

HOUSE OF COMMONS
SESSION [2013–14] [2014–15]

HIGH SPEED RAIL (LONDON – WEST MIDLANDS) BILL

Against – on Merits – [By Counsel], &c.

To the Honourable the Commons of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland in
Parliament assembled.

THE HUMBLE PETITION of

SHEWETH as follows:-

- 1 A Bill (hereinafter referred to as “the bill”) has been introduced and is now pending in your honourable House intituled “A bill to make provision for a railway between Euston in London and a junction with the West Coast Main Line at Handsacre in Staffordshire, with a spur from Old Oak Common in the London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham to a junction with the Channel Tunnel Rail Link at York Way in the London Borough of Islington and a spur from Water Orton in Warwickshire to Curzon Street in Birmingham; and for connected purposes.”
- 2 The Bill is presented by Mr Secretary McLoughlin.
- 3 The works proposed to be authorised by the Bill (“the Authorised Works”) are specified in clauses 1 and 2 of and Schedule 1 to the Bill. They consist of scheduled works, which are described in Schedule 1 to the Bill and other works, which are described in clause 2 of the Bill.
- 4 Clauses 1 to 36 set out the Bill’s objectives in relation to the construction and operation of the railway mentioned in paragraph 1 above. They include provision for the construction of works, highways and road traffic matters, the compulsory acquisition of land and other provisions relating to the use of land, planning permission, heritage issues, trees and noise. They include clauses which would disapply and modify various enactments relating to special categories of land including burial grounds, consecrated land, commons and open spaces, and other matters, including overhead lines, water, building regulations and party walls, street works and the use of lorries.
- 5 Clauses 37 to 42 of the Bill deal with the regulatory regime for the railway.
- 6 Clauses 43 to 65 of the Bill set out a number of miscellaneous and general provisions, including provision for the appointment of a nominated undertaker (“the Nominated Undertaker”) to exercise the powers under the Bill, transfer schemes, provisions relating to statutory undertakers and the Crown, provision about the compulsory acquisition of land for regeneration, reinstatement works and provision about further high speed railway works. Provision is also made about the application of Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations.
- 7 Your Petitioners are **MS BOYO, SCOTT D BOYO,**
HANNAH BOYO, AMELIA BOYO.
- 8 Your Petitioners and their rights and interests are injuriously affected by the Bill, to which

your Petitioners object for reasons amongst others, hereinafter appearing.

9 Your Petitioners object to the bill because

We are concerned about the detrimental effect of HS2 on the local environment and the community, the financial implications for the country at a time when the country needs money spent on affordable housing.

The disruption to our family during the construction of HS2 would be substantial.

1. Margaret Boyd will be a student at Amersham college during this period
2. During this time Hannah and Amelia would use Amersham station to travel to work and also travel by car to attend work meetings
3. Scott Boyd travels to work using the roads around Amersham, Chesham, and Aylesbury.

All the family use services, shops, gyms, garages etc in the vicinity of the proposed building. We would all be greatly inconvenienced. If built, HS2 would blight the landscape and the environment and cost the country dear.

10 Your Petitioners propose that

the current proposal to build HS2 line is abandoned due to the reasons given above, the disruption to the local community, the blight on the environment and the financial cost to the country.

BACK SHEET

IN PARLIAMENT
HOUSE OF COMMONS
SESSION [2013-14] [2014-15]

HIGH CHANCELLOR

PETITION

AGAINST

Names of Petitioners in Person

Address: ...

Post code: ...

Telephone ...

Mobile: ..