a person remanded to hospital for that purpose under section 35. The clause does not, however, change the requirements for courts to have evidence from registered medical practitioners before deciding to impose a hospital order or make other orders or remands under Part 3.

**Clause 13: further amendments to Part 3 of the 1983 Act**

Clause 13 makes further similar amendments to Part 3 of the 1983 Act (patients concerned in criminal proceedings etc). As well as replacing references to RMOs with RCs, it provides that certain functions restricted to registered medical practitioners may be exercised instead by ACs. For example, under section 50(1), the Secretary of State will be able to return a patient subject to a restricted transfer direction under section 47 to prison, or discharge the patient under supervision, if he or she is notified either by the patient’s RC or another AC (rather than only another registered medical practitioner) that the patient no longer needs treatment in hospital or appropriate treatment is no longer available.

**Clause 14: amendments to Part 4 of the 1983 Act**

Clause 14 makes similar amendments to Part 4 of the 1983 Act (consent to treatment). In particular, it amends sections 57, 58 and 63. Section 57 concerns treatment that requires the patient’s consent and a second opinion (such as psychosurgery). Section 58 concerns treatment requiring the patient’s consent or a second opinion. Section 63 covers treatment that can be imposed without the patient’s consent (such as medication within the first 3 months and nursing care).

67. The clause amends the provisions of Part 4 so that the AC in charge of the treatment in question has the functions previously held by the RMO, for example signing a certificate to say that a patient is capable and willing to consent to the treatment. In the majority of cases the AC in charge of the treatment will be the patient’s RC, but where, for example, the RC is not qualified to make decisions about a particular treatment (e.g. medication if the RC is not a doctor or a nurse prescriber, then an appropriately qualified AC will be in charge of that treatment, with the RC continuing to retain overall responsibility for the patient’s case).

68. Sections 57 and 58 are also amended to provide that an AC in charge of the treatment in question cannot be the registered medical practitioner to give the second opinion required by those sections. Similarly, the RC cannot be either of the two other persons that this registered medical practitioner consults. This is to ensure that there is an independent assessment of whether treatment should be given.
Clause 15: amendments to Part 5 of the 1983 Act
69. Clause 15 makes similar amendments to Part 5 of the 1983 Act (Mental Health Review Tribunals). For example, it amends sections 67(2) and 76(1) so that an AC as well as a registered medical practitioner can visit and examine the patient for the purposes of a tribunal reference and tribunal application under those provisions.

Clause 16: amendments to other provisions of the 1983 Act
70. Clause 16 makes related amendments to other provisions of the 1983 Act. In particular, it inserts into section 145 a definition of an AC. The Secretary of State and Welsh Ministers will have the function of approving persons to be approved clinicians in relation to England and Wales respectively. It is envisaged that this function will be delegated to appropriate NHS bodies. The professions whose members may be approved and the type of skill and experience required will be set out in directions by the Secretary of State and Welsh Ministers.

Clause 17: amendments of other Acts
71. Clause 17 makes consequential amendments to the Army Act 1955, the Air Force Act 1955, the Naval Discipline Act 1957, the Criminal Procedure (Insanity) Act 1964 and the Armed Forces Act 2006 to replace the term “responsible medical officer” with the term “responsible clinician”, where it is mentioned in those Acts.

Clause 18: certain registered medical practitioners to be treated as approved under section 12 of the 1983 Act
72. Clause 18 amends section 12 of the 1983 Act so that a registered medical practitioner who has been approved as an AC is also approved for the purposes of section 12. Under section 12 of the 1983 Act, at least one of the two doctors recommending detention must be a practitioner who has been approved by the Secretary of State as having special experience in the diagnosis or treatment of mental disorder (in relation to Wales the function of approving practitioners is transferred to the Welsh Ministers under the Government of Wales Act 2006). It is intended that the competencies a registered medical practitioner will require in order to be approved as an AC will be such that they will have the “special experience in the diagnosis or treatment of mental disorder” required for section 12 approval. ACs who are not registered medical practitioners will not be deemed to be section 12 approved.

Clause 19: regulations as to approvals in relation to England and Wales
73. Clause 19 inserts a new section 142A into the 1983 Act which gives the Secretary of State, jointly with Welsh Ministers, the power to set out in regulations the circumstances in which approval in England under section 12 of the Act, and approval as an AC should be considered to mean approval in Wales as well, and vice versa.

Clause 20: approved mental health professionals
74. Clause 20 substitutes a new section 114 in the 1983 Act. It replaces the role of ASWs with that of AMHPs. This will mean that a wider group of professionals, such as nurses, occupational therapists and chartered psychologists will be able to carry out the ASW’s functions as long as individuals have the right skills, experience and training, and are approved by an LSSA to do so. A registered medical practitioner is specifically prohibited from being approved to act as an AMHP. This means that
there will be a mix of professional perspectives at the point in time when a decision is being made regarding a patient’s detention. This does not prevent all those involved from being employed by the NHS, but the skills and training required of AMHPs aim to ensure that they provide an independent social perspective.

75. The definition of an ASW in section 145(1) of the 1983 Act is replaced by the definition of an AMHP in section 114 (see paragraph 11 of Schedule 2). Unlike with ASWs, there is now no requirement that an AMHP be an officer (employee) of an LSSA.

76. LSSAs will approve AMHPs. In doing so they must be satisfied that the individual has appropriate competence in dealing with persons who are suffering from mental disorder and comply with any directions issued by the Secretary of State if the authority’s area is in England, or by Welsh Ministers if the authority’s area is in Wales.

77. The directions may contain different criteria for approval for AMHPs in England and Wales. So an AMHP approved by an LSSA in England may only act on behalf of an English LSSA, and an AMHP approved by a Welsh LSSA may only act on behalf of a Welsh LSSA. This means a Welsh LSSA cannot arrange for an English-approved AMHP to act on their behalf and vice versa. However, it does not mean that a Welsh-approved AMHP cannot make an application to admit a patient in England or convey a patient in England and vice versa. It is also possible for an AMHP with the appropriate competencies to be approved in both territories.

Clause 21: approval of courses etc for approved mental health professionals

78. Clause 21 inserts a new section 114A into the 1983 Act in relation to the approval of courses for AMHPs. This allows the General Social Care Council (GSCC) and the Care Council for Wales (CCW), which are the statutory bodies set up to regulate the social work profession, to approve courses for the training of English and Welsh AMHPs respectively, regardless of the trainees’ profession. To ensure that AMHPs from different professional backgrounds continue to be regulated by their own professional bodies, section 114A(4) states that the functions of an approved mental health professional shall not be considered to be “relevant social work” for the purposes of Part 4 of the Care Standards Act 2000. Part 4 of the Care Standards Act 2000 requires the GSCC and CCW to provide codes of practice for social care workers, which includes “a person who engages in relevant social work”. “Relevant social work” is defined as “social work which is required in connection with any health, education or social services provided by any person”. Making clear that AMHP functions are not “relevant social work” for the purposes of Part 4 of the Care Standards Act means that the GSCC’s and CCW’s codes of practice do not apply to AMHPs who are not social workers.

Clause 22: amendments to section 62 of the Care Standards Act 2000

79. Although AMHP functions are not to be considered “relevant social work” for the purposes of Part 4 of the Care Standards Act 2000, clause 22 provides that the GSCC’s and CCW’s codes of practice will continue to apply to social workers when carrying out AMHP functions.
Clause 23: approved mental health professionals - further amendments and Schedule 2

80. Clause 23 introduces Schedule 2 which makes further amendments to the 1983 Act in relation to ASWs.

81. ASWs are responsible for assessing whether an application for a patient’s admission under the Act should be made. They arrange and co-ordinate the assessment, taking into account all factors to determine if detention in hospital is the best option for a patient or if there is a less restrictive alternative. The Bill allows assessments for admission to be undertaken by an AMHP, who might, for example, be a nurse, occupational therapist or chartered psychologist, as well as a social worker. Paragraph 5 of Schedule 2 amongst other things amends section 13(1) of the 1983 Act so that LSSAs who have reason to think that an application for admission to hospital or a guardianship application may need to be made in respect of a patient within their area shall have a duty to arrange for an AMHP to consider the patient’s case on their behalf. Where a patient is detained for assessment under section 2, and the LSSA that arranged for an AMHP to consider that admission has reason to think that an application for treatment may be needed under section 3, new subsections (1B) and (1C) of section 13 place a duty on that LSSA to arrange for an AMHP to consider the patient’s case on their behalf. The duties under sections 13(1), (1B) and (1C) do not prevent another LSSA from arranging for an AMHP to consider a patient’s case. Subsection (5) of section 13, as amended by paragraph (6) of Schedule 2, makes clear that any other LSSA also has the power to do so. The effect of the amendments to section 13 is to provide for LSSAs to continue to have a role in ensuring that there is an adequate AMHP service, whether they choose to run the AMHP service or enter into agreements with other LSSAs and/or NHS organisations.

82. Because AMHPs will no longer always be employed by a LSSA, section 145 is amended to provide in new subsection (1AC) that references to an AMHP in the 1983 Act are generally to be read as ones to an AMHP carrying out their functions on behalf of a LSSA. This is to retain the link between the AMHP and an LSSA even though the AMHP no longer needs to be employed by an LSSA.

Clause 24 – Children and young people

83. This clause is included in the Bill as a result of an amendment which the Government opposed. Clause 24 inserts new sections 142B, 142C and 142D into the 1983 Act.

84. Section 142B provides that a clinician with specialist training in child or adolescent mental health shall assess, at the time of an application for assessment and treatment for a mental disorder on a voluntary or compulsory basis, the needs of all under 18s, and a PCT for England (and equivalent health board for Wales) shall provide such services and accommodation as meet the needs of that child or adolescent.

85. Section 142C provides that a qualified child and adolescent registered medical practitioner shall be one of the two registered medical practitioners required to make a recommendation that a patient under 18 be admitted for assessment or treatment under section 2 or 3, except in an emergency where no child or adolescent mental health specialist is available.
86. Section 142D provides that the responsible clinician for a patient under 18 shall be a child and adolescent mental health specialist, except in an emergency where no such specialist is available.

Clause 25: conflicts of interest

87. Clause 25 introduces a power to enable regulations to be made by the Secretary of State in respect of England and the Welsh Ministers in respect of Wales setting out when, because of an actual or apparent conflict of interest:

- an AMHP may not make an application for admission to hospital or guardianship under section 2, 3 or 7 of the Act; and
- a medical practitioner may not provide a medical recommendation accompanying such an application.

The power replaces the provisions of s12(3) to (7), which set out when a medical practitioner may not provide a medical recommendation in support of an application, because of their position either in relation to the applicant, the patient or the other practitioner providing a medical recommendation.

CHAPTER 3 – SAFEGUARDS FOR PATIENTS

Clauses 26-29: Patient's nearest relative

88. Sections 26-29 of the 1983 Act provide for the role of the nearest relative (NR) of patients. The 1983 Act provides a list of persons who may act in this role, the person appointed usually being the highest in that list, starting with any spouse or, if there is none, the eldest son or daughter, and so on. The NR has certain rights in connection with the care and treatment of a mentally disordered patient under the 1983 Act, including the right to apply for admission to hospital, the right to block an admission for treatment, the right to discharge a patient from compulsion and the right to certain information about the patient. NRs may not exercise their rights in respect of patients subject to special restrictions under Part 3 of the 1983 Act.

89. Clause 26 introduces a new right for a patient to apply for an order displacing the NR on the same grounds currently in existence for other applicants, and on the additional ground that the NR is unsuitable to act as such. The table below summarises possible grounds for applications and who may make them. The provision also amends the basis upon which a court may make such an order. It changes the requirement that the acting NR be, in the court’s opinion, a “proper person” to act as the NR to whether the person is, in the court’s opinion, a “suitable” person to act. Clause 26 also amends section 29 to provide that where the person nominated by the applicant is, in the court’s opinion, not “suitable” or there is no nomination, the court can appoint any other person it thinks is “suitable”.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Possible grounds for an application</th>
<th>Possible applicants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>29(3)(a) – the patient has no NR</td>
<td>AMHP replacing ASW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29(3)(b) – the NR is too ill to act</td>
<td>Currently provided for</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29(3)(c) – the NR unreasonably blocks admission</td>
<td>Currently provided for</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29(3)(d) – the NR has or is likely to discharge the patient without due regard</td>
<td>Currently provided for</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29(3)(e) – the NR is unsuitable</td>
<td>New provision</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AMHP replacing ASW</th>
<th>Currently provided for</th>
<th>Currently provided for</th>
<th>Currently provided for</th>
<th>Currently provided for</th>
<th>New provision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relative</td>
<td>Currently provided for</td>
<td>Currently provided for</td>
<td>Currently provided for</td>
<td>Currently provided for</td>
<td>New provision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Someone living with the patient</td>
<td>Currently provided for</td>
<td>Currently provided for</td>
<td>Currently provided for</td>
<td>Currently provided for</td>
<td>New provision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient</td>
<td>New provision</td>
<td>New provision</td>
<td>New provision</td>
<td>New provision</td>
<td>New provision</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

90. In this way, an NR who has, for example, in the past subjected a patient to physical abuse, may, upon application to the court by the patient, be removed from exercising the rights of the NR by order of the court. In the application, the patient can nominate another person to act as the NR. Unless the court finds that person to be unsuitable, or decides not to displace the current NR, the person will be made the acting NR.

91. An application for displacement can also be made by an AMHP, another relative or anyone living with the patient (or if the patient is an in-patient in a hospital, anyone with whom the patient was living before he was admitted). So long as the court orders the displacement of the current NR, then whomever the applicant nominates will be made the acting NR, unless the court finds that person to be unsuitable to act as such.

92. Clause 27 introduces a new right for the patient to apply to discharge - or vary - an order appointing an acting NR. A NR displaced under the new ground will also be able to apply for such an order, but the NR must first obtain leave of the court. The court can currently appoint an acting NR only for a limited period; clause 27 will allow the court to make an appointment for an indefinite period.
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93. This will therefore enable a person who has been made the acting NR to seek to have that order ended. The order displacing the NR - and appointing an acting NR - continues even when the displaced NR ceases to be the first person in the list of relatives. In these circumstances, the patient can apply to have the court order discharged. The new person at the top of the list will then become the NR.

94. An application by the displaced NR for the discharge of the order which displaced him as NR will only be heard if the court first agrees. Spurious or malicious applications can therefore be stopped before the patient is brought into the process.

95. Clause 28 will limit applications to the MHRT from displaced NRs, to those NRs displaced on grounds set out in sections 29(3)(c) or 29(3)(d) (see table above). A person who has been displaced as the NR because he or she is too ill to act, or unsuitable to act, will not have the right to apply to the MHRT. This right will continue to be extended to NRs displaced on other grounds.

96. Clause 29 amends the list in sections 26 and 27 of the Act of those persons who may act in the role of NR of a patient, by giving a civil partner equal status to a husband or wife.

Consent to treatment: overview

97. Part 4 of the 1983 Act deals with the medical treatment of patients, other than (for most purposes) patients subject to a community treatment order (CTO) who have not been recalled to hospital. See below for an explanation of CTOs.

98. Section 57 provides that certain treatments may not be given to any patient for mental disorder (whether or not they are otherwise subject to the 1983 Act) unless the patient consents, a SOAD and two other people appointed by the Mental Health Act Commission have certified that the patient is capable of giving that consent (and has done so) and the SOAD has additionally certified that the treatment should be given. The treatments in question are any surgical operation for destroying brain tissue or its functioning (sometimes called “psychosurgery”) and, by virtue of regulations under subsection (1)(b), surgical implantation of hormones for the purpose of reducing male sex drive (a procedure which is now effectively redundant).

99. Section 58 provides that patients who are liable to be detained under the 1983 Act (subject to certain exclusions set out in section 56) may not, in general, be given certain treatments unless they consent and that consent is certified by their RMO (in future RC) or a SOAD, or alternatively unless a SOAD certifies that they either cannot or will not consent to the treatment, but that it should nonetheless be given. (Clause 34 applies section 58 also to patients who are subject to a CTO and who have been recalled to hospital (subject to certain exceptions).) Section 58 applies to medication once three months have passed since the patient was first given medication while detained – or in future subject to a CTO – under the Act. By virtue of regulations under subsection (1)(a) it also applies to ECT, without any initial period.
Sections 57 and 58 are subject to:

- section 59, which provides that consent or a certificate under either of those sections may relate to a plan of treatment instead of an individual treatment
- section 60, which provides that a patient who withdraws consent to treatment or to all or any part of a plan of treatment, is to be treated from that point onwards as being someone who does not consent to the treatment(s) in question
- section 61, which imposes requirements on RMOs to report to the Secretary of State (in practice MHAC) on treatment given on the basis of a SOAD certificate and permits the Secretary of State (MHAC) to withdraw such a certificate
- section 62 which disapplies sections 57 and 58 where treatment is immediately necessary and meets certain criteria and in certain cases where the discontinuance of treatment would cause the patient serious suffering.

Section 63 provides that patients liable to be detained (and not excluded by section 56) may be treated by or under the direction of their RMO (in future AC in charge of the treatment) without their consent, where the treatment concerned is not one to which section 57 or 58 applies.

**Clauses 30 - 31: Consent to treatment**

Clause 30 introduces a new provision that provides that ECT and any other treatment provided for by regulations made under section 58 can only be given when the patient either gives consent, or he or she is incapable of giving consent. This provision is subject to the emergency provisions at section 62. This is to ensure that if a patient is not consenting, or is incapable of consent, he or she can still receive treatment in the urgent circumstances set out in section 62 if there is insufficient time to arrange for a SOAD.

Where the patient consents, that consent must be certified by either the AC in charge of the patient’s treatment or a SOAD.

Where the patient is incapable of consent, the SOAD must certify that the patient is not capable of understanding the purpose, nature and likely effects of the treatment and that the SOAD agrees that it is appropriate for the patient to receive the treatment. Before doing so the SOAD must first consult two other persons, one must be a nurse concerned with the patient’s medical treatment and the second must be another person professionally concerned with the patient’s medical treatment who is neither a nurse nor a doctor nor the RC.

The SOAD is not able to make such a certificate if to do so would conflict with:

- an advance decision of the patient not to receive the treatment, which the SOAD is satisfied is valid and applies in the circumstances as they exist to that treatment, or
- a decision made by a deputy or donee as defined by the MCA, where the deputy or donee has the authority to refuse such treatment on behalf of the patient, or
- an order of the Court of Protection or any other court whose jurisdictions extends to such matters.
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106. Before making regulations regarding the proposed section 58A the Secretary of State for England and the Welsh Ministers for Wales shall consult any such bodies as appear to them to be concerned.

107. Clause 31 provides for consequential amendments to sections 58, 59, 60, 61, 62 and 63 to take account of the new section 58A.

CHAPTER 4 – SUPERVISED COMMUNITY TREATMENT

Overview

108. The SCT provisions will allow some patients with a mental disorder to live in the community whilst still subject to powers under the 1983 Act. Patients subject to SCT remain under compulsion and liable to recall to hospital for treatment. Only those patients who have been detained in hospital for treatment will be eligible for SCT. In order for a patient to be placed on SCT, various criteria need to be met. An AMHP also needs to agree that SCT is appropriate. Patients who are on SCT will be made subject to conditions whilst living in the community. Conditions will depend on their individual and family circumstances. Conditions will form part of the patient’s CTO which is made by the RC. Patients on SCT may be recalled to hospital for treatment should this become necessary. Afterwards they may then resume living in the community or, if they need to be treated as an in-patient again, their RC may revoke the CTO and the patient remains in hospital for the time being.

109. SCT differs from after-care under supervision (ACUS) (as provided for by sections 25A to 25J of the 1983 Act). Under SCT a person must require medical treatment which cannot be provided unless that person is liable to recall to hospital, whereas the basic criterion for ACUS is that supervision is necessary to secure that the person receives after-care services and there is no liability for recall to hospital. SCT is different from leave (as provided for by section 17 of the 1983 Act), which remains suitable for a patient as a means of giving shorter term leave of absence from hospital, as part of the patient's overall management as a detained patient.

Clause 32: community treatment orders, etc

110. Clause 32 introduces new sections 17A-17G of the 1983 Act which set out how CTOs are to be made, and how they will work.

111. Under new section 17A, the RC may make a CTO in respect of a patient detained under section 3 or Part 3 (without restrictions) of the 1983 Act, if they are satisfied that the criteria for SCT (i.e. the relevant criteria) are met, and an AMHP agrees that a CTO is appropriate for that patient. The CTO, and the AMHP’s agreement to it, will be in writing. If the RC is not a medical practitioner (i.e. a doctor), a doctor must additionally examine the patient and make a written recommendation in the prescribed form that the relevant criteria are met before a CTO can be made. The doctor must either be professionally concerned with the patient’s treatment or an approved clinician. The requirement for a RC who is not a doctor to additionally obtain the
written agreement of a doctor was inserted into the Bill by an amendment which the Government opposed in the House of Lords.

112. The criteria that the patient must meet - in order to be suitable for SCT - are specified in section 17A(5). The criteria were changed by an amendment which the Government opposed in the House of Lords:

- the patient must need medical treatment for their mental disorder for their own health or safety, or for the protection of others
- for Part 2 (civil) patients only, the patient’s ability to make decisions about the provision of medical treatment must be significantly impaired because of their mental disorder
- it must be necessary for the protection of others from serious harm that the patient receives treatment
- it must be possible for the patient to receive the treatment they need without having to be detained in hospital, provided that the patient can be recalled to hospital for treatment should this become necessary
- the patient must, on at least one occasion prior to the present admission under section 3, have refused medical treatment and that refusal must have led to a significant relapse in their mental or physical condition justifying compulsory admission to hospital and also, during that admission, must have received compulsory medical treatment which alleviated or prevented a deterioration in their condition
- appropriate medical treatment for the patient must be available whilst living in the community.

113. Patients who are subject to a CTO are referred to in the legislation as community patients.

114. Section 17B requires that CTOs specify conditions to which a community patient will be subject. An example of a condition that may be included is that the patient is to reside at a particular place. The RC and an AMHP must agree the conditions. The RC may vary the conditions, or suspend any of them.

115. The conditions specified under section 17B (with the exception of section 17B(3)(d)) are not in themselves enforceable but, if a patient fails to comply with any condition, the RC may take that into account when considering if it is necessary to use the recall power (section 17B(6)). However, if the criteria for recall are met, the recall power may still be exercised even if the patient is complying with the conditions (section 17B(7)). A patient cannot be recalled unless the criteria for recall in section 17E are met.

116. Section 17C specifies the duration of a CTO. A patient’s CTO will end either if the period of the CTO runs out and the CTO is not extended, or the patient is discharged from the powers of the 1983 Act. It will also end if the RC revokes the CTO following the patient’s recall to hospital under section 17F or, for Part 3 patients, if the CTO they were placed on was time-specific and runs out.
Section 17D sets out the effect of a CTO on certain other provisions of the 1983 Act. The application for admission for treatment under which the patient was detained remains in force, but the hospital managers’ authority to detain the patient under section 6(2) is suspended whilst the patient remains a community patient. The authority to detain the patient will not expire while it is suspended. However, when a patient’s CTO ends, the patient will be discharged absolutely from SCT. Should an application for admission for treatment still remain in force, this will also end.

Section 17D(2)(b) provides that where the 1983 Act mentions patients who are “detained” or “liable to be detained”, this does not include community patients. Where it is intended that a provision should apply to community patients, the 1983 Act is being amended to make this clear. In addition, references in other legislation to patients who are detained, or liable to be detained, do not include community patients.

Section 17E provides that a community patient may be recalled to hospital if the RC decides that the patient needs to receive treatment for his or her mental disorder in a hospital and that, without this treatment, there would be a risk of harm to the patient’s health or safety, or to other people. The recall notice will trigger the hospital managers’ authority to re-detain the patient (section 17E(6)). A community patient may be recalled even if the patient is in hospital at the time. This could happen, for example, if the patient goes to hospital but then refuses the treatment that the RC considers is needed, and the patient, or someone else, would be at risk if the patient does not receive that treatment.

Under section 17E(2), there is also a power to recall a patient to hospital if the patient fails to comply with a condition under section 17B(3)(d) that specifies that patients must make themselves available for examination. This allows the RC to examine a patient to assess whether a patient’s CTO should be renewed and also allows a SOAD to examine the patient in order to meet the certificate requirement in sections 64B and 64E (see below).

Section 17F sets out the powers which apply to a patient who is recalled to hospital under section 17E. If the RC decides that the patient meets the 1983 Act’s criteria for detention in hospital (set out in section 3(2)), the RC may, subject to an AMHP’s agreement that it is appropriate, revoke the patient’s CTO under section 17F(4). If the RC is not a doctor, a doctor must additionally examine the patient and make a written recommendation in the prescribed form that the criteria are met (section 17F(5)). An RC who is not a doctor is obliged to comply with the requirement to seek the opinion of a doctor but not to agree with that opinion in the event that it does not conclude that the criteria for detention are met. The doctor must either be professionally concerned with the patient’s treatment or an approved clinician. This latter requirement was inserted into the Bill by an amendment which the Government opposed in the House of Lords.

The RC can only recall a patient for a maximum of 72 hours without revoking the CTO. Therefore, the RC may release a recalled patient from detention at any time within the first 72 hours, provided the CTO has not been otherwise revoked. On release, the patient continues to remain subject to the CTO.
Section 17G provides that when a CTO is revoked (so that the patient is no longer a community patient), the authority to detain the patient under section 6(2) applies (unless the patient is a Part 3 patient), exactly as if the patient had never been a community patient. In addition, all the 1983 Act’s provisions apply to the patient as they did when the patient was first admitted to hospital for treatment before the CTO was made (unless the 1983 Act provides otherwise).

Clause 32 also inserts new sections 20A and 20B which set out how long CTOs will last, and how they can be extended. A new CTO will initially last for 6 months from the date when the order was made. The order can then be extended for a further 6 months and, following that, it can be extended repeatedly for periods of one year at a time. For a CTO to be renewed under section 20A, the RC must examine the patient and furnish a report to the hospital managers confirming that the conditions, as set out in section 20A(6), are met.

The RC must also have the agreement in writing of an AMHP before the report required under section 20A can be furnished. And if the RC is not a doctor, the RC must ensure that the patient is examined by a doctor and the CTO cannot then be made unless the doctor agrees the relevant criteria for the CTO are still met. The doctor must either be professionally concerned with the patient’s treatment or an approved clinician. These requirements were inserted into the Bill by an amendment which the Government opposed in the House of Lords.

A patient may be recalled to hospital for the purpose of examining the patient to determine whether the CTO should be renewed.

Clause 33: relationship with leave of absence

Clause 33 makes provision in respect of the relationship of SCT with other powers in the 1983 Act concerning leave of absence. It amends the provisions in the Act which authorise leave of absence from hospital (section 17). Before granting longer term leave of over 7 consecutive days (or where leave is extended so the total leave granted exceeds 7 consecutive days), a RC must consider whether SCT is the more appropriate way of managing the patient in the community.

Clause 34: consent to treatment

Clause 34 replaces section 56 of the 1983 Act which sets out the patients to whom Part 4 of the Act, which deals with consent to treatment, applies. A community patient will not be subject to Part 4 unless recalled to hospital.

On recall, a patient may be treated on the basis of the Part 4A certificate if that certificate specifies treatment as being appropriate in these circumstances. If the certificate does not specify any such treatment, then (if it is section 58-type treatment) it cannot be given on recall without the patient’s consent, unless or until its administration is permitted under Part 4. However, if a section 58 certificate was in place before the CTO was made, and covers the patient’s current treatment needs, there is no need for new section 58 certificate.
130. A section 58 certificate is not required in circumstances where:

- discontinuing the treatment or the plan of treatment at that point would cause serious suffering to the patient as provided for under new section 62A(5)
- the treatment is immediately necessary: immediately necessary treatment can be given under section 62 of the 1983 Act
- in the case of medication regulated by section 58(1)(b) the patient is still within the period described above (i.e. either one month has not elapsed from the time when the CTO was made or the three month period from when treatment was first given to the patient has not elapsed).

131. It is not necessary to meet the certificate requirement before treatment can be given in emergencies to a patient in the community where that patient consents to treatment or, for patients who lack capacity, where an attorney, deputy or the Court of Protection consents to it on the patient’s behalf.

**Clause 35: authority to treat**

132. Clause 35 introduces a new Part 4A into the 1983 Act to regulate the treatment of community patients whilst in the community i.e. when they are not recalled to hospital. Community patients aged 16 or over with capacity can only be treated in the community if they consent to that treatment. New section 64B gives the authority to treat adult patients who have the capacity to consent in the community only.

133. Community patients aged 16 or over who lack the capacity to consent to treatment in the community can be treated in the community if a donee of lasting power of attorney (an “attorney”) or deputy or the Court of Protection consents to treatment on their behalf and there is authority to give treatment under new section 64D (e.g. where the patient does not object to treatment). If the treatment conflicts with an advance decision or a decision made by an attorney or deputy or the Court of Protection it also cannot be given to the patient.

134. Children aged under 16 can also be made subject to a CTO. As with adults who have capacity, treatment cannot be given to a child in the community who is competent to consent and does not consent to it. New section 64F provides the authority to treat a child who lacks competence in the community. Similar conditions must be met in order to treat a child lacking competence as for an adult who lacks capacity.

135. In emergencies, force can be used to give treatment to patients who lack capacity or to children who lack competence. New section 64G sets out how and when treatment can be given in these situations. Force can be used to give treatment only if it is immediately necessary, prevents harm to the patient and is a proportionate response to the likelihood of the patient suffering harm and to the seriousness of the harm. In other circumstances force may be used to treat a patient who has not been recalled to hospital if the patient does not object. The factors to be considered by a practitioner in determining whether a patient objects to treatment are outlined in new section 64J.

136. All community patients receiving the type of treatment which falls under section 58 of the 1983 Act must have that treatment certified by a SOAD in accordance with the provisions of Part 4A. For treatment specified in section 58(1)(b) (i.e. medication) a
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certificate does not have to be in place immediately for a community patient, but must be in place after a certain period. This period is one month from when a patient leaves hospital or three months from when the medication was first given to the patient (whether that medication was given in the community or in hospital), whichever is later. The SOAD must certify in writing that it is appropriate for the treatment to be given.

137. The SOAD may specify within the certificate that certain treatment can be given to the patient only if certain conditions are satisfied: so, for example, the SOAD can specify that a particular antipsychotic and dosage can only be given if the patient has the capacity to consent to it in the community and does consent to it. The SOAD can also specify whether and if so what treatments can be given to the patient on recall to hospital and the circumstances in which the treatment can be given. For example, the SOAD can specify, if appropriate, that an antipsychotic can be given to the patient on recall without the patient’s consent.

138. The following table summarises when patients can be treated in the community and the safeguards that are in place for the review of section 58-type treatment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients 16 and over with capacity to consent AND patients under 16 with competence</th>
<th>Patients 16 and over without capacity to consent</th>
<th>Patients under 16 without competence to consent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCT patient in the community</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PART 4A regulates treatment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Patient must consent to treatment</td>
<td>• Can treat if an attorney or deputy or the Court of Protection consents under s64B</td>
<td>• Can treat a patient under s64E provided patient does not object to treatment or it is not necessary to use force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Authority to treat patient under s64B (adults) or s64E (children)</td>
<td>• Can treat a patient under s64D provided patient does not object to treatment or it is not necessary to use force (unless the treatment conflicts with decision of an attorney, deputy or Court of Protection or advanced decision)</td>
<td>• Can be treated in emergencies with force but only if it is proportionate under s64F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• For s58 type treatment there must be a SOAD certificate in place: for medication this must be within a certain period</td>
<td>• Can be treated in emergencies with force but only if it is immediately necessary and the use of force is proportionate under s64G</td>
<td>• For s58 type treatment there must be a SOAD certificate in place: for medication this must be within a certain period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• But certificate requirement need not be complied with where treatment is immediately necessary</td>
<td>• For s58 type treatment there must be a SOAD certificate in place: for medication this must be within a certain period</td>
<td>• But it is not necessary to comply with the certificate requirement where treatment is immediately necessary or under section s64G</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 The certain period is one month from when a patient leaves hospital or three months from when the medication was first given to the patient (whether that medication was given in the community or in hospital), whichever is later.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCT patient on recall to hospital or where SCT is revoked</th>
<th>PART 4 regulates treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section 58 type treatment can be given without consent under s62A where:</td>
<td>Section 58 type treatment can be given without consent under s62A where:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• SOAD certificate is in place certifying that it is appropriate to give the treatment (s62A 3(a))* or</td>
<td>• SOAD certificate is in place certifying that it is appropriate to give the treatment (s62A 3(a))* or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• For medication regulated by s58 (1) (b) the patient is still within the certain period (s62A 3 (b)) or</td>
<td>• For medication, regulated by s58 (1) (b), the patient is still within the certain period (s62A3(b)) or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Discontinuing the treatment or plan of treatment would cause serious suffering to the patient under s62A (5) or</td>
<td>• Discontinuing the treatment or plan of treatment would cause serious suffering to the patient under s62A(5) or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment is immediately necessary under s62</td>
<td>Treatment is immediately necessary under s62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Or if none of the above is satisfied a new s58 certificate is required to treat the patient.</td>
<td>Or if none of the above is satisfied a new s58 certificate is required to treat the patient.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* where an SCT patient’s CTO is revoked, relevant treatment can be given if the Part 4A certificate requirement is met, but only pending compliance with s58.</td>
<td>* where an SCT patient’s CTO is revoked, relevant treatment can be given if the Part 4A certificate requirement is met, but only pending compliance with s58.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Schedule 3: SCT and further amendment of the 1983 Act**

139. Schedule 3 sets out the detailed amendments to the 1983 Act which are needed to enable the introduction of SCT. The ones of particular note are described below.

140. In relation to absence without leave, under amended section 18(2A), a community patient who has been recalled to hospital can be taken into custody and returned to the hospital (whether the patient has not arrived there or has absconded). Any AMHP, officer on the staff of the hospital, a constable, or anyone authorised in writing by the RC or hospital managers may exercise this power. A community patient cannot be taken into custody after his or her CTO ceases to be in force, or six months have elapsed since the patient was first absent without leave, whichever is the later. (This
mirrors the provisions for detained patients and those subject to guardianship.) The authority to take such a patient into custody will therefore last until at least six months after the first day of absence.

141. If extension of a community patient’s CTO does not take effect before the patient’s first day of absence without leave, then the period during which the patient can be taken into custody is not extended by the extension of the order.

142. Sections 21, 21A and 21B are amended to provide certain provisions relating to community patients absent without leave. If a community patient:

- is absent without leave on the day the patient’s CTO would have expired, or during the preceding week, the CTO is extended for a week after the patient returns or is returned to hospital
- is absent without leave on the day when the 72 hour period for recall is up, the 72-hour period effectively begins again when the patient is taken into custody, or returns voluntarily to the hospital, subject to the time limits as for detained patients
- returns or is returned to hospital within 28 days of the first day of his or her absence without leave, the RC has a week after the patient’s return to carry out the examination and make his or her report for the extension of the CTO, if the CTO would have otherwise expired
- returns, or is returned, to hospital more than 28 days after the patient was first absent without leave, the RC has a week after the patient’s return to examine the patient, and, if the RC decides that the patient meets the criteria for SCT, prepare a report for the hospital managers extending the CTO.

143. Section 22 is amended so that community patients, like those detained for treatment, who are imprisoned for more than six months (or for successive periods exceeding six months in total) are no longer subject to the Act upon their release.

144. Community patients can be absolutely discharged from SCT (and therefore liability to recall to hospital), under amended section 23, by the RC, hospital managers of the responsible hospital or by the NR, in the same way as patients can be discharged from detention.

145. Where the NR makes an order for the discharge of a community patient under amended section 24, any registered medical practitioner can visit or examine the patient and access records relating to the patient, just as for detained patients.

146. The restriction on discharge by a NR applies to community patients in the same way as it does to detained patients. The NR must give 72 hours notice in writing to the managers if they wish to make the order and the RC can bar the order for discharge from taking effect, if a report is made that certifies that the patient is likely to act in a dangerous manner if discharged from SCT.

147. An application can be made to the county court to appoint or replace a community patient’s NR.
148. A community patient may apply to the MHRT, under amended section 66, when a CTO is made, when it is revoked, when it is extended after six months or a year (as appropriate) and when an order is extended after the patient has been absent without leave for more than 28 days. A NR may also apply to the MHRT if the NR makes a discharge order which is not put into effect because the RC reports that the patient would be likely to act in a dangerous manner if discharged; or if he or she is displaced by a court order as allowed under section 29(1)(c) or (d) of the 1983 Act. The hospital managers must refer a patient to the MHRT if a CTO is revoked.

149. Community patients who were under a hospital order before being made subject to a CTO may make an application to the MHRT in the second six months of the patients being subject to the CTO. The power under section 66 to apply to a Tribunal when a CTO is made or revoked cannot be exercised until six months after the date of the hospital order. The NR of such a patient may apply to the MHRT whenever the patient has a right to apply. The Secretary of State can refer a case of a community patient to the MHRT, in the same way as for detained patients. In relation to Wales the power to refer patients has been transferred to the Welsh Ministers.

150. The MHRT must direct the discharge of a community patient under amended section 72(2)(c) if the MHRT is not satisfied as to any of the following:

- the patient needs medical treatment for mental disorder for his or her own health or safety, or for the protection of others
- it is necessary for the patient’s health or safety or the protection of others that he or she should be liable to be recalled to hospital for treatment
- appropriate medical treatment is available for the patient.

The MHRT has a new power (section 72(3A)) in respect of a patient detained under section 3 of the Act, or subject to a hospital order or direction. The MHRT may recommend that the RC consider if a CTO for the patient should be made, where it does not discharge such a patient. When considering whether to discharge a patient the MHRT need not direct the discharge of a patient just because they think SCT might be appropriate for the patient.

151. The special procedures in section 141 of the 1983 Act to be followed if an MP (or a member of the National Assembly for Wales, Scottish Parliament or Northern Ireland Assembly) is detained on the grounds of mental disorder do not apply to community patients.

CHAPTER 5 – MENTAL HEALTH REVIEW TRIBUNALS

Clause 36: references to Mental Health Review Tribunal (MHRT)

152. The MHRT is an independent judicial body with the power to order the discharge of a patient from detention for assessment and/or treatment and from guardianship under the 1983 Act. The MHRT reviews a patient’s case either on application from the patient or the patient’s NR, on referral from the Secretary of State (which function in relation to Wales has been transferred to the Welsh Ministers) or, if the MHRT has not reviewed the case within a given period, on referral by hospital managers. Under
the 1983 Act, section 68 sets out the provisions for when hospital managers must make a referral. Clause 36 amends this section so that it applies to a wider group of patients (those who are still subject to section 2 at the point of referral and patients who are on a CTO).

153. Under the 1983 Act, hospital managers are required to refer a patient’s case to the MHRT at six months from the beginning of the detention for treatment or the patient’s transfer from guardianship to hospital if the patient has not applied for a tribunal themselves, if an application has not been made on their behalf or if they have not been referred to the MHRT by the Secretary of State. Under clause 36, hospital managers will be required to refer the patient at six months from the day on which the patient was first detained, whether under section 2 for assessment, section 3 for treatment, or the day on which they were detained in hospital following a transfer from guardianship (this is defined as the “applicable day” at section 68(5)). This will make the referral period the same for all patients whether they have first been detained for treatment or for assessment. This six month time period can be reduced by order of the Secretary of State or Welsh Ministers under section 68A. The provision enables the order to include any consequential details that may be required to ensure that patients who are transferred from England to Wales or vice versa between the period of referral in one territory and the other do not miss out on a referral to the MHRT by virtue of the transfer.

154. Clause 36 also removes the requirement that hospital managers are only under a duty to make a subsequent referral to the MHRT upon the renewal of patient’s detention. Under the 1983 Act, hospital managers are required to refer patients whose authority for detention has been renewed if three years have passed (or one year for patients aged under 16 years) and the MHRT has not reviewed the case in that time. In practice, it can be up to four years before a patient’s case is considered by the MHRT if the patient does not apply, because a renewal only happens once a year, and the referral cannot take place until the detention is next renewed. By removing the link between renewal and subsequent referrals, the only requirement for subsequent referrals is that the MHRT has not considered the patient’s case in three years (or one year if the patient is under 16). The order making power at section 68A will also enable the three year and one year period to be reduced. As a further consequence, patients who are absent without leave (AWOL) at the point at which they should be referred to the MHRT (the three year time period has passed) must be referred on their return to hospital.

155. The provision allowing a registered medical practitioner to visit and examine the patient for the purposes of gathering information in preparation for the MHRT is extended to allow ACs to visit and examine, and is extended to cover patients who are on a CTO.

156. Finally the clause amends Schedule 1 to the 1983 Act to ensure that the new provisions continue to apply where appropriate to unrestricted Part 3 patients (i.e. mentally disordered offenders not subject to the special restrictions under section 41 of the 1983 Act). Only those Part 3 patients who are transferred from a guardianship order to a hospital order qualify for a referral by the hospital managers after the first six months. Part 3 patients placed on a hospital order will not be entitled to a referral
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in the first six months of their detention, as their initial detention has been subject to judicial consideration by the sentencing court and they cannot themselves apply to the MHRT in that period. The referral at three years will extend to all Part 3 patients detained in hospital or on SCT and not subject to restrictions.

Clause 37: organisation of the MHRT

157. Clause 37 replaces the existing multiple regional Tribunals with two Tribunals, one for England and one for Wales. In addition, it renames the role of chairman of each of the Tribunals as president. The Tribunal for England and the Tribunal for Wales will each have a president. The term “president” as it is currently used under the 1983 Act to refer to the chair of a Tribunal constituted for particular proceedings will be replaced with “chairman”.

CHAPTER 6 – CROSS-BORDER PATIENTS

Clause 38: cross-border arrangements

158. Clause 38 covers the cross-border leave and transfer of patients. Clause 38(1) adds two new subsections to section 17 of the 1983 Act. They will apply to patients from Scotland, Northern Ireland, the Isle of Man and the Channel Islands who wish to visit England and Wales and who the clinician has determined must (for the patient’s own interests, or for the protection of others) remain in custody during the leave of absence. The new subsections will ensure that patients from these jurisdictions who visit England and Wales on escorted leave may be conveyed, kept in custody or detained by their escort while in England and Wales, and re-taken in the event that they escape.

159. Clause 38(2) gives effect to Schedule 5. The 1983 Act already provides for detained patients to be transferred from England and Wales to Scotland, Northern Ireland, the Channel Islands, and the Isle of Man and vice versa (except Scotland). The removal of patients from Scotland is dealt with under the Mental Health (Care and Treatment) (Scotland) Act 2003, regulations made under that Act and the Mental Health (Care and Treatment) (Scotland) Act 2003 (Consequential Provisions) Order 2005 (SI 2005/2078) (“the Consequential Provisions Order”).

160. The amendments in Schedule 5 of the Bill provide for community patients to be similarly transferred. It also provides for detained patients to be transferred from Scotland to England and Wales and accordingly repeals the relevant provisions in the Consequential Provisions Order dealing with transfers from Scotland.

161. Transfers are only undertaken when they are in the patient’s interests. For example, a patient may be transferred from Scotland to England when he or she is detained under mental health legislation in Edinburgh but normally lives in London and a transfer would enable friends and family to visit him or her on a more regular basis.

162. No provision is made in respect of the transfer of patients under guardianship in England and Wales as Scotland no longer has the equivalent of mental health guardianship.
163. For patients transferring from Scotland to England and Wales the date of their hospital admission in England or Wales (for detained patients) and their date of arrival at their place of residence (for community patients) will be the date on which an application is deemed to have been made in England and Wales. As soon as practicable after the arrival of a community patient in England and Wales a CTO should be made and it will be deemed to be dated from the day of the patient’s arrival. A community patient transferred from Scotland to England and Wales will not be detained in hospital following their transfer prior to becoming a community patient in England and Wales. For example if a patient detained under section 3 in hospital in Scotland is transferred to England or Wales on 5 April, they will be treated as if they had been admitted to hospital in England or Wales on 5 April. A community patient transferred from Scotland to England or Wales and arriving at their place of residence in England or Wales on 10 April will have a CTO made in England or Wales and dated 10 April. The dates of 5 April and 10 April will therefore be the start dates under the 1983 Act for each patient. This date is significant because it determines when, for example, a patient’s case must be referred by the hospital managers to the MHRT (under section 68 as amended by clause 36).

164. No provision is made in the Bill for the transfer of community patients from Northern Ireland as there is currently no provision for community patients in Northern Ireland. Should this be introduced, provision can be made for transfers by Order in Council.

165. Schedule 5 also amends sections 83 and 85 (which provide for detained patients to be transferred from England and Wales to the Channel Islands and the Isle of Man and vice versa) of the 1983 Act to provide for community patients to be transferred from England and Wales to the Channel Islands and the Isle of Man and vice versa. Similar arrangements to those set out for patients transferring from Scotland will apply to patients transferring to England and Wales from the Channel Islands or the Isle of Man for deeming their date of arrival and the date of the CTO. At present the Channel Islands and the Isle of Man do not have legislation enabling patients to be treated in the community under arrangements similar to SCT so this provision would not, as things stand, have any effect in relation to the Channel Islands and the Isle of Man.

166. Schedule 5 also amends section 88 of the 1983 Act, which provides for patients absent from hospitals in England and Wales to be taken into custody and returned to England and Wales, to apply to Northern Ireland only. The Channel Islands and the Isle of Man have powers of their own, which they can use to return patients from England and Wales. Scotland can make regulations on such matters under section 309 (Patients from other jurisdictions) of the Mental Health (Care and Treatment) (Scotland) Act 2003.
CHAPTER 7 – RESTRICTED PATIENTS

Clause 39: restriction orders
167. Clause 39 amends section 41 of the 1983 Act to remove the power of the Crown Court to make restriction orders under section 41 for a limited period. Instead, such orders will remain in force until they are discharged by the Home Secretary or the MHRT. The clause also makes consequential changes to other provisions of the 1983 Act.

Clause 40: conditionally discharged patients subject to limitation directions
168. Clause 40 makes an amendment to section 75(3) of the 1983 Act so that, on the application of a patient who has been conditionally discharged from hospital while subject to hospital and limitation directions, the MHRT may direct that the patient’s limitation direction is to cease to have effect, in which case the patient’s hospital direction will also cease to have effect, and the patient will be absolutely discharged. Hospital and limitation directions may be imposed by the Crown Court in accordance with section 45A of the 1983 Act where the court considers it appropriate to direct the prisoner’s detention in hospital for medical treatment as well as passing a prison sentence.

CHAPTER 8 MISCELLANEOUS

Clause 41 – Offence of ill-treatment: increase in maximum penalty on conviction on indictment
169. Clause 41 increases the maximum penalty for imprisonment on conviction on indictment for the ill treatment of patients offence (section 127) in the 1983 Act. The maximum penalty on imprisonment on summary conviction for the same offence will increase from six months to one year on the commencement of sections 154 and 282 of the Criminal Justice Act 2003. The maximum penalties on summary conviction for the offences at sections 126 (Forgery, false statements etc) and 128 (assisting patients to absent themselves without leave etc) of the 1983 Act will also increase on the commencement of those provisions of the 2003 Act.

Clause 42 – Informal admission of patients aged 16 or 17
170. Clause 42 amends section 131 (Informal admission of patients) of the 1983 Act so that in the case of patients aged 16 or 17 years who have the capacity to consent to the making of arrangements for their admittance to hospital or registered establishment for treatment for mental disorder on an informal basis, they can consent (or not consent) to such arrangements and their decision cannot be overridden by a person with parental responsibility for them.

171. If the patient consents to the making of arrangements they can be informally admitted to hospital and their consent cannot be overridden by a person with parental responsibility for them. If the patient does not consent to the making of arrangements they cannot be informally admitted on the basis of consent from a person with parental responsibility for them but they could be admitted to hospital for compulsory treatment under the 1983 Act if they meet the relevant criteria.
Clause 43: places of safety

172. Under section 135(1) of the 1983 Act, the police can, on the authority of a magistrate, enter premises and remove a person who may have a mental disorder to a place of safety. Under section 136 of the 1983 Act, the police can remove from a public place to a place of safety a person who appears to have a mental disorder and to need immediate help. In both instances, the person can be detained at the place of safety for up to 72 hours. Clause 43 amends sections 135 and 136 of the 1983 Act to enable a person detained at a place of safety to be transferred to another one, subject to the overall time limit for detention of 72 hours. A place of safety is defined in section 135(6) of the 1983 Act.

Clause 44: delegation of powers of managers of NHS foundation trusts

173. Clause 44 amends section 23 of the 1983 Act in relation to the delegation by National Health Service foundation trusts (NHSFTs) of their power to discharge patients from compulsion under the Act.

174. Section 23 gives the managers of hospitals the power to discharge patients who are liable to be detained. (This power is only exercisable with the consent of the Secretary of State (in practice the Home Secretary) in the case of patients subject to special restrictions under Part 3 of the Act.) Paragraph 10 of Schedule 3 extends the managers’ powers to include a power to discharge patients subject to CTOs for whom the hospital is responsible. See clause 32 above for an explanation of CTOs.

175. The Act does not set out any specific procedure which hospital managers must follow when considering whether to discharge patients. But managers will generally offer to hold an oral hearing when requested to do so by patients, where patients contest the renewal of their detention by their RMO (in future their RC), or where a NR’s discharge order is blocked under section 25 on the grounds that the patient is likely to act in a dangerous manner if discharged. Where renewal is not opposed, the managers may consider the case for the patient’s discharge on the papers, without a hearing.

176. Section 145 of the Act provides that the managers of a NHS hospital are normally the body in which the hospital is vested. In practice, this generally means a National Health Service trust, or (in England) a primary care trust (PCT) or an NHSFT. (Clause 45 below adds Local Health Boards (LHBs) in Wales to this list.)

177. These bodies do not have to take discharge decisions themselves. Section 23 allows them to delegate the exercise of their discharge power. NHS trusts may delegate this function to three or more people who are either directors of the trust (including the Chairman) or members of a committee or subcommittee of the trust, provided that the people in question are not employees of the trust. The rules for PCTs are effectively the same. In practice, these trusts usually delegate their function to a combination of non-executive directors and a panel of people specially recruited for the task. This latter group are often known as “associate hospital managers”. By contrast, section 23(6) permits NHSFTs to delegate discharge decisions only to non-executive directors of the trust. Accordingly they cannot delegate to associate hospital managers.
178. Subsection (1) of this clause amends section 23 of the 1983 Act to give NHSFTs greater flexibility. Specifically, it will allow them to delegate discharge decisions to any three or more people authorised by the board of the trust, provided those persons are neither executive directors nor employees of the trust. The effect is to give NHSFTs powers to delegate their discharge powers similar to those enjoyed by NHS trusts. Subsection (2) amends section 32, so that the powers in that section to make regulations (which may include regulations permitting the delegation of hospital managers’ functions by NHS bodies) are subject to the revised section 23(6).

179. Subsection (3) inserts a new section 142E into the 1983 Act which provides that the constitution of an NHSFT may not permit functions under the 1983 Act to be delegated except in accordance with the Act itself or provision made under it and that paragraph 15(3) of Schedule 7 to the National Health Service Act 2006 (“the 2006 Act”) is to have effect subject to that provision. Schedule 7 of the 2006 Act sets out mandatory requirements for the contents of an NHSFT’s constitution. In particular, paragraph 15(2) requires the constitution to provide for the powers of the NHSFT to be exercisable by its Board. Paragraph 15(3) then provides that the constitution may allow for the Board to delegate powers to committees of directors or to individual executive directors.

180. The effect of the new section 142E is that an NHSFT’s constitution may not permit its functions under the 1983 Act to be delegated to executive directors or committees of directors unless that is permitted by or under the 1983 Act itself. But the constitution may permit delegation to other people where that is allowed by or under the 1983 Act.

Clause 45: Local Health Boards

181. Clause 45 adds a reference to LHBs to the definition of “the managers” of hospitals in section 145(1) of the 1983 Act. Hospital managers have a variety of functions under the 1983 Act and the definition of “the managers” identifies the body or people who are the managers of each hospital, depending on who owns or runs it.

182. LHBs are statutory NHS bodies established by the National Assembly for Wales under section 16BA of the National Health Service Act 1977 or by Welsh Ministers under section 11 of the National Health Service (Wales) Act 2006.

183. Most hospitals in Wales are vested in NHS trusts, but in Powys they are vested in the LHB for that area. At present, LHBs are not specifically mentioned in the definition of “the managers”. Subsection (3) of the amendment accordingly provides that, for the purposes of the 1983 Act, LHBs are the managers of hospitals vested in those Boards.

184. Subsection (2) makes an equivalent addition to section 19(3) of the 1983 Act. That subsection allows NHS bodies who are the managers of more than one hospital to move patients liable to be detained in one of their hospitals to another one. The effect of the amendment will be to make clear that LHBs may also move such patients between their hospitals.
Clause 46: Welsh Ministers: procedure for instruments

185. Clause 46 amends the provisions in section 143 of the 1983 Act which make provision in relation to the exercise of regulation, order and rule making powers. In particular it provides the procedure to be applied when such powers are exercised by the Welsh Ministers.

PART 2 - AMENDMENTS TO MENTAL CAPACITY ACT 2005

Clause 47: Mental Capacity Act 2005: deprivation of liberty

186. Clause 47 inserts new sections 4A, 4B and 16A into the MCA. This makes it lawful to deprive a person of their liberty only if a standard or urgent authorisation under Schedule A1 to the MCA is in force or if it is a consequence of giving effect to an order of the Court of Protection on a personal welfare matter, in accordance with the provisions of the MCA. If there is a question about whether a person may be lawfully deprived of their liberty and the authorisation is to enable life sustaining treatment or treatment believed necessary to prevent a serious deterioration in the person’s condition, a person may be detained while a decision is sought from the Court of Protection.

187. New Schedule A1 to the MCA (inserted by Schedule 6) sets out the detailed procedures and requirements relating to standard and urgent authorisations of deprivation of liberty in hospitals or care homes. These procedures apply to care or treatment funded publicly or privately. The reason that authorisation may only apply to hospitals or care homes is that the Government considers that it would only rarely be justifiable to deprive a person of liberty in their best interests in any other setting. Deprivation of liberty in other settings would be lawful if it were a consequence of giving effect to an order of the Court of Protection on a personal welfare matter, in accordance with the provisions of the MCA.

188. Deprivation of liberty is defined as having the same meaning as in Article 5(1) of the ECHR (see paragraph 10(4) of Schedule 8 to the Bill). In its judgment in HLvUK, the European Court of Human Rights said that the difference between restriction or deprivation of liberty is one of degree or intensity rather than of nature or substance and therefore, in order to determine whether a person is being deprived of liberty, there must be an assessment of the specific factors in each individual case eg the type, duration, effects and manner of implementation of the measure in question and its impact on the person. Guidance on identifying deprivation of liberty will be included in amendments to the MCA Code of Practice to reflect the amendments to the MCA.

189. An authorisation does not entitle the hospital or care home to do anything other than for the purpose of the authorisation. The reason for this provision is that the authorisation procedure is to ensure the lawfulness of deprivation of liberty. It is not directly concerned with the provision of care or treatment to people who lack capacity to consent: this is governed by the existing provisions of the MCA except where the provisions of mental health legislation apply.
190. Part 3 of the new Schedule A1 sets out the qualifying requirements that must be met before a standard authorisation can be given to detain a person as a resident in a hospital or care home in circumstances which amount to deprivation of their liberty.

191. The person must:

- be aged 18 or over (the age requirement)
- be suffering from a mental disorder within the meaning of the 1983 Act (the mental health requirement), and
- lack capacity to decide whether or not they should be a resident in the hospital or care home (the mental capacity requirement).

192. The deprivation of liberty authorised must also be:

- in the best interests of the person
- necessary in order to prevent harm to him or her, and
- a proportionate response to the likelihood of suffering harm and the seriousness of that harm (the best interests requirement).

193. A person must also meet the eligibility requirement, which relates to cases where a person is, or might be made, subject to the 1983 Act. Grounds for ineligibility are in new Schedule 1A to the MCA (inserted by Schedule 7). In summary, a person is ineligible if they are already subject to the 1983 Act in one of the following circumstances:

- they are actually detained in hospital under the main powers of detention in the 1983 Act (or treated as such)
- they are on leave of absence from detention or subject to guardianship, SCT or conditional discharge and in connection with that are subject to a measure (such as a requirement to live in a particular place) which would be inconsistent with the authorisation if granted. This means that a person who is subject to the 1983 Act but who is not in hospital could receive the Bournewood safeguards. This might be necessary for example if a person subject to guardianship who normally lived at home needed respite care in a care home
- they are on leave of absence from detention, or subject to SCT or conditional discharge and the authorisation, if given, would be for deprivation of liberty in a hospital for the purposes of treatment for mental disorder. This means that a Bournewood authorisation cannot be used as an alternative to the procedures for recall in the 1983 Act.

194. A person is also ineligible if the authorisation would be for deprivation of liberty in a hospital for the purposes of treatment for mental disorder, the person concerned would otherwise meet the criteria for detention under Part 2 of the 1983 Act and the person objects to being detained in the hospital or to some or all of the treatment.

195. In deciding whether a person objects consideration must be given to the circumstances including his or her behaviour, wishes, views, beliefs, feelings and values, including those expressed in the past to the extent that they remain relevant.
This will inevitably call for a judgment on the part of the relevant decision-maker. The fact that a person cannot (or does not) express a view (or otherwise communicate an objection) does not of itself mean that the person should not be taken to object.

196. The purpose of this provision is to treat people in this situation as if they had capacity to consent but are refusing to be admitted to (or stay in) hospital or are not consenting to the treatment for mental disorder they are to be given there. In such cases, they would either have to be detained under the 1983 Act, or another way of giving treatment would have to be found.

197. A person’s objections will not make them ineligible if a donee of Lasting Power of Attorney (an “attorney”) or a deputy appointed by the Court of Protection (or the Court of Protection itself) has made a valid decision to consent to the hospitalisation and treatment on their behalf.

198. For consistency, the Court of Protection may not make an order which would lead to a person being deprived of their liberty if the person is ineligible under the new Schedule 1A.

199. A person must also meet the no refusals requirement. There are refusals if:

- the authorisation sought is for the purposes of treatment or care covered by a valid and applicable advance decision by the person (an advance decision being a decision to refuse treatment at a later date, made in anticipation of not having capacity to make the decision at the time in question), or
- it would conflict with a valid decision by an attorney or a deputy on their behalf (or a relevant decision of the Court of Protection).

200. Again, the purpose of this requirement is to treat people in this position as if they had capacity to refuse consent to the proposed course of action.

201. Part 4 of the new Schedule A1 sets out the requirements and procedure for requesting and granting a standard authorisation. The managing authority of a hospital or care home must request authorisation from the supervisory body if a person who meets or is likely to meet all of the qualifying requirements is, or is likely to be, detained as a resident in that hospital or care home in circumstances which amount to deprivation of their liberty. The reason for placing this duty with the managing authority is that it is the hospital or care home which would be at risk of civil or criminal penalties for depriving a person of liberty without authorisation. The managing authority of a hospital or care home must keep written records of requests for authorisation made and the reasons for them. Information required to be given with a request may be specified in regulations.

202. Provision is also made for a third party to seek to initiate the standard authorisation assessment process. Where anybody is concerned that a person may be deprived of their liberty without the protection of the safeguards, and they have asked the managing authority to apply for an authorisation but the managing authority have not done so, they can make application to the supervisory body. The supervisory body
must appoint somebody who would be suitable and eligible to be a best interests assessor in the case to assess whether the person is deprived of liberty. If there is nobody to consult among family and friends, an Independent Mental Capacity Advocate (IMCA) would also be appointed to support and represent the person.

203. If the outcome of the assessment is that there is an unauthorised deprivation of liberty, then the full assessment process would be completed as if an authorisation had been applied for. If the managing authority consider that the care regime should continue while the assessments are carried out, they will be required to issue an urgent authorisation and to obtain a standard authorisation within seven days.

204. In any case where a standard authorisation is requested, the supervisory body would be:

- in the case of a care home the local authority where the person is ordinarily resident, or where the care home is situated.
- in the case of a hospital the PCT which commissions the care, or Welsh Ministers if the care is commissioned by them.

The managing authority means:

- the PCT, Strategic Health Authority, LHB, Special Health Authority, NHS trust or NHSFT in relation to an NHS hospital requesting an authorisation
- the person registered under the Care Standards Act 2000 in respect of an independent hospital requesting an authorisation, or
- the person registered in respect of that home under Part 2 of the Care Standards Act 2000 in relation to a care home requesting an authorisation.

205. An authorisation cannot be given unless relevant assessments have been commissioned by the supervisory body that conclude that all of the qualifying requirements listed in Part 3 of the new Schedule A1 are met. Regulations will specify who can carry out assessments, covering the need for more than one assessor, professional skills, training and competence required and independence from decisions about providing or commissioning care to the person, and the timeframe within which assessments must be completed. The mental health and best interests assessments must be carried out by different assessors. It is the responsibility of the supervisory body to appoint assessors who are eligible and who are suitable, having regard to the person to be assessed.

206. The best interests assessment must take account of any relevant needs assessment or care plan, and of the opinion of the mental health assessor on the impact of the proposed course of action on the person’s mental health. In carrying out the best interests assessment, the assessor must consult the managing authority of the hospital or care home.

207. The best interests assessor will also be required, under section 4(7) of the MCA, to take into account the views of:

- anyone named by the person as someone to be consulted
- anyone engaged in caring for the person or interested in his or her welfare
• any donee of a lasting power of attorney granted by the person, and
• any deputy appointed for the person by the court.

208. The best interests assessor must record the name and address of every interested person consulted as they will be entitled to information about the outcome of the request for authorisation (spouse, civil partner, and close family are defined as interested persons). If the best interests assessment recommends authorisation, the assessor must state the maximum authorisation period which may not be for more than one year. The best interests assessor may recommend conditions to be attached to the authorisation.

209. If the best interests assessor concludes that deprivation of liberty is not in the person’s best interests but becomes aware that they are already being deprived of their liberty, they must draw this to the attention of the supervisory body. The supervisory body are then required to notify the managing authority of the relevant hospital or care home, the relevant person, any section 39A IMCA and any interested person consulted by the best interests assessor.

210. Assessments must be made as soon as possible after application and regulations may be made to specify the time period for completing the assessment process. If existing equivalent assessments have been carried out within the past year they may be used if the supervisory body are satisfied there is no reason that they may no longer be accurate. If the person is unbefriended, defined in the MCA as having no one to speak for them who is not paid to provide care, an IMCA will be appointed to support and represent them during the assessment process.

211. If any of the assessments conclude that the person does not meet the criteria for an authorisation to be issued the supervisory body must turn down the request for authorisation. The assessment process will be discontinued if any of the assessments reach the conclusion that the person does not meet one of the qualifying requirements. The supervisory body must inform the hospital or care home management, the person concerned, any IMCA appointed and all interested persons consulted by the best interests assessor of the decision and the reasons. This is so that all with an interest are aware that the person may not lawfully be deprived of their liberty.

212. It is the duty of the supervisory body to give the authorisation if all of the assessors recommend it. The supervisory body must:

• set the period of the authorisation, which may not be longer than the maximum period identified in the best interests assessment
• issue the authorisation in writing, stating the period for which it is valid, the purpose for which it is given, and the reason why each qualifying requirement is met
• if appropriate attach conditions to the authorisation, taking account of the recommendations of the best interests assessor
• appoint someone to act as the persons representative during the authorisation.
provide a copy of the authorisation to the managing authority of the care home or hospital, the person who is being deprived of liberty and their representative, any IMCA who has been involved and any other interested person consulted by the best interests assessor, and in due course to notify them when a standard authorisation ceases to be in force

keep written records.

If an authorisation is granted to deprive a person of their liberty then the managing authority of the hospital or care home must (if acting on that authorisation):

- ensure that any conditions are complied with,
- take all practicable steps to ensure that the person understands the effect of the authorisation, their right to appeal to the Court of Protection and their right to request a review,
- give the same information to the person’s representative,
- keep the person’s case under consideration and request a review if necessary (see below).

If an authorisation is granted, the supervisory body will appoint a person to be the relevant person’s representative as soon as practicable (Part 10 of the new Schedule A1). They must appoint someone who they consider will:

- maintain contact with the relevant person
- support and represent them in matters concerning the authorisation, including requesting a review or applying to the Court of Protection on their behalf.

The person concerned and their representative have right of access to the Court of Protection to challenge an authorisation. Any other person with a concern may apply to the Court for permission to be heard.

Regulations may be made regarding the selection, appointment, suspension and termination of representatives but only the following can select a person to be appointed as representative:

- the relevant person if they have capacity
- an attorney or deputy (if it is within the scope of their authority)
- a best interests assessor
- the supervisory body.

If there is a section 39C IMCA appointed for a person who is the subject of a Bournewood authorisation, for example to represent them until a new appointment is made after the appointment of their representative is ended, all the provisions relating to the relevant person’s representative will apply to the section 39C IMCA.

If there is a both a section 39A IMCA and a representative appointed, the duties and powers of the IMCA do not apply except for the power of challenge. However, the IMCA must take the views of the person’s representative into account before exercising any power of challenge.
218. Urgent authorisations (Part 5 of the new Schedule A1) may be given by the managing authority of a care home or hospital to provide a lawful basis for the deprivation of liberty where it is urgently required and where the qualifying requirements listed in Part 3 of the new Schedule A1 appear to be met, whilst a standard authorisation is being obtained. An urgent authorisation can only last for a maximum of 7 days unless in exceptional circumstances it is extended to 14 days by the supervisory body. An urgent authorisation must be in writing and the managing authority must keep a written record of their reasons for giving an urgent authorisation. The managing authority is required to take all practicable steps (verbally and in writing) to ensure that the person understands the effect of the authorisation and their right to apply to the Court of Protection and to notify any IMCA when an urgent authorisation is given.

219. The supervisory body may grant a request to extend an urgent authorisation for up to a further 7 days if there are exceptional reasons why it has not been possible to decide on a request for standard authorisation and it is essential that detention continues. This might occur for example if the best interests assessor has not been able to contact someone they are required to consult and considers that they cannot reach a judgment without doing so. An urgent authorisation ceases to be in force at the end of the period specified or earlier if a decision is reached on the application for a standard authorisation. The supervisory body must inform the relevant person and any IMCA involved when an urgent authorisation ceases to be in force.

220. The purpose of Part 6 of the new Schedule A1 is to provide a procedure for the authorisation to be suspended if the person becomes ineligible, for reasons other than their own objection, for less than 28 days. This is to allow for short periods of treatment under the 1983 Act.

221. If the person is to move to a different hospital or care home, the new managing authority must request a new authorisation, provided that the new detention would not be under the 1983 Act. The effect of this is that an authorisation will not be transferable to a new facility and a move, which is a significant change in the person’s circumstances, will trigger a fresh assessment of whether the deprivation of liberty is in the person’s best interests.

222. If the person does not move but the supervisory body changes, for example because of changes in a local authority or PCT boundary, the managing authority must apply for a variation of the authorisation, provided that none of the grounds for review are met (Part 7 of the new Schedule A1). The new supervisory body must make the variation if it is satisfied that these conditions are met and must notify the relevant person and their representative, managing authority and the former supervisory body. In urgent cases the variation can be made by the managing authority but must be confirmed by the supervisory body.

223. The supervisory body may review (Part 8 of the new Schedule A1) a standard authorisation at any time and must do so if requested to by the relevant person, his or her representative or the managing authority of the care home or hospital. The qualifying requirements are reviewable if:
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- the person does not meet one or more of the qualifying requirements, or
- the reason that they meet one of the qualifying requirements is not the reason stated in the authorisation, or
- there has been a change in the relevant person’s case and because of that change it would be appropriate to change the authorisation conditions (best interests requirement only).

224. The managing authority is required to request such a review if it appears to it that there has been such a change in the person's circumstances. The relevant person or their representative may request a review at any time.

225. The supervisory body must first decide if any of the qualifying requirements appear to be reviewable. If not there is no further action. If one or more of the age, mental health, mental capacity, objections element of eligibility or no refusals requirements are reviewable, the supervisory body must commission review assessment(s). This may lead to the authorisation being terminated or to a change in the reason recorded that the person meets one of the requirements.

226. If the best interests assessment appears to be reviewable the supervisory body must obtain a best interests review assessment unless the only ground for review is variation of conditions and the change in circumstances is not significant. The best interests review assessment may lead to the authorisation being terminated or to a change in the reason recorded that the person meets the best interests requirement or a change in the conditions attached to the authorisation.

227. When the review is complete, the supervisory body must inform the managing authority of the hospital or care home, the relevant person and their representative.

228. The managing authority may apply for a further authorisation to begin when the existing authorisation expires. If that is the case the full assessment process is repeated.

229. The Secretary of State and Welsh Ministers may make regulations conferring a duty on a body to monitor the operation of the Bournewood safeguards.

230. It is for the Secretary of State to make regulations under the new Schedule A1 in relation to English authorisations (where the supervisory body is a PCT or local authority in England) and for Welsh Ministers to make regulations in relation to Welsh authorisations (where the supervisory body is Welsh Ministers or a local authority in Wales) and for the Welsh Ministers to direct a LHB to exercise the functions of a supervisory body (Part 13 of the new Schedule A1).

Clause 48: amendment of section 20(11) of the Mental Capacity Act 2005

231. This clause amends section 20(11)(a) of the MCA. It replaces the word "or" with "and". The amendment corrects a drafting error.
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PART 3 - GENERAL

Clauses 49-55

232. This Part sets out general provisions for the Bill. Particular points to note are set out below.

233. Clause 51 allows the Secretary of State to make minor supplementary, incidental or consequential amendments to relevant provisions of other Acts and subordinate legislation by means of an order. This is to ensure that provisions in other Acts and subordinate legislation are consistent with the changes contained in the 1983 Act, as amended by this Bill. Amendments made to primary legislation under the order making power will be subject to affirmative resolution. This is the usual procedure. Amendments made to secondary legislation under the order making power contained in clause 50 will be subject to negative resolution in both Houses. The Secretary of State will require the agreement of the Welsh Ministers to make these amendments, to the extent that they relate to matters in respect of which functions are exercised by the Welsh Ministers.

234. Clause 53 (commencement) provides that the provisions of the Bill (other than clauses 48 to 50 (and Schedule 9), clause 53 itself and clauses 54 and 55) are to be brought into force on a day appointed for the purpose by the Secretary of State by order. This would require the agreement of the Welsh Ministers to the extent that it relates to matters in respect of which functions are exercised by the Welsh Ministers. Clause 48, which amends the MCA, will be brought into force on a day appointed by order made by the Lord Chancellor. Clauses 49 (meaning of "1983 Act"), 50 and Schedule 9 (transitional provisions and savings), 53 (commencement), 54 (extent) and 55 (short title) will come into effect on the day the Bill is passed and becomes an Act. Orders made under clause 53(1) are made by statutory instrument and, by virtue of subsection (6), are subject to the negative resolution procedure if they include transitional or saving provision.

235. An order under subsection (1) of clause 53 may, by virtue of subsections (4)(b) and (5), make transitional provision to modify the application of the Bill once enacted pending the commencement of the provisions of another enactment. This power will be used to make temporary modifications to the amendments being made in Schedule 4 to the Administration of Justice Act 1960, the Courts-Martial (Appeals) Act 1968 and the Criminal Appeal Act 1968. The modifications will be necessary in order to (a) reflect the existing definition of "relevant time" in section 20(5) of the Courts-Martial (Appeals) Act pending its repeal and replacement by a new definition, for which the Armed Forces Act 2006 provides; (b) provide for the retention of the role of the Defence Council under the Courts-Martial (Appeals) Act pending its replacement by that of the Director of Service Prosecutions, for which the Armed Forces Act provides; and (c) provide for the retention of the role of the House of Lords in the Administration of Justice Act, the Criminal Appeal Act and the Courts-Martial (Appeals) Act pending its replacement by the Supreme Court, for which the Constitutional Reform Act 2005 provides.

236. Clause 54 (extent) provides that the amendments contained in the Bill will have the same extent as the enactments they amend (subject to subsection (2)).
237. It has been agreed with the Office of the Solicitor to the Scottish Executive and the Office of the Solicitor to the Advocate General that the amendments in the Bill which extend to Scotland, in so far as they relate to devolved matters, do not engage the Sewel Convention. Those amendments include the amendment to section 80 (removal of patients to Scotland), contained in paragraph 2 of Schedule 5. As the amendment merely involves a repeal in a devolved area in Scotland, consequential on a change of substance in the same subject area in England and Wales, the Sewel Convention is not engaged.

238. Subsection (2) sets out a handful of qualifications to the general proposition in subsection (1).

239. Paragraph (a) of subsection (2) refers to paragraph 35 of Schedule 3. Paragraph 35 amends section 146 of the 1983 Act so as to provide that section 128 does not extend to Scotland. Paragraph (b) refers to paragraph 20 of Schedule 5. Paragraph 20 amends section 146 of the 1983 Act so as to provide that section 88 (and so far as applied by that section sections 18, 22 and 138) does not extend to Scotland. Both sections 128 and 88 will no longer apply in Scotland as a result of their repeal by the Adult Support and Protection (Scotland) Bill (a Bill before the Scottish Parliament) which amends the 1983 Act in relation to Scotland. That Bill is due to receive Royal Assent in March 2007 and come into force in Spring 2008. It will repeal sections 88 and 128, and repeal the references to these provisions in section 146 of the 1983 Act, but only as a matter of Scottish law.

240. Section 88 (patients absent from hospitals in England and Wales) currently provides for the taking into custody in Scotland of persons who are subject to measures in England and Wales in the 1983 Act and who escape from hospital there, fail to return at the end of a period of leave of absence or escape in other specified circumstances. Provision is made in the Mental Health (Care and Treatment) (Scotland) Act 2003 to deal with such matters in regulations made under section 309 (Patients from other jurisdictions) and so it is no longer necessary to have provision in the 1983 Act which extends to Scotland about this matter.

241. Section 128 (Assisting patients to absent themselves without leave etc) of the 1983 Act makes provision for the offence of assisting patients subject to measures under the Mental Health Act 1983 to escape from custody or absent themselves without leave. This has been replaced in Scotland by the application of section 316 (Inducing and assisting absconding etc) of the Mental Health (Care and Treatment) (Scotland) Act 2003 to such patients, so again it is no longer necessary to have provision in the 1983 Act.

242. Section 146 (Application to Scotland) of the 1983 Act lists the provisions of the 1983 Act which extend to Scotland. Sections 88 and 128 are at present included in section 146 as provisions which do extend to Scotland, and thus require amendment now this is no longer to be the case.
 Paragraph (b) of subsection (2) of clause 54 also refers to paragraphs 3, 4 and 19A of Schedule 5. Those paragraphs insert new sections 80ZA, 80B, 80C and 80D, which make provision about the transfer of patients to and from Scotland. There is nothing in any of those sections which needs to form part of the law of Scotland in order for them to operate properly. But section 80 (after which section 80ZA is to be inserted) and section 80A (after which sections 80B to 80D are to be inserted) each extend to Scotland. So it might be arguable that the new sections also extend to Scotland. Paragraphs 3(2) and 4(2) of Schedule 5 remove any such doubt.

Paragraph (c) of subsection (2) of clause 54 refers to paragraph 12 of Schedule 8. Paragraph 12 amends section 47 of the National Assistance Act 1948 (which makes provision in respect of those in particular need of care and attention) to take account of a change made by the Bill to the MCA. Section 47 of the National Assistance Act will no longer apply in Scotland as a result of its repeal by Schedule 2 to the Adult Support and Protection (Scotland) Bill (see paragraph 239). As such, the amendment to section 47 does not need to extend to Scotland. Subsection (3) of paragraph 12 makes provision for this.

Subsection (3) provides that section 51 extends to the United Kingdom so as to ensure that consequential amendments made in reliance on that section can extend to Scotland or Northern Ireland if the provisions being amended also extend there.

PUBLIC SECTOR FINANCIAL COST AND PUBLIC SECTOR MANPOWER IMPLICATIONS

The additional public sector costs are estimated to be approximately £22 million in the first full year of implementation: about £10 million for the amendments to the 1983 Act and £12 million for the amendments to the MCA. These costs will rise to about £34 million (£31 million for the 83 Act and £3 million for the MCA) once steady state has been reached after six years.

Most of the costs associated with the amendments to the 1983 Act arise from the introduction of SCT, i.e. the costs associated with supporting people in the community, and from additional tribunals. The costs arising from the amendments to the MCA arise from the provision of safeguards for patients who lack capacity and are deprived of their liberty and who are not under mental health legislation.

There are also some pre-implementation costs and there will be additional costs associated with the use of the order-making power to reduce the referral to the MHRT, which will depend on how the power is used. Detailed costings are set out in the Regulatory Impact Assessment.

The Bill will involve additional public sector manpower of about 260 in the first full year, falling to about 130 once steady state has been reached after six years. Again, details are contained in the Regulatory Impact Assessment.
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250. In addition, the amendments which were inserted by the House of Lords, and which the Government opposed, in relation to age-appropriate treatment would have additional costs.

REGULATORY IMPACT

251. A Regulatory Impact Assessment has been produced to accompany the Bill and is available on www.dh.gov.uk.

EUROPEAN CONVENTION ON HUMAN RIGHTS

252. Section 19 of the Human Rights Act 1998 requires the Minister in charge of a Bill in either House of Parliament to make a statement about the compatibility of the provisions of the Bill with the Convention rights (as defined by section 1 of that Act). The Secretary of State for Health, the Rt. Hon. Patricia Hewitt M.P., has made the following statement: In my view the provisions of the Mental Health Bill are compatible with the Convention rights.

253. Special attention has been given to ensure that the Bill is fully compliant with Convention rights because mental health patients are a particularly vulnerable group. Although wherever possible people with mental health problems are treated without compulsion, where this is not the case, the necessary curtailment of their rights must be within a legislative framework that is compatible with Convention rights with proper safeguards to protect those rights.

254. The Bill raises issues under Article 3 (prohibition of inhuman or degrading treatment), Article 5 (right to liberty and security), Article 8 (right to respect for private and family life), Article 14 (prohibition of discrimination) and Article 3 of Protocol 1 (right to free elections).

Criteria for detention

255. Clauses 4 and 5 amend the criteria for detention in the 1983 Act. In the Government’s view, these changes are not required by Article 5, but are compatible with it.

Patient’s nearest relative

256. Clauses 26-29 of the Bill introduce the ability for the patient to apply to the county court to discharge his or her NR and introduces a new ground on which an application may be made which concerns the suitability of the person to be the NR. It is considered that this is compatible with the patient’s Article 8 right to respect for private and family life and remedies the finding of incompatibility against the Government in two cases.

Supervised community treatment

257. Clauses 32-34 and Schedules 3 and 4 introduce the ability to treat patients who have previously been detained in hospital in the community. These provisions engage Articles 5, 8 and 14. A person who is made subject to a CTO can be recalled, or have
their CTO revoked, only in a manner, and on a basis, that is compatible with these Convention rights and so as to avoid arbitrariness. The reason for recalling a patient to hospital when the patient is subject to a CTO must be related to the patient’s need to be treated in hospital and the interests of the patient’s health and safety or the safety of others, in order to ensure the patient’s ECHR rights are not breached. Patients who are subject to a CTO are given new rights to apply or have their case automatically referred to the Tribunal to meet the requirements of Article 5.

**Mental Health Review Tribunals**

258. The Tribunals in England and Wales will continue to be the forum for review of detention. Clause 36 amends the 1983 Act so that all types of civil cases (including guardianship order patients transferred to hospital, but not Part 3 hospital order patients) are to be referred to the Tribunal by the hospital managers at the expiry of 6 months from the applicable date (generally being the date the patient was initially detained under the 1983 Act) unless an application has already been made, for example by the patient or the patient’s NR, or the case has otherwise been referred to the Tribunal. This is compatible with the requirements of Article 5 that detained patients have speedy access to a “court”\(^3\), to decide the lawfulness of detention, and protects the rights of the patient to be free of arbitrary detention.

259. Clause 39 will repeal the power for the Crown Court to make a restriction order for a specified period. The effect of the repeal is that restriction orders can no longer be “limited”. They will remain in force until discharged by the Secretary of State or the MHRT. Restriction orders engage Article 5 (deprivation of liberty) and specifically Article 5(4), the requirement for an independent judicial review of deprivation of liberty, with power to order discharge, and bring restrictions to an end. That review will continue to be provided unchanged by the Tribunal. The Government’s view is that the amendment leaves the provision fully compliant with the ECHR.

**Restricted patients**

260. Section 141 of the 1983 Act provides for the seats of Members of Parliament and the devolved assemblies to be vacated where the members is detained under mental health legislation. At present this applies only where the member is detained on the grounds of mental illness. Schedule 1 extends this to mental disorder generally. This engages Article 3 of Protocol 1 which has been interpreted to include a right to stand for electoral office. The Government’s view is that this interference pursues a legitimate aim, namely the removal of a member in specified circumstances when he or she is suffering from mental disorder, and is proportionate.

**Amendments to the Mental Capacity Act 2005**

261. Part 2 of the Bill engages the Article 5 right to liberty and security, the Article 8 right to respect for private and family life and the Article 14 prohibition of discrimination. Part 2 of the Bill sets out to remedy the finding of incompatibility with the ECHR by

\(^3\) Not necessarily a court of the classic kind. In *Benjamin & Wilson v the UK (2003)36 EHRR 1*) the court held it must have necessary judicial procedures, appropriate safeguards, and be independent of the parties and the executive.
the European Court in the case of *HL v United Kingdom*, commonly known as the “Bournewood gap”. There is provision in the Bill to set out a procedure in law and provide relevant safeguards to close the “Bournewood gap”. This is achieved by amending the MCA to permit authorisation of deprivation of liberty if certain qualifying requirements are met. It is the Government’s view that this makes provisions fully compliant with the relevant articles of the ECHR.

**COMMENCEMENT DATE**

262. The commencement clause (clause 53) provides for the Act to be commenced by order of the Secretary of State, with the agreement of Welsh Ministers.
Annex A

Functions of Welsh Ministers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clause/Section</th>
<th>Function Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25 / 12A</td>
<td>Power to prescribe by regulations the circumstances in which there could be a conflict of interest for AMHPs in making an application under the Act, or registered medical practitioners in making a recommendation for detention under the Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 / 17F(2)</td>
<td>Power to prescribe by regulations the circumstances in which and conditions subject to which a recalled patient may be transferred to any hospital.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule 3 / 19A(1)</td>
<td>Power to prescribe by regulations the circumstances in which and conditions subject to which a community patient may be assigned to any hospital.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 / 20A(4)(b)</td>
<td>Power to prescribe by regulations the form of the report which a RC must furnish to hospital managers, where it appears to that clinician that the conditions in section 20A(6) have been met.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 / 58A</td>
<td>Power to prescribe by regulation other forms of treatment, in addition to electro-convulsive therapy, to which new section 58A may apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 / 64H(2)</td>
<td>Power to prescribe by regulations the form of the “Part 4A certificate”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule 3 / 67(1)</td>
<td>Power to refer the case of any community patient to the MHRT.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 / 68A(1)</td>
<td>Power to shorten by order the time periods set out in sections 68(2) and (6), within which hospital managers must refer patients’ cases to the MHRT.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 / 68A(2)</td>
<td>Power to include in any order made under section 68A(1) such transitional, consequential, incidental or supplemental provision as the Assembly thinks fit as a result of such order being made</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule 5 / 80ZA(1)</td>
<td>If it appears that specified conditions are met, power to authorise the transfer of responsibility for a community patient to a hospital in Scotland.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule 5 / 81ZA</td>
<td>If it appears that specified conditions are met, power to authorise the transfer of responsibility for a community patient to a hospital in Northern Ireland.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule 5 / 83ZA(3)</td>
<td>If it appears that specified conditions are met, power to authorise the transfer of responsibility for a community patient to a hospital in the Channel Islands or the Isle of Man as the case may be.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
These notes refer to the Mental Health Bill [HL] as brought from the House of Lords on 7th March 2007 [Bill 76]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20 / 114(4) &amp; (5)</td>
<td>Power to give directions to local social services authorities (whose areas are within Wales) in relation to the approval of Approved Mental Health Professionals. The direction may include such matters as the length of approvals, conditions attaching to approvals and the factors to be taken into account in determining whether a person has appropriate competence to act as an AMHP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 / 114(6)</td>
<td>Power to vary or revoke directions made under section 114(4).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 / 142A</td>
<td>Power, exercisable jointly with the Secretary of State, to make regulations as to the territorial extent of approval for section 12 doctors and approved clinicians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 / 145(1)</td>
<td>The function of approving persons to act as ACs for the purposes of the Act.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BOURNEWOOD

| Schedule 6 / Schedule A1 to the Mental Capacity Act Para 21 | The function of supervisory body with power to give standard authorisation to deprive persons of liberty |
| Para 31 | Power to prescribe in regulations information required in request for standard authorisations |
| Para 33 | Power to prescribe in regulations the timescales for assessors to carry out assessments for standard authorisations |
| Para 47 | Power to provide in regulations a requirement that eligibility assessors require best interests assessors to provide relevant eligibility information. |
| Para 84 | Power to extend the period of urgent authorisation |
| Para 102 | The function of reviewing standard authorisations |
| Para 129 | Power to include in regulations provision about the selection and eligibility of persons to be appointed as assessors |
| Para 130 | Power to prescribe in regulations as to the number and kind of person that may carry out assessments, including their qualifications, experience and independence. The regulations may also require assessors to hold liability insurance. |
| Para 138 to 152 | Power to make regulations about the selection and appointment of representatives |
These notes refer to the Mental Health Bill [HL] as brought from the House of Lords on 7th March 2007 [Bill 76]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Para 162</th>
<th>Power to make regulations to enable monitoring and reporting on the operation of provisions, and to direct one or more persons or bodies to monitor and report on the operation of the provisions.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Para 163</td>
<td>Power to make regulations requiring the supervisory body and managing authority to disclose information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Para 164</td>
<td>Power to direct LHBs to exercise supervisory functions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Para 165</td>
<td>Power to make directions and regulations about the exercise of supervisory functions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Para 172</td>
<td>Power to determine questions arising as to residence and to make regulations about the determination of residence (as set out in Para 182)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Para 183</td>
<td>Power to make regulations about the carrying out of functions where the supervisory body and managing authority are the same body</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>