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Subsidiarity assessment: distribution of food products to deprived persons

15054/11 Amended proposal from the European Commission for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council amending Council Regulations as regards distribution of food products to the most deprived persons in the Union

Recommendation
1. We recommend that the House of Lords should issue the reasoned opinion set out below to the effect that the proposed Regulation does not comply with the principle of subsidiarity; and should send it to the Presidents of the European Parliament, the Council and the Commission, in accordance with the provisions of the EU Treaties.

Scrutiny reserve
2. This report does not complete our scrutiny of this proposal.

Our inquiry
3. This report was prepared by the EU Agriculture, Fisheries and Environment Sub-Committee whose members are listed in the Appendix.

Reasoned opinion of 3 November 2010
4. On 3 November 2010, for the reasons set out in a report by the European Union Committee, the House of Lords submitted a reasoned opinion in response to the Commission’s previous proposal on this subject, objecting that the proposal did not comply with the principle of subsidiarity. That proposal has since been withdrawn further to a Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) judgment that purchases from the market for deprived persons could not be made under the auspices of agricultural legislation. As we explain below in our new reasoned opinion, the Commission has consequently revised its proposal to add Article 175(3) TFEU—relating to economic, social and territorial cohesion—as a joint legal base alongside Articles 42 and 43(2) TFEU, which relate to agriculture. The substance and objective of the measure remain, in our view, the same.

Reasoned opinion
5. Since 1987, excess stocks of food purchased into public stores under the intervention mechanisms of the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) have been

\[1\] 2nd Report of the European Union Committee, Session 2010-11 (HL Paper 44)
\[3\] Case T-576/08 (13 April 2011)
\[4\] Council Regulation (EEC) No 3730/87
made available to the most deprived persons in the Union. In 2010, more than 18 million people benefited from the scheme. Following reform of the CAP, and the consequent reduction in intervention stocks, the programme has relied increasingly on market purchases for the provision of this food. The Commission states in its Explanatory Memorandum that the “legal framework of the food distribution scheme needs to be adjusted to this new reality”.

6. The Commission’s proposal would:
   • formalise the provision for food under the scheme to be sourced not just from intervention stocks but also from the open market;
   • include both an agricultural and an economic, social and territorial cohesion legal base;
   • widen the range of goods that can be purchased in order to take into account nutritional balance and suitability for distribution, allowing Member States to give preference to food products of Union origin;
   • retain the current annual programmes;
   • retain 100% EU funding of eligible costs with an annual ceiling of €500m; and
   • enhance reporting obligations, both for participating countries and for the Commission.

7. Neither the proposal nor the Commission’s Explanatory Memorandum provide an explicit subsidiarity justification as required by Article 5 of the Protocol (No 2) on the application of the principles of subsidiarity and proportionality. However, on the basis of the summary of the Impact Assessment accompanying the Commission’s original 2008 proposal on this subject and on the basis of the recitals in the current proposal, the Commission’s justification appears to be that:
   • the programme addresses problems of hunger, deprivation, poverty and social exclusion in the spirit of the Treaty, which states that the Union’s aim is to “promote the well-being of its peoples” and “promote [...] solidarity among Member States” (Article 3, TEU);
   • it is a specific action necessary to pursue the objective of strengthening the Union’s social cohesion;
   • the programme contributes to meeting the CAP’s objectives of stabilising markets and ensuring that supplies reach consumers at reasonable prices;
   • social support provided by Member State authorities rarely focuses on access to food; and
   • the programme can trigger Member State action, and help charities and civil society to develop their own initiatives to ensure the right of all EU citizens to food.

8. Even to the extent that addressing problems of hunger, deprivation, poverty and social exclusion, and strengthening the Union’s social cohesion, can be
considered to be in the spirit of the Treaties, it is nevertheless the case that the spirit of the Treaties can be respected without Union action, and it can be promoted by the Union without following the legislative route. Member States are capable of acting individually to address the issues highlighted; and indeed confusion could arise from the parallel operation in a Member State of a national system and the EU scheme.

9. The extent to which such purchases from the market contribute to the objectives of the CAP is questionable, being dependent on numerous factors, including: the quantity of food purchased from the market; any reduction in purchases by deprived persons who become eligible for the scheme; and the price paid. In any event, there is no reason why the Union is better placed to organise the purchase of products from the market than Member States.

10. The failure of Member States to act is not in itself a reason for the Union to act. The voluntary nature of the scheme suggests also that there is no demonstrable need for action, particularly at the Union level. All Member States do, of course, retain a stake in the proposal because it is financed from the Union budget.

11. In conclusion, there appears to be no compelling argument to suggest that the Union is better placed than Member States to ensure a food supply to its most deprived citizens.
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