

IN PARLIAMENT
HOUSE OF COMMONS
SESSION 2013-14

HIGH SPEED RAIL (LONDON – WEST MIDLANDS) BILL

PETITION

Against the Bill – Praying to be heard by counsel, &c.

TO THE HONOURABLE THE COMMONS OF THE UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN
AND NORTHERN IRELAND IN PARLIAMENT ASSEMBLED.

THE HUMBLE PETITION OF

(1) ANN ELIZABETH LOMBARD AND

(2) WILLIAM JOHN OWEN LOMBARD

SHEWETH as follows:

1. A Bill (hereinafter called "the Bill") has been introduced into and is now pending in your honourable House intituled "A Bill to make provision for a railway between Euston in London and a junction with the West Coast Main Line at Handsacre in Staffordshire, with a spur from Old Oak Common in the London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham to a junction with the Channel Tunnel Rail Link at York Way in the London Borough of Islington and a spur from Water Orton in Warwickshire to Curzon Street in Birmingham; and for connected purposes".
2. Clauses 1 to 36 set out the Bill's objectives in relation to the construction and operation of the railway mentioned in paragraph 1 above. They include

provision for the construction of works, highways and road traffic matters, the compulsory acquisition of land and other provisions relating to the use of land, planning permission, heritage issues, trees and noise. They include clauses which would disapply and modify various enactments relating to special categories of land including burial grounds, consecrated land, commons and open spaces, and other matters, including overhead lines, water, building regulations and party walls, street works and the use of lorries.

3. Clauses 37 to 42 of the Bill deal with the regulatory regime for the railway.
4. Clauses 43 to 65 of the Bill set out a number of miscellaneous and general provisions, including provision for the appointment of a Nominated Undertaker ("the Nominated Undertaker") to exercise the powers under the Bill, transfer schemes, provisions relating to statutory undertakers and the Crown, provision about the compulsory acquisition of land for regeneration, reinstatement works and provision about further high speed railway works. Provision is also made about the application of Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations.
5. The works proposed to be authorised by the Bill ("the Authorised Works") are specified in clauses 1 and 2 of and Schedule 1 to the Bill. They consist of scheduled works, which are described in Schedule 1 to the Bill and other works, which are described in clause 2 of the Bill.
6. Your Petitioners are the freeholder of Fernhill Farm, Thorpe Mandeville, Banbury OX17 2ER which is a farm in Northamptonshire. The property appears in the Book of Reference as plot numbers 1 and 2 in the parish of Sulgrave.
7. It is proposed by the Bill to authorise the compulsory acquisition of certain interests in land or property of your Petitioners, to which they object, and in accordance with the standing orders of your honourable House notice has been served on your Petitioners of the intention to seek such compulsory powers. Works proposed for construction over your Petitioners holding include 2/111 Railway and 2/122B Road.

8. Your Petitioner and their rights, interests and property will be injuriously affected by the provisions of the Bill, and your Petitioner accordingly objects thereto for the reasons, amongst others, hereinafter appearing.

Extent of land take

9. Land in the ownership of your Petitioner is liable to compulsory acquisition under clauses 4 to 8 of the Bill. The limits of deviation and of land to be acquired and used are drawn very widely and your Petitioner is unsure why that is. Your Petitioner may seek to enter into an agreement with the promoter of the Bill that the extent of compulsory purchase should be limited geographically and so that acquisition and use of your Petitioner's land is on a temporary basis only.
10. Your Petitioners are particularly concerned by the possibility of land being acquired permanently for a temporary purpose and consider it inappropriate for the Bill to contain compulsory purchase powers in respect of their land when the Nominated Undertaker's requirement is for a temporary use only. Your Petitioners property is apparently required for access to a temporary material stockpile and for the temporary diversion of Sulgrave Road.
11. Your Petitioners also wish to ensure that they are properly compensated as regards the acquisition and use of their land, and are concerned to note that the compensation regime proposed by the Bill is inadequate and needs to be improved.

Inappropriate mitigation measures on farmland

12. An area of your Petitioners land is required for access to the materials stockpile over the Greatworth Green Tunnel. The width of the stockpile is substantial and appears to be excessive for what is required. Only a small area of your Petitioners land is shown to be required and it would potentially prevent a claim by your Petitioners if the boundary of the land potentially required during construction was reduced to remove the promoters' use of this land.

13. A small area of your Petitioners property will be used for construction of the temporary Sulgrave Road diversion. If the diversion was to be slightly amended, then combined with reduction of the materials stockpile as set out in paragraph 12 (above) it would potentially prevent a claim by your Petitioner.

Severance and hedgerows

14. The severance of agricultural land by such a long linear scheme will result in some of your Petitioner's fields being left in awkward shapes. A common element of a claim for severance is the cost of removing hedges and fences in order to re-shape fields into a sensible layout. Since the introduction of the Hedgerows Regulations 1997, the removal of any hedge which is more than 20 metres long requires the consent of the local planning authority. This will add time, cost and uncertainty for farmers who are affected.
15. Your Petitioners propose to your honourable House that the Bill should be amended to provide that the Hedgerow Regulations 1997 do not apply to hedges which have to be removed to allow the reasonable re-organisation of field boundaries where land has been acquired by HS2.

Compensation, generally

16. The compensation regime set out in the Bill is inadequate and must be improved. In any event, any payment of compensation that is due to your Petitioners must be made as early as possible and must carry interest at a rate higher than is proposed under the Bill.

General

17. There are other clauses and provisions in the Bill which, if passed into law as they now stand, will prejudicially affect the rights and interest of your Petitioners and other clauses and provisions necessary for their protection and benefit are omitted therefrom.

YOUR PETITIONERS THEREFORE HUMBLY PRAY

your Honourable House that the Bill may not pass into law as it now stands and that they be heard by themselves, their counsel, agents and witnesses in support of the allegations of this petition, against so much of the Bill as affects the property, rights, and interests of your Petitioners and in support of such other clauses and amendments as may be necessary and proper for their protection and benefit.

AND YOUR PETITIONERS will ever pray, &c.

A rectangular area that has been redacted, likely containing a signature or name.

Sharpe Pritchard LLP

Agents for Ann Elizabeth Lombard and
William John Owen Lombard

HOUSE OF COMMONS

SESSION 2013-14

HIGH SPEED RAIL (LONDON – WEST MIDLANDS)

PETITION

of

- (1) ANN ELIZABETH LOMBARD AND
- (2) WILLIAM JOHN OWEN LOMBARD

AGAINST,

BY COUNSEL, &c.

Sharpe Pritchard LLP
Elizabeth House
Fulwood Place
London WC1V 6HG
Parliamentary Agents