

APPENDIX A: TEMPLATE PETITION AGAINST A HYBRID BILL

IN PARLIAMENT  
HOUSE OF COMMONS  
SESSION 2013–14

HIGH SPEED RAIL (LONDON – WEST MIDLANDS) BILL

Against – on Merits – [By Counsel], &c.

To the Honourable the Commons of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland in Parliament assembled.

THE HUMBLE PETITION of Grinsaem Cooperation Limited.

SHEWETH as follows:-

- 1 A Bill (hereinafter referred to as “the bill”) has been introduced and is now pending in your honourable House intituled “A bill to make provision for [INSERT WORDING FROM BILL].
- 2 The Bill is presented by Mr Secretary McLoughlin.
- 3 Clauses 1 to 36 setting out the Bill objectives in relation to the constrection and operation of the railway mentioned in paragraph 1
- 4 Your Petitioners are the owners of 67-75 Euston street, London, NW1 2ET
- 5 Your Petitioners and their property are injuriously affected by the Bill, to which your Petitioners object for reasons amongst others, hereinafter appearing.
- 6 The Petitioner have been operating at the above address for over 20 years and have built up a very good business. There where plans for future developments with the purchase of the property at 77-79 Euston Street thus making a whole block. These plans included building a new multi storey hotel which can no no longer be persued and we feel that any compensation will not reflect this plan, which if completed would of valued the property at a much higer level then the current market value. The petitioners have a second hotel within a 1 mile distance which they run jointly and business is transferred the two to maximise occupancy. This will not be possible if the future property is not within this area. The petitioners have family members living at adjacent properties which help in the day to day running of the business and again this will not be possible if everybody is relocated to different areas. The petitioners would request a replacement property that can be used for the same business and within 50 meters of Euston Station as the current property stands. The petitioners would also like to mention that for the last 4 years the indecision of the HS2 has severley slowed down the plans for the business expansion.

*Mu*

- 7 For the foregoing and connected reasons your Petitioners respectfully submit that, unless the Bill is amended as proposed above, clauses 1 to 36 so far affecting your Petitioners, should not be allowed to pass into law.
- 8 There are other clauses and provisions of the Bill which, if passed into law as they now stand will prejudicially affect your Petitioners and their property and for which no adequate provision is made to protect your Petitioners.

YOUR PETITIONERS therefore humbly pray your Honourable House that the Bill may not be allowed to pass into law as it now stands and that they may be heard by their Counsel, Agents and witnesses in support of the allegations of this Petition against so much of the Bill as affects the property, rights and interests of your Petitioners and in support of such other clauses and provisions as may be necessary or expedient for their protection, or that such other relief may be given to your Petitioner in the premises as your Honourable House shall deem meet.

AND your Petitioners will ever pray, &c.

Signed Mr Ijaz Chishty

.....

.....

Signed Mr G M Chihsty

.....

.....

BACKSHEET:

IN PARLIAMENT  
HOUSE OF COMMONS  
SESSION 2013-14

HIGH SPEED RAIL (LONDON - WEST MIDLANDS) BILL  
PETITION OF [INSERT DETAILS]

Against the Bill – On Merits – By Counsel

**IN PARLIAMENT  
HOUSE OF COMMONS  
SESSION 2013-14**

**High Speed Rail (London West Midlands) BILL  
PETITION OF Mr Ijaz Chishty**

**AGAINST, By Counsel, &c.**

**Mr Ijaz Chishty**

.....