



House of Commons
Committee of Public Accounts

Out-of-hours GP services in England

Twenty-second Report of Sessions 2014–15

*Report, together with the formal minutes
relating to the report*

*Ordered by the House of Commons
to be printed 29 October 2014*

HC 583

Published on 12 November 2014
by authority of the House of Commons
London: The Stationery Office Limited
£0.00

Committee of Public Accounts

The Committee of Public Accounts is appointed by the House of Commons to examine "the accounts showing the appropriation of the sums granted by Parliament to meet the public expenditure, and of such other accounts laid before Parliament as the committee may think fit" (Standing Order No 148).

Current membership

[Rt Hon Margaret Hodge](#) (*Labour, Barking*) (Chair)
[Mr Richard Bacon](#) (*Conservative, South Norfolk*)
[Guto Bebb](#) (*Conservative, Aberconwy*)
[Mr David Burrowes](#) (*Conservative, Enfield, Southgate*)
[Jackie Doyle-Price](#) (*Conservative, Thurrock*)
[Chris Heaton-Harris](#) (*Conservative, Daventry*)
[Meg Hillier](#) (*Labour, Hackney South and Shoreditch*)
[Mr Stewart Jackson](#) (*Conservative, Peterborough*)
[Andrea Leadsom](#) (*Conservative, South Northamptonshire*)
[Rt Hon Anne McGuire](#) (*Labour, Stirling*)
[Austin Mitchell](#) (*Labour, Great Grimsby*)
[Stephen Phillips QC](#) (*Conservative, Sleaford and North Hykeham*)
[John Pugh](#) (*Liberal Democrats, Southport*)
[Nick Smith](#) (*Labour, Blaenau Gwent*)

Powers

Powers of the Committee of Public Accounts are set out in House of Commons Standing Orders, principally in SO No 148. These are available on the Internet via www.parliament.uk.

Publications

The Reports and evidence of the Committee are published by The Stationery Office by Order of the House. All publications of the Committee (including press notices) are on the internet at www.parliament.uk/pac. A list of Reports of the Committee in the present Parliament is at the back of this volume. Additional written evidence may be published on the internet only.

Committee staff

The current staff of the Committee is Sarah Petit (Clerk), Claire Cozens (Committee Specialist), James McQuade (Senior Committee Assistant), Sue Alexander, Jamie Mordue and Joellen Perry (Committee Assistants) and Janet Coull Trisic (Media Officer).

Contacts

All correspondence should be addressed to the Clerk, Committee of Public Accounts, House of Commons, 7 Millbank, London SW1P 3JA. The telephone number for general enquiries is 020 7219 5708; the Committee's email address is pubaccom@parliament.uk

Contents

Report	<i>Page</i>
Summary	3
Introduction	4
Conclusions and Recommendations	4
1 Oversight and Assurance	7
2 The wider urgent and emergency care system	10
Formal Minutes	13
Witnesses	14
List of printed written evidence	14
List of Reports from the Committee during the current Parliament	15

Summary

People turn to out-of-hours GP services when they are worried about their own health or that of family or friends, and want urgent advice or treatment. However, the urgent and emergency care system is complex and people struggle to know which is the right service to use. Patients' experience of and satisfaction with the out-of-hours services varies significantly and unacceptably across the country, as does the cost. NHS England has not provided effective oversight of whether the services are providing value for money. It lacks the basic information needed to understand what lies behind the variations and identify where it should intervene. It has not dealt adequately with conflicts of interest which inevitably occur when many commissioners are also providers. NHS England also needs to address the perverse financial incentives which get in the way of different urgent care services working effectively together. It needs to examine whether the out-of-hours services are working properly with other services and whether the system encourages duplication when resources are so constrained. At the most basic level, the Department of Health and NHS England must develop information to be able to know whether there will be enough GPs to cope with the growing workload.

Introduction

Out-of-hours GP services provide urgent primary care when GP surgeries are closed, typically from 6.30 pm to 8.00 am on weekdays and all day at weekends and bank holidays. In 2013-14, out-of-hours GP services in England handled around 5.8 million cases at an estimated cost of £400 million. Since 2004, GPs have been able to opt-out of providing out-of-hours care and most have done so. In these cases clinical commissioning groups are responsible for commissioning services. Around 10% of GPs have retained responsibility for out-of-hours care and NHS England commissions these services directly from the GP practices concerned. The Department of Health (the Department) is ultimately responsible for securing value for money for spending on health services and has set national quality requirements for all out-of-hours GP services. NHS England is accountable to the Department for the quality and value for money of out-of-hours GP services.

Conclusions and Recommendations

1. **NHS England's oversight of the value for money of out-of-hours GP services has been inadequate.** Out-of-hours GP services are commissioned locally by clinical commissioning groups or NHS England's local area teams. During 2013-14, NHS England viewed out-of-hours GP services as low priority and did not collect enough information to provide it with adequate assurance on value for money. In addition, it did not analyse data that was available from the GP Patient Survey to investigate services which were performing poorly or why overall patient satisfaction was falling. No up-to-date information is available to allow clinical commissioning groups to benchmark the cost of their services and how they perform against the national quality requirements. Available data is over 2 years old. NHS England said that scrutiny of out-of-hours GP services will increase in the future and that the Care Quality Commission would be inspecting the quality and safety of all services. However, assurances about future plans does not excuse the failure to provide proper oversight so far.

Recommendation: NHS England should adopt a proportionate oversight regime which provides it with assurance on the value for money of out-of-hours GP services and allows it to identify poorly performing services and make targeted interventions.

2. **NHS England should do more to understand the reasons for the significant variations in cost and patient satisfaction.** There is significant variation across the country in both the cost of out-of-hours GP services and patient satisfaction with these services. For example, the cost per case ranged from less than £29 to more than £134 in 2013-14. The proportion of people in each local area who rated their experience as 'very good' or 'fairly good' ranged from 49% to 86% in July 2014. NHS England demonstrated a general understanding of what may drive the variation in cost and patient satisfaction, but it appeared to have little specific information. In

evidence to us, it relied almost entirely on the cost data collected by the National Audit Office and could not provide information on the specific reasons for variation or on the costs of a key component of the service, the hourly rates paid to GPs. A clear understanding of the reasons for variation and whether it can be justified is essential. This will help patients, NHS England, commissioners and providers to understand what good performance and an efficient service look like, and to drive improvements in value for money.

Recommendation: *NHS England should take responsibility for developing an understanding of the significant variations across England in the cost of out-of-hours GP services and in the level of patient satisfaction with these services.*

3. **Clinical commissioning groups are not presently managing conflicts of interest when commissioning out-of-hours GP services. They should be able to demonstrate that they are.** The design of the current system, where GPs can have interests in both the clinical commissioning groups that commission out-of-hours services and in the organisations that provide these services, brings an inherent risk of conflicts of interest. This issue should have been properly addressed before clinical commissioning groups were introduced. For example, in Barnet, Enfield and Haringey, a large number of GPs who work for the clinical commissioning groups also have shareholdings in the organisation that provides out-of-hours care. The National Audit Office found that clinical commissioning groups understood these risks and were acting to manage them, the potential for problems arising from conflicts of interest are considerable. However, where the number of GPs with conflicts is significant, this is not a practical solution. Some clinical commissioning groups have awarded out-of-hours contracts without a competitive procurement process which, when coupled with the potential for conflicts of interest, increases the risk to propriety and value for money. NHS England confirmed that it had issued guidance to clinical commissioning groups on how to handle conflicts of interest and that its local area teams would be seeking more assurance on this issue this year.

Recommendation: *NHS England should test whether its guidance on conflicts of interest is being followed and assess whether it offers enough safeguards. Where contracts for out-of-hours GP services have been awarded since 1 April 2013, it should seek documentary evidence that no one with an interest in the successful provider organisation was involved in the procurement process.*

4. **The urgent and emergency care system is complex and fragmented and the present financial incentives run the risk of undermining effective integration of the different elements.** The urgent and emergency care system includes out-of-hours GP services, walk-in centres, urgent care centres and A&E departments. The Government also has an ongoing £50 million initiative to encourage GPs to extend their opening hours. These elements have largely operated independently of each other and the system is fragmented as a result. NHS England accepts that a great deal needs to be done to redesign out-of-hours and emergency services. NHS England's review of urgent and emergency care has identified that the financial incentives are an important barrier to encouraging integration and ensuring patients are treated in the best place. Existing contracts provide incentives for A&E to hang onto patients and do not provide incentives to encourage out-of-hours services to take on more

patients. This is because A&E departments tend to be paid on the basis of activity, while out-of-hours services tend to have block contracts where payments are not based on the number of cases handled. NHS England and Monitor are consulting on reforms to the payment frameworks for urgent and emergency care.

Recommendation: *Given the pressures on the NHS budget it is important that NHS England should expedite the redesign of urgent and emergency care services. NHS England, working with Monitor, should urgently identify solutions for paying for urgent and emergency care that address the current mis-aligned incentives and promote the treatment of patients in the most appropriate setting and the most effective use of NHS resources.*

5. **Too many people are unaware of the different urgent care options and of how to contact them, meaning they may not receive care in the most appropriate setting.** There are many ways to access urgent care which can leave people confused about what is the most appropriate service for them. As a result, too many go to A&E when they do not need to. NHS 111 is intended to provide a single entry point to urgent care, but about a third of adults in England have either not heard of NHS 111 or have heard of it but do not know what it is for. In addition, a quarter of adults have not heard of out-of-hours GP services. Awareness was lower still among certain groups including younger people and people from black and minority ethnic communities. While increasing awareness does not necessarily lead people to change their behaviour, NHS England acknowledged that it had a responsibility to improve public awareness of urgent care services so that NHS resources are used more efficiently.

Recommendation: *NHS England should set targets to increase public awareness of out-of-hours GP services and NHS 111, and collect data to monitor progress. As well as general public awareness, it should focus particularly on those groups with the lowest levels of awareness.*

6. **NHS England cannot at present assess how many GPs will be needed over the coming years.** Having enough GPs is crucial to providing a safe and responsive out-of-hours service and minimising expenditure on more expensive hospital services. The National Audit Office found that, although only 6% of GP shifts were filled by agency GPs, out-of-hours providers are finding it harder to attract enough GPs. The Department has commissioned Health Education England to increase the number of GP training places by 10,000. However, it is uncertain what the overall impact on GP numbers will be, as existing GPs will be retiring at the same time. NHS England does not currently have a model to predict how many GPs will be needed in 2020 and does not intend to develop one until it has more certainty about the NHS budget to the end of the decade. In our view, NHS England cannot afford to wait for budgets to be set given the time it takes to train new GPs.

Recommendation: *The Department and NHS England should develop a model for the GP workforce now, and use the results to inform discussions about the budget the NHS needs and decisions about the number of GP training places required.*

1 Oversight and Assurance

1. On the basis of a report by the Comptroller and Auditor General, we took evidence from the Department of Health (the Department) and NHS England about out-of-hours GP services in England.¹ Out-of-hours GP services provide urgent primary care when GP surgeries are typically closed, from 6.30 pm to 8.00 am on weekdays and all day at weekends and on bank holidays. In 2013-14, out-of-hours GP services in England handled an estimated 5.8 million cases—3.3 million were face-to-face consultations, of which 800,000 were home visits.²

2. Since 2004, GPs have been able to opt out of providing out-of-hours care and most have done so. In these areas, NHS England has delegated responsibility for commissioning services to 211 clinical commissioning groups. Clinical commissioning groups contract with a range of service providers, including social enterprises and commercial organisations, such as Care UK.³

3. An estimated 10% of GPs have retained responsibility for out-of-hours care and NHS England's 27 local area teams commission services directly from the GP practices concerned. NHS England told us that, since the National Audit Office report was published, it had collected data across the country and established that most of these GP practices sub-contract the provision of out-of-hours care to a range of providers, in the same way as clinical commissioning groups do.⁴

4. NHS England is accountable to the Department for the outcomes the NHS achieves and for securing value for money for spending on NHS services, including out-of-hours GP services. The Department is ultimately accountable to Parliament for the overall value for money of health services and has set standards—national quality requirements—for all out-of-hours GP services to meet. The 13 requirements are designed to ensure that patients receive the same levels of high-quality and responsive care across the country.⁵

5. We asked NHS England how it oversees out-of-hours GP services and gains assurance about value for money. It said that, during 2013-14, its focus had been on getting the new clinical commissioning groups working effectively.⁶ Monitoring out-of-hours GP services had not been a priority for the clinical commissioning groups or NHS England, as the performance of these services was thought to be reasonable.⁷ The National Audit Office noted in its report that NHS England did not analyse the data generated by the GP Patient Survey, which is carried out every six months, to investigate trends in performance, such as the recent drop in patient satisfaction.⁸

1 [C&AG's Report, *Out-of-hours GP services in England*, Session 2014-15, HC 439, 9 September 2014](#)

2 [Q 43; C&AG's Report, paras 1, 1.2, 1.12](#)

3 [Qg 11, 79; C&AG's Report, paras 2, 1.7-1.9](#)

4 [Q 62-64; C&AG's Report, paras 3, 1.7](#)

5 [C&AG's Report, paras 1.5, 2.3, 3.21](#)

6 [Q 75](#)

7 [Q 16](#)

8 [C&AG's Report, para 3.24](#)

6. NHS England told us that it was increasing its scrutiny of out-of-hours GP services during 2014. It has told all clinical commissioning groups that, by the end of the year, they must publish comprehensive data on their out-of-hours GP service, including details of who provides the service, levels of patient satisfaction, and how many people are accessing the service.⁹ At present, only around two-fifths of clinical commissioning groups are publishing this kind of information. Clinical commissioning groups will also need to be part of a national benchmarking scheme which will cover the cost of the service, patient satisfaction, and performance against the national quality requirements.¹⁰ This follows our recommendation in 2013 that NHS England should require clinical commissioning groups to publish comparable data, in a common format, showing local performance against the national quality requirements to support transparency and accountability.¹¹ At present, the most recent benchmarking data on out-of-hours GP services is that published by the Primary Care Foundation in April 2012.¹²

7. NHS England also highlighted that the Care Quality Commission will be inspecting all out-of-hours GP services, under its new inspection regime. The results of each inspection will be published.¹³ During its first wave of inspections, covering 30 out-of-hours GP services, the Care Quality Commission found that most were providing ‘safe, effective, caring, responsive and well-led care’.¹⁴

8. The National Audit Office estimated that out-of-hours GP services in England cost some £400 million in 2013-14, equivalent to £7.50 per person on average. The total cost was some £75 million less than in 2005-06, after adjusting for inflation.¹⁵ However, there was wide variation across the country in the cost per person and the cost per case. For example, 95% of contracts fell within a range of £28.30 and £134.30 per case in 2013-14, with the average cost being £68.30.¹⁶ NHS England told us that it was hard to draw conclusions on variation because the comparisons were not necessarily like-for-like. In general terms, it considered that some of the difference could be explained by the age, deprivation and mix of local populations. Variation could also be caused by geographic factors, which affect travel distances, and differences in what was included within the scope of different out-of-hours contracts.¹⁷ However NHS England had not carried out the analysis to support its assertions.

9. NHS England told us that, on the basis of the available data, it could not say whether out-of-hours GP services were more efficient now than they had been. We asked about the cost of hiring GPs, which is one of the main drivers of cost. NHS England noted that this cost varied widely across the country. However, it could not tell us what the cost per hour

9 [Qq 16, 64, 94](#)

10 [Q 95](#)

11 [HC Committee of Public Accounts, *The provision of the out-of-hours GP service in Cornwall*, Fifteenth Report of Session 2013-14, June 2013](#)

12 [C&AG's Report, para 2.10](#)

13 [Qq 16, 62-63](#)

14 [Q 92; C&AG's Report, para 2.15](#)

15 [C&AG's Report, para 7](#)

16 [C&AG's report, para 1.17, Figure 2](#)

17 [Qq 23, 91](#)

was, or how the cost now compared with the cost in 2008 when it ranged between £50 and £70.¹⁸

10. There is also significant variation in patient satisfaction with out-of-hours GP services across the country. The GP Patient Survey in July 2014 found that 66% of people rated their overall experience as ‘very good’ or ‘fairly good’. However, the proportion in each clinical commissioning group ranged from 86% to 49%.¹⁹ NHS England noted that the variation in satisfaction related to a variety of factors such as patient characteristics and geography. Younger people and people from minority ethnic backgrounds tend to be less positive about their experience, and levels of satisfaction with out-of-hours GP services are lower in London. In these respects, the position on out-of-hours GP services is consistent with other NHS services.²⁰

11. The reforms to the health system, which took effect in April 2013, put GP-led clinical commissioning groups in charge of commissioning healthcare locally, including out-of-hours GP services.²¹ The design of the system brings an inherent risk of conflicts of interest as GPs can be part of both clinical commissioning groups and organisations that provide, or bid to provide, out-of-hours care.²² We highlighted the case of Barnet, Enfield and Haringey clinical commissioning groups where Barnet takes the lead in managing the contract with the provider, Barndoc Limited. We understand that eight members of Barnet clinical commissioning group are also shareholders in Barndoc Limited, with one member acting as the chair of Barndoc. In addition, across Enfield and Haringey, five members of the clinical commissioning groups are shareholders in Barndoc.²³

12. NHS England told us that, if the aim was for local GPs to provide out-of-hours care, then by definition they could also be involved in the local clinical commissioning group. Nevertheless, there had to be ‘clear daylight’ between the people making commissioning decisions and the people who would benefit from those decisions.²⁴ It confirmed that it had issued guidance to clinical commissioning groups on how to manage conflicts of interest, including in circumstances where a significant number of clinical commissioning group members have a conflict. The guidance sets out possible alternative arrangements such as the NHS England local area team awarding the contract, or another clinical commissioning group running the procurement process, where it is not feasible for the conflicts of interest to be managed by members of the clinical commissioning group excusing themselves from discussions.²⁵

13. The National Audit Office found that clinical commissioning groups understood the risk of conflicts of interest and were acting to manage them. However, the safeguards relied

18 [Qq 23-25, 37-39](#)

19 [C&AG's Report, paras 2.19, 2.21](#)

20 [Qq 89-90](#)

21 [C&AG's Report, paras 1.5-1.6](#)

22 [Q 78, C&AG's Report, para 3.19](#)

23 [Q 65](#)

24 [Q 73](#)

25 [Q 75](#)

on the people concerned disclosing their interests.²⁶ NHS England told us that it planned to assess compliance with the requirements that people involved in bidding exempt themselves from any involvement in the procurement process. As part of the quarterly assurance process in September 2014, it would be asking clinical commissioning groups to demonstrate how they were managing conflicts of interest.²⁷

14. NHS England also noted that transparent processes to test value for money, including competitive procurement where that made sense, should help to address the risk of conflicts of interest. However, the National Audit Office found that not all services were being competitively tendered: in five of the eight cases examined, contracts had been re-awarded without a competitive procurement process. NHS England said that, in a number of these cases, the contract had been rolled forward for a period to allow a combined, integrated contract covering, for example, out-of-hours GP services and NHS 111, to be procured at a later date.²⁸

2 The wider urgent and emergency care system

15. The urgent and emergency care system is complex and includes a variety of different services, including out-of-hours GPs, NHS 111, walk-in centres, urgent care centres and A&E departments.²⁹ The Government also has yet another initiative costing £50 million to encourage longer opening hours by GP surgeries. NHS England acknowledged that the system has been too fragmented, with the different services operating separately from each other.³⁰ In January 2013, it began a comprehensive review of how urgent and emergency care services are provided. A key aim of the review over the next 18 to 30 months would be to join up different parts of the system and to simplify how people access urgent and emergency care.³¹

16. Different parts of the urgent and emergency care system are currently provided under different payment mechanisms and financial incentives are not aligned. For example, out-of-hours GP services tend to use block contracts where payments are not based on the number of cases handled, whereas A&E departments are paid on the basis of activity using ‘payment by results’ tariffs.³² Evidence from the urgent and emergency care review is that, even when local commissioners and providers come together and agree an integrated solution, it remains financially difficult for A&E departments to give up activity and for services in the community, such as out-of-hours GP services, to take on activity.³³ NHS

26 [Qq 65-67; C&AG's Report, para 3.19](#)

27 [Qq 76-77](#)

28 [Qq 68-70; C&AG's Report, para 3.17](#)

29 [C&AG's Report, para 18, Figure 12](#)

30 [Q 4](#)

31 [Qq 4, 7; C&AG's Report, paragraph 4.18](#)

32 [C&AG's Report, paras 4.14-4.15](#)

33 [Q 19](#)

England described the current system of financial incentives as ‘absolutely wrong’ and told us that the strongest message coming out of the urgent and emergency care review is that the way different services are paid for is a barrier to integration and needs to be reformed.³⁴

17. NHS England has recently published a consultation document with Monitor on how hospitals, GPs, out-of-hours GP services and ambulance services are paid for urgent and emergency care. It is seeking views from the NHS on several different proposed models. It has also asked different parts of the country to work with it to test these new models during the course of 2015, with a view to rolling out broader payment reform in 2016.³⁵ NHS England told us there was clearly a desire to reform the payment system. It envisaged a more uniform system of funding, with core elements that are there irrespective of the amount of work and activity components which help move patients through the care system in the desired way. The arrangements may differ to reflect different local priorities, for example in rural areas compared with urban areas. While recognising the need for national steering of reforms, NHS England also stressed that it could not simply impose changes, as local service planning is needed.³⁶

18. People have been able to access urgent and emergency care through a variety of routes. However, the National Audit Office found that too many patients choose to go straight to A&E departments, increasing the pressure on these services.³⁷ NHS England told us that it could not expect patients to understand precisely which service they need, for example whether they need to go to hospital or whether out-of-hours GP care is appropriate. The aim is for patients to be directed to the right place as quickly as possible however they approach the NHS.³⁸

19. NHS England explained that the NHS 111 telephone number is designed to provide a single ‘gateway’ to urgent care. It highlighted that NHS 111 is a short, memorable number through which people can access any urgent care service in their local area.³⁹ It said that new contracts for NHS 111 will start next year with revised specifications that will allow people to speak directly to a clinician if required. In addition, call-handlers will be able to book appointments for patients, for example at an urgent care centre, or arrange for patients to be called back by their own GP the following morning.⁴⁰

20. However, the National Audit Office found that significant numbers of people are unaware of NHS 111—19% of the public had not heard of NHS 111, and a further 11% had heard of NHS 111 but did not know what it was for.⁴¹ In addition, 26% of the public had not heard of out-of-hours GP services. Awareness among certain groups, including younger people and people from black and minority ethnic communities, was considerably

34 [Q 17, 20](#)

35 [Qq 17](#)

36 [Qq 19-21](#)

37 [Q4: C&AG’s Report, Figure 14](#)

38 [Qq 3, 55, 57](#)

39 [Qq 88; C&AG’s Report, paras 4.10-4.11](#)

40 [Q 56](#)

41 [Qq 1-2](#)

lower than among other groups. For example, only 49% of black and minority ethnic people had heard of GP out-of-hours services.⁴²

21. NHS England told us that, while public education is generally a poor way of changing people's behaviour, it is responsible for increasing awareness and making it less confusing for people about what is the responsible thing to do when they or their family want urgent medical advice or treatment. In addition, NHS England suggested that evidence indicates that the way to change public behaviour is by learning through experience. If someone uses a service and it gives them what they want, they will use it again and they will also tell their friends and family about their experience.⁴³

22. We asked NHS England about the supply of GPs to provide out-of-hours care. The National Audit Office found that, during September and December 2013, out-of-hours GP service providers filled 98% of their rota hours. The vast majority of hours were covered by directly employed or contracted GPs, with 6% of hours filled by agency GPs.⁴⁴ However, providers are finding it harder to attract GPs to out-of-hours work for a range of reasons, including the rise in GPs' in-hours workload.⁴⁵

23. NHS England acknowledged that GPs are under pressure and that more GPs are needed to cover the increased workload in in-hours surgeries, out-of-hours services and A&E departments, and the predicted increase in the population. It told us that the number of GPs had increased by 20% in the last decade and was higher than ever. In addition, the Department has commissioned Health Education England to increase the number of GP training places by 10,000 and Health Education England has published a strategy for training places, including GPs, over the next 15 years.⁴⁶ NHS England acknowledged that it needs to make GP training more attractive, including relative to hospital medicine. It told us that, in the last 10 years, the number of hospital consultants had increased by 76% compared with a rise of between a fifth and a quarter in the number of GPs.⁴⁷

24. NHS England noted that, while the number of newly trained GPs was increasing, GPs were also retiring at the same time and there was no single forecast for how many GPs there would be in total by the end of the decade.⁴⁸ It told us that there are a range of models to predict the number of GPs required to meet the increased workload. It looks at some of these models but does not have a single model to predict the number of GPs needed by 2020. It also noted that it does not intend to develop a model until it knows what the NHS budget will be to the end of the decade. It will then be able to calculate staffing levels based on the funding available.⁴⁹

42 [C&AG's Report, para 4.4, Figure 13](#)

43 [Qq 59, 88](#)

44 [Q 32; C&AG's Report, para 2.8](#)

45 [Qq 8, 27; C&AG's Report, paras 2.8, 2.9](#)

46 [Qq 96-97, 102](#)

47 [Q 103](#)

48 [Q 98](#)

49 [Qq 99-101](#)

Formal Minutes

Wednesday 29 October 2014

Members present:

Mrs Margaret Hodge, in the Chair

Mr Richard Bacon	Anne McGuire
Guto Bebb	Austin Mitchell
Mr David Burrowes	Stephen Phillips
Jacqui Doyle-Price	John Pugh
Meg Hillier	Nick Smith

Draft Report (Out-of hours GP services in England), proposed by the Chair, brought up and read.

Ordered, That the draft Report be read a second time, paragraph by paragraph.

Paragraphs 1 to 24 read and agreed to.

Conclusions and recommendations agreed to.

Summary agreed to.

Resolved, That the Report be the Twenty-second Report of the Committee to the House.

Ordered, That the Chair make the Report to the House.

Ordered, That embargoed copies of the Report be made available, in accordance with the provisions of Standing Order No. 134.

[Adjourned till Monday 3 November at 3.00 pm]

Witnesses

Monday 1 September 2014

Page

The following witnesses gave evidence. Transcripts can be viewed on the Committee's inquiry page at www.parliament.uk/pac.

Dame Barbara Hakin, National Director of Commissioning Operations, NHS England; **Una O'Brien**, Permanent Secretary, Department of Health; **Simon Stevens**, Chief Executive, NHS England; and **Professor Keith Willett**, Director for Acute Care, NHS England

[Q1-119](#)

List of printed written evidence

The following written evidence was received and can be viewed on the Committee's inquiry web page at www.parliament.uk/pac. OUT numbers are generated by the evidence processing system and so may not be complete.

- 1 Department Of Health ([OUT0002](#))
- 2 NHS England ([OUT0001](#))
- 3 NHS England ([OUT0003](#))

List of Reports from the Committee during the current Parliament

The reference number of the Government's response to each Report is printed in brackets after the HC printing number.

Session 2014–15

First Report	Personal Independence Payment	HC 280
Second Report	Help to Buy equity loans	HC 281
Third Report	Tax reliefs	HC 282
Fourth Report	Monitor: regulating NHS Foundation Trusts	HC 407
Fifth Report	Infrastructure investment: impact on consumer bills	HC 406
Sixth Report	Adult social care in England	HC 518
Seventh Report	Managing debt owed to central government	HC 555
Eighth Report	Crossrail	HC 574
Ninth Report	Whistleblowing	HC 593
Tenth Report	Major Projects Authority	HC 147
Eleventh Report	Army 2020	HC 104
Twelfth Report	Update on preparations for smart metering	HC 103
Thirteenth Report	Local government funding: assurance to Parliament	HC 456
Fourteenth Report	DEFRA: oversight of three PFI waste projects	HC 106
Fifteenth Report	Maintaining strategic infrastructure: roads	HC 105
Sixteenth Report	Early contracts for renewable electricity	HC 454
Seventeenth Report	Child maintenance 2012 scheme: early progress	HC 455
Nineteenth Report	The centre of government	HC 107
Twentieth Report	Reforming the UK Border and Immigration System	HC 584
Twenty First Report	The Work Programme	HC 457