

# Corporate Manslaughter and Corporate Homicide Bill

## LORDS INSISTENCE, DISAGREEMENT AND AMENDMENTS IN LIEU

[*The page and line references are to HL Bill 19, the bill as first printed for the Lords.*]

---

### Clause 2

#### LORDS AMENDMENT NO. 2

- 2** Page 2, line 29, at end insert –  
“(d) a duty owed to anyone held in custody.”

#### COMMONS DISAGREEMENT AND AMENDMENT IN LIEU

*The Commons disagree to Lords Amendments Nos. 2, 3, 5, 6 and 10 but propose Amendment 10A in lieu.*

#### LORDS INSISTENCE AND REASON

*The Lords insist on their Amendment 2 for the following Reason –*

- 2A** *Because it is appropriate that a relevant duty of care should be owed to anyone held in custody*

#### COMMONS INSISTENCE, NON-INSISTENCE AND AMENDMENTS IN LIEU

*The Commons insist on their disagreement with the Lords in their Amendments Nos. 2, 3, 5, 6 and 10, do not insist on their Amendment 10A in lieu but propose Amendments 10C and 10D in lieu.*

#### LORDS INSISTENCE AND REASON

*The Lords insist on their Amendment 2 for the following Reason –*

- 2B** *Because the Lords remain of the view that it is appropriate that a relevant duty of care should be owed to anyone held in custody*

## COMMONS INSISTENCE, NON-INSISTENCE AND AMENDMENTS IN LIEU

*The Commons insist on their disagreement with the Lords in their Amendments Nos. 2, 3, 5, 6 and 10, do not insist on their Amendments 10C and 10D in lieu but propose Amendments 10F, 10G, 10H and 10I in lieu.*

## LORDS INSISTENCE AND REASON

*The Lords insist on their Amendment 2 for the following Reason –*

- 2C** *Because the Lords remain of the view that it is appropriate that a relevant duty of care should be owed to anyone held in custody*

## COMMONS INSISTENCE, NON-INSISTENCE AND AMENDMENTS IN LIEU

*The Commons insist on their disagreement with the Lords in their Amendments Nos. 2, 3, 5, 6 and 10, do not insist on their Amendments 10F, 10G, 10H and 10I in lieu but propose Amendments 10K, 10L, 10M and 10N in lieu.*

## LORDS INSISTENCE, DISAGREEMENT AND AMENDMENTS IN LIEU

*The Lords insist on their amendments 2, 3, 5, 6 and 10, disagree with the Commons in their amendments 10K, 10L, 10M and 10N in lieu but propose Amendments 10P and 10Q in lieu.*

## LORDS AMENDMENT NO. 3

- 3** Page 3, line 12, at end insert –  
     ““custody” includes being held in prison, secure mental healthcare facilities, secure children’s homes, secure training centres, immigration removal centres, court cells and police cells, and being subject to supervision by court, prisoner and detainee escort services;”

## COMMONS DISAGREEMENT AND AMENDMENT IN LIEU

*The Commons disagree to Lords Amendments Nos. 2, 3, 5, 6 and 10 but propose Amendment 10A in lieu.*

## LORDS INSISTENCE AND REASON

*The Lords insist on their Amendment 3 for the following Reason –*

- 3A** *Because it is appropriate that a relevant duty of care should be owed to anyone held in custody*

## COMMONS INSISTENCE, NON-INSISTENCE AND AMENDMENTS IN LIEU

*The Commons insist on their disagreement with the Lords in their Amendments Nos. 2, 3, 5, 6 and 10, do not insist on their Amendment 10A in lieu but propose Amendments 10C and 10D in lieu.*

## LORDS INSISTENCE AND REASON

*The Lords insist on their Amendment 3 for the following Reason –*

- 3B** *Because the Lords remain of the view that it is appropriate that a relevant duty of care should be owed to anyone held in custody*

## COMMONS INSISTENCE, NON-INSISTENCE AND AMENDMENTS IN LIEU

*The Commons insist on their disagreement with the Lords in their Amendments Nos. 2, 3, 5, 6 and 10, do not insist on their Amendments 10C and 10D in lieu but propose Amendments 10F, 10G, 10H and 10I in lieu.*

## LORDS INSISTENCE AND REASON

*The Lords insist on their Amendment 3 for the following Reason –*

- 3C** *Because the Lords remain of the view that it is appropriate that a relevant duty of care should be owed to anyone held in custody*

## COMMONS INSISTENCE, NON-INSISTENCE AND AMENDMENTS IN LIEU

*The Commons insist on their disagreement with the Lords in their Amendments Nos. 2, 3, 5, 6 and 10, do not insist on their Amendments 10F, 10G, 10H and 10I in lieu but propose Amendments 10K, 10L, 10M and 10N in lieu.*

## LORDS INSISTENCE, DISAGREEMENT AND AMENDMENTS IN LIEU

*The Lords insist on their amendments 2, 3, 5, 6 and 10, disagree with the Commons in their amendments 10K, 10L, 10M and 10N in lieu but propose Amendments 10P and 10Q in lieu.*

**Clause 3**

## LORDS AMENDMENT NO. 5

- 5** Page 3, line 37, leave out “or (b)” and insert “, (b) or (d)”

## COMMONS DISAGREEMENT AND AMENDMENT IN LIEU

*The Commons disagree to Lords Amendments Nos. 2, 3, 5, 6 and 10 but propose Amendment 10A in lieu.*

## LORDS INSISTENCE AND REASON

*The Lords insist on their Amendment 5 for the following Reason –*

- 5A** *Because it is appropriate that a relevant duty of care should be owed to anyone held in custody*

## COMMONS INSISTENCE, NON-INSISTENCE AND AMENDMENTS IN LIEU

*The Commons insist on their disagreement with the Lords in their Amendments Nos. 2, 3,*

5, 6 and 10, do not insist on their Amendment 10A in lieu but propose Amendments 10C and 10D in lieu.

#### LORDS INSISTENCE AND REASON

*The Lords insist on their Amendment 5 for the following Reason –*

- 5B** *Because the Lords remain of the view that it is appropriate that a relevant duty of care should be owed to anyone held in custody*

#### COMMONS INSISTENCE, NON-INSISTENCE AND AMENDMENTS IN LIEU

*The Commons insist on their disagreement with the Lords in their Amendments Nos. 2, 3, 5, 6 and 10, do not insist on their Amendments 10C and 10D in lieu but propose Amendments 10F, 10G, 10H and 10I in lieu.*

#### LORDS INSISTENCE AND REASON

*The Lords insist on their Amendment 5 for the following Reason –*

- 5C** *Because the Lords remain of the view that it is appropriate that a relevant duty of care should be owed to anyone held in custody*

#### COMMONS INSISTENCE, NON-INSISTENCE AND AMENDMENTS IN LIEU

*The Commons insist on their disagreement with the Lords in their Amendments Nos. 2, 3, 5, 6 and 10, do not insist on their Amendments 10F, 10G, 10H and 10I in lieu but propose Amendments 10K, 10L, 10M and 10N in lieu.*

#### LORDS INSISTENCE, DISAGREEMENT AND AMENDMENTS IN LIEU

*The Lords insist on their amendments 2, 3, 5, 6 and 10, disagree with the Commons in their amendments 10K, 10L, 10M and 10N in lieu but propose Amendments 10P and 10Q in lieu.*

#### LORDS AMENDMENT NO. 6

- 6** Page 3, line 40, leave out “or (b)” and insert “, (b) or (d)”

#### COMMONS DISAGREEMENT AND AMENDMENT IN LIEU

*The Commons disagree to Lords Amendments Nos. 2, 3, 5, 6 and 10 but propose Amendment 10A in lieu.*

#### LORDS INSISTENCE AND REASON

*The Lords insist on their Amendment 6 for the following Reason –*

- 6A** *Because it is appropriate that a relevant duty of care should be owed to anyone held in custody*

#### COMMONS INSISTENCE, NON-INSISTENCE AND AMENDMENTS IN LIEU

*The Commons insist on their disagreement with the Lords in their Amendments Nos. 2, 3,*

5, 6 and 10, do not insist on their Amendment 10A in lieu but propose Amendments 10C and 10D in lieu.

#### LORDS INSISTENCE AND REASON

*The Lords insist on their Amendment 6 for the following Reason –*

- 6B** *Because the Lords remain of the view that it is appropriate that a relevant duty of care should be owed to anyone held in custody*

#### COMMONS INSISTENCE, NON-INSISTENCE AND AMENDMENTS IN LIEU

*The Commons insist on their disagreement with the Lords in their Amendments Nos. 2, 3, 5, 6 and 10, do not insist on their Amendments 10C and 10D in lieu but propose Amendments 10F, 10G, 10H and 10I in lieu.*

#### LORDS INSISTENCE AND REASON

*The Lords insist on their Amendment 6 for the following Reason –*

- 6C** *Because the Lords remain of the view that it is appropriate that a relevant duty of care should be owed to anyone held in custody*

#### COMMONS INSISTENCE, NON-INSISTENCE AND AMENDMENTS IN LIEU

*The Commons insist on their disagreement with the Lords in their Amendments Nos. 2, 3, 5, 6 and 10, do not insist on their Amendments 10F, 10G, 10H and 10I in lieu but propose Amendments 10K, 10L, 10M and 10N in lieu.*

#### LORDS INSISTENCE, DISAGREEMENT AND AMENDMENTS IN LIEU

*The Lords insist on their amendments 2, 3, 5, 6 and 10, disagree with the Commons in their amendments 10K, 10L, 10M and 10N in lieu but propose Amendments 10P and 10Q in lieu.*

### Clause 5

#### LORDS AMENDMENT NO. 10

- 10** Page 5, line 8, leave out “or (b)” and insert “, (b) or (d)”

#### COMMONS DISAGREEMENT AND AMENDMENT IN LIEU

*The Commons disagree to Lords Amendments Nos. 2, 3, 5, 6 and 10 but propose Amendment 10A in lieu –*

- 10A** Page 2, line 43, at end insert –

“(5A) The Secretary of State may by order make amendments to this section to the effect that a duty of care owed by an organisation under the law of negligence to a person who –

- (a) is in any specified form of custody or detention, or is otherwise on premises of a specified description or on premises in specified circumstances, and

(b) is by reason of that fact a person for whose safety the organisation is responsible,  
is a “relevant duty of care”.

(5B) An order under subsection (5A) –

(a) may amend this Act so as to specify exceptions with respect to the application of any provision contained in this section as a result of such an order;

(b) may make any amendment to this Act that is incidental or supplemental to, or consequential on, an amendment made by such an order.

(5C) An order under subsection (5A) is subject to affirmative resolution procedure.”

#### LORDS INSISTENCE AND REASON

*The Lords insist on their Amendment 10 and disagree with the Commons in their Amendment 10A in lieu for the following Reason –*

**10B** *Because it is appropriate that a relevant duty of care should be owed to anyone held in custody*

#### COMMONS INSISTENCE, NON-INSISTENCE AND AMENDMENTS IN LIEU

*The Commons insist on their disagreement with the Lords in their Amendments Nos. 2, 3, 5, 6 and 10, do not insist on their Amendment 10A in lieu but propose Amendments 10C and 10D in lieu –*

**10C** Page 2, line 31, leave out “subsection (1)” and insert “this Act”

**10D** Page 13, line 3, at end insert the following new Clause: –

#### **“Power to extend meaning of “relevant duty of care”**

(1) The Secretary of State may by order make amendments to this Act to the effect that a duty of care owed by an organisation under the law of negligence to a person who –

(a) is in any specified form of custody or detention, or is otherwise on premises of a specified description or on premises in specified circumstances, and

(b) is by reason of that fact a person for whose safety the organisation is responsible,  
is a “relevant duty of care”.

(2) An order under this section –

(a) may amend this Act so as to specify exceptions, or to restrict or disapply exceptions, as regards the application of any provision contained in this Act as a result of such an order;

(b) may make any amendment to this Act that is incidental or supplemental to, or consequential on, an amendment made by such an order.

(3) An order under this section is subject to affirmative resolution procedure.”

## LORDS INSISTENCE AND REASON

*The Lords insist on their Amendment 10 and disagree with the Commons in their Amendments 10C and 10D in lieu for the following Reason –*

- 10E** *Because the Lords remain of the view that it is appropriate that a relevant duty of care should be owed to anyone held in custody*

## COMMONS INSISTENCE, NON-INSISTENCE AND AMENDMENTS IN LIEU

*The Commons insist on their disagreement with the Lords in their Amendments Nos. 2, 3, 5, 6 and 10, do not insist on their Amendments 10C and 10D in lieu but propose Amendments 10F, 10G, 10H and 10I in lieu –*

- 10F** Page 2, line 31, leave out “subsection (1)” and insert “this Act”
- 10G** Page 3, leave out line 25
- 10H** Page 13, line 3, at end insert the following new Clause: –

**“Power to extend meaning of “relevant duty of care”**

- (1) The Secretary of State may by order make amendments to this Act to the effect that a duty of care owed by an organisation under the law of negligence to a person who –
- (a) is in any specified form of custody or detention, or is otherwise on premises of a specified description or on premises in specified circumstances, and
  - (b) is by reason of that fact a person for whose safety the organisation is responsible,
- is a “relevant duty of care”.
- (2) An order under this section –
- (a) may amend this Act so as to restrict or disapply exceptions as regards the application of any provision contained in this Act as a result of such an order;
  - (b) may make any amendment to this Act that is incidental or supplemental to, or consequential on, an amendment made by such an order.
- (3) An order under this section is subject to affirmative resolution procedure.”

- 10I** As an amendment to the Clause inserted into the Bill after Clause 19 by Lords Amendment No. 33: –

Line 30, at end insert –

““premises” includes land, buildings and moveable structures;”

## LORDS INSISTENCE AND REASON

*The Lords insist on their Amendment 10 and disagree with the Commons in their Amendments 10F to 10I in lieu for the following Reason –*

- 10J** *Because the Lords remain of the view that it is appropriate that a relevant duty of care should be owed to anyone held in custody*

## COMMONS INSISTENCE, NON-INSISTENCE AND AMENDMENTS IN LIEU

*The Commons insist on their disagreement with the Lords in their Amendments Nos. 2, 3, 5, 6 and 10, do not insist on their Amendments 10F, 10G, 10H and 10I in lieu but propose Amendments 10K, 10L, 10M and 10N in lieu –*

- 10K** Page 2, line 31, leave out “subsection (1)” and insert “this Act”
- 10L** Page 3, leave out line 25
- 10M** Page 13, line 3, at end insert the following new Clause: –

**“Power to extend meaning of “relevant duty of care”**

- (1) The Secretary of State may by order make amendments to this Act to the effect that a “relevant duty of care” includes a duty of care owed by an organisation under the law of negligence to a person of a specified description who –
- (a) is in custody or detention, or otherwise is required by virtue of a statutory provision to remain or reside on particular premises, or is subject to some other form of restriction of his liberty, and
  - (b) is by reason of that fact a person for whose safety the organisation is responsible.
- (2) An order under this section –
- (a) may amend this Act so as to restrict or disapply exceptions as regards the application of any provision contained in this Act as a result of such an order;
  - (b) may make any amendment to this Act that is incidental or supplemental to, or consequential on, an amendment made by such an order.
- (3) An order under this section is subject to affirmative resolution procedure.”

- 10N** As an amendment to the Clause inserted into the Bill after Clause 19 by Lords Amendment No. 33: –

Line 30, at end insert –

““premises includes land, buildings and moveable structures;”

## LORDS INSISTENCE, DISAGREEMENT AND AMENDMENTS IN LIEU

*The Lords insist on their amendments 2, 3, 5, 6 and 10, disagree with the Commons in their amendments 10K, 10L, 10M and 10N in lieu but propose Amendments 10P and 10Q in lieu –*

- 10P** Page 13, line 19, at beginning insert “Subject to subsection (1A),”
- 10Q** Page 13, line 20, at end insert –

“(1A) The following provisions of this Act come into force on 1 January 2009 –

- (a) section 2(1)(d);
- (b) sections 3(2), 3(3) and 5(3) so far as they relate to section 2(1)(d).”



LORDS INSISTENCE, DISAGREEMENT  
AND AMENDMENTS IN LIEU TO THE  
**CORPORATE MANSLAUGHTER  
AND CORPORATE HOMICIDE BILL**

---

*Ordered, by The House of Commons,  
to be Printed, 17th July 2007.*

---

© Parliamentary copyright House of Commons 2007  
*Applications for reproduction should be made in writing to the Copyright Unit,  
Her Majesty's Stationery Office, St. Clements House, 2-16 Colegate, Norwich, NR3 1BQ*

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY OF THE HOUSE OF COMMONS  
LONDON: THE STATIONERY OFFICE  
Printed in the United Kingdom by  
The Stationery Office Limited