

IN PARLIAMENT
HOUSE OF
COMMONS
SESSION
2013 - 2014

HIGH SPEED RAIL (LONDON TO WEST MIDLANDS) BILL

Against – On Merits – Praying to be heard by counsel, &c.

TO THE HONOURABLE THE COMMONS OF THE UNITED KINGDOM OF
GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND IN PARLIAMENT ASSEMBLED.

THE HUMBLE PETITION of Geoffrey Pratt and Elizabeth Pratt

SHEWETH as follows:-

1. A Bill (hereinafter referred to as “the Bill”) has been introduced and is now pending in your honourable House intituled “A Bill to make provision for a railway between Euston in London and a junction with the West Coast Main Line at Handsacre in Staffordshire, with a spur from Old Oak Common in the London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham to a junction with the Channel Tunnel Rail Link at York Way in the London Borough of Islington and a spur from Water Orton in Warwickshire to Curzon Street in Birmingham; and for connected purposes.”
2. The Bill is presented by Mr Secretary McLoughlin, supported by The Prime Minister, The Deputy Prime Minister, Mr Chancellor of the Exchequer, Secretary Theresa May, Secretary Vince Cable, Secretary Iain Duncan Smith, Secretary Eric Pickles, Secretary Owen Paterson, Secretary Edward Davey, and Mr Robert Goodwill.
3. Clauses 1 to 36 set out the Bill’s objectives in relation to the construction and operation of the railway mentioned in paragraph 1 above. They include provision for the construction of works, highways and road traffic matters, the compulsory acquisition of land and other provisions relating to the use of land, planning permission, heritage issues, trees and noise. They include clauses which would disapply and modify various enactments relating to special categories of land including burial grounds, consecrated land, commons and open spaces, and other matters, including overhead lines, water, building regulations and party walls, street works and the use of lorries.
4. Clauses 37 to 42 of the Bill deal with the regulatory regime for the railway.
5. Clauses 43 to 65 of the Bill set out a number of miscellaneous and general provisions, including provision for the appointment of a nominated undertaker (“the Nominated Undertaker”) to exercise the

powers under the Bill, transfer schemes, provisions relating to statutory undertakers and the Crown, provision about the compulsory acquisition of land for regeneration, reinstatement works and provision about further high speed railway works. Provision is also made about the application of Environmental Impact Assessment Regulations.

6. The works proposed to be authorised by the Bill (“the Authorised Works”) are specified in clauses 1 and 2 of and Schedule 1 to the Bill. They consist of scheduled works, which are described in Schedule 1 to the Bill and other works, which are described in clause 2 of the Bill.
7. Your Petitioner is Geoffrey Pratt and Elizabeth Pratt, (hereinafter referred to as ‘the Petitioner’), the owner of 142 Rake End, Hill Ridware, Staffordshire WS15 3QX (‘the Property’) which is located in the vicinity of the proposed route of Phase 2 of HS2. Your petitioner is directly affected as clauses 51 and 52 give right of entry to your Petitioner’s property and the principles and decisions reached in respect of Phase 1 will set standards for the Hybrid Bill for Phase 2, where it will be substantially more difficult to challenge such arrangements. Your Petitioner’s rights interests and property are therefore injuriously affected by the Bill, to which your Petitioner objects for the reasons set out below.

8. **Compensation**

- 8.1 Your Petitioner submits that the compensation provisions in relation to property that is not compulsory acquired and other matters would not be sufficient to compensate your Petitioner adequately for the loss and damage they incur as a result of the plan for Phase 2 of the high speed railway and associated development, as supported by the rights of entry for survey purposes and the increased possibility of these plans being realised if Phase 1 is approved.
- 8.2 Your Petitioner requests that the Bill should be amended to ensure your Petitioner and other persons who are injuriously affected and adversely affected by loss of value should be entitled to claim compensation for the full amount of loss incurred due to HS2.
- 8.3 Your Petitioner also requests compensation for loss of house value and relocation costs and savings used to purchase a similar property in a different location. Having spent the last 27 years living here we planned to stay until our end (which may be sooner given the stress of this project). We now have to move sticks and find a new place to live and make new friends. Given our location and the planned maintenance road and compulsory purchase of land we will now have over 150 HGV’s going pass our door and probably in some cases on our property as the road is not wide enough. In addition to this it will effect our grandchildren’s standard of living as we look after them numerous days per week and we will now not be able to look after in our front garden for fear for their lives.

9. Noise

- 9.1 Your petitioner is concerned that HS2 Ltd have not set proper noise thresholds and ignored national policy in this area and the views of the World Health Organisation. Your petitioner submits that the noise limits set for Phase 1 will determine the design parameters for rolling stock and track design which will also be used in Phase 2.
- 9.2 Your petitioner is concerned that the specific impacts of groundborne noise have not been properly considered or explained to impacted communities and the limit for groundborne noise does not reflect recent or practice or experience and the methodology used for predicting the impact of groundborne noise is insufficiently robust and no amelioration measures have been suggested to deal with this problem.
- 9.2.1 Your petitioner is concerned about the noise that will be generated from the mainline and the maintenance track as it is to be in operation 24 hours a day.
- 9.3 Your petitioner therefore requests
- 9.3.1 HS2 Ltd be instructed to issue revised noise thresholds covering noise exposure, in rural and urban areas and during the day and at night-time which reflect World Health Organisation guidelines including World Health Organisation guidelines on peak noise (60db max pass-by outside, giving 45db inside).
- 9.3.2 HS2 Ltd be required to set noise limits for construction which are in line with World Health Organisation limits and local authorities be provided with enforcement powers to order the cessation of construction activities in the event such anticipated exposures are breached.
- 9.3.3 HS2 Ltd be obliged to commit to designing the high speed railway to operate in such manner that the revised noise exposures are not breached.
- 9.3.4 A binding requirement included in the Bill for noise monitoring with obligations on HS2 Ltd to introduce additional mitigation measures, including reduction in train speeds, in the event forecast noise levels are exceeded.
- 9.3.5 HS2 Ltd be required to commit to the same threshold for ground borne noise as the Northern Line Extension- meaning groundborne noise levels no greater than 25dB LpAsmax for rural areas and 30dB LpAsmax for urban areas.
- 9.4 Your Petitioner is concerned that Clause 35 of the Bill and Schedule 25 provide that appeals against notices or against failure to give consent or the giving of qualified consent under the Control of Pollution Act 1974, section 60 (control of noise) and section 61 (prior consent for work on construction sites) may be referred to the

Secretary of State or arbitration: Your Petitioner is also concerned that Schedule 25 would provide a defence to statutory nuisance for the nominated undertaker.

9.5 Your Petitioner requests that Clause 35 and schedule 25 are deleted from the Bill.

9.6 Your Petitioner requests that the maintenance track/loop is moved to more suitable location.

10. **Visual**

10.1 Your Petitioner is concerned that the proposed height of the track will affect our view of the countryside from our property as the line will go around us.

10.2 Your Petitioner is concerned of the affect of the lighting from the maintenance track will have our property and quality of life. The constant lighting throughout the day and night will affect our quality of life. As the effect of lack of enough and continuous sleep has been proven to shorten life expectancy.

10.3 Your Petitioner therefore requests that a more suitable location for the maintenance track is found.

11 **Construction Traffic**

11.1 Your Petitioner is concerned about the frequency and length of construction of the project affecting the vicinity of our property. In particular being located at the end of the village where the speed drops from 60mph to 30mph off a sharp bend. The volume of traffic will inevitably lead to road accidents and potentially death. Given the design of the village traffic at peak times will lead to a large numbers HGV's in the village.

11.2 Your Petitioner therefore requests that the 30mph speed limit is extended at both ends of the village by 100 metres to slow down the traffic.

11.3 Your Petitioner therefore requests that four speed cameras are constructed in the village. One at either end of the village and two more staggered throughout the village, the cost of these to be borne by HS2 Ltd, both installation and maintenance. The revenues from these traffic speed cameras to be given in whole to the local Parish Council to be spent on the needs of the community.

11.4 Your Petitioner therefore requests that there is a ban on HGV's in the village during peak hours, that is from 7am to 10am in the morning and 330pm to 630pm in the evening.

12 In light of the above, the Petitioner reserves the right to raise the above matters and any further matters of concern relating to the substance of the Bill and this Petition that may arise from continuing discussions, the

preparation and publication of reports, any possible revisions that may be made to current work site proposals or any other matters relevant to our expressed concerns that may occur in due course and prior to our representation before the Select Committee.

13 For the foregoing and connected reasons your Petitioner respectfully submits that, unless clauses of the Bill are removed or amended, then the Bill should not be allowed to pass into law.

14 There are other clauses and provisions in the Bill which, if passed into law as they now stand will prejudicially affect your Petitioner and their rights, (including their human rights) interests and property and for which no adequate provision is made to protect your Petitioner and other clauses and provisions necessary for their protection and benefit are omitted therefrom.

YOUR PETITIONER THEREFORE HUMBLY PRAY your Honourable House that the Bill may not be allowed to pass into law as it now stands and that they may be heard by their Counsel, Agents and witnesses in support of the allegations of this Petition against such of the clauses and provisions of the Bill as affect the property, rights and interests of your Petitioner and in support of such other clauses and provisions as may be necessary or expedient for their protection, or that such other relief may be given to your Petitioner in the premises as your Honourable House shall deem meet.

AND your Petitioners will ever pray, &c


SIGNED

IN PARLIAMENT
HOUSE OF
COMMONS
SESSION
2013- 2014

HIGH SPEED RAIL (LONDON TO WEST MIDLANDS BILL)

PETITION OF Geoffrey Pratt and Elizabeth Pratt

AGAINST, By counsel, &c

Geoffrey and Elizabeth Pratt,

